











COUNTY GOVERNMENT

PART I

Papers on Special Topics

PART II

Organization of Westchester County

PART III

Expenses of Education

PART IV

County Finance

and any of the

, n_eo on

.....

The New York State

Constitutional Convention Commission

1915

JS 451 .N74 A4

A L B A N Y

J. B LYON COMPANY, PRINTERS
1915

GIFT

SUPPLIED TO THE DELEGATES

TO THE

New York State Constitutional Convention 1915

BY THE

New York State Constitutional Convention Commission

(Established by Laws of 1914, Chapter 261, to collect, compile and print information and data for the Constitutional Convention of 1915)

MEMBERS OF COMMISSION

MORGAN J. O'BRIEN, Chairman 2 Rector Street, New York City

PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE ROBERT F. WAGNER, 1913-14 EDWARD SCHOENECK, 1915-16

SPEAKER OF THE ASSEMBLY THADDEUS C. SWEET, 1914-15

SAMSON LACHMAN
35 Nassau Street, New York City

JOHN H. FINLEY State Education Building, Albany, N. Y.

Secretary to the Commission FREDERICK D. COLSON New York State Library, Albany, N. Y.




COUNTY GOVERNMENT


PART I

Papers Read at the First Conference for Better County Government in New York State, held at Schenectady, N. Y., November 13-14, 1914

CONTENTS OF PART I

| P | AGE |
|---|----------|
| Address of Welcome | 3 |
| Dr. Richmond. | |
| Some Needs to be Considered in Reconstructing County Government | 5-20 |
| Otho Grandford Cartwright. | 00 0 |
| Administration of County Charities | 20 - 2 |
| V. Everit Macy. | 0.5 |
| Discussion | 25 |
| Mr. Childs. | |
| Mr. Brown. | |
| Mr. Close. | |
| Mr. Winterstein. | |
| Taxation and County Government in New York State | 27 37 |
| Henry J. Cookinham. | |
| The County Auditor | 37 - 4 * |
| Geo. S. Buck. | |
| Discussion | 45 |
| Mr. Cartwright. | |
| Mr. Buck. | |
| Mr. Gilbertson. | |
| Mr. Smith. | |
| Mr. MacMillan. | |
| The County Judiciary | 46 - 10 |
| Herbert Harley. | |
| The Sheriff and a State Constabulary | 61 |
| Ernest Cawcroft. | 4 |
| The County Manager Plan | 68-11 |
| Richard S. Childs. | 1 |
| Schenectady's City-County Plan | 77 |
| Benedict Hatmaker. | |





COUNTY GOVERNMENT

PART I

Papers Read at the First Conference for Better County Government in New York State, held at Schenectady, N. Y.,

November 13-14, 1914


FIRST SESSION
Friday Evening, November 13, 1914

ADDRESS OF WELCOME

Dr. Richmond, President of Union College

I have looked at your chart. I have referred to it before, and I suppose you have looked at it. If it is intended to illustrate the complexities of the subject, I am sure you realize you are facing some task. These complicated lines leading from one center to another, if you will look at it again you will realize what I mean, are enough to bring confusion to the senses and despair to the mind, and I suppose that is the thing which the man who drew it intended should be accomplished, showing the complexity and confusion which exists in county government.

And that is why, as I understand it, you are here to try to simplify at least that phase of the great question of government. No doubt it is true that all government tends constantly to complexity, and the paralyzing question which is always facing us is how shall we keep our government simple. Whatever may be true of a government, such as the Empire of Germany, whatever may be true of other countries, it is certainly true of a democracy that we must keep the government at its practicable minimum; that is to say, we shall be governed as little as possible.



COUNTY GOVERNMENT

PART I

Papers Read at the First Conference for Better County Government in New York State, held at Schenectady, N. Y.,

November 13-14, 1914

FIRST SESSION
Friday Evening, November 13, 1914

ADDRESS OF WELCOME

Dr. Richmond, President of Union College

I have looked at your chart. I have referred to it before, and I suppose you have looked at it. If it is intended to illustrate the complexities of the subject, I am sure you realize you are facing some task. These complicated lines leading from one center to another, if you will look at it again you will realize what I mean, are enough to bring confusion to the senses and despair to the mind, and I suppose that is the thing which the man who drew it intended should be accomplished, showing the complexity and confusion which exists in county government.

And that is why, as I understand it, you are here to try to simplify at least that phase of the great question of government. No doubt it is true that all government tends constantly to complexity, and the paralyzing question which is always facing us is how shall we keep our government simple. Whatever may be true of a government, such as the Empire of Germany, whatever may be true of other countries, it is certainly true of a democracy that we must keep the government at its practicable minimum; that is to say, we shall be governed as little as possible.

It was our boast that we are a self-governing people, but I think we all agree that we have too many public officials; and we have too many names on the ballot.

Complex Citizenship

I had an experience on election day which I will tell you was an illuminating one. I went down to our voting machine with the best intention in the world to use both my intelligence and my conscience. I had found I needed both. I voted for all the men I wanted until I came to the delegates to the Constitutional Convention. I did not want to vote the party ticket, but I saw no prospects of doing otherwise; I saw only blank spots. I called out in my despair, "I want to vote a split ticket!" Two friendly gentlemen opened the curtain, looked carefully to see how I had voted and then handed me some pasters, sticky side up, covered with names — the names of all the candidates of all the parties of the delegates to the Constitutional Convention. And they said, "You tear these off and you stick them on and then you draw the curtain." It took some time. instance, I wanted to vote for Judge Cullen and Senator Root. It made complications. I heard low grumbles and some chuckles which were not soothing to the ears of a director of the morals of youth. I was exceeding my time. I was told in stern tones that I was taking five minutes. I had already taken five minutes, but I protested from the inside that I was trying to vote intelligently. Finally I found my way out and struggled down a long line of angry faces. I was told later that others had wished to vote a split ticket, but only half a dozen had tried to; the rest voted the straight ticket.

Now, that is to my mind an illustration of how essential it is to simplify the necessary duties of citizenship. The simpler they are the better the chances of having them performed intelligently and conscientiously; that is why I am for the short ballot, and I hope you are. I want to know the man I am voting for; and I also want to know who is responsible if things are done nefariously. We are never going to get good government in the county or anywhere else until we come to the point where we have devised a plan by means of which we may trace the responsibility and hold each man responsible for the conduct of the trust which we have committed to his hands.

I wish, gentlemen, that I had the sagacity of some of the statesmen who have been boys in this college, such as Mr. Seward or John Bigelow, or even of the sagacious Dr. Nott, but I have not, and I shall only be able to sit at your feet and learn wisdom. I wish to assure you that you are very welcome here, and further that you have not only the good will, but also the active co-operation of Union College in your attempt to solve one of the many and vexing problems of public government.

ADDRESS: "SOME NEEDS TO BE CONSIDERED IN RE-CONSTRUCTING COUNTY GOVERNMENT"

By Otho Grandford Cartwright, Director of the Westchester County Research Bureau

We have learned a great deal in the last three or four years about the public affairs of Westchester county. We have published some things about them. The results of our publications and propaganda have been in one direction to awake unwonted interest not only in the county's public business, but in that of the local municipalities, which are either subdivisions of the county or independent minor municipalities. In another direction it has been to establish several important improvements in method, and economies in result, of administration. In still another direction it has been to antagonize bitterly partisan leadership and the beneficiaries of such partisan leadership, whose revenues from county patronage have been correspondingly reduced. most hopeful result that it has revealed is the fact that public officials are willing to act upon suggested improvements and to adopt them both in securing legislative enactment and in improving official practice under the existing law. It has also revealed the fact that partisan leadership will work for such improvements so far as can be risked without encouraging public demand for good government to a point where it may endanger the predominance of the party boss.

The High Cost of County Government

So many things are needed to bring public service in the counties of our State up to ordinary business efficiency that if I were to read you a detailed list of them I fear there would be few auditors left in the hall to listen to any subsequent argument.

I can only tell you of some of the most important matters in which there is room for increase in efficiency in both the amount and character of service rendered and great possibility for decreasing enormous cost, for it does cost nearly a million and a half dollars a year to run Westchester county, and it costs eight or ten millions a year to run all the various governments in the county; whereas, under a simple organization fully one-half of that cost might be saved. I am free to make this assertion. With a simpler system of law and of government organization, not only the county needs now served, but also all the more important of those which are not now served could be taken care of much more amply than now for less than half the present cost.

Fewer Officers

The first need of the county is centralization of power and reduction of the number of officers. The county has already achieved the reduction of tax collectors from something over 200 to less than 50, and in doing so they brought about much greater efficiency in the collection of taxes. The new law goes into effect the first of January, 1915. What it will achieve in the matter of economy remains to be seen. There are several points in which the bureau has criticized it, but most of these can be amended, and no doubt effort will be made to have such amendments passed as soon as possible. Now the bureau further states, without hesitation, that these forty odd officials could be reduced to a dozen, with still greater efficiency in the collection of taxes and certainly much greater economy. The bureau further confidently asserts that the number of men in the total civil service of Westchester county (not simply the competitive service) could be cut in half, and the salaries of most of the department heads could be cut in half, and still the county would receive better service than it has ever known.

Enough has been said about the nondescript nature of the functions of the county board of supervisors, of its irresponsibility, of the blundering character of its business transactions and of its inability to get anything done quickly or efficiently, to obviate the need of my criticizing that body in this convention. Without reflecting on the individual members of the board as men, most of whom in our county I honor and respect as good citizens and conscientious public servants, the board of supervisors as an institution is an incubus wished on the county by

previous generations, who, undoubtedly anticipating the modern demand for antique heirlooms, wanted to give us something the mere mention of which would always remind us of the bygone days. I think it unnecessary to enlarge upon this topic. We need in its place a small, efficient group of two or three powerful officers who can do things quickly and well and are directly responsible to the people of the county.

Centralization of Public Records

The second need is centralization of public records and public documents; central offices where we may obtain full information about our public business, and a central office from which uniformity of accounts and records and detail of governmental procedure may be enforced and directed throughout the local municipalities of the county. We have some central record offices, such as the county clerk, the register and the bureau of elections, which are all, by the way, branches or offshoots of the county clerk's office. But the records contained in these offices are only a part of those which ought to be there. All township records of taxation, all tax maps, all proceedings of local municipal government, all documents and records which could possibly concern the transfer of a piece of property or the transaction of a piece of business between two separate parties ought to be on file at the county seat. A county information bureau, if we may call it such, is a very great and pressing need, which is served in hardly any capacity in New York counties.

Another need is for some means of bringing together at the county seat, as often during the course of a year as is necessary, all holders of like offices in the various townships of the county for the purpose of instructing them as to the nature of their duties, and the proper manner of performing such duties, and the proper time and order in which duties should be performed, and of instructing them in the use of proper forms for records, accounts and reports.

Getting the Town Officers Together

For example, it is of the highest importance that all of the town clerks of the county should meet at least once a year and receive instructions from the State Comptroller's examiners as to how to make out their reports of audited town bills, and to have specimen reports made out by them for criticism and returned to

them for correction. It would be of the greatest importance, a service of the highest value, to all the communities of the county to have the tax assessors of the various towns meet at the county seat, or, say, at the most central and convenient place for such meeting in the county, to be instructed how to make out their tax rolls, to adopt uniform principles of valuation of their assessments, graded and modified according to location and character of the properties to be assessed; to make out, under the eye of an expert, specimen pages of tax rolls; to calculate under some such plan as the Somers scheme for valuation, the proper amount for which to assess irregular and oddly situated pieces of property. These matters may all be reduced absolutely to a scientific basis, so that valuations may be proportionately uniform throughout the county, and counties uniform in such matters throughout the State.

It would be of the utmost importance for the tax collectors to have a similar convention, to learn how to make out their tax bills and how to keep records of taxes received and disbursed, and how to make reports of their proceedings. And so on I might run through the list of township officers.

County Officials and County Laws

We have talked a great deal in our bureau about uniformity in local administration; about the necessity and advisability of training our local administrators in both knowledge of the law and familiarity with forms and procedure, and the undoubted wisdom of providing for their guidance a code of instructions (duly sanctioned and authorized by the Legislature, if necessary) which should show each particular official all the duties of his office and give him specific direction as to how, when and where he should perform each of such duties. Even under the inefficient system of government in our counties, in our towns and other local municipalities that we now possess we could make very satisfactory progress, achieve economy, efficiency and much more satisfactory service for the needs of our various municipalities if we could choose more competent officials for our public offices; or if, after having chosen men who are themselves unprepared and poorly equipped, we could allow them a certain length of time in which to acquire the necessary preparation and training, and to pass satisfactory tests as to knowledge of the duties and functions of their office and as to ability to execute them properly before they were either required or permitted to enter upon those duties.

The chances are not greater than one to one hundred that an officer will steal. They are one hundred to one that he will make blunders and mistakes and unwittingly perform illegal acts.

The Need for Trained Officials

We have no schools for the training of men for public office, except such an institution as the Bureau of Municipal Research in New York, which trains men rather for the higher administration in big cities than anywhere else. No man who comes out of the training school of the Bureau of Municipal Research of New York City is willing to accept a position in government at a smaller compensation than \$4,000 or \$5,000 a year, which, of course, effectively eliminates such men from the possibility of public service in the smaller municipalities. Therefore, we may say that we have no training school for public office for small communities, but we have multitudes of public offices that have to be filled in order to serve the community interests, and we work them on the foolish principle of short term and much rotation, putting a great many different people on the same job, with nobody trained to do it well. Sometimes we find a subordinate or clerk who has lasted through several administrations and learned the duties and functions of the office, and who serves therein as a schoolmaster for the head of his department when that functionary is newly chosen. It takes most of the new functionary's term of office to acquire knowledge of how to perform his official duties, and by the time his term has expired, if he is at all interested and competent, he becomes sufficiently trained to conduct his office in a satisfactory manner.

Multiplicity of elections means usually the elections of popular men, and popularity is gained in a dozen different ways, the easiest and most taking of which are pleasing personality, good fellowship and free-handedness to those in difficulty. Multitude rule does not recognize the need of special means to get an end desired. "Go straight to it" is the popular way. Trained services are scoffed at.

The Price of the "Free" Press

This is the place where the public press should come into service to help educate citizens to better government service and to formulate opinions favorable to good public service.

Perhaps the institution that we have cherished most in America as an agency of democracy is a free and independent press, the absence of restriction or censorship over such press or interference with it in anything short of libel, either by courts, sheriff or police or anything but popular will. We adore our idealized freedom to express individual opinion—freedom independently to lead popular opinion and belief. It is appalling, therefore, to find that the county press, whence flows the greatest and most powerful influence upon local public opinion, that newspapers which have wide circulation and strong leaderships are at least partly supported and undoubtedly swayed by political patronage; that, in fact, if it were not for such political patronage probably a good many of these newspapers would be unable to meet expenses and would cease to exist.

Under such conditions the newspapers, which derive their greatest revenue from printing awarded them as political patronage, cannot be expected to publish facts or figures tending to show maladministration on the part of officers elected by their own party. Therefore, the first thing that a bureau of this kind has to encounter is how to inform the public of the existence of waste that it discovers and how to make the public cognizant of desirable improvements.

Publicity through independent bulletin service is very expensive. In Westchester county, for example, a single bulletin that reaches the majority of the population costs in postage alone at least \$500. Our bulletins are always sent to the newspapers. About four or five newspapers reprint them. Then about fifty or sixty either ignore them or take particular pains to deny about everything said in the bulletins and criticise organizations that publish such facts, with this exception: bulletins that can be construed to reflect on one political party will sometimes be taken up by the papers of the opposite party and used as political capital, and vice versa.

Eventually, however, each set of papers realizing that the bureau is not a backer of either party, and cannot be relied upon as such, will begin to attack it, and finally papers of both parties refuse to accept publicity items — not only refuse to accept them, but deny their truth.

You wish to know, perhaps, something about this pay of newspapers. I will give you some illustrative facts in Westchester county. Other counties in the State seem to be not quite

so bad in this respect. I regret that this is a most important element of the situation in Westchester county. It is such, however, and one which we must find means to overcome before any farreaching reform can be realized in public administration.

The following list comprises papers that were designated officially to publish a lot of political piffle prescribed by special and general laws. Most of the matter published is of little use to anybody except as partisan patronage and as a source of income to the editors. It cost the county the following sums during the period from April, 1907, to April, 1913:

DISTRIBUTION OF OFFICIAL PRINTING IN WESTCHESTER COUNTY
April, 1907, to April, 1913

| | | | | Approximate |
|----|-----------------------------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| | Newspaper | Amount | Politics | Circulation |
| 1 | White Plains Daily Record | \$19,634 22 | Rep. | 2, 200 |
| 2 | Yonkers Daily News | 16,970 08 | Rep. | 5,000 |
| 3 | Mount Vernon Daily Argus | 16,233 46 | Rep. | 5,000 |
| 4 | New Rochelle Pioneer | 13,278 16 | Rep. | 2,500 |
| 5 | Ossining Citizen | 12,47093 | Rep. | 1,000 |
| 6 | Portchester Record | 11,78149 | Dem. | 1,790 |
| 7 | Citizen Bulletin | 10,809 01 | Dem. | 1,000 |
| 8 | Yonkers Herald | 10,064 58 | Dem. | 2,000 |
| 9 | Larchmont Times | $9,736\ 09$ | Rep. | 900 |
| 10 | Westchester County Reporter | 8, 192 83 | Dem. | 1,750 |
| 11 | Highland Democrat | 8, 055 08 | Dem. | 1,750 |
| 12 | Tarrytown Argus | 7,913 00 | Rep. | 500 |
| 13 | White Plains Daily Argus | 7,511 50 | Ind. R. | 2,500 |
| 14 | Portchester Item | 7, 161 50 | Rep. | 2,034 |
| 15 | Peekskill Daily Union | 7,015 83 | Rep. | 1,750 |
| 16 | Eastern State Journal | $6,685\ 00$ | Dem. | 2,250 |
| 17 | Mount Vernon Eagle | 6,554 50 | Dem. | 1,000 |
| 18 | Yonkers Observer | 5, 437 50 | Dem. | 2,000 |
| 19 | North Westchester Times | 5,288 50 | Ind. D. | 1,200 |
| 20 | Pelham Register | 4,56075 | Dem. | 750 |
| 21 | Peekskill Review | $4,530\ 25$ | Dem. | 2,000 |
| 22 | Tarrytown Review | 4, 178 00 | Dem. | 1,600 |
| 23 | Dobbs Ferry Register | 4,015 00 | Rep. | 900 |
| 24 | Democratic Register | 4,005 00 | Dem. | 2, 500 |
| 25 | Peekskill Evening News | 3,677 38 | Rep. | 2, 100 |
| | All others (25) | 33, 684 67 | | |
| | | | | |

\$249,444 31

The following table shows the distribution of all printing, including the above designations, for one year, 1911:

PRINTING EXPENDITURE IN WESTCHESTER COUNTY FOR THE YEAR 1911

| | Newspaper | Amount |
|-----|--|---------------|
| 1 | Gazette Press | \$32,637 56 |
| 2 | Yonkers Daily News | 11,573 71 |
| 3 | Westchester News \ | 7,803 96 |
| | George 1. Long / | 1,805 80 |
| 4 | White Plains Daily Record | 7.76241 |
| 5 | Portchester Record Company | 5,288 01 |
| 6 | White Plains Daily Reporter) | 5,064 18 |
| | White Plains Daily Reporter Westchester County Reporter | 5,004 15 |
| 7 | Citizen Bulletin | 4,039 33 |
| 8 | Yonkers Herald | 3,583 83 |
| 9 | Mount Vernon Daily Argus | 3,285 67 |
| | Portchester Item | |
| 10 | Daily Item Press \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | 2,645 75 |
| | Portchester Enterprise | |
| 11 | New Rochelle Pioneer | 2,370 83 |
| 12 | Peekskill Daily Union Peekskill Messenger Critic | 2,348 08 |
| | Peekskill Messenger Critic | 2, 545 05 |
| 13 | White Plains Daily Argus | $2,133\ 06$ |
| 14 | Democratic Register | 2,107 50 |
| 15 | Highland Democrat | 2,082.58 |
| 16 | Pelham Register) | 2 001 50 |
| | Pelham Register Harrison Herald (| 1,901 50 |
| 17 | Ossining Citizen | 1,854 88 |
| 18 | Tarrytown Argus (| 1,780 50 |
| | Tallytown News | |
| 19 | New Rochelle Evening Standard | 1,680 25 |
| 20 | Compass Publishing Company | 1,502 80 |
| 21 | Peekskill Evening News | 1,333 88 |
| 22 | Rye Courier | 1, 315 50 |
| 23 | Eastern State Journal | 1,200 50 |
| 24. | Pelham Sun | 1, 182 00 |
| | All others | 8,895 27 |
| | | |
| | Total | \$117, 374 64 |
| | | |

One paper in the foregoing list, the 'Northwestern Times,' was so indiscreet and independent as to submit to the board of supervisors for audit, in 1910, a bill for the publication of county accounts at a proper rate. The bill amounted to \$600. The other papers that published this matter remonstrated and tried to get the ditor of the 'Times' to raise his figure. They were charging

\$1,050 each for the same matter. But the editor of the 'Times' said, 'No, \$600 is the legal price and, moreover, it is good pay.' The board of supervisors audited his claim and were, of course, obliged to cut the other papers down to the same figure. So this paper saved the county \$2,250 by that one act. Since then it has received no more county designations.

If it were not for the fact that the great New York dailies circulate widely in Westchester county, more widely, perhaps, than the local themselves, and that we can often secure their aid in propaganda, the conditions outlined would be much more serious than they are.

We need a central power to enforce the regularities and uniformities which I have shown might be engendered at the indicated county conferences of local officials. Without the power to enforce them it is not of much, use to prescribe them. In the first place, a fine on such officers for not attending such conferences should be imposed; a fine of sufficient dimension to make it an object for them to attend. The penalty for not carrying out regulations there developed and prescribed should be removal from office.

Modern Accounting Systems

We need modern accounting systems in the various counties of the State, and not only in the counties but in the townships, villages and school districts; accounts that will show the exact condition of the public estate of those communities at any moment; that will show how much the community owns in all its various forms of property, how much it owes both in obligations currently falling due and in those to be paid off in the future, possibly by future generations; and of such indebtedness the accounts should show what part is a refunding of debt incurred for improvements long ago worn out, and what part is for those which the present taxpayers may enjoy. Although the State Comptroller's office is doing excellent work in this respect, the matter is still in what might be called a state of incipient germination.

Another need is economy in the purchase of supplies, public printing and miscellaneous contracts and services. Westchester county may be held up as a brilliantly illumined example of extravagance in these matters. I could give you a long list of purchases of supplies for Westchester county at extravagant prices that would probably entertain you greatly, but I doubt whether it

would avail much to do so. One or two instances may serve, however, to illustrate what you may find in your own community if you look closely enough. We found the board of supervisors annually auditing bills for \$8 fountain pens for each member of the board; we found the clerk of the board doing up packages of various sorts of office supplies — pads, bottles of ink, beautiful inkstands, fancy pencils, blotters and what not?— one package for each member of the board to take home with him every year. I have not examined this matter closely enough to ascertain whether this is an annual or a semi-annual custom. It may be possible that the various members of the board paid for these packages, but if they did we have found no record of any such receipts in the account of the county treasurer.

A Few Extravagances

We have found in years past bills audited and paid for sets of solid silver table knives and forks. I forget now whether they were for the jail warden or the superintendent of the poor.

We have found indexes for mortgages and deeds purchased at \$81 a volume that any one could purchase in the open market for \$21 or \$22 a volume; and the county even now is auditing and paying bills for McMillan loose-leaf record books at \$24 per volume that a competing firm offers to supply in small or large quantities of the same grade and quality of material and workmanship for \$18 per volume.

We paid several thousand dollars for about a \$500 job of painting in the surrogate's court rooms, and a proportionately greater

amount for painting in the county library.

We pay the county clerk \$10,000 a year, the sheriff \$10,000 a year, the county treasurer \$10,000 a year and the county judge \$10,000 a year, the surrogate \$10,000 a year and the comptroller will probably be paid \$10,000 a year. The district attorney is paid \$8,500 a year. You will observe that the county clerk receives more than the district attorney. He receives the same compensation as the judge of the court of which he is the clerk. He receives a considerably larger salary than his superior officer, the Secretary of State. As far as salary goes he is on a par with the Governor. The county treasurer, who is merely a custodian of funds, also receives the same salary as the Governor, who is his superior officer. Going down the list of subordinates in similar ratio we ought to pay the deputy county clerk or deputy treasurer

\$12,000 or \$15,000 a year, and the assistant clerks in the office \$20,000 each a year. Dividing each amount by ten, perhaps, would be a proper proportion, if services were to be valued according to their merits.

Uniform Highway Administration

One of the greatest needs in the county is a uniform administration of the highways. We need a great extension of our roads, and we need them at a smaller cost. We need to plan the county's roads as an entire system, not as a number of separate and distinct segments, parcelled out here and there as political bargains. There are a good many ways in which roads could be built for a small portion of what they now cost the county and the various communities of the county. The character of the pavements should be studied, and the character of the contracts let for the building of roads should be gone into thoroughly. The question of whether the county ought not to build its own roads with its own employees, rather than let them to private contractors, needs to be studied. Investigation of this nature would probably ramify into the question of unemployed labor within the county's borders. Indeed, various important questions of an industrial nature ought to be studied in mapping out a competent scheme of county government.

One of the greatest needs of the community is the reduction of the cost of administration of justice and the expedition of the trial of causes and the delivery of judgment. The drafting of jurors, the granting of exemptions, the question of repetition of services and fees and all the multitudinous expenses of court services which seem to the layman exorbitant and useless need to be studied and methods devised of freeing the poor man from as much of this burden as possible.

A Scientific Budget

A paramount necessity, which surrounds and comprehends all the rest is scientific construction and adoption of a proper budget to provide for the service of these needs in economical and yet satisfactory manner. The county of Westchester has adopted recently the budget plan and system of county accounts outlined by the State Comptroller, but it has not gone any further that that. Although it has made great improvements, there are many steps in advance yet for the county of Westchester to take in this regard. Before the budget is adopted the board of supervisors owes it to the people of Westchester county to hold budget hearings and to permit the people to express their views as to the propriety of various budgetary provisions; and the board of supervisors owes to the people of Westchester county to be guided thereby.

We have just created in Westchester county the office of county comptroller and have elected to that office a candidate whose qualifications, so far as we know them, make us anticipate excellent service in that office. It remains to be seen whether our anticipations are to be realized. The duties of this officer are probably known to all the people attending this convention. He is the county's chief fiscal officer, and he has power to examine all the accounts of all the various county departments and officials and to prescribe the system upon which they shall be kept. He has to examine payrolls and determine what amounts shall be recommended to the board of supervisors, to be allowed by them as compensation to salaried officials. He has similar powers over county claims. It is his duty to dispose of the county's marketable securities. He is not an auditor in the sense that his determination of the amounts to be allowed is final; that power rests with the board of supervisors. He is an auditor in the sense that he can make an audit of the accounts of any county department, and in that sense only.

The county comptroller would be a vastly more useful officer if he had the power to audit the accounts of towns, villages and other municipalities within his county, and if his office were given the power of final audit over all county disbursements we should then not have to wait ten years or twenty or may be a hundred for the State Comptroller's fifteen men to get around our individual municipalities to examine and clear up their accounts.

The Need for State Control

It should rest with the State to see that the counties were all uniform in methods, records, accounts, reports, procedure, etc., and it would rest with the county authorities to see that the towns within the county had such uniformity and carried it out. With the power to enforce uniformity must go also the power of examination, the right of access at all times to records and data of any nature that pertain to the administration of the local public officers.

You may ask here, why cannot the State Comptroller's men satisfy this need of auditing the finances of our municipalities?

One reason is because, however expert and however diligent, they are not superhuman and there are only fifteen of them. There are over one thousand municipalities in the State whose finances they now have the power to audit. It would take fifteen men working at high pressure from six to ten years to cover these 1,000 municipalities once. Of course, the little towns are the ones that have to wait ten years, some of them perhaps more. So you see that even though the Comptroller has provided himself with a body of competent and able experts, the limited number which the law permits him to employ cannot by any means satisfy the public needs in this respect.

You may say, then let us increase the Comptroller's force so that every municipality may have at least an annual audit. That would be very desirable, but it would cost upwards of a half million dollars a year to supply such a force. Nevertheless, in spite of that enormous cost, real annual examinations would doubtless be well worth the money. But why should the State pay for it?

A plan to induce the Legislature to create 150 or more new positions of municipal examiners and to appropriate from \$3,000 to \$5,000 of State money to secure a competent man in each position might not be impossible of realization. But why shouldn't your town or your village attend to watching its own finances and its own bills? Why should the State be asked to do more than to prescribe uniformity and system, and see that such are maintained and enforced through the medium of centralized county authorities, such as I have indicated, clothed with the proper powers?

One service with which every community must eventually be provided is some means of exercising a simple ballot, an honest ballot and a full ballot on election days. As it is now, the total vote of the county is ordinarily about one-sixth the population, and in local village elections, it is frequently as low as one-thirtieth or one-fortieth of the population. The great need in this respect is to find a way in which the franchise may be put into the hands of those who know how to exercise it properly without distinction of sex, race or social classification, and that means shall be improved for voting secretly and according to the dictates of the voter's own reason and conscience.

When we finally make up our mind, after studying all these needs and more, what sort of a plan we approve for governing the

subdivisions of the State (including county, town, village, smaller cities, school districts, etc.) we shall probably find that constitutional barriers as they now stand will greatly interfere with the erection of very satisfactory models for such government.

The Short Ballot for Counties

In the bill that was proposed last year by the New York Short Ballot Organization the attempt was made to adapt the revised scheme of county government to the provisions of the present Constitution of New York State. It was necessary to retain all the constitutional officers and to provide for their election as the Constitution required. These constitutional officers were the judicial officers of the county, the board of supervisors, a sheriff, a county clerk, a district attorney, and where the voters so elect, a register. There is grave doubt as to the wisdom of electing all these officials. I fail to perceive, for example, the necessity of electing a sheriff by popular ballot. I think the office of sheriff is going out in time. The coroner is surely going to be abolished very soon, and the sheriff will shortly afterwards follow him. New York city will probably be the first to get rid of its sheriffs. It will retain its police. I doubt very much if New York city would think it in any measure the part of wisdom to elect its police commissioners by popular ballot, or its fire chief, or its commissioner of correction, or any of the heads of the big departments now appointed by the mayor. There is no argument for any real logical tenability, that I have seen, as to why the sheriff should not be appointed to his office either by the county commission (in a commission government county) or by the chief executive officer of such a county (a county manager) in much the same manner as the police commissioner is appointed in a large city by the mayor.

It is quite possible that a wisely organized system of either State police or county police would obviate the necessity of having a sheriff. Except for court duties, there appears to be nothing that the sheriff does which could not be attended to with much greater efficiency than he now shows in such duties by a State police or State constabulary, whichever term may be more acceptable. The chief objection that I have heard to the State constabulary is the objection of labor unions, who seem to feel that such a force is a powerful agent of the devil placed in the hands of the capitalists for the suppression of the laboring man. In other words, because the state constabulary of Pennsylvania very

ably and very efficiently quelled labor riots in that state, the labor unions will have none of them. The fact that the state police there were impartial and protected the rights of the laborers themselves as well as the capitalists, seems to have very little effect upon the minds of the unions. Of course it would be necessary in studying this question to examine into the validity of these objections to sustain any just claims of the labor unions and to reveal clearly wherein their objections are without foundation.

The relation of the sheriff's functions to electoral control, and the weighing of such functions in the balance with those of a State police or a State police with county control is a very important matter to be considered in the reform of county government.

I see no objection, either, to the appointment, instead of the election by popular ballot, of the county clerk, the county register, the county treasurer, the county comptroller or county auditor, where an auditor is chosen instead of a comptroller. Under a small board of directors with a county manager these and other officers could all be appointed by the manager, who should be empowered to exercise great freedom in searching any part of the country to obtain the most desirable men, who should select the best that he can obtain, who should promptly get rid of the incompetent and inefficient, and who should himself depend for his tenure of office upon the ability with which he conducts the management of the county.

Subdivisions of the County

There is undoubtedly a great deal to be studied as yet regarding the relation of county government to township, school district, village and city government. We have been studying county government detached, county government as a separate entity. Of course, in any process of analysis it is necessary and wise and scientific to detach from the various compounds in which it is found the element under examination. Following that scientific principle, many of the members of this Conference have been trying to detach the county as such from the town, the State, the educational departments, etc.—to precipitate it out of the mixture, as it were, by applying various reagent principles. It seems to me, however, that when the country is thus separated, we have left out of the problem many important elements; we have put out of sight many of the most important relations which the county sustains. If we then build up a county government without these

before us, while we can construct one that is simple, scientific and direct — a perfect model — it will, when applied, lack many of the essentials to adapt it to the other local governments with whose functions the county is closely intermingled.

In any sweeping reform, therefore, we must consider all the elements concerned. We must study the township and its relation to the county in the matter of the levy and collection of taxes, in the matter of equalization of assessed valuations, in the matter of an auditing control of township finances, township expenditures, township indebtedness, etc.; in the matter of the registration of instruments for the transfer of property and of the custody and preservation of such records; in the matter of demarcation of jurisdiction as between town and county officials, whether such officials are primarily executive, legislative or judicial.

Studies of the same character and scope must be made in relation to village government and in relation to the various smaller municipal districts, such as schools, water districts, fire districts, sewer districts and a multitude of such smaller corporations.

I doubt very much if county governmental reform can be carried through without reforming the governments below it. I would make as my chief recommendation to this conference that it be urged in the Constitutional Convention to leave the Legislature free to reorganize upon whatever plan may seem wisest the entire scheme of local municipal government.

ADDRESS: "ADMINISTRATION OF COUNTY CHARITIES"

V. Everit Macy, Superintendent of the Poor, Westchester County

The State Poor Law, under which the counties operate, was enacted some 130 years ago and has been amended and interpreted by the courts most every year since it went into force, until it is now a mass of contradictory and unworkable statutes. The more or less uniform rural conditions that existed throughout the counties of the State at the time the law became effective have long since passed and have been succeeded in many counties by most complicated and diverse conditions. It is only by centralizing control and focusing responsibility that either honesty or efficiency can be obtained. Yet, in our county government we

seem to have gone on the theory that the more responsibility was divided, and the more duties overlapped, the more honest and efficient an administration would be.

Multiplying the number of unimportant elective officers results in little good and much waste and confusion. This cannot be better exemplified than by the situation created by the Poor Law in my county. The theory upon which the law is based, that each town in a county shall elect its own poor officials, is destructive to all efficient and intelligent administration under modern conditions in Westchester.

The county superintendent of poor has under his supervision and direction:

- A. The county almshouse and hospitals.
- B. County poor needing relief in the localities in which they live, known as "Outside Relief."
- C. The dependent and delinquent children who have been committed to institutions.

In addition to the superintendent, the law provides for the election of two overseers of the poor and four justices of the peace in each town; the latter, as well as police magistrates and judges, are given the power to commit children to institutions.

In Westchester county there are nineteen towns, twenty-five villages and three cities, thus making over 130 elective officials empowered to deal with the poor. All of these officials except the superintendent of poor, are elected locally for varying and short terms and are responsible to no one.

Division of Responsibility

The law ingeniously divides responsibility so that the superintendent has no power over the admissions to the almshouse or hospitals or of children to institutions but only the negative power of discharge, while the local committing officials have little control after the adult or child is committed. This often results in setting up an endless chain of commitments and discharges, for, as fast as the superintendent discharges an adult or a child the local official may recommit.

The superintendent is on a salary but practically all the overseers are paid on a per diem basis, and the justices of the peace are paid a fee for each commitment. If an overseer issues an order for groceries or signs a commitment, he can collect his two dollars for a day's work. Could ingenuity devise a more absurd and wasteful method of relieving suffering or one where responsibility and control could be more disastrously divided to the injury of the taxpayer and the poor? The superintendent of poor should not be an elective officer but should be appointed without regard to political affiliations. This is still truer of the local overseers. Instead of being elected, they should be deputies of the superintendent and appointed by him.

The Superintendent's Patronage

The patronage of the superintendent is very considerable, as 26 per cent. of the county expenses of Westchester, exclusive of the State tax, passes through his office. The local overseers are frequently subjected to great local pressure, both political and social, to give relief either in the form of supplies or by commitment. If they were not dependent upon the local vote, their actions in each case would be based solely on the merits of the appeal and could be treated in the wisest way without regard to local influences.

The superintendent has no power to retain an inmate if he desires to leave or to punish one for endangering the lives of others. His only course is to discharge the offender and turn him out to prey on the community. As a consequence the winter population increases between 40 and 50 per cent. over the number in the almshouse during the summer. Many men work for eight months in the year for just enough to buy whiskey and shelter, knowing that they will be cared for at public expense during the winter months. In the same way a drunkard or a drug fiend is sent to the almshouse to be straightened up. He receives new clothing and medical care and then goes out when he chooses, only to return again in the same pitiable condition some months later. I have one case where the individual has been committed thirtynine times. There should be some way by which these men could be made to work on the county farm for a few weeks in return for their winter's board; and, where those addicted to alcohol and drugs could be restrained and protected against themselves and their labor contribute something toward the cost of their support.

Who Inhabit the Almshouse?

Those not familiar with the situation naturally think that the almshouse is inhabited by the old and infirm who, through mis-

fortune, are unable to support themselves in their declining years. This is true to only a limited extent, as shown by the age given by each inmate admitted to Westchester county almshouse in the past ten years; 36 per cent. of the males were under 41 years of age and 37 per cent. of the females were under 31 years of age, while over 60 per cent. of both men and women were less than 51 years old. Thus considerable more than a majority of those admitted were still in what should be the prime of life.

Many of these young women were committed to the almshouse for confinement, not infrequently returning the next year for the same cause. These girls are not of the prostitute type but are more or less feeble minded and should be protected by the State. When application is made to the State institutions they cannot be admitted, as these institutions are already overcrowded. The county superintendent has no power to hold them against their wish, so they are discharged into the community to rapidly propagate their kind. From the above it will be seen that nearly 6 per cent. of the female inmates are still of the child-bearing age. Is it any wonder, therefore, that our dependent classes are on the increase?

I realize that this is not a meeting to discuss eugenics, but do not the above figures show that the problem of our poor is not entirely an administrative one and that it is not sufficient merely to relieve the immediate needs of the destitute?

Commitment of Children

The greatest injustice to the individual and injury to the State is now done through the haphazard handling of the cases of the delinquent and destitute children. As I have said, the overseers, justices of the peace, police magistrates and judges can all commit children, and most of these officials have a monetary interest in committing. Few of them have any means of investigating cases before acting and fewer still have any training to fit them to deal wisely with either the destitute or delinquent child. The committing of a child is no light responsibility, as we find in Westchester county that the average duration of institutional care for children committed is two years and the expense to the community \$300.

What is the effect on the children of these two years without a home? Are the parents better citizens by being relieved of their parental duties to support their children? It is safe to say that in Westchester county 20 per cent. of the children committed should not be maintained in institutions at public expense but should be supported, wholly or in part, by their relatives.

The law should provide that one person in each county should either personally, or through deputies, be responsible for all cases of children requiring public care. This would materially reduce the number of children maintained at public expense, encourage paternal responsibility and, above all, place the care of the child in the hands of an experienced person.

Some individuals may object to placing the care of the destitute and delinquent children in the hands of the same person. If this is the case then a children's court for the county should be established to provide for the delinquent, and the destitute cases should all be cared for through the superintendent of poor. This would place all children's cases in the hands of two people instead of the large and indefinite number of elected officials that now exercise this power.

On the 1st of last January, although the maintenance of over 700 children was being provided through the county superintendent, the only record of any child was contained in the bills from the institutions which were rendered for varying periods, except in the case of a few children placed in private homes. The superintendent had no knowledge of when the children were committed or when discharged.

The conditions in Westehester county are so different, owing to its large but scattered population settled in three cities and twenty five villages, that what would there be a wise administrative method might not apply to other counties.

Centralization Needed

There is no doubt that for Westchester, with its population of 320,000, the entire administration of the poor relief should be centered in the hands of a commissioner of charities and correction, with deputies located in different parts of the county. As long as 130 officials continue to share in the responsibility for the poor relief, it is useless to expect anything but a maximum of confusion, and a minimum of efficiency.

Nothing but a complete reconstruction of the Poor Law will enable the counties to intelligently provide for their unfortunate citizens.

In closing I wish to say a few words outside my subject, but on a matter that may be worth your attention. In view of the approaching State Constitutional Convention there is an opportunity for constructive legislative work. As I have tried to show from experience with the State poor laws part of the inefficiency of county administration results from the effort to provide a uniform government for all counties, notwithstanding the widely varying local conditions. It would be impossible to amend the Constitution to suit the particular requirements of each county and, on the other hand, the frequent special legislation now resorted to results in still further complicating the situation.

Would it not be possible to change the State Constitution so that the counties could be classified in some such way as the cities are now classified, as first, second and third class, and then provide several choices in the form of government for each class?

Such a method would enable each county to meet its own requirements and take care of the problems arising from rapid growth without obtaining special legislation and without hampering other counties.

Any changes in the State Constitution should be as broad as possible, leaving the details to be determined later by the Legislature and county authorities.

DISCUSSION

Mr. Childs: Do I understand that if I were in Westchester county and wished to be supported that I would have 130 chances of getting it?

Mr. Brown: Yes. Overseers of the poor and commissioners of charities are supposed to be committing officers of dependents. The other committing officers are for children delinquent. However, we find them committing for dependency. In actual practice you would not have 130 chances, but you would have a good many chances. If you had friends you would have no trouble in getting committed.

Mr. Close (Supervisor from Westchester): As I understand the working of the Poor Law, before you receive any assistance you have to prove that you have resided in the town for a certain length of time. Certainly before you were committed you would have to prove residence; if you could not prove it you would be returned to the town you last came from.

Mr. Brown: As a matter of fact, a person who has not applied for nor received relief in the town in which he is resident may apply for and receive relief in another town in the county. illustrate, I had the case of a man resident in another county in the State who came into Westchester county to work. He became crippled in Westchester county, ran out of funds and has applied for relief in Westchester county. He was committed to the almshouse by the local officials. Such cases, if they have been in the county for less than ten days, are county charges. have him removed, but was informed that that could not be done; we would have to prove that he was poor before he came into the county and was brought there as a poor person. Now, "poor," in that sense, means that he had applied for and received public relief in some other county. It is true that you can be in a county only an hour and receive public relief, but then you are paid for as a county charge. What the gentleman has mentioned is town relief, and we have to distinguish between the town and county poor. If you stay in any town in the county for, I believe, a year you have residence in that town and that town supports you in the almshouse. Your maintenance there is not charged to the county. But if you have no residence in a town you are paid for out of the county funds.

Mr. Winterstein: I believe that the Poor Law, if anything, should have the attention of the Constitutional Convention. I had a very amusing incident in connection with the Poor Law. I questioned a bill for supplies for a poor person living in Amsterdam. I took it up with the commissioner of charities and was informed that this person had not lived in Amsterdam quite a year, but just prior to his going there had been furnished supplies by the city of Schenectady. It came to me then that we can continually furnish a person who has been a resident of an outside city for five years or more, or, in other words, indefinitely, if we supply him one year before he goes to another town.

Adjournment.

27

SECOND SESSION

Saturday Morning, November 14, 1914

ADDRESS: "TAXATION AND COUNTY GOVERNMENT IN NEW YORK STATE "

HENRY J. COOKINHAM, County Attorney, Oneida County

For the purpose of government, which means for purpose of raising and expending money for the advantage of the people, we have in this State and within its counties many units or districts. Many of these districts are included within others and many overlap each other. Each has certain officers and certain powers of local government and support. Consider a typical New York county, my own, containing 2 cities, 26 towns, 19 incorporated villages, 23 special districts and 355 school districts outside of the cities. There are more than 400 bodies, composed of more than 1,200 persons, with power to fix values, to assess for purposes of taxation, and more than 400 collectors of taxes. The county and each one of the other 425 districts mentioned has power to raise money by taxation for its own purposes. Under certain limitations each can raise what it desires and can say by whom and for what the money raised shall be expended.

The tax problem, however, relates rather to the questions, from whom, in what manner this money shall come, than to ques-

tions of expenditures.

It is conceded that moneys raised for the benefit of a district should be raised in that district and that there should be a uniform equitable apportionment of obligation among those benefited. It is the policy of our State to measure this obligation by property owned by the individual and, by recent law, to charge this obligation upon the property rather than upon the individual. This necessitates a determination of values or an assessment as the basis of taxation. It is obvious that no one's proportionate share properly can be determined unless a fair and just valuation is placed upon the property which measures the share. Few tax-payers feel aggrieved if they know they are paying no more than their just proportion of the cost of government.

Duplication of Assessments

In our scheme of assessment lies the basic reason for dissatisfaction.

To fully realize this it is necessary to know just how our assessments are made.

In each school district the trustees have power to assess for school purposes. In most of our special districts the special tax is levied upon the valuations fixed by town assessors. Our cities make their own assessments. Our villages have power to make theirs, and usually do so, though in some instances they take the values as determined by the town assessors. The valuations in each town are determined by the town assessors. The valuations for the purposes of State and county taxes are determined by a so-called board of equalization, consisting of the board of supervisors or persons appointed by it. This so-called equalization consists simply of adding or subtracting certain percentages to or from the total valuation placed upon the property of a town by town assessors. It is, in fact, assessment by towns and amounts to a re-assessment of every piece of property in the town. (This equalization is too often in personam and not in rem.)

A man, therefore, may have property in a school district, in special districts, in a village, in a town. This property may be valued by different bodies with power to assess at two, three or four different values in the same year, and then through county equalization a fifth value be placed thereon.

Inequalities

This method of assessment is radically wrong and results in injustice, unfairness and inequality. If the value of certain property is \$1,500 for the purpose of village taxes it is worth that amount for other taxes. It is ridiculous to have the same piece of property assessed by several different boards of assessors in the same year, often at different values, and different taxes charged upon these different values. Is there any particular object in this multitude of assessments? Does any thinking man believe that he gains anything by employing several different officers to value his property for several different tax purposes in the same year? Does the fact that it is so valued inure to his advantage in any way?

As far as village, city, school and special district assessments are concerned no one but the taxpayer within these units cares whether the assessment is high or low, and he only so long as it is equitable between individuals within the unit. The power in these units to assess, however, means duplication of officials

charged with the same functions, duplication of effort and waste of time and money.

As between towns, however, each town in interested and properly so, in the valuations placed upon property by the town assessors of other towns, because each town has apportioned to it such share of the State, judicial and armory district and county taxes as its value bears to that of the other towns within the county. This is the reason for the undervaluation of most towns. The dread of an increase by county equalization tends to cause town assessors to place value so low that even if increased by equalization the town still will escape some of its fair share and burden.

Equalization a Joke!

Equalization as generally practiced is an abomination, a joke, a cover for deals, for trades, a means of purchase and sale, in its result most unfair and unjust, based on the assumption of accomplished perjury, and in itself the chief cause of the perjury. This condition will exist as long as we continue to allow the valuations to be made by assessors representing less than the whole unit which bears the tax.

If we must have county assessors, which boards of equalization are, let us have the real thing, a real board, elected by the people of the county for long terms and well paid, composed of men presumably expert and skillful, familiar with property and with values, of good judgment and honest purpose, whose sole business shall be on some proper basis to value the property of the unit they represent.

Assessment by this county board could not seriously affect the valuations for town tax purposes, even assuming that whole towns were increased or lowered. It is said that such a board could not examine all the parcels in the county and could not know their values; that a local man only can do this. It is true, perhaps, that a local man does know the property and its value better, but if he keeps the fact concealed, as many of our town assessors do, there would seem to be nothing in the argument that the county assessors might not be able to arrive at and state actual exact values. I would rather that a poorly informed man honestly should endeavor to arrive at an accurate determination than that a knowing one should endeavor not so to arrive; the latter certainly will not arrive, but the former may.

Town assessors, as is well known, usually divide the town into portions, each taking to assess the portion in which he lives. Human nature is such that few men desire to contribute more to the public than their just proportion. There is no doubt but that an assessor will not overvalue his own property; in fact, sometimes, perhaps, he undervalues it a little. If he should chance to do this, then certainly he can not have his neighbors say that he is getting any the best of it, so down come his neighbors' assessment to correspond.

We have, then, possibility of one inequality between individuals in the portion of the town assessed by each assessor arising from poor judgment, local favor or otherwise; possibility of inequality by reason of each of the town assessors using a different basis of valuation for his district; probability of inequality between towns by reason of the apportionment of large amounts of taxes by towns and the hazard of equalization, and probability of further inequality by reason of improper and unfair equalization.

How to Eliminate Inequalities of Assessment

The chance of inequality between individuals cannot be avoided, but can be lessened by removing the assessment from local influences, fear, favor, affection, prejudice or hope of reward. The chance of inequality between portions of the same town can be avoided by removing local divisions and influences. The certainty of inequality between towns can be removed by not assessing by towns. The chance of inequality by reason of the influences affecting the usual equalization between towns can be removed in the same manner by not assessing by towns, of course, which dispenses with the necessity for equalization.

Assessment therefor by a county board removes most of the chances of inequality and leaves practically only one, errors in judgment on the part of the person examining the property, and perhaps in some isolated cases a second, personal favor to some individual.

Inequality of assessment is the most difficult tax problem to solve. Exorbitant and extravagant expenditures or misappropriation of public funds affect all taxpayers alike. All rise in protest and solve the problem quickly and with certainty. Inequality of assessment falls on the few, on the individual, and does not therefore raise a general protest. It amounts to a hidden unequal enforcement of the law and its victims can do little.

Assessment by County Board Proposed

Aside from the question of deliberate undervaluation by town assessors, which they themselves admit, there are other reasons for the establishment of a county board. The ministerial duties could be better accomplished. The rolls would be more accurately prepared and would show the necessary valuations for the spreading of the tax. Average men and many men well above the average, as are many of our town assessors, are very prone to mistakes of figures. One must not only have the natural aptitude for figures, but must be continually working with them to be accurate. This is not the condition with town assessors. Hardly a roll can be shown in which there are not mistakes in the transcribing or transposition or addition of figures.

No man can do well without the proper tools with which to work, and in few towns is that most essential and necessary assessors tool, a tax map, furnished. It is little wonder that so many descriptions of parcels are faulty and so many parcels omitted entirely or doubly assessed and taxed. The wonder is that town assessors do as well as they seem to do with no definite fixed or even approximate metes and bounds or measurements to guide them. Now that the law provides that the assessment and levy is against the property and not the person, it is absolutely necessary that parcels be described accurately.

The establishment of a county board of assessors would mean, of necessity, the employment of skillful clerical assistants, the preparation of tax maps and the adoption of systematic methods.

Most discussions upon the subject of assessment to which I have listened have dealt entirely with suggestions for supervision of local assessment and with schemes for re-assessment and review.

These discussions are all based upon the assumptions that few local assessors have any basis or unit of value by which to measure; that their valuations are largely guess work, influenced by obligation to useful friends and neighborly feeling; that they universally undervalue property.

It is conceded by all, even the assessors themselves, that the assessment does not state the actual value. No doubt if one has typhoid it is wise to endeavor to effect a cure, but it is wiser to inoculate against the typhoid in the first place. So with assessments, it is wiser to strike at the root of the trouble, the original assessment, rather than on the correct assumption that the original assessment is erroneous and inequitable, to attempt to cure it

by supervision, or so-called equalization. There is but one way to effect a cure and that is to permit but one assessment, and that by a body representing the largest unit, the county. By the establishment of a county board of assessors clothed with sole power to fix values for all tax purposes and by apportioning the cost of government, all kinds of taxes, upon a single valuation, is the individual relinquishing any of his rights, liberties or privileges? He assuredly is not. He is receiving the benefit of expert judgment and opinion, and the measure of his liability is definitely fixed with greater equity to him and to his neighbor and at a less cost.

Confusion in Classification of Property for Taxation Purposes

To add to our confusion we have laws which charge a tax levied for one purpose on one class of property and for another purpose on another class. State, judicial, district and armory district taxes, general county and town taxes, are charged upon all property, less all exemptions. Taxes raised for highways, except money raised for the maintenance of town roads and of bridges with a span of less than five feet, and taxes for schools are charged upon all property, less all exemptions, except pension exemptions. Taxes raised for the town roads excepted above are charged upon all property outside incorporated villages or cities, less all exemptions, except pension to the town health officer is charged upon all property outside incorporated villages and cities, less all exemptions. There seems to be no particular reason for charging pension property with highway and school taxes only. Why not make its exemption a percentage of the entire tax?

To add further to our confusion certain of these taxes must be raised upon the value of the parcels as assessed by the town assessors, and certain other of these taxes must be raised by apportioning the total sum to be raised for that particular tax among the towns according to their valuation after equalized. In the first class are moneys raised for general town charges, for town highways and the \$50 per mile for maintenance of State and county highways. In the second class are direct State taxes, judicial and armory district taxes, general county charges, county share of the construction of county highways. I call attention to these classifications of property and taxes (and I have not referred to city, village or special district taxes), simply to indicate more clearly the complexity of our tax system.

Under our system the sum to be raised for county purposes is determined by the board of supervisors, for town purposes by the town board, for city, village, school and special district purposes by the appropriate body of the unit.

Direct State, judicial and armory district, town and special district taxes are levied by the board of supervisors on certificate from State, town or special district officers. City, village and school taxes are levied by the appropriate body of those units. There may be some reason in city or village taxes being levied by city or village officers, but there is no reason why school district taxes should not be levied by the board of supervisors the same as special district taxes and at the same time, and collected by the same officers.

There is no time to discuss our district school system, though to any one at all familiar with conditions some of its faults are conspicuous, both from an educational and taxation viewpoint. There are more than 10,500 such school districts in the State, there being from five to thirty or forty in each town. Some districts drawing public money maintain schools for two or three children. The annual expense per pupil varies from \$6.97 to \$67.44. The assessed valuation of some entire districts is as low as \$7,000. In some districts there are not enough persons to fill all the school district offices. There is seldom any progressive system of instruction followed. For years the State Educational Department has been recommending a change.

School Tax System Hopeless

From a taxation standpoint our district school system is hopeless. Its chief fault is obvious; the minuteness of the unit and the remedy clearly is the consolidation of these small units into larger. The great multitude of little districts each with assessing and taxing power, each collecting its taxes and as many taxes in arrear as it desires to levy, calls for a multitude of officers, many of them totally incompetent, and makes it almost impossible for a non-resident taxpayer to keep any accurate account of school taxes or to pay them, no matter how much it may desire to do so. One corporation in the State is assessed in more than 4,500 school districts, and is continually at its wits end in an endeavor to find out how much it owes so that it may pay. Last fall it wrote me sending me a list of more than forty school districts in my county, saying it could not find out what is owed in these districts and

requesting my assistance in ascertaining the amounts so that it

could pay.

Why not consolidate all the districts of a town, create a town board of education and raise school moneys as an item of town tax? This scheme has the endorsement of the State Educational Department and the State Tax Department. This is followed in other States with entire satisfaction; why not in New York?

Our present system is based on the Act of 1795 and is about as appropriate to present day conditions as the Militia Act of 1792, which continued in force until ten years ago and provided that each able bedied man should be constantly provided with a "good rifle, shot pouch and powder horn, twenty balls and one-quarter of a pound of powder," and that "each officer shall be armed with a sword or hanger and spontoon."

The town assessment rolls having been completed by the town assessors and presented to the board of supervisors, and the equalization between towns having been made and the proper officials of towns and special districts having determined the sums to be raised and certified the same to the board of supervisors, the board then is supposed to verify the rolls and prepare tables of values, etc., to apportion certain taxes between towns, to compute necessary ratios for charging the different kinds of taxes upon the property liable therefor and to state on the rolls the amount of each kind of taxes each item of property should pay.

The Need for Trained Assessors

It is absolutely impossible for any one who has not made a careful study of the tax laws and who is not to some degree at least an accountant properly to do this work. I have yet to see a tax roll upon which the taxes are spread in compliance with the statute and upon which the different classes of property are charged with the taxes legally chargeable to them. I have yet to see a tax roll which states the several kinds of taxes separately, as the statute requires. I quote from a report by the State Comptroller's Department:

"Examination of the accounts and fiscal affairs of the several municipalities in the State made by this department * * * shows that in not a single county have taxes been levied and collected in the exact manner prescribed by law."

What a commentary on the administration of the laws of this great State!

All the work of computation, etc., is purely of a ministerial nature, and to be accomplished accurately and quickly requires expert knowledge of the requirements of the law and also skill in mathematics. The board of supervisors as such has neither the legal knowledge required nor the skill, and its members individually either cannot or will not actually do this work. The result is that the board or its members ordinarily employ some one not an officer and under no public obligation or responsibility. This results almost always in an illegal levy against individuals assessed, for the reason that, though the accountants employed to make the computations may do their work well, yet they seldom have any knowledge of the laws applicable and charges are erroneously made. It seems most unwise and improper to require that this purely ministerial work should be done by the board of supervisors. This is the work of expert accountants familiar with the tax laws and should be done by such. It is properly comptroller's work.

So far as I have been able to discover the county comptroller is a fifth wheel, not through any fault of the man in office, but through a legal misconception of the proper functions and duties of the office. True the county comptroller acts as a check upon the board of supervisors in the audit of bills, but this only results in a divided responsibility. Either permit the board of supervisors or the comptroller to audit bills, but not both. Require the comptroller to be the expert accountant of the county, charged with all computations involved in all county fiscal matters, instead of simply an auditor.

The town rolls having been extended and adopted the board of supervisors then attaches its warrant, directing the town collectors to proceed with the collection of the tax. Besides these town collectors it should be remembered that there are also city, village and school district collectors. These town collectors receive a compensation of 1 per cent. from each taxpayer in addition to the tax, if paid within thirty days. If paid to them after thirty days they receive 5 per cent. If the tax is not paid to the collector, on returning his statement to the county treasurer he receives 2 per cent. on the amount unpaid. School collectors receive about the same compensation.

Form of Compensation Promotes Inefficiency

This form of compensation seems devised rather to promote inefficiency and cause the taxpayer annoyance, than otherwise.

Why should a collector make any effort to get in the tax when delay multiplies his gain? Why should a penalty on the tax-payers' misfortune or delay be a premium on the collector's luck or inefficiency? The amount of fees received by the tax collectors of this State is little realized. It is estimated that in the up-State counties the school tax collectors alone receive upwards of \$600,000 per year. We have twenty-five or thirty school tax collectors in a single town. Why cannot a single collector in almost any town collect all kinds of taxes due in that town? Why should all these collectors be elected? Very often men are elected who not only cannot write a legible receipt, but sometimes who cannot even obtain a bondsman. I know a case in which a town elected a certain town collector, and the man so elected could find no one in the town, not even the man who nominated him, to go on his bond.

In few counties does the taxpayer receive any statement of the amount due or any receipt that gives him any idea of what it is all about. He does not know how much of the sum he pays is town tax or how much county or State tax. He receives nothing to give him any intelligent idea of the detail. All he receives is a meaningless receipt labeled "County Tax, 1914," for so much money. It is often undated and usually contains no description by which the property taxed can be identified. Though labeled "County Tax," as a fact the county tax usually is less than onehalf of the amount he pays. It is a constant wonder that the taxpayer meekly will accept this receipt and, year after year, pay his "County Tax" without the slightest idea of what he is paying. Except in matters of taxation, it is not our general custom to pay bills for "goods sold" without knowing the items thereof.

On the adoption of the tax rolls by the board of supervisors, the rolls should be turned over to the county treasurer, who should send to every taxpayer a detailed statement describing the property assessed, stating the amount of the assessment, the amount of each kind of tax charged upon it and when and where it is payable.

County Treasurer as Receiver of Taxes

The county treasurer should be the receiver of all taxes payable within the county. He should appoint deputies to sit in various places through the county and receive the taxes. All moneys collected should pass through his office, and his receipt should clear a taxpayer of all taxes of all kinds for the year. The moneys

collected should be paid over by the treasurer to the proper districts.

Sales for unpaid taxes should be had by the county treasurer and by him alone, not for any particular kind of tax, but for so much gross taxes in arrears, without regard to the nature of the tax. This would avoid the uncertainty of title acquired through separate sales for different kinds of taxes and would tend to strengthen tax titles, now weaker than water, and save the tax districts harmless through free bidding.

Our present system allows three assessments of property during the year, three levies, three times of collection and payment to three different collectors.

This system has demonstrated the impossibility of efficient and economical administration.

Following these suggestions for betterment, we would have a single assessment made by representatives elected from the largest unit involved, the county, a determination of the necessary sum to be raised in each unit by the proper officers of that unit, as now, a certification of these sums to the board of supervisors as representing the largest unit, a single levy of all these sums by the board, all computations, etc., all ministerial work performed by a responsible official expert, a detailed statement rendered each taxpayer, a single receiver of all taxes, a single receipt therefor and a single sale for taxes in arrears.

These ideas are not new nor untried. Many States have for

years worked practically along the lines suggested.

Our customs and ways are ancient, crude, inefficient and extravagant. Let them go to an honorable grave.

Let us follow the example, the successful systems established by our younger sisters in the west—one assessment, one levy, one collection.

ADDRESS: "THE COUNTY AUDITOR"

GEO. S. Buck, Auditor, Erie County

The work of auditing claims against the county is provided for in three ways by the general laws of the State of New York: First, by the board of supervisors; second, by auditors appointed by the boards, and third, by comptrollers elected from the county at large.*

^{*} In the County of Erie the auditor is elected at large and his powers and duties are derived from a series of special acts, part of which are of earlier origin than the general act providing for county auditors.

It is noticeable in reading the statutory provisions that all powers of auditors or comptrollers are only a partial delegation of powers of the board of supervisors. In other municipal governments it is usual for the auditor and comptroller to be supreme in their field, but in New York State counties the auditor is little more than a clerk and the comptroller has but a partial veto over the final power of audit which rests with the supervisors. The tendency of legislation has been to leave the real authority with them. I propose to present the auditor's side and show the facts that have come within my observation in the hope that you will feel that a county comptroller or an auditor is able to be of more use if given the same chance as in other municipal governments. But before going into this matter further, let us examine more in detail the statutory provisions.

The general County Law (chap. 21 of the Consolidated Laws, art. 3, § 12, par. 2) gives the boards of supervisors the right to audit all accounts and charges against the county, and later (§ 24) provides that no account shall be paid unless itemized and accompanied by an affidavit that the items are correct, that the disbursements and services charged have been in fact made or rendered and that no part of the claim has been paid or satisfied. The boards of supervisors may make such additional regulations and requirements (§ 25) concerning the keeping and rendering of official accounts and reports of its county officers and the presentation and auditing of bills as they may deem necessary for the efficiency of the service and the protection of the interests of the

public.

Chapter 152 of the Laws of 1910 authorizes the board of supervisors throughout the State to appoint a county auditor, to fix his term of office and salary and also to direct him to act as the county purchasing agent. The act then provides that the auditor shall pass upon all bills of expenses of the various county offices and departments, and when so audited they shall have the same force and effect as if audited by the board of supervisors and shall be paid by the county treasurer upon the certificate of such auditor in the same manner. The board of supervisors has the power to prescribe the form and manner of presentation of bills to the auditor, the method of keeping a record of such presentation and the action of the auditor thereon. This act was subsequently amended by chapter 384 of the Laws of 1913, which gave the boards of supervisors which had appointed auditors the

right to exclude from their jurisdiction classes of accounts which any such boards might select. These acts further provide: "In case of refusal or neglect of such auditor or auditors to audit any bill presented for audit for the full amount claimed, the claimant shall be unprejudiced by such refusal or neglect and shall have the right to present the same to the board of supervisors for audit." This means that the auditor is little more than a clerk, for if he cuts an account an appeal will at once be taken to the board from his decision. The auditor therefore has no real power unless backed by a board which is willing to give him a free hand.

County Law on the Comptroller

The general County Law (§§ 234–239a) provides that by a referendum the people of any county may decide to have a comptroller, to be elected by the people. His duties include that of auditing claims, and in case he rejects or modifies a claim it takes a two-thirds vote of the elected members of the board to over-ride his veto.

In Erie county the office of auditor is regulated by a special act which copies from the general County Law all the duties of audit laid upon a comptroller, and adds the general duties of a comptroller plus the obligation to keep a check over the inventories of all departments and to "superintend the financial affairs of the county of Erie pursuant to law and the resolutions of the board of supervisors."

I am told that Nassau county has a comptroller and that Oneida and Schenectady have auditors. In the rest of the counties the auditing is done by a committee of the board of supervisors, and the necessary bookkeeping is attended to by the clerks of the board.

For eight years I was a member of the Erie county board of supervisors and for nearly three have been the county auditor. From talks with expert accountants who are familiar with county affairs throughout the State and from my own personal experience, I shall try to point out how these provisions of law work in practice.

Failure of Committee System of Audit

In rural counties, when the auditing is done by committees of supervisors, aided perhaps by the clerk of the board, there is a general lack of knowledge of accounting, and the looseness of methods are such that there is large room for waste. The supervisors are frequently keen men and can handle a single item of expenditure pretty well, but they are so lacking in knowledge of the methods by which the facts of the business they are handling should be collected and presented that their judgment has no chance to be applied to the work in hand.

No doubt you are raising the question in your minds whether an auditor cannot just as well be appointed as elected. depends upon several factors. In the first place, it is not feasible to have the same kind of organization in a small rural county as in a large urban one. In the smaller rural counties it would be best to appoint the auditor. He could not be paid much of a salary, and for a limited amount of money a better man can be found by appointment as a general rule than can be secured by election, because the capable man will not waste his time over an election. The auditor thus appointed should hold office for a definite term, say four years, with powers to approve or reject claims without an appeal to the board from his decision. Let the courts have the power to review his decision, as they now review the decision of the board of supervisors. It is only fair to the auditor to give him some real power. He must assume responsibilty for his acts in any event, and where a board both appoints him and may repeal any decision he may make, he must in his work carry out what he knows to be the idea of the board, although the board may afterward say that what they did was on his recommendation and that he, not they, was to blame for some audit open to criticism.

I believe that all auditors should have the power to act on claims with no appeal from their decision except to the courts.

More Power Needed by Auditors

It is a difficult matter many times to get a line on prices. If I call up some merchant and say the Erie county auditor wants to know the market price for his goods at a certain time, he is at once afraid that some dealer in the same line will criticize him for not standing by the trade, with the result that it is impossible to get anywhere near the real prices. It is necessary to resort to all kinds of subterfuges to try to get at them. Sometimes a dealer will give the actual prices, but upon the condition that the information is confidential and not to be used in public. Information of that kind is of little value, because when an appeal is taken from my decision I must have facts to give the claims committee

of the board. I cannot give them confidential information. They must know who says that the price is unfair. As the committee and the board are always far more liberal than I, it is discouraging to fight claims before them. I may know what is fair, but to prove to the satisfaction of a committee that my decision is a just one is difficult and often impossible.

Let me point out right here that a good purchasing agent can lighten wonderfully the work of the auditor. As auditor I cannot get a line on prices, but if I can say that I want to make a purchase there is not the least difficulty in getting a quotation. A good purchasing agent can reduce the work of the auditor in all supply items to the simple task of checking bills against contract prices on file in his office.

Let us return from this digression to consider the factors on which rest the decision of whether to appoint or elect the auditor. The larger urban county presents a more complex problem. There is more need to provide for checks and inspection than in a smaller rural community, where everybody knows what everybody else is doing. Therefore in large urban counties I should favor the election at large of a comptroller because of his value as a check on the accounting of all departments and the buying and receiving of supplies. Perhaps this general opinion of the usefulness of comptrollers can best be illustrated by some personal experience.

How Stealing Was Stopped in Erie County

The enlargement of the powers of the Erie county auditor to those of a comptroller arose from stealing, which occurred in the treasurer's office. A firm of expert accountants were employed to go over his books and to make recommendations for the general improvement of the accounting methods of the county. This firm pointed out that there was no check over the treasurer except annual examinations, and that this was too long a time for so important an office without some outside oversight. Let me say by way of explanation that where the duties of a comptroller and treasurer are properly divided the former is the keeper or comptroller of the books, while the treasurer is a cashier with only such accounts as are necessary for those duties. At the beginning of the fiscal year the comptroller delivers to the treasurer the tax rolls and charges him with the total tax levy. At the end of the fiscal year the treasurer must account to the comptroller for enough cash and unpaid taxes to equal the amount on the rolls

with which he is charged at the beginning. This firm of expert accountants recommended that somewhere the power of a comptroller should be given to some official who should be responsible for the general control of all county accounts. They recommended forms to be followed by all departments. It soon became evident to the finance committee of the Erie county board of supervisors that it was useless to recommend the installation of new accounting methods unless there was some one on the job to see that they were followed. Hence it secured the passage of the necessary amendment of the auditors' act.

Inspectors for Quality and Quantity of County Supplies

Soon after taking office it seemed to me that an auditor who sat at his desk and accepted the certification of department heads as to what and how much was received in supplies, took a lot for granted. So I persuaded the board to let me have an inspector to visit the various institutions and check over deliveries, articles proposed to be sold, repair jobs and inventories. As soon as he was set to work it was shown that the stockroom methods were so poor that no checking of supplies with certainty was possible. There was not a stock ledger in the whole county. The installation of up-to-date methods in the storerooms became a necessity that the inspector might do his work. It was also quickly shown that the specifications on which supplies were bought demanded more careful drafting, else it was impossible to determine whether the county was receiving what it had contracted to buy. There are several reasons which make the presence of an outside inspector valuable. From what was just said, it is seen that careless methods must be changed or he cannot do his work and the new methods are not allowed to relax. His calls are a spur to the negligent and a source of help to the diligent and faithful. Such an employee may not want to complain himself for fear of incurring the displeasure of his superior, but if there is something which ought to be corrected it is more than likely that a way will be found to bring the matter to the notice of the inspector.

Now, let me give you an example of what may be done by improved methods of store keeping and inspection under the right kind of men. The Erie County Home and Hospital is an institution with a population of between eight and nine hundred during the period in question. A stock ledger was installed to show a perpetual balance of supplies on hand, and with columns so

arranged that variations in the demands of departments were easy to detect. Nothing was issued except upon written requisitions signed by the department calling for supplies. Inspection of deliveries could be made and checked by aid of the daily balance plus the delivery in question, less the requisitions on file. An analysis of the results achieved in five articles alone shows that if the old method had remained in use the county would have paid for meats, butter, eggs, coffee and tea in a single year \$5,139.56 more than it did. An exact determination of the showing in all lines has not been made, as it would mean a lot of work, due to imperfect methods in the past, but I believe the total saving for one year would be not less than \$17,000.

The Auditor and the Budget

The auditor should lend his aid to the preparation of the budget. A budget properly prepared is of the greatest value to the supervisors and to the public. It is educative because the functions of each department must be explained. It is a check on extravagance because increases must be justified. The public is not interested in questions of finance as a rule, but it has an active interest in how its money is to be spent, and this interest will grow if the public find that the activities of departments are controlled by their appropriations in the budget. For these reasons it is important that departments be compelled to make true estimates of their needs and to live within their estimates. A comptroller who will insist upon a budget which is easy to understand, which makes ready comparisons between years, which is out in time for public inspection and discussion, and who will work to keep departments down to their original appropriations, such a comptroller is doing a valuable work and ought to be pretty independent if results are to be expected from him.

All municipalities have difficulty in keeping departments within their appropriations. Some issue deficiency bonds to take care of overdrafts. In our county a contingent fund is always provided in the budget for such emergencies, but it is too easy to fail to anticipate a need and then ask for help from the contingent fund. I am going to ask our finance committee in the future to add to the appropriation for each department the total advances in the preceding year from the contingent fund. This will call attention at budget making time to what it actually costs to run a de-

partment, and I think will be more effective than any number of resolutions directing departments not to overdraw their appropriations.

Should Supervisors Appoint Auditor

Now, let us suppose counties to be managed by a board of three supervisors elected at large, with power to appoint all the other The auditor in small executive and administrative officials. counties and the comptroller in large ones should be a check upon all departments through audit of claims, examination of books, inspection of supplies, inventories and preparations and allowance of the budget. The appointees of the board of supervisors will reflect the wishes of those who appointed them. It will be done consciously and unconsciously. It is simply inevitable. The inspector, whose orders come from a chief who looks to the same chief as the buyer, will not be so critical of the work of the buyer, because he will feel that what the buyer has done must reflect the wishes of those higher up. Of still more importance will this tendency be when the control and checking of all financial operations is centered under one general management. For the comptroller's department to criticize the treasurer's department would be unthinkable because no administration would furnish political capital against itself. To summarize the whole situation, the comptroller stands in the position of a censor of all other departments, and to make him an appointee of the board of supervisors would rob him of his independence and his chief usefulness. It may be that in case of county government by a small board, if the county treasurer were appointed by the board as a whole and one of its members was made responsible for the comptroller's department that the value of that official would not be impaired, because he would be individually responsible to the public for a distinct class of duties.

Short ballot government is coming. The tide is running that way in the State, in the cities and in the counties, but it remains to be decided how short the ballot shall be. I earnestly hope that some facts have been presented to create the opinion that where there is need of a county comptroller it is most important to safeguard his independence.

Mr. Buck added:

I believe it likely that this coming winter Erie county will be in the Legislature with an act asking an appropriation to create a board of assessors for Erie county.

DISCUSSION

Mr. Cartwright: What is the total cost of the auditors' office, including assistants, in Erie county?

Mr. Buck: About \$10,000. We have saved the county any number of times that amount.

Mr. Cartwright: Another question. When you speak of an application to the Legislature for a county board of assessors, will that be a separate movement from the effort of the county to get a new commission?

Mr. Buck: Yes, the situation in Erie county is peculiar. Four-fifths of the population is in Buffalo, yet the board of supervisors is equally divided, twenty-seven from towns and twenty-seven from the city of Buffalo. The supervisors from the towns in Erie county will be strongly opposed to a small board of supervisors because of their peculiar position.

Mr. Gilbertson: I would like to ask whether or not the interests of the county would not be furthered by an extension of the powers and facilities of the State Comptroller, so that there would be a closer and more frequent audit. Of course, I realize that an audit of that kind would be purely secondary and would come perhaps in some cases after the horse had been let out of the barn. At the same time, would not such an audit by its reflex influence have pretty much the same effect as having an independently elected auditor—of course retaining the local auditor simply as a check against disbursements from the treasury.

Mr. Buck: I don't think it would because these examinations come at rather long intervals, or even at shorter intervals. One of the duties of the comptroller in Erie county is to keep close watch over the treasurer; the treasurer might walk off with several hundred thousand dollars and it would not be known until the next examination.

Mr. Gilbertson: You misunderstand my point. I would retain the local auditor as a check against the treasurer and then the State Comptroller's office would be a check against the whole county government and would obviate the need of having a county auditor elected.

Mr. Buck: I think it would be valuable to have an outside inspection of supplies from time to time. The effect in our county is beneficial. As an instance, when we called for pure vanilla, we received what on analysis proved to be an imitation. We rejected it and the dealers were going to get us into court. The next

analysis showed that the delivery was pure vanilla, but it was in a bottle marked the same as the imitation. They thought we would reject on looks instead of examination.

Mr. Smith: Is this inspector appointed by you?

Mr. Buck: I was given the power specially by the Erie county board of supervisors to authorize and create any position in my judgment necessary.

Mr. Smith: How many do you have?

Mr. Buck: Four; one inspector and three bookkeepers.

Mr. Smith: What are their salaries?

Mr. Buck: Three at \$1,200 and one at \$2,000.

Mr. MacMillan: Have you been able to effect any saving in the tax rate?

Mr. Buck: Of course, the tax rate would have been so much more in these circumstances if the work had not been done. Of course when you take an expenditure of \$1,500,000 a saving of \$10,000 or \$15,000 does not make very much of a dent.

Mr. MacMillan: Of course we don't advocate letting things go. Should you sometimes have to spend three times what you save the benefit reaped in the future is often a great deal more than it is at the present time. As I have listened to the descriptions of conditions in other counties in this State, I don't hesitate to say that conditions in Erie county are better than in these other counties.

Mr. Buck: If we had not had a county auditor we would have been painting buildings at more than the buildings cost. I have no idea what a bad state we would be in if we had not had an office like this. My predecessor in office was a peculiar individual in the way he conducted matters. He was sent to State prison.

Mr. Cartwright: Mr. Buck is modest in his statement in regard to the saving in Eric county. Recently I was talking with a prominent attorney who stated that the office of auditor had been worth at least \$100,000 a year in keeping expenses down.

ADDRESS: "THE COUNTY JUDICIARY"

Herbert Harley, Secretary, American Judicature Society

I cannot find that anybody in this country has ever talked or written about county courts, so I shall claim the exemptions of the pioneer, concerning whom we may say not that he has done something remarkable, but that it is remarkable that, under all the circumstances, he has been able to do anything at all.

The English tradition, which is the source of our jurisprudence, affords little assistance. Back in the formative period courts were highly centralized, the condition being an outgrowth of the original conception of the sovereign as the fountain head of justice. Whatever the inconvenience, suitors had to go to the centralized tribunals, or in other words, had to "go to court."

When American institutions were being evolved, the need for localized tribunals was insistent because the distances were great and the population sparse. So our forefathers went to the opposite extreme. They provided every township with a court. This local court was a rough and ready approximation to the needs of the times. Its characteristics were substantially these:

Local Justice Administered by Laymen

1. The magistrate need not be a lawyer. This was guaranty that there would be no lack of eligible candidates for the office in even the most primitive community. It was also in accord with the current prejudice against social orders and class distinctions. The sweep of events was in the hands of the Puritan, whose distrust of the academic was only equaled by his inexperience with it. Still somewhat dizzy over the declaration that all men are created free and equal, the new democratic society was tyrannous in its treatment of experts.

2. The magistrate was elected. He drew his authority from the general reservoir of political authority through a pipe line that was all his own. He was answerable to all of the people in

general but to none of them in particular.

3. No higher judicial officer was made responsible for the official conduct of the magistrate, so he lacked the direction and assistance which would have been his if he were part of a coordinated system. Because supervising authority was lacking there grew up a body of legislated rules. These rules were law, but in accordance with American political doctrine, no person was made expressly responsible for their enforcement. They were to be enforced in the general way, by litigation in the courts.

4. No salary was provided, but the magistrate was given letters of marque, as it were, commissioned to go a-privateering on the seas of litigation and take his pay from whomsoever he could collect it. This had the effect of making the administration of

justice locally appear inexpensive and in turn this exemption from the budget helped to shield the magistrate from public criticism or supervision.

The office of magistrate was not attractive. It carried no dignity. There was no pretense that the local court was qualified to make fine legal distinctions or to exhibit great sensitiveness to the rights of litigants, but to offset this shortcoming disappointed suitors were permitted to have their causes retried subsequently in the next higher court. There was ample precedent in Massachusetts at least for the idea that an issue was not settled until it had been formally tried two or even three times. In a hierarchy of courts the tribunal at the bottom has little chance for dignity or respect.

This localized lay judge of limited jurisdiction, under the name of justice of the peace, became the type of inferior judicial officer for practically all of the states. Our forefathers could not have been expected to fill the obvious need any better than they did. The demand was for a magistracy that was primitively simple, inexpensive in appearance at least, and thoroughly decentralized. The justice of the peace in typical form was the natural outgrowth of the conditions. As a local peace officer, empowered to try for petty offenses, and to examine and hold for trial persons accused of felony, the justice of the peace filled the bill fairly well. As a court for determining civil rights he was quite generally a failure, but he was the best that could be had in pioneer times.

The Passing of Pioneer Conditions

But a century has passed. We have no pioneer country. In place of scattered settlements in the woods we have a rural population in villages and on farms united by trolley lines, telephones, automobile roads, free postal delivery and daily papers. Every factor of social and industrial life has changed. But the justice of the peace remains, still consistent to the theory that he need not know the law, still commissioned to collect his own salary, still under little supervision. Is it any wonder, then, that almost every reference to the office is one of contemptuous and cynical humor; for what we can't cure we turn into a joke.

Desperate needs have caused some inroads upon the domain of the justice of the peace, as exemplified by the introduction of municipal or county court judges in certain states. These innovations have enabled many of the larger county towns to escape the muddled administration of law by justices of the peace, but the greater number of our people are still dependent in all lesser and more immediate legal matters. No plan for the improvement of the administration of justice within the county can overlook the insistent need for providing an expert and responsible magistracy.

As an approach to consideration of the entire problem let us first observe the reason for local courts of limited jurisdiction. Their excuse for existence lies in the economics of administration. A cause involving a small amount cannot stand the cost of trial at a distance from the residence of the parties and witnesses, nor can it afford to await the sittings of a court held at long intervals. To load the cost of trials is eventually to deny justice. Since local courts, exercising part of the judicial power of the State are necessary, it is plausible that the unit of territory should be the county which is the natural unit of State administration. The civil causes which belong in the county court will be found to fall into two classes:

Jurisdiction of County Courts

1. Those involving sums sufficient to warrant trial at the county seat or at some other place within the county where sessions of the county court are regularly held.

2. Those which involve less sums, or originate at points more remote, and must be tried nearer to the homes of the parties. The cost of removing a cause is the cost of moving the parties and all of their witnesses.

Here, then, we have a definite territory and a definite function. There is nothing recondite about the problem of performance. We are but applying short ballot principles by starting with the theorem that for the administration of justice within the county we must make some one person responsible and must intrust to him adequate authority. This implies a county judge in whom is vested the jurisdiction of the county court together with power to direct the actions of all officials serving under him in this court.

As will be seen when I have completed the outline of the county court judge, the office is a conspicuous one, far more so than any county office now discernible. The county — meaning the typical county — is a wieldy district. The burden of proof is assumed by the person who would fill the office otherwise than by election. I foresee no harm in filling the office by appointment provided the

selection is made by a conspicuous elected official who is directly responsible for the administration of justice. The Governor does not fit this definition, for he is only remotely responsible for the

administration of justice.

Of course, candidacy must be limited to lawyers who have been in practice a certain length of time and have lived in the county a reasonable period. Lawyers come to be pretty well known to the voters. The number in the typical county who would be acceptable or who would be attracted to this office would be so small that selection ought not to be very difficult for the voters. The choice would be automatically narrowed down to a few eligibles and a non-partisan ballot could doubtless be relied upon to give a genuine expression of public choice.

Is it possible under an elective system to attract to the public service lawyers who are independent, ambitious, strong willed? In the light of present experience we must admit that it is not possible, except in rare instances, unless some different form of election and tenure is provided. We must remember that the lawyer is an expert who has devoted years to preparation and who virtually throws away his stock in trade when he gives up a elientage to go upon the bench. If election is made very expensive, if terms are short, if re-election depends upon fortuitous circumstances rather than merit and faithful service, then the public will rarely secure the services of the most ambitious and capable men.

So inherently attractive is public service that there are occasional exceptions. But a people who subject their servants to unfair risks commit an immoral act, and in the end suffer dire consequences.

Is Popular Election a Satisfactory Method of Selection

Is there any way of electing judges and removing them by popular vote which affords the incumbent the assurance of a long tenure, of a career, if you please, and of independence, provided

he performs his duties faithfully and intelligently?

Our ordinary plan of popular election contains inconsistent elements. In offices in which long tenure with its resultant expertness is desirable the periodic election is at least three-fourths a mere recall and not more than one-fourth a plan for selection. A form of removal at the polls is essential to an elective system. But when the matters of selection and rejection are closely coupled,

as they ordinarily are in our election system, we present no clear cut question for the voter to pass upon. It is unfair to the incumbent to stimulate the ambition of rivals until the people have passed upon and disapproved of his continued tenure. The incumbent as a candidate should be required to defend himself on his record, not defend against the interested assertions of seekers for his political scalp.

This view can be carried out by providing that after a reasonable term, such as four years, the name of the incumbent shall be submitted to the voters with the question, "Shall he be continued in office? yes or no." If approved his name should not be submitted again for six years, and a second approval should confirm him in office for ten years, or possibly until a retirement age is reached.

If not approved there may be an interim appointment and an election at the next time that county or state officers are chosen.

It is submitted that such a plan would give the electorate opportunity to retire an unfit or unpopular judge, but as the submission would be at stated intervals no odium would attach, as is unavoidable in case of the recall. There being no rival candidates the judge would be confirmed in office unless the voters expressly wished to retire him. This would be tremendously to the benefit of the incumbent and would relieve the public service of the present great waste due to the failure to re-elect judges whose defeat is due not to personal disqualification, but to the accidents of politics. Probably three-fourths of the judges defeated for re-election fail because of some shift in the winds of politics over which they have not the slightest influence.

Assuming, then, that we have made the terms of public service, the salary and tenure reasonably acceptable, so that the most trusted lawyer in the county will courageously abandon his private practice and look forward to a judicial career, we may say that the jurisdiction of his court, if he is to be actually responsible for the administration of justice, should be broadly inclusive as to subject matter.

Make the Jurisdiction Comprehensive

There seems to be no reason why it should not include equitable remedies. It should certainly not leave for commissioners or other magistrates such special proceedings as suits between landlord and tenant. All of the administrative work of the probate court should

be included, and this may be accomplished by making the county judge register in probate, or assistant to the court of general jurisdiction to which probate causes are assigned. This division would rest on the principle that uncontested probate matters are best cared for by a local judge while contested matters deserve as much experience and training as important chancery causes.

It is desired to fix the limit of county court jurisdiction at such a point that one judge will be kept reasonably busy in the county of average population. Accepting the foregoing broad view as to subject matter it appears practical to make this limit \$500 alike

in contract, tort and chancery matters.

As to criminal matters the county court should conduct all preliminary examinations, should possess exclusive jurisdiction in misdemeanor causes and should have concurrent jurisdiction in all but a few of the most serious felonies. This would mean that in the more common kinds of felony the accused would have the option of being tried by the county judge or by the itinerant judge of the court of general jurisdiction.

The jurisdiction should then be broadened still more by permitting the county court to hear and determine issues involving more than \$500 by agreement of both parties. If a single judge could handle all the business thus specified in a county having 40,000 population there would be comparatively few counties in which additional judges would be required.

The county court will be presumed to be in session every day at the county seat where there will be a resident county court clerk. It should also be competent for the county judge to convene his court anywhere in the county and regular sittings should

be scheduled in towns of sufficient importance.

This takes care of the first class of cases, those involving enough money to justify trial at a central point. It is in respect to the economical and efficient trial of the lesser or more remote causes, arising in the villages and on the farms, that speculation begins. These are the causes which historically fall to justices of the peace. There are two plausible ways for taking care of them. One is to have the county judge visit every part of the county as often as is needed, and not less often than once in sixty days, to hear all of these little causes in person. This is done in Canada, where every township has a resident clerk and bailiff and is visited at least once in every sixty days by the county judge, who hears while on circuit civil suits involving not more than

\$100. This appears to be the simplest possible system, but it does not provide for criminal cases, for which an ever present official is needed. Since there must be a local magistrate of some sort to enforce the law criminally, and since we are accustomed to decentralized judicial power in its most extreme form, it would seem better for us to solve the problem by continuing to have local judicial officers of small jurisdiction, both civil and criminal.

How, then, is this magistracy to be different from and better than the present justice of the peace system? We know the faults of the latter, and it should not be difficult to avoid them.

Advantages to Accrue from Proposed Changes

1. We need to make the office more important, more dignified. This is best done by making the district larger. We no longer need a magistrate for every township. There are some townships which can afford sufficient business for such an officer, but on the other hand in some cases four or six townships together will not yield any more business. This leads to the conclusion that the districts should not be uniform in size. The county should be districted arbitrarily to meet such conditions as the distribution of population, the location of centers and facilities for travel. There should be as few districts as is possible just so that every citizen is provided with a court which he can reach in one or two hour's of travel by the most inexpensive method.

Carrying out these views we would create probably from four to ten districts in the various counties. Perhaps six or seven would be an average. In each district there would be a magistrate who would be a part of the county court.

2. We need some form of expert selection. Every such district would have some men peculiarly qualified to become parts of the county court scheme who would hold office and serve the public if the position were tendered them, but who would never actively campaign to get this seemingly insignificant office. By making the selection one by experts, rather than by popular ballot, the office would further be dignified and enhanced. It would be possible for the county judge to select the magistrates on the theory that they are virtually his deputies, but this would subject the judge to a vast deal of importuning which he should be relieved of if possible. A better way would probably be to have the magistrates selected by the county board or commission with the

approval of the county judge. Under such a plan the county judge would virtually select and at the same time escape most of the solicitation of the office-hungry.

- 3. We need to abolish the fee system. The magistrate should have a motive for preventing contested trials, rather than for starting and prolonging them. We must not penalize the magistrate who wants to act as a peacemaker. This means a fixed salary. Not a uniform salary, for in the various districts of a county the work would vary greatly in volume. It is presumed that most magistrates would only devote their spare time to the office and in this way responsible men of affairs could be secured. In some districts a salary of \$100 a year should suffice. In others the work might take all or a substantial part of the official's time, and carry a salary of \$1,000 or more. But probably the typical magistrate would be one receiving from \$200 to \$300 a year.
- 4. We need to obviate the present lack of administrative direction. We must weld these magistrates and the county judge into a single organized body answerable to a single will and so responsible to the public. This is best done by considering the magistrates to be in a sense deputies of the county judge. They are to be an extension of his person reaching out to every homestead in the county. To accomplish this the judge must have power over the magistrate, and this can be granted in several ways:

(a) One way is to permit the county judge to discharge a magistrate at will. Some check could be imposed upon this power.

(b) A second way is to permit the county judge to take into his own hands any cause begun before a magistrate at any time before judgment is rendered.

As to the nature and extent of jurisdiction of the magistrates? What we wish, virtually, is to give to those magistrates who develop genuine ability a large place in adjudicating their neighbors' controversies, and to afford easy relief from the personal limitations of the less capable. It would be unfortunate to have a narrow jurisdiction fixed rigidly, for there is fair presumption that the office would attract more intelligence and disinterestedness than the office of justice of the peace in traditional form. In most localities there is some worthy citizen, a natural leader, who could, under an ideal tenure, render a very high service through conciliatory methods and informal procedure, and he should be given large scope for developing his capacity as judge and peacemaker.

What Jurisdiction for Magistrates?

A reasonable jurisdiction to confer on magistrates would seem to be as follows:

1. All matters within the jurisdiction of justices of the peace, subject, however, to the power of the county judge to take over any cause for his personal hearing and determination. Application for such transfer can be made by either of the parties litigant, by the district magistrate, or the county judge can arbitrarily take any such cause without application.

2. Any cause or matter within the jurisdiction of the county court assigned especially by the county judge to the district magis-

trate.

3. Any cause within the jurisdiction of the county court which

the parties agree shall be heard by the district magistrate.

The machinery of the county court, thus outlined, will be found admirably adapted to the administration of criminal law. It provides a judicial peace officer for every small community and a central court always in session at the county seat where there is a lockup.

District magistrates should have power to issue warrants and conduct preliminary examinations in the same manner as is now commonly done by justices of the peace. They may also be permitted to hear and determine charges involving penalties not exceeding a certain amount, reserving the more important matters for the county judge. If the magistrate is not permitted to preside over a jury, there would be a strong motive for waiver of jury trial as a saving of time and money on the part of the accused. The right to have a jury trial in a criminal cause is a grand thing. Its exercise in every trifling cause would quite block the wheels of justice.

The county judge should be permitted by rule to take over for trial before himself every criminal matter triable by a magistrate. This would make for uniformity of law enforcement throughout the county and would enable the magistrate to transfer the responsibility in certain embarrassing prosecutions to more capable shoulders.

As to the limit of criminal jurisdiction reposed in the county court, it would probably be most expedient to retain the dividing line between felonies and misdemeanors, but to permit trial of all but the most serious felonies by the county judge with the consent of the accused. Nearly all those charged with serious

offenses would then have the option of being tried by the county judge or of being held for trial at the next term of the court of general jurisdiction.

It might be found desirable to impanel a jury in county court regularly once a month. In a few days all jury trials would be disposed of. This would be a great improvement over the custom of utilizing locally the special venire which is wont to bring semi-professional jurors into most cases.

We have now a rough sketch of a county court which fixes responsibility on a conspicuous popular officer and provides him with a corps of local assistants subject to his guidance. It is timely to consider the relationship of such a court to a thoroughly organized State court system.

A Unified Judicial System

It must be presumed that the system is made up of three general judicial departments, namely, the court of appeal, the *nisi* prius court and the county courts. It must be presumed that the entire system will be governed by a council of judges possessing large powers for judicial administration and with respect to creating and amending rules of procedure; also that there will be a chief justice of the State who will be the executive head of the entire establishment.

It will doubtless interest you to learn how short ballot principles apply to the selection of judges. I have already asserted that the Governor is not the ideal appointing officer, because he is not responsible for the due administration of justice. He is directly interested in maintaining a party organization and in forwarding a legislative program, and for one or the other of these duties must subordinate his appointments.

As consistent believers in the short ballot why should we not say that on the State ballot there should be one vote for the executive, one for a representative in each branch of the Legislature and one for the head of the judicial department. If the people so elect the chief justice of a unified and organized State court system, making him the executive officer to see that the judicial machine works efficiently in all of its many branches, they will have an official whom they can hold responsible for the administration of justice. They can at least if they confer upon him power to select judges. If that power is given they will have a short ballot

judiciary; they will have judges appointed by one who is directly responsible for the due administration of justice and who will have the highest possible motive for for making wise selections, because the success of his own administration will depend upon the ability of his associates.

There are some things which the voters as a group cannot do with assured success, and the selection of the best juristic talent from the bar is one of them. If their judges are ever to be democratically representative of the majority of the voters, they must be chosen by some one person whom the voters elect for this definite purpose.

When we speak of appointed judges we ordinarily think of life appointment. But there is no reason for confusing the method of selection with the tenure. The reason we do this is because under the elective system a confused issue is presented to the mind of the voter, who is required at a single stroke to pass upon the proposed recall of the incumbent and at the same time the choice of his successor, if there is to be one.

Expert Selection of Judges

We can have expertly selected judges, as every other civilized country has, and as fourteen of our own states have, without necessarily having life tenure. The appointed judge can be submitted to the electorate and there is reason to believe that if the single, clear-cut issue is presented, whether the incumbent will be continued in office, or retired, there will be a conservative and intelligent answer.

The chief justice exercising the appointing power should hold for a comparatively short term, say four years. In that case he would not be able to make very many appointments, or seriously alter the personnel of the court, without a submission of his name and record to the voters. This would be genuine, not sham, democracy. If we ever give real democracy a trial we will find out whether we like it or not.

Then, to free the appointing power from dependence upon party organization, why not provide that a chief justice defeated for reelection shall have the privilege of remaining in the court, subject to the regular submission after a reasonable period? He would be assigned to work by his successor. Failure to be reelected would mean dissatisfaction with his policy as an executive at the worst, and usually it would be merely the loss of power by the party supporting him.

We can hardly conceive of conferring any power without at the same time providing a check. But there is something better by far than any customary check upon the appointive power of a chief justice. It is to limit selections, or a share of selections, to an eligible list. This eligible list would be a public list containing the names of twice as many judges as there are places in each branch of the court. Names would be placed upon it as vacancies occur, by the judicial council, the governing board of the State judiciary. This would compel the chief justice to select from a list made up in advance of the vacancy by men whose only motive could be that of public loyalty.

The foregoing digression presents very crudely the American Judicature Society's application of short ballot principles to the

judiciary.

There will be in the average state a small proportion of counties too populous to get along with a single county judge. There is experience warranting the belief that with a civil jurisdction extending to \$500 a county judge can serve a population of 40,000. In more populous counties up to 70,000 there should be an associate county judge, like the county judge in all respects save that he shall be subject to administrative control by the latter, and for every 30,000 population over 70,000 there should be an additional county judge. In most states there would be only a few such larger counties, and they could be provided for individually.

A county judge who has an associate could assign supervision of the districts to his colleague, trying the more important causes himself at the county seat; or the two might specialize severally in civil and criminal matters. The division of work should not rest upon any general rule, but wholly upon the discretion of the

county judge.

With two associate judges further specialization is possible. One might have sole charge of the probate department, of juvenile offenders, and of the domestic relations branch, thus affording smaller urban districts the same benefits which large cities may enjoy, and which cannot readily be secured under the existing organization, or lack of it.

Points of Contact Between Higher and Lower Courts

A principal point of contact between the county court division and the rest of the State judicial establishment would arise from the duty of the chief justice of the State to collect and publish full statistics of all divisions and branches of the State court system. The district magistrates would be required to report their business to the county judge as frequently as once a month and not less often the county judge would be required to report for the county to the chief justice.

In most of the states it would probably be preferable to permit the chief justice to delegate his control over county courts to a judicial officer known as presiding justice of the county courts. If the administration of justice in the local courts is to be brought to a highly efficient plane the work of supervision merits the undivided attention of one properly qualified official. Given such a presiding justice it may be presumed that he will spend much of his time in the field, traveling from county to county, encouraging uniformity of practice and checking or stimulating county judges according to their individual needs.

There are other points of contact. The jurisdiction of the county court civilly should be concurrent with the jurisdiction of the nisi prius branch. Abuse of the right to begin causes involving small amounts in the higher court is readily presented by rules concerning costs, as is done in Canada. The plaintiff who does not recover more than \$500 may be required not only to forfeit costs, but to pay costs, at the discretion of the court, to the defeated defendant. The flexibility thus secured will be appreciated by the litigant who believes, whether for cause or not, that the local judge is prejudiced.

A close union of the local and general courts may also be effected through making the county judge *ex officio* master for his county of the circuit court. This dignifies his position and makes the business of the higher court more continuous in the most remote parts of the State.

On the ministerial side there is also close relationship because the clerk of the county court will be the local deputy of the clerk of the entire State court. The district magistrates will keep dockets and will issue process and make reports as deputies of the clerk of the county court.

A definite picture of such a court in action is not available, for we have no example to present. I conceive of it as a court presided over in each county by a judge who has within his limited jurisdiction considerable freedom and authority. I would expect him to be fairly well equipped as a jurist and even better as an administrator. No county officer would be closer to the people and none would perform a more important work.

I conceive of the district magistrate under this ideal system as a substantial citizen who commands the respect of the public. Handling the small cases arising throughout the county, but at some distance from the county seat, there would be, under a simple and flexible procedure, a great opportunity for conciliation. A respected magistrate, such as we could presume as the type, would endeavor to adjust differences arising among his constituency with the least cost to them. Legal problems he could submit to his superior, so that first-class counsel would be free to those contemplating litigation. The litigant, in the hour of his wrath, would be saved from himself. Trials would be inquiries into the truth, not barn-storming dramatics.

Constitutional Changes in New York

Of course, very little change in the structure of the New York judicial establishment is possible without constitutional change. The patchwork system now existing is embalmed in the Constitution of 1894. The distinguished senior Senator of the State of New York took up the challenge when a critic called simplified pleadings nothing more than an old woman coming into court and telling her story. But the New York Constitution is like a garrulous old neighbor, who comes in early in the morning and stays all day and talks. So far as the judicial article is concerned it is absurdly detailed.

In order to develop an efficient machine for administering justice the Legislature should have greater scope. The Constitution should be organic law, and not gossip. Nothing should go into the Constitution which is not absolutely necessary. In the judicial article there should be provision for a court of ultimate appellate jurisdiction, which court should be protected so that the Legislature cannot in the future cut the ground from under it if we are to continue our present universal rule of judicial pre-eminence. But beyond that the Constitution should provide for such inferior tribunals, branches of the unified State court, as the Legislature may create. There should be no mention of county judges, justices of the peace, surrogates and the like specifically. We shall learn from experience and should have our hands free to profit by what we learn. There's little good in a so-called liberty which leaves us no freedom to develop.

ADDRESS: "THE SHERIFF AND A STATE CONSTABU-TARY "

By Ernest Cawcroft, Deputy State Treasurer, Albany

City government is the definite alleged failure of our democratic system. Bryce gave currency to the common conviction of individual thinkers when he made this observation in his American Commonwealth. The American municipal situation needed a man of his independent and dispassionate power of utterance to bestir the civic conscience. Since Bryce penned his chapter on American city government, a series of conferences has initiated measures for improved civic administration throughout the nation. This is especially true in the newer western communities where the profits of existing property do not retard the progress of municipal improvement; and in those older cities, where public disaster, like a conflagration or an earthquake, forces an immediate improvement in local administration as a forerunner to grappling with the problems of reconstruction.

But as a result of this twenty years of discussion and experiment the cities have mapped out a definite program. This program pivots on home rule and centralized administration. Many cities have enjoyed these privileges for ten years past. The privileges of local administration have been exercised with such success that the friends of the cities are now seeking to give these powers definite constitutional sanction. Local privileges have become "rights" in the eyes of some of the municipal advocates and they desire the safeguarding of these "rights" with the same jealousness as the champions of the "walled cities" of England demanded guaranties of their charters from the Crown.

Needs of the County

But perhaps too much emphasis has been given to the "city problem" in comparison with the questions arising in connection with better county government. A city, unlike a county, is on the end of an Associated Press wire; and the evils which a city suffers, no less than what a reformer purposes to do, to, or for, his city, is heralded broadcast. It requires a county the size of New York or London to get its ills and its cures into the public mind.

There is timeliness, then, in the holding of a Better County Government Conference on the eve of the Constitutional Convention.

The city program is defined, and in many respects the city and county problems are alike. All the world has read about the commission form of government which exists in the county known as Greater London; and people, both up-state and down, are willing to give their time to improving the efficiency and ethics of New York county. But this conference should give its attention to the problem of government in the average county in the hope that by giving stimulus and direction to the discussion, definite plans will be produced. I have connected the city and county problem because I think they are interlinked; each sub-division being a mere agency of the State but none the less vital; and because I have promised this paper on my conviction that better county government must come through the same method employed by the cities - namely, by the grant of a fair measure of home rule, and more particularly by the exercise of those home rule powers by centralized and responsible county authority.

Powers of the Sheriff

Thus, I desire to suggest for topical discussion: The sheriff and better county government. I do this because I think that the first step in the better county government movement should be to restore to the office of sheriff its historical prestige and pristine vigor. Thost who are unfamiliar with the historical setting of our State Constitution may surmise from reading section 1 of article 10 of the instrument that the "sheriff" is a latter day creation. "Sheriffs shall be chosen," says section 1, "by the electors of the respective counties, once in every three years and as often as vacancies shall happen. Sheriffs shall hold no other office and be ineligible for the next term after the termination of their office. They may be required by law to renew their security from time to time and, in default of their giving such security; their offices shall be deemed vacant. But the county shall never be responsible for the acts of the sheriff."

But in fact the "sheriff" has a definite history of nine centuries in England. The constitutional proviso gives form and some limitation to the historical growth of the office. As early as Edward, a statute required the sheriff to be a landowner, thereby attesting his interest in the king's realm and providing some measure of security to the victims of his errors or his exactions; even before the days of surety companies. Maitland, the English legal historian, tells us that in the days of his prime importance

the sheriff was the appointee of the king; he was, indeed, the governor of the county, the captain of the forces, the veritable president of the local or county court. He carried out the king's mandate in distant counties, raised the quota of local troops to repel foreign innvasion; and because of the lack of quick communication with the seat of government, he quelled domestic tumult first and reported later. Within those so-called walled cities, which had received a grant akin to home rule powers, from the king, the sheriff was the remaining evidence of royal authority. I can picture in my mind something of the power possessed by the English sheriff in the sixteenth century and the esteem in which he was held. I remember attending a lord mayor's banquet at Bristol, England, a few years ago. Bristol, once the second city of the kingdom, retains today that respect for the prestige of the sheriff that was displayed some centuries ago, when the captain of the forces asked the residents of this then free city to volunteer men and ships to repel the oncoming Spanish armada. sheriff entered the banquet room with the lord mayor; he was garbed in the historical regalia of his office, mace in hand; and his appearance recalled the historical days when, as to certain matters involving domestic peace and defense, he exercised concurrent jurisdiction with the lord mayor. But I appreciate the fact that this merger of executive, judicial and police powers in the English sheriff led to grave abuses. Maitland devotes a chapter to tracing the gradual growth of these abuses; then another to that series of enactments by which it was necessary to limit the power of the sheriff, but which at the sams time diminished the prestige and lessened the usefulness of the office. The disintegration of the sheriff's office must be viewed as an historical and necessary fact; but this tendency, like all reactions, has gone too far and in the growth of a better system of county government the sheriff must be restored to his place as "chief-man," to use the exact and expressive phrase of an English law writer.

Now, we are not deceived by this gold-lace grandeur or by this knowledge of historical power. The sole purpose in making these citations is to give emphasis by contrast to the conclusion that the restoration of the sheriff's prestige promotes the public peace, and renders easier and less expensive the exercise of those functions with which he is now vested and with which he should be endowed

in a larger measure.

Commission Government

I think, then, that the students of county administration are agreed that the movement for a better system should tend in the direction of a commission form of government for counties; city commission government is being followed by county commission administration in the west; and the best city and county government combined is under the commission or "committee" form known as the London County Council, and which has stood the test of governing the largest unit of population in the world, designated as Greater London.

I have here suggested the shape which the county government should take, because I contend that the sheriff should be the center of that form of county commission administration. Our system of county government lacks executive force and cohesion. A people who started out to retain the balance of the three sovereign departments of their government have carried their theory to the extent of limiting in power and weakening in efficiency the local executive agencies of their government. The distinction between a sovereign department and a local agency should be kept in mind, but it has not. The whole effort to gain commission government for cities has been based on recognition of this conclusion.

The same thought must be kept in view in mapping out a better county government system. The sheriff must be made the "chief-man," the captain of the forces, the executive head of the county, in fact and with power.

The sheriff will, of course, continue to keep the county jail; and to exercise that tremendous power involved in receiving an execution from either a reputable or disreputable lawyer, as an officer of the court, and proceeding to sell our property under that mandate. The enormity of the power thereby exercised is so evident, and yet so necessary, that any movement which tends to increase the required prestige and responsibility of a citizen seeking the sheriff's office should be welcomed by property owners. Then the vacuum should be eliminated from our local governmental system; and any step which tends to make the sheriff an executive with real power in administering the affairs of his county, which seeks to vest him with the exercise of all those residuary powers of county government not otherwise conferred on officers of a san a State governmental agency should be commended.

Sheriff and Public Peace

But if we are to vest the sheriff anew with these ancient and enlarged powers as a county executive, his relationship to the machinery of preserving the public peace must be altered. This must be done not only to give him time for the performance of his executive duties, but because of existing system of preserving the public peace is expensive and inefficient. And when I speak of "public peace" I mean not only the absence of tumult, but the literal enforcement of the law as to individuals, without which respect for the community as a whole cannot long continue.

This commonwealth has entered upon certain definite policies on a statewide scale. It is reasonable to assume that by virtue of the additional powers given to certain departments of government by the coming Constitutional Convention that these policies will be broadened rather than diminished. Among other things, the State is pledged to a system of canals and the operation of boats thereon by the last electrical mechanism requiring protection on a state-wide scale; the commonwealth is completing a system of highways having little relationship to county lines, which is the key to the sheriff's particular jurisdiction and leading to uniform legislation controlling the operation of vehicles and their safety devices; uniform systems as to the sale of liquors, the inspection of places of amusement, the supervision of factories and the coming state-wide effort to preserve the State's resources from destruction by fire or otherwise. To-day the sheriff as the guardian of the law in his county is wholly or partially responsible for its enforcement as to the features cited and many others. But we know as a matter of experience that the sheriff is not in a position to enforce the law, particularly as to those evils which are not inherent crimes, but social or economic offenses; and this fact of experience is confirmed by the horde of deputies, inspectors and supervisors who flock on successive weeks from Albany to determine whether a particular statute, not the law in general, is being obeyed in our theatres, on our highways or in our business places. This is wasteful, ineffective and because it involves the exercise of concurrent police powers it is difficult to determine who failed to enforce the law, as well as to convict those who failed to obey it.

State Constabulary Needed

This brings me to the final point of this paper: That a State police or constabulary is essential to a better system of county government: Local sentiment will not approve of the appointment of the sheriff by the crown or centralized authority, as in England; nor will it tolerate the re-establishment of the system underwhich the Governor named the sheriff. He must continue to be the choice of the local electors; but as an officer charged with the enforcement of State laws in local jurisdiction, he must be made a leading factor in a State constabulary system. He must again become "the captain of the forces"— a lieutenant of the State police system.

I am in favor of creating a body of trained men, controlled and supported by the State, giving uniformity of enforcement to social and economic policies adopted on a State-wide scale, protecting the public highways from the speeder on one hand and the local grafter on the other, and sufficiently elastic in units to be moved from week to week to various parts of the State as the public exigencies require. The sheriff should be made the local lieutenant of these State police when in his county, and he should be held responsible to the state marshal of the constabulary. Today we witness the spectacle of townships or counties employing special deputies or constables to patrol a trunk line highway, or the "swearing in" of specials to enforce a particular law or quell a marked disturbance. Then these men must be carried on the payrolls of their localities, or they must seek a chance job until the passing of the snow, or the return of the next local tumult assures them work. This is a burden on the sheriff and the constables and deputies responsible to him, which should be borne by a State police. The members of a State police may be shifted with the seasons; equipped with motorcycles they can cover stretches of territory, supervising a variety of matters in place of many constables on a given highway or in a particular district, giving attention to one expected type of legal infraction. More-over, this State needs a State police whose freedom from the need of local appointment, mobility of movement, assures power of concentration and unity of action.

Militia is Necessary

But there is another situation which the sheriff has faced and which we as citizens must face. The local bodies of the State militia have been regarded as the powerful arm of the sheriff to quell tumult. The militia has been loyal in facing that task. But between a false peace propaganda, the spread of the doc-

trines of a particular political party or economic group and the growing feeling of young men that they do not want to be employed as militiamen only when there is need of quelling an industrial disturbance in which their neighbors may participate, the enlistments in the National Guard are decreasing yearly. The State needs a considerable body of militia for real military purposes; and it seems that a State constabulary should be organized so that a local sheriff may call for a group of trained men as a matter of course before the outbreak and not after and without the display of authority which is necessary but harmful because of the complications incident to invading a community with armed troops better prepared to fight in the open field than to meet the problem of quelling tumult without shooting in the streets of their own or neighboring city.

Thus, there is need that the Constitutional Convention vest the Legislature with power to make the sheriff a decisive factor in the State force. This does not mean that cities or counties should waive the exercise of their local police powers, but it does imply that the State is prepared to aid the local authorities in any given instance to enforce the law and preserve or restore the public peace. This may be done by the Convention refusing to define strictly the powers of the sheriff, and leaving all to legislative enactment, except the manner of his election and the term of his office.

But in justice to the sheriff and in the interests of public peace there should be a clear constitutional declaration as to what extent he shall be burdened by civil action following the honest performance of what he deems to be his duty in time of public tumult. And, indeed, it seems to me that the provision of the statute making the sheriff secure an order of the Supreme Court as one of the legal methods of summoning the State militia to his county is a legal paradox. I am of the conviction that the employment of the militia is a prerogative of the Crown and should be exercised by the Executive in his discretion and without question before or after the event. And, hence, I feel that the clause requiring a Supreme Court order is out of place in the military laws of the State; and that the sheriff should be placed in a legal position to request aid from the marshal of the State police and that the latter in turn may secure the direction of the Governor as to the employment of the state militia — if a second line of defense against tumult be needed.

Value of Constabulary

I spent several days at the headquarters of the Northwestern mounted police in Regina; I need not say that the record of these men for definite and drastic action has given to the force that prestige which has simplified the preservation of order in western Canada. It is a good thing for the viciously inclined to get into their minds that the sheriff is not going to debate with them or himself as to whether he will enforce the law. Nor is the value of such a force confined to days of disorder. I have seen the Pennsylvania State police operate in various regions; but I saw these young men do their best work at the fiftieth anniversary of the Battle of Gettysburg, when with efficiency and without officiousness they moved the vast throng of people and vehicles along the highways.

It may have occurred to you, as it has to me, that the proposing of a State constabulary at a Better County Government Conference is wide of its mark, but there are those of us who are so jealous for home rule for cities and counties that we are inclined to overlook the historical and legal fact that these subdivisions of the State have no rights, but only privileges granted by the sovereign; that they must exercise these privileges as agents, and that unless the machinery of their exercise is brought into co-ordination with the State power which makes the laws harm will result. Thus in pleading that the sheriff be revitalized as the "chief-man" in a better system of county government, I have felt compelled to urge that he be made a factor in a State police force; that he be authorized to give aid to and be aided by a State force in the enforcement of State-wide laws.

ADDRESS: "THE COUNTY MANAGER PLAN"

By Mr. Richard S. Childs, Secretary, The National Short Ballot Association

When all the inter-relations of the various officials are represented by lines the result looks like a ball of yarn after the cat has gotten through with it.

My view of the county problem is that of a political scientist, and from the viewpoint of the political scientist the ground plan of county government is ideally bad. Political science does not concern itself very much with the administrative work of the county, but only with certain of the larger and more theoretical questions with which county officials, as such, are not usually concerned in their daily work. Those who are engaged in county work take the county organization as they find it and get along with it the best they can. When they find themselves baffled in an endeavor to do some desirable thing, they are apt to blame it on the other man who has gotten in their way, without stopping to discuss the broader question as to how the county might be organized in a way to make such a deadlock impossible. If the board of supervisors gets into a quarrel with the county clerk over some matter of mutual concern they fight it out and one or the other gets licked without much thought on the part of either party as to the fact that there ought to be some simple and automatic way of resolving such a difference.

" Checks and Balances " a Disease

Political science, however, sees in pulling and hauling deadlocks and delays, merely the symptom of a disease, and disregarding all the immediate factors seeks a form of organization for the county which will make it possible to compel harmony. If expert social workers going through the State and visiting almshouses find deplorable conditions, slack management, easy-going methods or low standards, the political scientist proceeds to lay the blame once again on the kind of organization which decrees that the keeper of the county almshouse or the superintendent of the poor shall be a transient amateur, who is in office to-day and displaced by the whirligig of politics as soon as he learns his job. If a State examiner investigating the accounts of the county treasurer finds that the bookkeeping is slipshod and unreliable, the political scientist blames that also on the fact that the county treasurer is a transient amateur, and, further, prophesies that all attempts to reform the conduct of the office are bound to be simply a running fight, because county treasurers come and go so fast that efforts to keep them educated up to the proper standards must be endlessly repeated. If the history of twenty years shows that reform in instance after instance has consisted in taking functions out of the county and vesting them in the State, the political scientist begins to suspect that the county is so organized that it falls down on the job as soon as the job becomes important or technical or difficult and that the development of the powers of the State government at the expense of the county is probably a case of the survival of the fittest.

Modern political science in this country is largely the development of fifty years of ferment in municipal affairs, and the principles which have been worked out in the cities in the long strug-

gle for betterment are now pretty well established.

As a result of these long years of experimentation political science now discards certain ancient political superstitions of ours. In particular the fear of conferring power on anybody to do anything; the theory that if you give to one public body the power to do something you must give to some other public body the power to knock it down when it is done. We have found by long experience in city government, county government and State government that there is no safety in the theory of checks and balances, but, on the contrary, that divided responsibility is perilous and a serious and unnecessary obstacle to the efforts of the citizens to control their governments. The idea that dividing up power into many disjointed fragments would lead to democracy has proven fallacious, for instead of leading to democracy it has led us into bossism, and we found that when we undertake a campaign to remove one boss we can succeed only by dint of setting up another boss, who may perhaps have a better personal disposition, but a boss nevertheless.

How to Get Popular Government

Modern political science does not aim at devising a form of government that will automatically be a good government, for that is impossible, but aims at getting a form of government that will be automatically a democracy. The ideal of modern political science for counties is a county government that will be exactly what the public really wants it to be; a government that will be bad if the public wants bad government and good if it wants good government, with faith to believe that the people want good government provided they do not have to pass through obstacles requiring paroxysm of effort to get it.

Consider now what the people of a county in New York State are up against if they seek to control the present type of county government. There is the board of supervisors, the county clerk, the county treasurer, the county superintendent of the poor, the sheriff, district attorney and coroner and the county court. Sometimes there is a surrogate and county comptroller in addition. Seven county governments or more; for the coroner, elected by the people, is a separate government all by himself, with no one who can give him orders, no one who can, except on paper, compel him to do anything. The sheriff is another little county government all by himself, and so is the county clerk and all the rest. All these seven governments are, to be sure, loosely connected up to each other by the moral and latent power of certain memoranda called laws, the exact nature of which they oftentimes seem to know little about, the real bonding force of the county being often tradition rather than law.

Can Those Properly Qualified Officials be Chosen by Election?

To control the government of the county as now organized the people must select competent and right meaning officials for all these offices on election day. The voter in his polling place must run his pencil down the list of candidates for each of the seven or nine offices and pick a well qualified person. This means that he must know something about the qualifications required for each individual office and the qualifications of fourteen, sixteen or eighteen candidates. A man who will make a good county treasurer might be a very bad man to choose for coroner, and vice versa. That is the theory of the voter's part in county government, but that is not all. After election the voter is supposed to scrutinize the conduct of each of this list of officials and see if each official maintains a correct technical standard. For all the offices are technical offices, with the exception of the supervisors. To be a competent critic of the technical ability of seven, eight or nine such varied officers with such varied functions implies an unbelievable amount of acquaintance with county government in its detailed management on the part of the voter.

But we are not through even now with the work which the county plan requires of the voter. Not only must the voter bring his share of public opinion to bear upon the conduct of each of these seven, eight or nine little county governments, but if one of these little governments quarrels with another little government the voter must take notice of the fact and by intelligent public criticism induce the little government which is in the wrong to yield to the little government which has the right side of the dispute. In other words, the voters of the county must not only stand over each of these seven little governments and make them obey, but must make them agree in their obedience and work harmoniously for the common good. The people of the county constitute the one place where the big lines of direct control are focused. They are in theory the unifying force and the only one.

The Need for a Strong Executive

Now, to make these seven governments work in unison is a task which would keep a strong chief executive pretty busy sometimes. A committee or board with complete power over these seven little governments would probably not be nimble enough for the task and would end by leaving most of the details to some one person selected by them to give all his time to the task. A group, let us say, of 100 persons meeting under the forms of parliamentary law, would be baffled even more than a small committee, and, in fact, would be compelled to do the work through committees in order to get it done at all. A great mass meeting of 5,000 voters would experience still greater difficulties in trying to handle details. In fact, it could do hardly anything except to create a committee and go home. The people of the county, too numerous to meet in a single hall, scattered, moreover, throughout the county over a considerable distance, having no single common medium of communication, being not even subscribers to the same newspapers, are many times clumsier than the mass meeting. Yet to the most clumsy of all organisms, the electorate, you give the task of unification and harmonizing, which is too much for even a small committee to accomplish except with a suitable instrument in the shape of a single executive.

This thing is ridiculous. The people simply can't do it. Our people are as intelligent as any other, but no people on the face of the earth can do it. We have given the people an unworkable instrument, and it is no reflection on the people to say that they don't rule and cannot rule under such circumstances. The practical result of the situation is that ninety-nine per cent. of the people give only an offhand intermittent attention to county government, and the remaining one per cent., who get into the heart of the matter, become the real governing force of the county and are given the name of politicians. A politician is simply an expert in citizenship. To make the politicians give way to the people at large the game of politics must be simplified. Politics is the proper business of every citizen. It should not be one of the learned professions. It should not be so complex as to be a profession at all. It is not true that every citizen ought to know what he is doing on election day; it is only true that politics should be so simple that every citizen would know what he is doing on election day. We can't make the citizen take more interest in a complicated and uninteresting thing like county government, but we

can make county governments so simple that the motive power of popular interest will be sufficient to operate it.

Politics Should be Made Simple

So, to make a long story short, the modern political scientist demands that politics shall be made primitively simple. It is easier for the people to control one government than nine governments. That is the theory of the "unification of powers." It is easier for the people to control three or four big elective offices than eight or nine little ones. That is the theory of the short ballot. The way to keep unfit men out of public office is to refrain from electing them. The way to refrain from electing them is to elect no more officials at one time than the citizens can get a good look at. In a small, rural county where everybody knows every one else, the short ballot is not quite as important as in large cities and States, but the unification of powers is important everywhere. A government in which all parts are properly coordinated under the direction of a single controlling brain will be an easier government for the people to control than the loosejointed, ramshackle of mutually independent powers which we now call county government. Only by uniting and consolidating the powers of the county can we get away from supine, jellyfish disobedience. Present county governments are something like an automobile with a separate motor at every wheel, each going its own gait, pell-mell down the road, with Mr. Voter in the driver's seat hanging on with a sickly smile while he tries to control a dozen levers with only two hands and feet.

County Needs a Head

Now, if we can keep away from the old-fashioned doctrinaire theories which have made so much trouble for this nation in the last hundred years, we should be able to agree that the county, like any other organization, private or public, needs a chief executive with appointive power over all other administrative officials. Not until all the officials have a single common superior on the job all the time, with plenty of authority over them, can they be compelled to work in mutual harmony. Any notion that the arms and legs of the county can make each other work harmoniously by the threat of mandamus proceedings and similar legal resorts to those printed memoranda called laws, is thus discarded. But, of course, we are not going to vest the government

of the county in a single despot elected by the people for a fixed term, for that system would have obvious faults of its own, inasmuch as the despot would have personal faults and failings. Neither are we to consider an elective executive held in more or less restraint through the necessity of obtaining the co-operation of a board of supervisors corresponding to the mayor and council of old style city governments. There has been ample experience to show that the attempt to secure a good chief executive by popular election is a failure. It always gives us a transient amateur who never really learns his job, because he is not allowed to stay on the job long enough. It always results in the development, under such a shifty chief executive, of a "System" among the permanent subordinates, a "System" which defies the control of these transient executives and thus defies the control of the people who elected that chief executive.

Example Set by the Short Ballot Cities

The cities have been all through that phase and are abandoning the elective chief executive, or mayor, and moving onward to the type of government in which the chief executive is appointed and held subject to the continuous supervision of the joint mind of a board or commission. Accordingly, let us look forward to a time when counties will be governed by a small board of supervisors, a board small enough so that each member will be a really important officer with power enough in the government to make it worth while for the people to scrutinize the candidate carefully and watch him after election. A board of three or five or seven will be better than a board of twenty or twenty-five or thirty, because you must have considerable power attached to a public office before it will attract candidates of first-class talent and before it will be conspicuous enough to catch the public eye.

Let this small board of supervisors possess all the powers now vested in all officers of the county, except the county judge. Put upon them the responsibility for all of the work of the county. Permit them to hire their county manager from anywhere in the United States and to pay him whatever salary they believe necessary in order to secure the requisite ability. The county manager will appoint, in turn, and control all other county officials and employees, subject to civil service regulations. The county manager will have no power of his own, no independence of his

superior. He is their executive agent. If the new board of supervisors tells him to take money out of the treasury and spend it for peanuts, he must spend it for peanuts or take a chance of losing his job. The supervisors who hire him can also fire him.

What a "County Manager" Would Do

The county manager, naturally, would be expected to relieve the supervisors of all detail, and if they found him trustworthy and devoted to their service they would probably leave him considerable discretion, but they would have to take the responsibility for him if he proved to be foolish or weak or dishonest. This new board of supervisors would have the power to levy taxes and spend them. When it was spending money it would have to remember that it must raise that money and face the public resistance to taxes. On the other hand, in trying to keep down taxes it would have to remember that the people would criticise it if it went too far and starved the county service. It would be continually between two fires; the demand for good service and the resistance to taxes. No matter what goes wrong the supervisors, under this scheme, have power to fix it and can fairly be held responsible if they fail to have it fixed after it has been called to their attention.

Local Nullification of State Laws

In this plan of government one fault inherent in the county would still remain. The supervisors would have two masters, i. e., the people of the county and the State government, which is continually making laws for them to enforce. The work of the sheriff, district attorney and the county judge is really not county work at all, except geographically. It is really State work. Although elected by the people of the county they are working for the people of the State. We had an interesting instance of that recently when the district attorneys of all the various counties in New York State were up against the proposition of prosecuting the alleged frauds on the State highways, and it was said that they could not be relied on to handle that work because of the expense. There was a case where some of the counties flatly declined to burden themselves with their responsibilities to the State at large, and there was no effective way of making the counties obey those printed memoranda called laws, which I have previously referred to. The local nullification of

laws through the failure or hostility of the counties is a common phenomenon in America, and to that is due much of our disrespect for the written law. To that is due much of the careless passing of unpopular laws at Albany, where the Assemblyman cheerfully explains: "Well, it won't be enforced." The Governor by his approval helps to make the law, and it is made his duty to see that the laws are enforced. Yet the judges, district attorneys and sheriffs, who are nominally his agents, are put where they can laugh at him and the Governor is helpless, unless the situation gets so bad that he feels justified in utilizing the rarely used whip which the constitution gives him in the power of removal of such officers. In actual practice, as we know, the Governor rarely exercises any influence on these nominal agents of his, and they go their own sweet way.

In the national government we see on a vastly larger scale the correct method of handling these functions. The President appoints the judges in all the districts and he appoints the Attorney-General, under whose direction are all the district attorneys and all the Federal marshals and Federal prisons. That is the obvious, logical plan. New Jersev has part of it in operation, inasmuch as the Governor appoints the judges and district attorneys, and while the sheriffs are elective the Governor has an emergency power to do their work by other methods if he cannot secure co-operation from the sheriff. I cheerfully concede that to give the Governor of New York the right to appoint county judges and to give to an appointive Attorney-General the control of a State-wide department of justice has a strange and novel sound, and the people of this State would have to get used to the sound of the thing before we could hope to organize on these lines. Until that time comes when the State will enforce the laws it makes and pay the bills we must be content with a county commission or board of supervisors which will undertake to serve the two masters with as much justice as it can.

One County Government; Not Several

Give to the people a single county government instead of seven, with a short ballot instead of a long one, with a few conspicuous elective officials instead of a lot of obscure ones — a government that has power to get results and can thus be held responsible if it fails to get results — and you will see the same marvelous revival of public attention that has been seen in every city that

has adopted commission government. You will see the citizens of the county really knowing something about their county government, and you will see them discussing their public servants with intelligence. Then you will get in the county whatever kind of government the people of that county want. I don't say it will be good government. I don't say that it will be better than the present county government, but I think it will be a great deal better, just as the commission governed cities have almost all shown instant and marked improvement. Give a man a good automobile and you cannot guarantee where he will go in it. You can only guarantee that a good automobile will take him wherever he wants to go more surely than a loose-jointed, ramshackle automobile will.

But the most happy result to be obtained by the county manager plan is the wiping out of the rank injustice inherent in the present mechanism, where officials are damned for things they did not do and praised for things which they could not help; where good work goes unnoticed and bad work, too; where officials are blamed for things they could not help because the vital cooperation of some other county officer was lacking. There will be no more sheriffs who deplore the condition of their jails and cannot get money to make them right, and no more boards of supervisors who give the sheriff money enough, but can't make him spend it judiciously. When the lines of responsibility are clear and straight and simple, we will find our county governments in a new and brighter atmosphere, lighted up by the healthy scrutiny of the whole people.

THIRD SESSION

Saturday Afternoon, November 14, 1914

ADDRESS: "SCHENECTADY'S CITY-COUNTY PLAN"

By Benedict Hatmaker, Editor, The Schenectady Union-Star Eighty-six out of every 100 people in Schenectady county reside in the city.

Ninety out of every 100 reside in the city and Scotia, a residential village across the river.

On the borders of city and village reside upwards of 4,000 more people, so that within a radius of three miles from our city hall reside 94 out of every 100.

There are five townships in the county. Three of them border the city, and the interests in these residents are closely allied with the city. Many of these are city people who prefer country homes. Others are workmen who appreciate the advantages of rural life. Others are farmers who sell most of their product at our market place. They grow their produce for us. They get electric light and power from the city and their names are in the local telephone directory.

Therefore, practically these three border towns — Niskayuna, Rotterdam and Glenville — belong to the city, and with the population already named make more than 97 out of each 100 people in the whole county.

If the city should annex these three towns it would still be as densely populated as Duluth and have approximately as many people to each acre as Des Moines, Springfield, Tacoma and Salt Lake City.

Of the two towns outside, Duanesburg and Princetown, the former has a population of 2,211 and the latter 684. Each town had less in 1910 than in 1900, and each had less in 1900 than in 1890. One of our city wards, Mont Pleasant, has twenty-five times the population of Princetown, and no more voice in the government of the county than that town. This is not an intentional fault. It is just a development.

The fact that we maintain a county government for the benefit of about 3 per cent. of our county population costs us fully \$30,000 in salaries to county officials and probably as much more in duplications of expenses. We are handing county officials at least \$10 for each resident of these two rural towns, which is required by law under the present system, but quite needless.

Our board of supervisors has recognized this waste, and some time ago appointed a committee to look into the advisability of

governing the county by a commission.

They had not proceeded far when they discovered that paragraph 26 of article 3 of the Constitution of the State of New York made a board of supervisors in the present form a legal necessity.

The same paragraph, however, has in it these words: "Except in a county wholly included in the city."

The whole paragraph reads as follows:

"Boards of supervisors.—26. There shall be in each county, except in a county wholly included in a city, a board of supervisors, to be composed of such members and elected in such manner and for such periods as is or may be provided by law. In a city which includes an entire county, or two or more entire counties the powers and duties of a board of supervisors may be devolved upon the municial assembly, common council, board of aldermen or other legislative body of the city."

It is this section in the Constitution of the State of New York that has started the discussion here of the advisability of having the entire county annexed to the city with, of course, tax zones, which would make the matter of taxation wholly equitable. The tax zones would probably be the present city of Schenectady, with such immediate environment as should be included therein, as Zone "A." The village of Scotia with such environment as should be included therein, as Zone "B," and the rest of the county as Zone "C." The taxes in these zones would be essentially the same as they are now, less the pro rata amount which would be actually saved by the consolidation, and more justly equalized than now.

There is a well-directed sentiment here for a commission form of government to supersede the present dual system and a committee is now working on a proposed charter having this as its objective.

Schenectady is the smallest county, geographically, this side of Rockland, and the percentage of urban population is larger than in any other "up-state" city. A few other counties have about three-fourths of their population residing in a central city, namely: Erie, Monroe, Onondaga and Chemung. But none of these are so clearly in need of consolidation. We, therefore, feel especial gratification that this conference has come here for its initial meeting. We shall derive great profit from its deliberations and from the plans to be set in motion for relief from the present constitutional requirements.



COUNTY GOVERNMENT

PART II
ORGANIZATION OF WESTCHESTER COUNTY

CONTENTS OF PART II

| P | AGE |
|---|-----|
| Statutory Fields of Governmental Control in Counties Generally | 85 |
| I. General Administration | 86 |
| II. Public Works | 88 |
| III. Public Education | 88 |
| IV. County Finances | 89 |
| V. The Judiciary and the Courts | 89 |
| VI. Detection and Prosecution of Criminals | 91 |
| VII. The Punishment of Convicted Criminals | 91 |
| VIII. Maintenance of Public Peace | 92 |
| IX. Public Charities and Poor Relief | 92 |
| X. Interpretation of the Law and Counsel to Public Officials | 93 |
| XI. Official Inspection and Investigation of the Administration of Gov- | |
| ernment, and of Public Affairs Generally | 94 |
| XII. The Custody and Preservation of Public Records Always Open and | |
| Accessible to All Persons | 94 |
| Westchester County: Organization and Functions of Departments and | |
| Bureaus; Cost of Personal Services | 96 |
| Board of Supervisors | 97 |
| Superintendent of Buildings | 98 |
| County Sealer | 99 |
| Board of Elections | 100 |
| Superintendent of Highways | 100 |
| District Superintendent of Schools | 101 |
| County Comptroller | 102 |
| County Treasurer | 103 |
| Special Deputy Excise Commissioner | 105 |
| County Judge | 105 |
| Surrogate | 106 |
| Supreme Court | 108 |
| Commissioner of Jurors | 110 |
| District Attorney | 111 |
| Coroners | 112 |
| Sheriff | 113 |
| Superintendent of Poor | |
| County Attorney | |
| Country Clerk | |
| Register | 119 |

ORGANIZATION OF WESTCHESTER COUNTY

The New York State Constitutional Convention Commission, Albany, N. Y.:

Dear Sirs.—The following pages have been prepared by the Westchester County Research Bureau with the purpose of showing merely the lines of service which seem to be recognized in the laws of New York State, as within the field of the governmental functions exercised within counties by county officers, county departments or county councils, and included under the general term "county government."

Following the demarkation of such fields or lines of public service is a condensed description of the governmental organization of the populous, wealthy, and highly developed county of Westchester.

Only the briefest possible outline of the functions of each department and of its organization, is given. The original plan contemplated by the Bureau included an analytical digest of all laws, both special and general, controlling in any way either county government in general, or Westchester county, or any department or function of it in particular. However, such a digest proved voluminous and seemed unnecessary, inasmuch as other literature prepared for the use of the Convention contains classified and tabulated lists of all such legislative material.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTCHESTER COUNTY RESEARCH BUREAU,

Per Otho G. CARTWRIGHT,

Director.



COUNTY GOVERNMENT

PART II

ORGANIZATION OF WESTCHESTER COUNTY

The term "county government," as applied in the commonly accepted sense of a single governing body for county affairs, is misleading. There is no such thing in New York State as a single, or a unified, government for an entire county. Instead, there are for each county several independent governing departments and officials, the functions of each being territorially coextensive with the county boundaries in general.

These various governing elements have not a great deal to do with one another. In administrative management they are not responsible to any central head, but each exercises its own discretion as to initiative, operation and conclusion of public matters within its jurisdiction. Most of them look to the county board of supervisors for financial support; some of them receive their appointments from that body, and some of them are subject to the regulations of that body, as to the nature and extent of many of their functions and duties. These various bodies, departments and officials are the result of gradual growth in the needs for public service and the realization of those needs on the part of the public. First one function, or department, and then another has been created independently by statute, in response to such growth and realization, and there has never been any serious attempt to bind these scattered elements, as we have done for cities.

STATUTORY FIELDS OF GOVERNMENTAL CONTROL

The law appears to recognize in general the following fields of regulation and control by public authority:

- I. General administrative functions.
 - a. Quasi-legislative functions; making up of county budget, ways and means, finance.
 - b. Appointment of subordinate officials, regulation of their work and compensation.

- c. Conduct of public elections.
- d. Control of weights and measures.
- e. Miscellaneous services, such as public printing and advertising, etc.
- II. Construction, maintenance, and operation of public works, including highways.
- III. Public education.
- IV. Collection, conservation, and disbursement of county moneys.
 - V. Judicial functions, carried on partly by State officers (justices of Supreme Court), partly by county or local officials (county judges, surrogates, coroners, etc.). Within this field also lies the control of enforcement of judicial decrees by ministerial court officers.
- VI. The detection and prosecution of crime.
- VII. The punishment of convicted malefactors. (Correction and rehabilitation do not seem to have place in county government.)
- VIII. Maintenance of public peace.
 - IX. Public charities and poor relief.
 - X. Legal advice to county governing bodies, departments and officials.
 - XI. Official inspection and investigation of the administration of government and of public affairs generally.
 - XII. The custody and preservation of public records and the duty of keeping them open and accessible to seekers after information concerning public business.

A well organized government would bring most of these functions, other than the judicial branch, under the power of a central body, which power would be the one immediate agent of the State in the county.

Each of the foregoing fields is discussed in the following pages, showing the sundry departments and officials that serve its needs. Following such discussion, the organization and service of each department or bureau is shown by itself, with illustrative charts. In each instance the total cost of personal service and the number of public employees is stated separately.

I. GENERAL ADMINISTRATION

Under this title it is intended to include all those functions which, so far as authority within the county is concerned, have

to do with the establishment, regulation and control of county offices or official functions, the choice of public officers and the appointment of subordinates, and the provision of financial support for maintenance and operation of the various offices, departments, bureaus and functions which are elements of county government. Most of such duties are performed by the board of supervisors, whose membership consists of one supervisor from each town and one from each city ward. Thus, in Westchester county, such board has nineteen township members and nineteen city members; total, thirty-eight. White Plains has changed from a town to a city while this book was being written, which will increase the membership in the board of supervisors to forty.

All the functions scheduled in the preceding outline, under the head of "General Administration," are performed by the board of supervisors or its appointees, with the exception of the conduct of public elections. The board of supervisors approaches most nearly to the realization of a central governing body for a county, but as will be seen, the board of supervisors exercises no control whatever over the management of the greater part of the business of the county. Even in levying the county budget, it has no discretion whatever as to certain portions of it. It must provide the sums levied by the State upon the county; it must provide the salaries fixed by statute; it must levy sufficient amount for debt service, and as the functions and duties of most of the county officers are prescribed by legislative enactment, the control exercised in this direction by the supervisors is very limited.

The conduct of elections is under the management of the board of elections. This board is endowed with the functions of general management of election properties. It has the duty of providing ballots, poll books, registry books, election stationery, and supplies for all the election districts in the county at any general election. It also has the duty of publishing election notices, lists of candidates nominated for public office, and of making and publishing an official canvass of the vote cast at each election. It notifies candidates of their election and makes official reports regarding elections, vacancies, qualification of elected officials or their failure to qualify, and has the custody of election records. The duties and powers of this board are prescribed separately by statute. It is an entirely independent body, acting on its own initiative and its own responsibility. It is not correlated in any way in these respects with any other county department.

II. PUBLIC WORKS

So many different elements enter into the construction of public works and their maintenance and operation that it is fallacious to call it a department or to attempt to show any general recognition of a department of public works as such, so far as counties are concerned. It is made up of the separate or loosely correlated activities of the county superintendent of highways, of various committees of the board of supervisors, of the town superintendents of different towns, of the acts of the citizen body of the towns in their town meetings, and of the various village and the various city officials and departments. To prevent waste and reduplication, all such work might well be brought under the supervision of a central department, whose activities should be directed according to a well-considered plan, taking cognizance, as far as possible, of the needs of the future development of the county.

III. PUBLIC EDUCATION

Public education is under divided management. It is more strongly centralized under State control than any other department of public administration. It is not recognized universally in the State as a county function. Westchester county, for example, is divided into four superintendency districts. The superintendents presiding over these districts are purely administrative officers. Each one is elected by a board of school directors, whose members themselves are elected two from each township within the superintendency district. These district superintendents have no responsibility to any county authorities or to the county electorate. They are paid partly by the State and partly by appropriations made by the board of supervisors, at the request of the supervisors within the superintendency district. Many villages have their own superintendents of schools, who are independent of this officer. All of the cities organize and conduct their departments of education. Such educational administrative officers make reports to the State Department of Education, and are responsible thereto for the fulfillment of their duties. They have to execute the orders of the State Department of Education as to the duties of their offices. Otherwise, they act on their own responsibility and are not in any way correlated with other county departments.

IV. COUNTY FINANCES

The collection and custody of the finances of a New York State county is an entirely independent field, and is usually administered with inexcusable laxity. Collections of taxes are made by independent officers of local districts, in connection with local taxes, such officers acting under the authority of warrants issued to them from a board or council. Payments of such collections of State and county taxes are made irregularly to the county treasurer. The treasurer's sources of revenue include funds from state departments, municipal earnings, fines and penalties imposed by courts, trust funds, loans negotiated on the issuance of the county's obligations, etc. The treasurer chooses his own banks of deposit, subject only to certain statutory prescriptions regard-

ing a bank's sureties and its capitalization.

The county treasurer pays out the county funds on (a) the orders of a court of competent jurisdiction, (b) the drafts of the board of supervisors, (c) the drafts of the county superintendent of the poor, and (d) for salaries voted by the board of supervisors. The latter are paid without audit or draft of the board. In most counties all county bills have to be audited by the board of supervisors, a service usually performed through committees of the board. In practice this method is apt to be very inefficient. In counties that have an auditor, the board of supervisors is relieved of its auditing duty, and the treasurer pays claims against the county on the orders of the county auditor. In counties that have a comptroller, all disbursements, except court orders and drafts of the superintendent of the poor, are audited by the board of supervisors on the approval of the comptroller, whose duty it is to examine and pass upon such claims. Westchester county has a special act empowering the board of supervisors to make the comptroller also the auditor, which will relieve the board of its auditing functions. Erie county has a similar act. Erie county's auditor receives \$4,000 a year. It is larger, more populous and more wealthy, and levies a larger budget than Westchester county. Westchester county pays its comptroller \$6,000 a year and its treasurer \$10,000, although the treasurer is the inferior officer.

V. THE JUDICIARY AND THE COURTS

The judicial system consists of courts of several grades, which are supposedly constituted to deal with offences and disputes according to their nature and importance.

The lowest of these is the local township court, presided over by the justices of the peace. Frequently such officials, having no regular court room, hold court in their private offices, or at their homes, or wherever it is most convenient. Their jurisdiction covers petty local misdemeanors and civil disputes involving values of \$200 or less.

Of somewhat similar grade are the local magistrate's courts of

the villages and of the cities.

The court of the next higher grade is the county court, whose jurisdiction comprises major crimes and civil suits involving values of \$2,000 or less. The county judge, presiding over this court, is elected by the county at large. The county clerk is ex officio clerk of the county court, and keeps its records.

This court also, in some of the smaller counties, handles probate functions, settlement of estates, etc., and the judge is called "county judge and surrogate" in such counties. In the larger counties, such as Westchester, there is a separate surrogate's court for the settlement of estates; and the surrogate is elected by the county at large to preside over such matters. He has his own court, his own court clerk, who keeps the records of that court, and other subordinates.

The court of general original jurisdiction at law and in equity is the Supreme Court of the State. The State is divided into nine judicial districts. Each district comprises several counties. All the electors of each district elect the number of judges assigned to that district by the Legislature. This court is not a county court, but a State court, which is held separately in and for the several counties. Westchester is in the ninth judicial district, which has five judges of the Supreme Court.

It is unnecessary to refer to the appellate courts, which have no

distinctly county functions.

The judicial officers of Westchester county (aside from the five Supreme Court justices of the ninth district) are one county judge, one surrogate, seventy-six justices of the peace, twenty-three village magistrates, and the five or more city magistrates. We may note in passing the quasi-judicial functions residing in the four coroners for inquests into the causes of sudden death.

For the enforcement of judicial decrees and execution of court mandates, the sheriff and his subordinates are court officers of the Supreme Court and the county court. The local courts have the services of local officers, or may call on the sheriff for assistance, under certain conditions.

VI. DETECTION AND PROSECUTION OF CRIMINALS

The detection and prosecution of criminals is conducted under the direction of the district attorney. For the purposes of proof of crime he has power to employ such detectives from outside agencies as are necessary, and to call upon the sheriff and the forces at his command for assistance in pursuit and arrest of criminals. The district attorney is an independent officer, and acts on his own initiative entirely. He decides for himself what cases he shall handle promptly, what ones he shall push with vigor and enterprise, and what ones he shall allow to take their own course. The matter of indictment, however, rests with the grand jury, which is referred to under section XI hereinafter.

The chief functions of the coroners also belong in this field, as they are required first to investigate the causes of sudden or violent death, to determine whether there are evidences of criminal causes of such deaths, and to order the arrest and detention of any persons pointed to by their investigations as guilty of such crime.

VII. THE PUNISHMENT OF CONVICTED CRIMINALS

The punishment of criminals involves the maintenance and operation of public penal institutions. Of these, Westchester county can boast of but one, the county jail. Sing Sing Prison, at Ossining, is a State institution for felons under sentence of more than one year. The county has no penitentiary, although it has now planned to build, operate and maintain one. Within the county's boundaries are several reformatories, including institutions for both juvenile and mature delinquents, and for both sexes, but such are either State institutions or are privately maintained. For use by the county, their privileges have to be rented at county expense. Many of the county's criminals are sentenced to institutions outside the county's boundaries, for the use of which also the county pays a substantial rent. Parallel conditions exist in other counties of the State.

For the conduct of such activities as the counties have developed in this field, the law provides the sheriff and his subordinates, including the jail warden and his deputies and assistants; the towns provide their local peace officers and lock-ups; and the villages and cities their police and local jails. In this service the sheriff is directly under the orders of the court in the execution of its sentences. It will be seen that the recognition of correction as a function of government of counties is very scant, and that the recognition of rehabilitation of malefactors under sentence of punishment is entirely lacking.

VIII. MAINTENANCE OF PUBLIC PEACE

Allied to the three foregoing fields of activity is the maintenance of public peace, the suppression of riots, the preservation of order, and the protection of life, liberty and property of every free person within the county. This function is administered by the sheriff and his deputies, under statutory provisions, and is conducted entirely upon his own initiative. In this field he is not an officer of the court, but is independent. He may call to his assistance, if necessary, the peace officers of the local municipalities, or he may levy posses of citizens in cases of emergency, or summon the State militia to help in the establishment of the peace.

IX. PUBLIC CHARITIES AND POOR RELIEF

This field is administered in county government by two systems of power, which, while intended to harmonize, are in fact seriously conflicting. The county superintendent is theoretically the official in charge of the administration of public charities. His independent administration, however, is confined to the control of the county almshouse, hospitals, etc., and of indigent poor persons, insane, imbeciles, and indigent sick regularly committed to those institutions for charitable aid. Each township has one or more local overseers of the poor, who have power to commit to the county almshouse such persons as they deem proper charges. local justices of the peace, and other magistrates, have similar power. The commitments are not always wise, as the superintendent learns very shortly, after he receives the charges. power over such commitments is limited to the discharging of such persons committed, as he finds not proper subjects for public relief. He is obliged to provide for them properly while they are in his care, in the same manner as for all the rest of his charges. In order to make possible such provision without delay, the superintendent of the poor is authorized by law to draw upon the county treasurer for such funds as may be needed by his department within the appropriations of the county budget. His bills do not have to be audited by any other county official or department. He has to render an annual report of the financial accounts of his office to the board of supervisors, and another to the county treasurer. He has also to report to the State board of charities such information and on such forms as that board prescribes.

Westchester county maintains an almshouse for indigent poor, a general hospital for indigent sick persons, a tuberculosis hospital ward, and a farm for the raising of as much produce as possible for the food supply of the almshouse, and particularly milk and egg supplies for the hospital.

Except for town commitments, the superintendent of the poor is even more independent as to initiative and as to the conduct of his office within its statutory regulations than most of the other county officers, because he has power to draw independently upon county funds for the maintenance and operation of his department.

X. INTERPRETATION OF THE LAW AND COUNSEL TO PUBLIC OFFICIALS

Boards of supervisors have the power to appoint a county attorney, whose duty it is to serve as adviser to that board as to the legality of its proceedings; to represent the board of supervisors or the county in the defense of actions brought against the county, or in the institution of actions on the part of the county; to draft bills designed by the board of supervisors for the improvement of county matters, and present them to the Legislature, or to put in legal form resolutions of the board of supervisors for local government; to give counsel to such other county or town officials regarding the function of their office as the board of supervisors may prescribe.

Such an officer, if well chosen, a capable, experienced attorney, versed in municipal laws, should conduct a department of incalculable service. The work of the county counsel, however, frequently consists merely of perfunctory service rendered to the board of supervisors. Westchester county employs special counsel (other than the county attorney) for its more important executive officers, as well as for the conduct of condemnation proceedings to acquire the title of lands for highways and public works and legal services pertaining thereto. For this extra service the county pays an additional \$10,000 or \$12,000 a year. Each township's government employs counsel as needed. Sometimes fees for this local service are very large. Each village has its own corporation counsel, who is usually paid a salary. Here again is a field for organization. Many of these scattered units and fragments could probably well be brought together into a county department of law, with the result of avoiding reduplication of work and of securing thoroughly competent men specially versed in this particular branch of the law.

XI. OFFICIAL INSPECTION AND INVESTIGATION OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF GOVERNMENT, AND OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS GENERALLY

This governmental function is performed by the grand jury. As indicated in the following descriptions of departmental organization, the chief function performed by the grand jury is in the investigation of complaints or evidences of the violations of the criminal law, and, when such investigations warrant it, of finding and presenting indictments against persons believed to be guilty of such violations.

However, the grand jury's investigations may extend into the management and conduct of the official duties of any public officer or any department or function of public business, including even the courts. It may either find an indictment of crime or make a presentment reporting mismanagement or misconduct not constituting crime, and criticising inefficiency or waste and extravagance.

XII. THE CUSTODY AND PRESERVATION OF PUBLIC REC-ORDS ALWAYS OPEN AND ACCESSIBLE TO ALL PERSONS

The custody of public records is only partly provided for in county administration. Records of the courts, of transfers of and encumbrances upon real property, of the formation of corporations, of the settlement of estates, and several other types of records of public nature are kept at the county seat in the custody of the county clerk, the register, and the surrogate's clerk. These are genuine public records, generally accessible to anybody who wishes to examine them.

Certain records of tax sales are supposed to be kept equally accessible in the office of the county treasurer. In Westchester county, such records are kept always open to the public during the the treasurer's office hours. Few searchers, however, are satisfied by the tax sale records in Westchester county treasurer's office. It is always thought necessary to go to the original town records, wherever they are located, in order to learn the true condition of a title.

Financial records of counties are seldom satisfactorily kept, and are never in any way accessible to the public without a particular request for a specific book or entry, and it is often difficult to obtain access to the records of a busy treasurer. Here, again, so far as keeping the records is concerned, Westchester county is somewhat exceptional. Its system of bookkeeping and its budgetary provisions have recently been remodeled to conform to the statutory requirements and the regulations of the State Comptroller.

Other public records are scattered all over the county.

For the enlightenment of the public, nothing is more seriously needed in any adequate scheme of municipal government than a complete, accurate, dependable information bureau as to the conduct of the public business. The kind of information kept open to the public, as above described, is largely that embracing facts regarding the relations of individual persons or corporations with one another, and conveys very little information as to the official duties, official acts, official responsibilities, and financial cost of the various officers, departments, bureaus, functions or lines of activity of the county government. The importance of full information as a means of citizen control of public administration, cannot be overestimated. It is of the very essence of democracy, and if fully and scientifically carried out, would relieve our present governmental structure of the need of most of its cumbersome schemes of checks and balances.

Departmental Details of Westchester County

In order further to clarify the working of the individual departments, the following sections contain charts showing the detailed organization for Westchester county of each of the departments or bureaus mentioned in the foregoing discussion. It will be noticed that some officers or departments exercise duties in various other departments. It will also be seen that each department of itself constitutes an independent governing body, not under the control of a county head, except in the case where the bureau chief is a direct appointee of and directly responsible to the board of supervisors. The board of supervisors has only one source of control over the various departments, namely, the budget for their salaries, maintenance, and operation. Such control does not extend to the county judge or the supreme court judges, but it does extend over all the subordinate officers of their courts and over maintenance and operation.

ORGANIZATION OF DEPARTMENTS AND BUREAUS

FUNCTIONS OF DEPARTMENTS, BUREAUS, AND **OFFICIALS**

COST OF PERSONAL SERVICES

SALARIES AND FEES* PAID FOR PERSONAL SERVICES+ Summary

| | No certifi | iiui j | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|----|--------------|--------------|
| | Number employed | Salaries | | Fees | Total |
| County Attorney | | \$3,200 | | | \$3.200 00 |
| Clerk, County | | 39,770 | | | 39,770 00 |
| Comptroller | | 11,500 | 00 | | 11,500 00 |
| Coroners‡ | | 8,000 | 00 | \$11,975 00 | 19,975 00 |
| County Court | | 17,900 | 00 | 48,800 00 | 66,700 00 |
| District Attorney | | 21,300 | 00 | 30,500 00 | 51,800 00 |
| Deputy Excise Commissioner. | . 2 | 2,000 | 00 | | 2,000 00 |
| Education (4 District Supts.) | . 4 | 8,400 | 00 | | 8,400 00 |
| Elections, Bureau of | . 6 | 11,000 | 00 | | 11,000 00 |
| Jurors, Commissioner of | . 2 | 5,800 | 00 | | 5,800 00 |
| Register (not including regis | ;- | | | | |
| ter's compensation and \$28,20 | 0 | | | | |
| salaries paid from fees o | f | | | | |
| office) | . 19 | 1,500 | 00 | | 1,500 00 |
| Sealer | . 1 | 1,500 | 00 | 250 00 | 1,750 00 |
| Sheriff and Jail | . 46 | 68,200 | 00 | | 68,200 00 |
| Superintendent of Buildings | . 18 | 19,852 | 00 | | 19,852 00 |
| Superintendent of Highways | . 3 | 5,000 | 00 | 2,000 00 | 7,000 00 |
| Superintendent of Poor | . 37 | 23,815 | 00 | | 23,815 00 |
| Board of Supervisors | . 41 | 41,550 | 00 | 13,965 34 | 55,515 34 |
| Supreme Court | . 15 | 64,151 | 85 | 64,750 00 | 128,901 85 |
| Surrogate | . 11 | 33,100 | 00 | | 33,100 00 |
| Treasurer | . 6 | 21,200 | 00 | | 21,200 00 |
| | | | | | |
| | 248 | \$408,738 | 85 | \$172,240 34 | \$580,979 19 |
| Employees of Register, from | n | | | | |
| fees of office§ | . 18 | 28,200 | 00 | | 28,200 00 |
| | | | | | |
| Total | . 266 | \$436,938 | 85 | \$172,240 34 | \$609,179 19 |

^{*}This list includes only fees paid from budget funds, and takes no cognizance of fees charged the public by individual officers for specific services.
†Except as otherwise indicated in the following pages, all salaries are fixed by the Board of Supervisors.
‡Several hundred individual physicians are employed. Fees are: External examination, \$10; autopsy, \$20.
§This item refers to fees charged the public by the register for specific services, and is not a budget item.





CHART SHOWING THE VARIOUS PUBLIC OFFICIALS OF WESTCHESTER COUNTY, THE MANNER OF THEIR CHOICE
(WHETHER BY ELECTION OR BY APPOINTMENT) AND THE AMOUNT OF COMPENSATION OF EACH DEPARTMENTAL CHIEF.



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SUPERVISORS 38 SALARIES \$ 34800 6 965.34

COUNTY ATTODNEY COUNTY ATTY \$3000

Counsel to board of Counsel to town haards and town officers as board of supervisors may

direct

Local legislation, limited to powers conferred by state legislation. Provision of funds for financing the county, levy of loxes, authorization of bond sales and other use of county's credit for the benefit of the county

Acquisition of real estate for county uses discosal thereof as expedient. Erection maintenance and repair of county buildings Care of corporate property of the county.

Fixing or change of boundaries of civil subdivisions of the county

Appaintment and removal of certain county officials and

emplayers. Fixing of salaries of all county officials and emplayers not established by legislature. Audit and control of county charges. Supervision of lacol municipal accounts. Investigation of any department of county government

COMMITTEE SERVICE

CLERK OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DEPUTY CLERK

Recording of all praceedings at the board. Filing of all accounts and claims acted an by the board and all other documents ordered filed by the board Preparation and publicotion of reparts of pro-

ceedings Preparation of tox rolls under direction of hoard Making reports to state comptroller as to municipal valuations and debts Report to county treasurer, valuations of public service corporations in the county Such other services as board may direct

FINANCE COMMITTEES

Equalization of assessments. Preparation of county

budget. Ways and means of providing for county ernenses

COUNTY OFFICERS COMMITTEES 9

MEMBERS 3 EACH Examination into needs of various county officers and their departments. Approval for audit of

claims against such officers and making reports and recommendations to the board of SUCEEVISORS

I AW AND LEGISLATION

Examination of and report on proposed

legislation. Examination of and report on all matters re ferred to them involving avestions of law.

RIIII DINGS AND GROUNDS

\$ 2000 900 720 TELEPHONE OPERATOR 900 WATCHMAN

Custady and care of court house building and grounds, and power

MISCELLANEOUS


COMMITTEES

MEMBERS 9734493 Examination, report an and administration (as directed) of matters pertaining to road construction, bridges, repairs

and supplies for county officers, ormory and militia Approval or disapproval of bills of such deportments

SPECIAL COMMITTEES NUMBER AND MEMBERS

AS NEEDED Perfomence of special services for the board.as occasion prises, not provided for by the standing committees.



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

The county board of supervisors is theoretically a representative body, and is sometimes called the county legislature. Its members are elected not by the county electorate, but one supervisor by the electorate of each town and one by the electorate of each city ward (or in some cities, the city wards are grouped so that one supervisor represents two or more wards; the new city of White Plains will have three supervisors for six wards.) In Westchester county there are thirty-eight supervisors, which membership at present is equally divided, nineteen representing townships and nineteen representing the cities. The city of White Plains will change this to eighteen township and twenty-two city supervisors. Their term of office is two years, the whole board being elected at the same time.

The functions of the board of supervisors are both legislative and administrative. It is the only element in county government which approaches a headship or central control, but its powers are so limited that it cannot be considered in any great degree as centralizing county government in itself.

Its legislative functions comprise principally the powers to provide funds for the support of the various governmental operations of the county (with the exception of certain elements, such as education which costs more than the entire county budget, and the support of the register's office), fixing of salaries of all county officers not established by statute, the creation of subordinate positions in county civil service, in the fixing of the salaries therefor, making of the county budget, making of contracts for the county, enactment of local laws, usually called "resolutions," the change of town boundaries, and the erection of new townships, the division of school superintendency districts, the institution of actions at law in behalf of the county, etc. The power to raise money is carried out through the levy of taxes or the authorization of loans upon the issuance of the county's bonds.

Its administrative functions comprise the care of the corporate property of the county, the audit of county charges (in counties where there is no auditor), the equalization of taxes, the canvass of election returns, the preparation of tax rolls, etc.

A certain degree of control over county officials can be exercised by regulation of their salaries, and of the budget for maintenance and operation of their official departments and functions.

Discipline of this sort, however, is depressive and cramping — not constructive, so that such control is limited.

Through their function of exercising care for the corporate property of the county, the board of supervisors of Westchester county appoints a superintendent of buildings and subordinates, as described in the appropriate title herein.

Salaries and Fees* for Personal Services

| Position | Compensation |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Thirty members at \$1,000 per annum | \$30,000 00 |
| Eight members at \$600 per annum | |
| Mileage | 1,287 70 |
| Traveling expenses | 7,000 00 |
| Extending taxes | 5,677 64 |
| Clerk | 4,000 00 |
| Assistant clerk | 2,500 00 |
| Page | 250 00 |
| | |

\$55,515 34

SUPERINTENDENT OF BUILDINGS †

The superintendent of buildings is appointed by the board of supervisors, and serves during the pleasure of that board. He has the care, in Westchester county, of the Court House and grounds, and the supervision of the janitors, and cleaners, and caretakers.

^{*} Most town supervisors receive large additional compensation from fees paid them by their respective townships for town services. These extra fees run as high as \$10,000 or \$12,000 a year for some towns.

[†] See organization chart of the Board of Supervisors.

Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| Ratation and 2000 101 2010011a1 Roll V | |
|--|--------------|
| Position | Compensation |
| Superintendent of buildings | \$2,000 00 |
| Court House: | |
| Janitor | 1,080 00 |
| Assistant janitor | 840 00 |
| Assistant janitor | 840 00 |
| Assistant janitor | |
| Laborer | 840 00 |
| Janitress | 600 00 |
| Telephone operator | 900 00 |
| Caretaker, Court House grounds | $720 \ 00$ |
| Night watchman | |
| Care of building | 500 00 |
| Chief engineer | |
| Assistant engineer | |
| Assistant engineer | 1,350 00 |
| Assistant engineer | |
| Fireman | 1,014 00 |
| Fireman | 1,014 00 |
| Fireman | |
| Laborer | 900 00 |
| | |

\$19,852 00

COUNTY SEALER

The county sealer of weights and measures is appointed by the board of supervisors and is removable at its pleasure. He has the custody of the county standards of weights and measures, and it is his duty to examine the weights and measures in use in the towns of the county and compare them with the county standards; to seal those which he finds correct, and to confiscate and destroy false and defective ones.

Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| Position | Compensation |
|--------------------|--------------|
| County sealer | \$1,500 00 |
| Traveling expenses | $250 \ 00$ |

\$1,750 00

BOARD OF ELECTIONS

The board of elections consists of two or more members, as the county board of supervisors may determine. The board must be equally divided politically.

Westchester county has two commissioners of elections. The county committee of each of the two political parties polling the highest vote designates a commissioner of elections and reports such designation to the board of supervisors as a nomination. From such designations the board of supervisors appoints commissioners of elections. Their term of office is two years. The functions of the board of elections are to publish notices of approaching elections and lists of candidates nominated to the offices to be filled at such elections; to prepare and provide for each election district all official stationery needed for the conduct of such elections, such as poll lists, registry books, tally sheets, forms for inspectors' returns, pencils, ink, etc.; to provide printed ballots for the use of the voters; to make and publish an official canvass of the vote of the entire county, tabulated by election districts, for the complete election; to have the custody and preservation of all books, papers, and records of such elections, and to keep such records open for the inspection of any citizen of the State.

Salaries and Fees for Personal Services


| Position | Compensation |
|---------------------|--------------|
| Commissioner | \$3,000 00 |
| Commissioner | 3,000 00 |
| Chief clerk | 1,500 00 |
| Clerk | 1,500 00 |
| Stenographer | 1,000 00 |
| Temporary employees | 1,000 00 |
| | |

\$11,000 00

SUPERINTENDENT OF HIGHWAYS

The superintendent of highways is appointed by the board of supervisors and is removable either by that board or by the State Commission of Highways.

He has general charge of all highways and bridges in the county, as to their construction, improvement, repair, and maintenance. He has chief authority in such matters (except as to



BOARD OF ELECTIONS

ONE COMMISSIONER

& STATISTICS

#3,000

PREPARE & PUBLISH ANNOUNCEMENTS OF ELECTIONS & LISTS OF LOANIDATES PREPARE & PROVIDE ALL REGISTRY & POLL BOOKS & LUSTS, BALLOTS, ELECTION STATIONERY & SUPPLIES, REPORT MAKE OFFICIAL CANVASS & REPORTS OF ELECTIONS. NOTIFY CANDIDATES CUSTORY OF ALL ELECTION PECCADS

CHIEF CLERK-\$1500

GENERAL SUPERVISION OF OFFICE.

STATISTICAL & TABULATION WORK DEPUTY CLERK-\$1500

GENERAL CLERICAL HELP.

SUPERVISION OF POLL LISTS

STENOGRAPHER-\$1,000

CORRESPONDENCE &

COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF HIGHWAYS

| SUPERINTENDENT | \$2,500 |
|----------------|---------|
| FEES (TRAVEL) | 2,000 |
| EMPLOYEES | 2,500 |

General charge of all highways and bridges, improvements repair and maintenance.

Inspection (at least annually) of all highways and bridges in each town. Direction of town superintendent as to repair and improvement.

Annual report to board of supervisors of amount necessary to construct, improve and maintain county roads for ensuing year.

Geological inspection of county for best road material.

Establishment of road grades, recommendation of drainage systems, approval of bridge plans.

Inspection and certification of construction progress on county road contracts. Report of irregularities.

Performance of any other duties required by state highway commission.

BONRE LANGE COMMISSION OF THE PARTY O

COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT OF HIGHWAYS

| SUPERINTENDENT | \$2,500 |
|----------------|---------|
| FEES (TRAVEL) | 2,000 |
| EMPLOYEES | 2,500 |

General charge of all highways and bridges, improvements repair and maintenance.

Inspection (at least annually) of all highways and bridges in each town. Direction of town superintendent as to repair and improvement.

Annual report to board of supervisors of amount necessary to construct, improve and maintain county roads for ensuing year.

Geological inspection of county for best road material.

Establishment of road grades, recommendation of drainage systems, approval of bridge plans.

Inspection and certification of construction progress on county road contracts. Report of irregularities.

Performance of any other duties required by state highway commission.

COLINTY SUPERINTENDENT OF HIGHWAYS

CEES (TRAVEL)

2,000

2,500

Tereseal charge of all highways and A new improvements repair and more enance

The has (discussed to make assume the country of the second to the secon

אחתנות הפסחה לם בסטיל כל בוקפוריונים:

מי מתוכנות הפכונות כו בסופריובה

מוקביים פוועל חופותומות בסטית יושבו לעי

Fraginal makes her or county for peal

Lichtshaan of treet prodes recomendation of transpet tradeus, occanion of a rise plans

particular of controllers of construct and controllers of controll

Abetainmance of any other dishes re-

DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENTS OF SCHOOLS

| PAID BY | | PAIDBY |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| STATE | | COUNTY |
| \$ 1,200 SUPERINT | ENDENT FIRST DISTRICT | \$1,800 |
| 1,200 | SECOND | 2,400 |
| 1,200 | THIRD | 2,400 |
| 1,200 | FOURTH | 1,800 |

Conferences with and counsel of teachers as to curricula and school management.

Conferences with and counsel of school trustees as to their powers, duties and responsibilities over school management, grounds, buildings, construction, equipment teaching staff etc.

Inspection of training classes and report thereon to the commissioner of education. Examination and license of teachers. Regulation or change of school district

boundaries as expedient.

Report thereon to him.

Compulsion of trustees to make changes or repairs of school buildings. Condemnation of school buildings. Abatement of nuisances. Investigation of charges vs. teachers. Investigation of any matters required by state commissioner of education.

DISTRICT SUBJECTIVE TENDENTS OF SCHOOLS

| | TECHNO | | |
|-------|--------|--|--|
| 2,610 | DWWD | | |
| | FOURTE | | |

Continued in the characteristic described de in surricula una chase proposition of school Contenued so to make powers surrice and housees so to make powers surrice and inspensals links over school management processes some school management manifest staff of a

make the remain and an entering the set of the make the m

Compulsion of Trustime to make changes or reports of school out their Condemna or of school out their Condemna or of school out their condemna of the condemna of the condemna of the condemna of their condemna of the condem

authorizing new bridges or routes for new highways), being subject only to the State Commission of Highways, which may overrule or reverse his decisions or instructions, if it sees fit. It is his duty to inspect and follow up closely such matters and to report irregularities to the proper authorities. He may also be required by the State Commission of Highways to perform other services.

Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| Position | Compensation |
|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Superintendent of Highways | \$2,500 00 |
| Traveling expenses | |
| Regular clerks and assistants | |
| | |

\$7,000 00

DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

Westchester county is divided into four superintendency districts, the general supervision of each of which, as to all matters of school administration, is vested in a district superintendent of schools. The manner of election of the district superintendent is peculiar.

1. The electorate of each town in a superintendency district

chooses at general election two school directors.

2. All the school directors of a superintendency district organize as a board, and on the third Tuesday in June elect a district superintendent for their district.

The term of office of the district superintendent begins on August first and lasts five years. He is removable by the State Commissioner of Education, and for the administration of the functions of his office the superintendent is directly responsible to the State Commissioner.

The powers of the district superintendent do not extend over cities or districts of 5,000 population or more, which employ their own superintendents of schools.

For the compensation of a district superintendent the State pays \$1,200 a year. To this each superintendency district may add such compensation as the township supervisors of all towns in the district may agree upon. Such agreement is reported officially to the county board of supervisors, who levy, on the towns of the district voting it, such additional compensation.

The board of school directors may choose the best available man to be found anywhere in the country, and are not limited to the residents of their district.

The district superintendent has the power and the duty to confer with the teachers in his district and advise them as to courses of study, school management, and general educational welfare; to supervise training classes; to call together and counsel school trustees as to their powers and duties over construction, maintenance, and operation of schoolhouses and school grounds, the employment of teachers, and the equipment of schools; to order alterations or repairs of schoolhouses, school furniture, or even to condemn the schoolhouse as unfit for further use; to examine and license teachers; to investigate charges against teachers and revoke teaching licenses, if such charges are sustained; and to perform any other functions formerly vested in school commissioners. He is obliged to report to the Commissioner of Education, as directed by the latter, upon any or all of his official functions or activities.

Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| Position | Compensation paid by county district | Compensation paid by state |
|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| Superintendent of first district | \$1,800 00 | \$1,200 00 |
| Superintendent of second district | 2,400 00 | 1,200 00 |
| Superintendent of third district | 2,400 00 | 1,200 00 |
| Superintendent of fourth district | 1,800 00 | . 1,200 00 |
| · · | | |
| | \$8,400 00 | \$4,800 00 |
| | | |

COUNTY COMPTROLLER

The county comptroller is chosen by the county electorate. His term of office is three years. He is the chief financial officer of the county, although his control does not extend over all branches of county finance. In some fields, such as the administration of trust funds, he has very little, if anything, to say, such things being administered under the direction of the courts or of particular statutes. He is, nevertheless, the county's most important fiscal officer. His functions are fourfold:

(1) The examination of claims against the county and of payrolls for county officers and employees, and report upon their

DEPUTY CAK

\$1,000

Examineping and filing.
presente
their me
system
Keeping
of infori
and price

COUNTY COMPTROLLER

TOTAL SALARIES OF OFFICE \$11.500

COMPTROLLER

\$ 6,000

Superintendence of county finance. Control of county accounts. Examination and appro-vol or disapproval of claims against the county. Report thereon to boord of supervisors. Examine and report on pov-rolls of county officials and employees Negoliation of sale of bonds authorized by board of super visors Audit accounts of county treasurer and of other county officials.

Keep accounts with each county officer and each fund

DEPUTY COMPTROLIER \$3000

Examination of claims presented and report upon their merit. Supervision of system and records

Keeping of auditing guide of information as to vendors ond prices.

SECOND DEPUTY COMTR \$1,500

Detail work of preparing numbering, and listing vouchers, checking footings and extensions.

CLERK

\$1000

Bookkeeping and filing.

COUNTY TREASURER

TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICES \$21,200

TREASURER COUNSEL

\$10,000 3,000

Receipt and custody of all county monies and other monies pre-scribed by taw Keeping of county accounts Reports to State Comptroller of

receipts and disbursements.
Reports daily to County Comptroller.
Reports to county clerk, state
highway department, Appellate
Division of Supreme Court, and
Board of Supervisors, as to various
funds in custody of Treasurer.
Disbursement of funds only

as ordered by (a) Board of Supervisors.

(b) Comptroller.

(c) County Superintendent of Poor. (d) County Superintendent of Poor. (e) Statutory provision.

DEPUTY TREASURER


\$3,500 DEPUTY STENOGRAPHER 1,400

Chief accountant. General administra. tion of office and staff. Supervision of court & trust fund bureau.

CASHIER

\$1,800 CASHIER 1,500 CLERK

Keeping of financial records of courts and court expenses. Bronx Valley sewer fund accounts. Tax sale register.



COUNTY TREASURER

TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICES \$21,200

TREASURER COUNSEL

\$10,000 3,000

Receipt and custody of all county monies and other monies prescribed by taw
Keeping of county accounts
Reports to State Comptroller of

receipts and disbursements.

Reports daily to County Comptroller.

Reports to county clerk, state

highway department, Appellate

Division of Supreme Court, and

Board of Supervisors, as to various

funds incustody of Treasurer.

Disbursement of funds only

as ordered by (a) Board of Supervisors.

(b) Comptroller.

(c) County Superintendent of Poor. (d) County Superintendent of Poor. (e) Statutory provision.

DEPUTY TREASURER

\$3,500 DEPUTY STENOGRAPHER 1,400

Chief accountant. General administra tion of office and staff. Supervision of court & trust fund bureau.

CASHIER

\$1,800 CASHIER 1,500 CLERK

Keeping of Financial records of courts and court expenses. Bronx Valley sewer fund accounts. Tax sale register.

FLASHUE MANUEL REMUCES

HELLING STREET, TOWN OF SEC.

20073

TAMOR.

100 may 1-100 M

PIZINER.

ora, Mawara

See Joseph Control of Section 19 (19 Control of Section 19 Control

WANTED THE

merits to the board of supervisors, who are then the final auditors;

(2) The marketing of the county's obligations issued for cash loans, except temporary certificates of indebtedness.

(3) The audit of the accounts of the county treasurer and of other county officers, and control of the accounting system, and

prescription of forms for payrolls, budget estimates, etc.;

(4) The control of disbursements by the issuance of drafts upon the county treasurer for the payment of salaries or claims finally audited by the board of supervisors, and for the retirement of maturing obligations.

He has no power, under the law, to audit the finances of the civil subdivisions of the county, nor to establish uniformity of financial administration, as the county comptroller is not a part of the State's fiscal administration. The State Comptroller may step in over his head and audit the accounts of the county officers and reject, revise, or reconstruct the accounting system.

Under a special law for Westchester County, as stated hereinbefore, the county comptroller is also county auditor, so that he no longer has to submit his audits to the boards of supervisors. This is a most important step in the betterment of the county's financial administration. Responsibility is thus directly traceable to the comptroller himself for the expenditure of the bulk of the county's money.

Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| Position | Compensatio | n |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Comptroller | \$6,000 | 00 |
| Deputy comptroller | | 00 |
| Second deputy comptroller | 1,500 | 00 |
| Clerk | 1,000 | 00 |
| | | |
| | \$11,500 | 00 |

COUNTY TREASURER

The county treasurer is elected by the county electorate. His term of office is three years.

Under the general county law, the county treasurer is the chief fiscal officer of the county. In counties where a comptroller is elected the comptroller supersedes to practically all discretionary powers of the county treasurer. In Westchester county the comptroller is now vested with still further powers in the special act passed by the last Legislature, which gives him power of final audit and relieves the board of supervisors of Westchester county of that function.


The discretionary powers of the county treasurer are not large, even under the general law. He is merely the custodian of monies belonging to the county or placed in the care of the county in trust for future disbursements, as directed by particular statutes or court order. For all such monies he has to find proper depositories, the qualifications of which are likewise established by law. His disbursements are all controlled and directed by particular statutes or else under the authority of

- (a) The board of supervisors,
- (b) The county comptroller,
- (c) The courts of competent jurisdiction,
- (d) The county superintendent of the poor.

He is obliged to make reports periodically or as often as ordered by his superior officers or departments, regarding all details of the finances in his charge and of his administration of such finances, that such officers or departments may wish to know. He is usually provided with forms on which to make such reports. The control of the system of accounts and the forms of reports to be used by him, rests with the State Comptroller, although not all of the counties have as yet complied with the Comptroller's requirements in this respect. Westchester county has adopted the entire system of the Comptroller both as to county accounts and as to the county budget.

Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| Position | Compensation |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
| County treasurer | \$10,000 00 |
| Deputy county treasurer | |
| Cashier | 1,800 00 |
| Stenographer | 1,400 00 |
| Counsel | 3,000 00 |
| Clerk | 1,300 00 |
| Temporary clerical help | 200 00 |
| 1 0 | |



COUNTY COURT

JUDGE, I (FEES \$300) STENOGRAPHER \$10,300 3,500

Trial of civil cases and equity jurisdiction involving values of \$2,000 or less.
Trial of criminal cases in all but capital crimes.
Appeals from justices of the peace.

SHERIFF'S SERVICE

SHERIFF

DEPY SHERIFFS, 5 MORE AS NEEDED ON SHERIFF'S PAYROLL CONSTABLES, LOCAL POLICE EIL \$2,500

Preservation of order in courts
Enforcement of orders of judge.
Production of prisioners in
court and return to juil.
Services as messengers for
judge and court officers

WITNESSES

WITNESS FEES INTERPRETER \$11,200 1,900

Expert and lay testimony for the people in prosecution of crimes and insanity proceedings.

CLERK OF THE COURT

SPECIAL DEPUTY CO. CLERK (ON COUNTY CLERKS PAYROLL)

Making up calendars imponeling of junors and keeping record of their services and attendance. Swearing of witnesses. Keeping of the minutes of court proceedings.

JURY SERVICE

COMMISSIONERS OF JURORS 2

GRAND JURORS STENOGRAPHER TRIAL JURORS \$/0,800 2,500 24,000

Grand jury investigates alleged crime and the management of any i eld or department of county government. Indo indictions and makes presentingents. Pelity juriessif cases rendering rendists. questions of fact onlys.

SPECIAL DEPUTY EXCISE COMMISSIONER

Special deputy excise commissioners are appointed for certain counties by the State Commissioner of Excise. The term of office is five years.

Westchester county has such a deputy and one assistant. His functions may be briefly summarized as the collection of license fees and fines for the sale of spirituous liquors. Sums collected by him are accounted for and remitted every ten days, one-half to the State and one-half to the municipality wherein they are collected. For his personal compensation he receives \$1,250 from the State and \$1,250 from Westchester county. The salary of his assistant is divided in the same manner between State and county. The county's share of this compensation is fixed by the board of supervisors.

Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| (County share as | audited by State Commissione: | r of Excise | .) |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|----|
| Position | | Compensation | n |
| Deputy excise comr | nissioner | \$1,250 | 00 |
| Cashier | | 750 | 00 |
| • | | | |
| | | \$2,000 | 00 |
| | | . , | |

The State pays an equal amount.

COUNTY JUDGE

The county judge is elected by the county electorate. His term of office is six years.

He is the presiding judge of the county court. The jurisdiction of the county court is both original and appellate.

Its original jurisdiction covers civil cases involving values of \$2,000 or less, and criminal cases not involving murder or extraordinary causes.

It also includes the following special powers:


Summary proceedings.

Supplementary proceedings.

Commitment of insane.

Chamber orders of the Supreme Court.

All surrogate cases in which the surrogate is for any reason disqualified.



SPECIAL DEPUTY EXCISE COMMISSIONER

Special deputy excise commissioners are appointed for certain counties by the State Commissioner of Excise. The term of office is five years.

Westchester county has such a deputy and one assistant. His functions may be briefly summarized as the collection of license fees and fines for the sale of spirituous liquors. Sums collected by him are accounted for and remitted every ten days, one-half to the State and one-half to the municipality wherein they are collected. For his personal compensation he receives \$1,250 from the State and \$1,250 from Westchester county. The salary of his assistant is divided in the same manner between State and county. The county's share of this compensation is fixed by the board of supervisors.

Salaries and Fees for Personal Services (County share as audited by State Commissioner of Excise.)

| (000111 | J ZII | | ttttartoa | Joseph | 001111110 | 010110 | . 01 | - / |
|----------|--------|------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|--------------|-----|
| Position | n | | | | | | Compensation | n |
| Deputy | excise | comn | nissione | r | | | \$1,250 | 00 |
| Cashier | | | | | | | 750 | 00 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | \$2,000 | 00 |

The State pays an equal amount.

COUNTY JUDGE

The county judge is elected by the county electorate. His term of office is six years.

He is the presiding judge of the county court. The jurisdiction of the county court is both original and appellate.

Its original jurisdiction covers civil cases involving values of \$2,000 or less, and criminal cases not involving murder or extraordinary causes.

It also includes the following special powers:

Summary proceedings.

Supplementary proceedings.

Commitment of insane.

Chamber orders of the Supreme Court.

All surrogate cases in which the surrogate is for any reason disqualified.

Its appellate jurisdiction covers cases from the justices courts of the various towns and villages of the county and criminal appeals from the city court of Yonkers, reviews of bastardy proceedings, and appeals from certain decisions of the board of supervisors, boards of school trustees, etc.

The salary of the county judge of Westchester county is fixed by the Legislature at \$10,000 a year.

Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| Position | Compensation | n |
|---|--------------|----|
| County judge (statutory salary) | \$10,000 | 00 |
| Drawing jurors | 300 | 00 |
| County Court, civil: | | |
| County Court stenographer | 3,500 | 00 |
| Trial jurors (civil term) | 8,500 | 00 |
| Examination of incompetent's account | 300 | 00 |
| County Court, criminal: | | |
| Grand jurors | 10,800 | 00 |
| Stenographer to grand jury | 2,500 | 00 |
| Trial jurors | 14,700 | |
| Board of jurors | 800 | 00 |
| Interpreters | 1,800 | |
| Sanity examinations | 1,000 | |
| Expert witnesses | 500 | |
| Witness fees | $9,\!400$ | |
| Special interpreter | 100 | 00 |
| Justices, constables, and police: | | |
| Fees in felony cases, police, constables, and | | |
| justices | 2,500 | 00 |
| | \$66,700 | 00 |

SURROGATE

The surrogate is elected by the county electorate. His term of office is six years.

The general jurisdiction of this court covers the settlement of estates of decedents and consists of taking proof of wills, admitting wills to probate, or the reverse, granting and revoking letters testamentary and letters of administration, controlling and settling the accounts of executors, administrators, and trustees, and the

ADM QU OF RECORDS

COU! CLERK

\$1,800

COU.
(ORAS Ming of all documents, wills, various official of costamentary, administration, Talship), decrees, etc.
Preng of all official records.
of ad.
of all r

erage \$3,000 ayear.

SURROGATE'S COURT TOTAL SALARIES OF OFFICE \$33,100

SURROGATE EXAMINER OF GUARDIAN ACCOUNTS \$ 10,000

PERSONAL ATTENDANT

1,200

Proof of wills, settlement of estotes, fipponliment of governing dans, administrators, executors, control of trust tunds arising executors, control of trust tunds arising from wills, decedent's estates undetermined to Establishment and maintenance of records of wills, letters of administration, letters of will and produces the control of the control

CLERK OF COURT

CLERK DEPUTY CLERK

2,500

Ceneral supervision of office and slott Issue citations. Take proof of wills. Issue all orders, subpoens, and other papers not requiring signature of surrogate.

ADMINISTRATION BUREAU

COURT STENOGRAPHER \$1,800
CLERKS 2 3,400
COURT OFFICERS 2,400
WRAD MANY OF SURROUNTE DIRECTS)
Charge of all clerical work
of court.

Taking of lestimony. Preparation of papers, letters of administration. Keeping account of all receipts and disbursements.

TRANSFER TAX BUREAU

TRANSFER TAX ASSIST \$2,500

Supervision of fransfer has proceed ings. Keeping of records thereof. Filing of oil papers and documents perfaining thereofs. Reporting to State Comphalter all estates filed with Surrogale. Appraisals and lax assessed therean.

BUREAU OF RECORDS

RECORD CLERK \$1,800 CLERKS 3,000

Recording of all documents such as wills, various official letters (testamentary, administration, guardianship), decrees, etc. Indexing of all official records.

enforcement of payment of debts and legacies involved in such accounts and settlements. The court also directs the disposition of real property and interests therein of decedents, with the adjustment of proper claims and the distribution of proceeds, and it must administer justice in all matters relating to decedents' affairs.

Another of its functions is the appointment and removal of guardians for infants and the enforcement of just administration of the affairs of wards and of proper accounting in such matters.

Certain powers of the surrogate are exercised by his clerk or deputy clerk, such as the certification of any records of the court, the issuance of certain mandates, prescribed by the code of civil procedure, adjournment in case of disability or absence of the surrogate, taking of acknowledgments or proofs to be filed or used in surrogate's court, and upon the clerk rests the responsibility of keeping a trust fund register containing all the essential facts of proceedings in which such trust funds are concerned.

Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| Position | | Compensatio | n |
|------------------------|--------------|-------------|----|
| Surrogate | | \$10,000 | 00 |
| | | 4,000 | 00 |
| Deputy clerk | | 2,500 | 00 |
| | | 1,200 | 00 |
| Record clerk | | 1,800 | 00 |
| Recording clerk | | 1,700 | 00 |
| | erk | 1,300 | 00 |
| Index and accounting | clerk | 1,600 | 00 |
| | k | 1,800 | 00 |
| | | 1,800 | 00 |
| | | 1,200 | 00 |
| Court officer | | 1,200 | 00 |
| Examiner of guardian | accounts | 500 | 00 |
| | - | | |
| | | \$30,600 | 00 |
| Transfer tax clerk (St | tate salary) | 2,500 | 00 |
| | | \$33,100 | 00 |





1

o e v

t

WATER ANTERSTON BUREA

offer wasteres again to the

enforcement of payment of debts and legacies involved in such accounts and settlements. The court also directs the disposition of real property and interests therein of decedents, with the adjustment of proper claims and the distribution of proceeds, and it must administer justice in all matters relating to decedents' affairs.

Another of its functions is the appointment and removal of guardians for infants and the enforcement of just administration of the affairs of wards and of proper accounting in such matters.

Certain powers of the surrogate are exercised by his clerk or deputy clerk, such as the certification of any records of the court, the issuance of certain mandates, prescribed by the code of civil procedure, adjournment in case of disability or absence of the surrogate, taking of acknowledgments or proofs to be filed or used in surrogate's court, and upon the clerk rests the responsibility of keeping a trust fund register containing all the essential facts of proceedings in which such trust funds are concerned.

Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| Position | Compensation |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Surrogate | \$10,000 00 |
| Surrogate's clerk | 4,000 00 |
| Deputy clerk | 2,500 00 |
| Personal clerk | 1,200 00 |
| Record clerk | 1,800 00 |
| Recording clerk | 1,700 00 |
| Assistant recording clerk | 1,300 00 |
| Index and accounting clerk | 1,600 00 |
| Stenographer and clerk | 1,800 00 |
| Court stenographer | 1,800 00 |
| Court officer | 1,200 00 |
| Court officer | 1,200 00 |
| Examiner of guardian accounts | 500 00 |
| | \$30,600 00 |
| Transfer tax clerk (State salary) | 2,500 00 |
| | \$33,100 00 |

SUPREME COURT

The Supreme Court, as already stated, is not a county but a State department, although it holds sessions in and for the benefit of the county, it being the general court of original jurisdiction. The field of actions and other matters cognizable by this court is very broad, and it is not within the scope of our brief description of Westchester county government to even outline such matters. The accompanying chart of the organization of the court indicates sufficiently its relation to the county.

Briefly it may be said that all actions in law and equity that are not originally cognizable by Federal courts, or courts organized for special particular fields, may be pleaded before this court. There is no limitation as to values in civil actions.

The Supreme court library of Westchester county was created by chapter 304 of the Laws of 1908, placed in the Consolidated Laws as section 1070 of the Education Law of 1909, present section 1178 of the same law. The library is supported from two sources; requisition by the board of library trustees upon the board of supervisors for maintenance and supplies, and State appropriations.

The duties of the librarian are fixed by the library trustees. For Westchester county they are as follows: First, to annotate by card system all sections of the Consolidated Laws and Codes; second, to keep a card index of late decisions for the use of the judges; and third, to exercise supervision and general care of the library, including indexing and filing system. The salary of the librarian is paid by the board of supervisors. The State pays for binding and card indices, under a general allowance of \$600 per annum. The county supplies rooms, heat, light, janitor service, etc.

In 1898 there was created the office of librarian of the county law library, who is paid by the board of supervisors. At present he acts as clerk of the Supreme Court librarian. There are now about 8,700 volumes in the library.

SHERIFF

LAW LIBRARY

SHERIFF DEP'Y SHERI OR AS MANY AS CO

LIBRARIAN ASS'T LIBRARIAN \$2,000 1,500

(ON SHER Preservation of presiding justice prisioners in court Service os messe

and court office

Custody of County and Supreme Court library. Annotation of laws Preservation and codes. Indexing of library of mesiding instice Search of cases for judges.

CLERKOF

WITNESSES

SPECIAL DEPLWITNESSES (FEES) \$5,750 (COUNT INTERPRETER

Making u) Expert and lay testimony Impanelist or the people in the and record prosecution of crimes and attend prosecution of crimes swearing the people are plaintiff.

Keeping the people are plaintiff. of court pro

SUPREME COURT

JUSTICES, 5 AT \$17,500 EACH PART PAID BY COUNTY'S
STENGGRAPHERS 4 AT \$3,600 ER. \$2,000 TO STENGER PART PAID BY COUNTY'S
CONFIDENTIAL CLERKS 5 - 2,500 \$37,172.77

CONFIDENTIAL CLERKS 5 " 2,500 " 37,712.1 SPECIAL TERM STENOGRAPHER \$3,500 EMEGENCY STENOGRAPHER 1,000

Highest court of original jurisdiction.
Trial of civil causes involving values over \$50
General jurisdiction in law and equity under
provision of constitution.
Criminal actions instituted by indict ment.

SHERIFF'S SERVICE

SHERIFF DEP'Y SHERIFFS 18

and court officers

OR AS MANY AS COUNT DIRECTS
(ON SHEARTS BAYADLL)

Preservoil on of order in
Court room. Enforcement of ordes
of president justice. Production of
prisioners in court and returns you
Service or messengers for judge

LAW LIBRARY

LIBRARIAN \$2,000
ASST LIBRARIAN 1,500
Custody of County and Supreme
Court library. Annotation of lows
and codes. Indexing of library
Search of Cases for judges.

CLERK OF THE COURT

SPECIAL DEPUTY CO. CLERK 3
(COUNTY CLERKS PRYROLI)

Making up calendars, Impaneling of juries and record of their service and altendance. Swearing of witnesses. Keeping of minutes of court proceedings.

JURY SERVICE

COMMISSIONERS OF JURORS 2 (COMMIS OF JURORS PRYPALL) GRAND JURORS, TONSO, \$6,000 STENOG, TO GRAND JURY, 2,500 TRIAL JURORS, 49,000 TRIAL JURORS, 49,000

Grand jury investigates alleged crime and monogement of any field of a grant field of civil and criminal cases rendering verdats on questions of fact.

DEFENSE OF CRIMINALS

COUNSEL (FEES) \$4,000

Appointed by court to defend indigent prisoners charged with copital offense-


WITNESSES

WITNESSES (FEES) \$5,750 INTERPRETER 1,800

Expert and lay testimany for the people in the prosecution of crimes and ather couses wherein the people are plaintiff.

NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| Salaries and Fees for Personal Service | es |
|---|---|
| Levied by the State: | Share of compensation paid by Westchester county |
| Contributions to expenses of Judicial District: | |
| Additional compensation of justices of the Su- | |
| preme Court in the Ninth Judicial District | |
| and stenographer | \$30,224 59 |
| Compensation of the deputy clerk and attend- | |
| ants of the Appellate Division of the Su- | |
| preme Court in the Second Judicial De- | |
| partment and for a case and consultation | |
| clerk and two confidential clerks | 4,974 89 |
| Compensation of confidential clerks to justices | |
| of the Supreme Court in the Ninth Judicial | |
| District | 6,948 18 |
| Compensation of the justice of the Supreme | |
| Court designated to the Appellate Division | |
| of the Second Judicial Department from any | |
| district other than the Second Judicial Dis- | 1 011 05 |
| trict | 1,811 25 |
| Compensation of confidential clerk to justices | |
| of the Supreme Court designated to the Ap- | 0 1 1 0 1 0 |
| pellate Division of the Second Department. | 2,113 12 |
| For compensation for official referee of Appel- | × 770 00 |
| late Division, Second Department | 5,779 82 |
| • | \$51,851 85 |
| = | |
| Levied by the County: | |
| Supreme Court, Civil: | |
| Special stenographer | \$1,000 00 |
| Special Term stenographer | 3,500 00 |
| Trial jurors (Civil Term) Parts I and II | 33,500 00 |
| Supreme Court, Criminal: | |
| Grand jurors | 6,000 00 |
| Stenographer to grand jury | 2,500 00 |
| Trial jurors | 14,500 00 |
| Board of jurors | 1,000 00 |
| Interpreters | 1,800 00 |
| Sanity examinations | $250 \ 00$ |
| Expert witnesses | 1,500 00 |



SOLVER STORY OF SAME

84 STATUSTANDE TO SE

ET 49 W. JOHN

NINTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| Salaries and Fees for Personal Service | es |
|--|---|
| Position Levied by the State: | Share of compensation paid by Westchester county |
| Contributions to expenses of Judicial District: | |
| Additional compensation of justices of the Su- | |
| preme Court in the Ninth Judicial District | |
| and stenographer | \$30,224 59 |
| Compensation of the deputy clerk and attend- | |
| ants of the Appellate Division of the Su- | |
| preme Court in the Second Judicial De- | |
| partment and for a case and consultation | |
| clerk and two confidential clerks | 4,974 89 |
| Compensation of confidential clerks to justices | |
| of the Supreme Court in the Ninth Judicial | 0.040.40 |
| District | 6,948 18 |
| Compensation of the justice of the Supreme | |
| Court designated to the Appellate Division | |
| of the Second Judicial Department from any | |
| district other than the Second Judicial Dis- | 4 044 05 |
| triet | 1,811 25 |
| Compensation of confidential clerk to justices | |
| of the Supreme Court designated to the Ap- | 0 119 10 |
| pellate Division of the Second Department. | 2,113 12 |
| For compensation for official referee of Appellate Division, Second Department | 5,779 82 |
| rate Division, Second Department | 5,115 62 |
| • ′ | \$51,851 85 |
| = | Ψ01,001 00 |
| Levied by the County: | |
| Supreme Court, Civil: | |
| Special stenographer | \$1,000 00 |
| Special Term stenographer | 3,500 00 |
| Trial jurors (Civil Term) Parts I and II | 33,500 00 |
| Supreme Court, Criminal: | • |
| Grand jurors | 6,000 00 |
| Stenographer to grand jury | $2,500\ 00$ |
| Trial jurors | 14,500 00 |
| Board of jurors | 1,000 00 |
| Interpreters | 1,800 00 |
| Sanity examinations | $250 \ 00$ |
| Expert witnesses | 1,500 00 |
| | |

| Position | Share of compensation paid by Westchester county |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Supreme Court, Criminal — Continued: | * * * * * * * * * * |
| Witness fees | \$4,000 00 |
| Defense of criminals | 4,000 00 |
| Supreme Court Library: | |
| Librarian | 2,000 00 |
| County librarian | 1,500 00 |
| | 455.020.00 |
| | \$77,050 00 |
| | \$128,901 85 |
| | φ120,301 65 |

COMMISSIONER OF JURORS

Commissioners of jurors are appointed by a special board, consisting of the county judge, the sheriff, the district attorney, and the county treasurer. The term of office is four years, beginning on the second Monday in May. His functions are to receive the lists, sent to him by town and city officers, of names stated by such officers as available for jury service; to examine such lists as to eligibility, reject the names of those who are exempted by statutes from such service, and to prepare a selected list of those eligible; to canvass this whole list individually, summoning the persons named thereon, when necessary, to appear before him for examination; to prepare a final revised list of all the names eligible, and file a copy of such list with the county clerk. Panels of petit jurors, chosen by lot from such lists, are drawn by a board consisting of the county judge, the sheriff, and the commissioner of jurors. The panel of petit jurors consists ordinarily of sixty, but may be varied by court order. A panel of grand jurors consists of from twenty-three to twenty-five, as the court may specify. A trial jury of twelve is drawn from the petit jury panel by a court officer in the court room by lot in the presence of the judge. A grand jury consists of from sixteen to twenty-three of the panel of grand jurors. The exemptions from jury service, provided for by statute, are so numerous that they leave the commissioner of jurors sometimes a very difficult task in finding a sufficient number of eligible men to provide all the trial jurors necessary for a busy term of court.

The commissioner of jurors is obliged to keep records of lists prepared and certified, jurors drawn, and services actually performed by jurors.

COMMISSIONER OF JURORS

COMMISSIONER \$3,500 DEPUTY COMM'R 2,000 TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES 300

Preparation of lists of citizens eligible and available for jury service in Westchester County.

Examination and revision of such

as to exemptions.

Rejection of exempt names. Filing of certified correct lists

with the County Clerk.

Drawing of jury panels needed for each trial term of court.
Drawing of Grand Juries.
Notification of jurors drawn.
Custody of records pertaining to juries and jury service inthe County.

DEPUTY EXCISE COMMISSIONER

PAID BY

STATE

\$1,250 SPECIAL DEPUTY COMM'R \$1,250

750 CASHIER 750

Collect all excise fees for various grades of licenses in the County.

Collect excise fines.

Disburse receipts every ten days, one half to state, and one half to the municipality wherein fees and fines were collected.

COMMISSIONER OF JURORS

COMMISSIONER PERCENT PERCONDING P

Presarchon of IIsla of Collins Collins of Collins Collins of Collins Collins Collins of Collins of Such Examination and Collins of Such Examination and Such

Rejection of exempt indrens.
Filing at Cellilline con-uct lists
with the County Clerk

Lirating of juic sones needed

for each frial term of court

Drawing of soned livies

Netficollog of juices drawn

Custody of records perioning to

DEPUTY EXCUSE COMMISSIONER

GESTE MANUS ALLANDER STATE 1200 SHEEMS THANKS ALLANDER 1200 SHEEMS THANK

grades of licenses in in Crumity,
Collect discuss limits

Disburse receiple every tin days, one half to state and one half to the municipality wherein fees and fines were collected.

AGNEDA

C05,128

102 /8 N

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O

control of 10/4 Fapper - charma The other of the other Michaels In show

domination to

el 15/04/15/04/15

A market Mark

TO NATE & LINE AS ONLY MUTERIAL SAME

DETECTIVES AND SAL INVESTIGATORS FISCAND
PROTOGRAPHERS EINSTEIN SALS SOCIO
EXPERT WITHELSTED
COMMINISTRATION OF DETRINED WITHESSES -2,000
CLEFICAL HELF WITHOUT WITHOUT WITH

Port of the contract of the co

ALTERNATIVE STATES

KASTE IN

the second of the second

Olites Statement

DISTRICT ATTORNEY

TOTAL PERSONAL SERVICE.

\$ 51.800

DISTRICT ATTORNEY

\$ 8.500

Prosecution of criminal violation of law cognizable Prosecution of criminal violation of ibu Cognizable by courls of the County (including special stables, such as excise, pure food, hospital-fire-escope, of Accounting for moneys of his office, and pay periodically to County treasurer.
Reports in writing to County Court, of actions

instituted by his office.

Bringing of actions to recover forfeited bails Calling Speriff to aid in criminal matters

Destruction of gambling apparatus, obscene prints, false weights and measures, etc. Compulsion of elected officials to show

campaian expenses.

Helping attorney general as ordered. Advice to grand jury.

CONFIDENTIAL STENGGRAPHER \$1200 Private secretary to district ottorney in his official capacity

COMPLAINTS AND INDICTMENTS

FIRST ASS'T DISTRICT ATTORNEY \$ 4.000 SECOND " 3.500

All the powers of the District Attorney. Investigation of complaints; presentation Investigation or compitaints, presentation of grand fury.
Preparation and prosecution of cases
Preparation for the grand jury.
Trial of causes in the appropriate courts.
Such special work as assigned to them INVESTIGATION AND EVIDENCE SERVICE FEES

DETECTIVES AND SPL. INVESTIGATORS \$15,000 PHOTOGRAPHERS ENGRS CHEMISTS EN. 1.500

10.000 EXPERT WITNESSES COMPENSATION OF DETRINED WITNESSES 4.000 500 CIFRICAL HELP (STENOGRAPHY)

Preparation of evidence. Special doloctive work. Preparation of pictures, diagrams, etc., of scenes of crime. Expert examination of potential evidences of crime or misconduct.

COUNTY INVESTIG'R \$1.800

by the District Attorney.

Investigation of complaints. Searching out of witnesses. Such detective work as assigned to him by the district attorney.

BURFAU OF JURORS AND WITNESSES

DEPUTY SHERIFF I (ON SHERIFF'S PAYROLL)

Keeping of draft book for compen sation of witnesses and grand jurors Orawing of subpoenes for district attorney. Keeping of records of grand jury and witness service.

LAW CLERK

\$1.800

Custody of filing of all records of the district offormey's denartment.

Office stenographer.

Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| Position | Compensation |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Commissioner of Jurors | \$3,500 00 |
| Regular clerks and assistants, deputy | 2,000 00 |
| Temporary employees | 300 00 |

\$5,800 00

DISTRICT ATTORNEY

The district attorney is elected by the county electorate. His term of office is three years.

In a broad sense, the district attorney is in closer touch with the people in general than any other county officer. It is to the district attorney that resort is had for the prosecution of those who have perpetrated crime. Upon the district attorney, in large measure, depend standards of municipal ethics and morality, for it is upon his initiative that prevention of gambling, pool rooms, and illicit and immoral business enterprises of various kinds is effected or their final abolition is secured.

In the prosecution of crime, therefore, he is the direct representative of the people. He acts on his own initiative in the prosecution of excise violations, in the suppression of gambling and of other illicit and immoral practices. He exercises in this respect a quasi-judicial function as to the merits of complaints brought before him, and even, to some extent, as to the prosecution of indictments found by the grand jury.

When criminal actions, in behalf of the people, are instituted, he has entire charge of the preparation of the cases and the direction of the prosecution, and may employ such detectives, expert witnesses, and procure such other types of evidence as may be necessary to guarantee the full rights of the people in such trials.

He is subordinate to the Attorney-General of the State, who may, if he deems proper, supersede the district attorney in any action instituted by him, and conduct such action himself or through his agents. The Attorney-General may call upon the district attorney also to assist in the prosecution of any cases to which the State is a party.

DISTRICT R.

TOTAL PERSONAL MERNIE

DISTRICT ATTORNEY

COMPLAINTS AND INDICTORNIST

FIRST NEW DISTRICT STERNEY + SHE SECOND II JEAN

HIT HE SERVED OF the PIE TO THE POINT OF THE

COUNTY JUNESTIF'S EL 800

In election of amount in a second of the sec

BURERU VINCORE IND HIT

DEPOSIT SINGUESE

Name of

SIN BUSINES

Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| Position | Compensation |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| Commissioner of Jurors | \$3,500 00 |
| Regular clerks and assistants, deputy | 2,000 00 |
| Temporary employees | 300 00 |
| | |
| | \$5,800 00 |

DISTRICT ATTORNEY

The district attorney is elected by the county electorate. His term of office is three years.

In a broad sense, the district attorney is in closer touch with the people in general than any other county officer. It is to the district attorney that resort is had for the prosecution of those who have perpetrated crime. Upon the district attorney, in large measure, depend standards of municipal ethics and morality, for it is upon his initiative that prevention of gambling, pool rooms, and illicit and immoral business enterprises of various kinds is effected or their final abolition is secured.

In the prosecution of crime, therefore, he is the direct representative of the people. He acts on his own initiative in the prosecution of excise violations, in the suppression of gambling and of other illicit and immoral practices. He exercises in this respect a quasi-judicial function as to the merits of complaints brought before him, and even, to some extent, as to the prosecution of indictments found by the grand jury.

When criminal actions, in behalf of the people, are instituted, he has entire charge of the preparation of the cases and the direction of the prosecution, and may employ such detectives, expert witnesses, and procure such other types of evidence as may be necessary to guarantee the full rights of the people in such trials.

He is subordinate to the Attorney-General of the State, who may, if he deems proper, supersede the district attorney in any action instituted by him, and conduct such action himself or through his agents. The Attorney-General may call upon the district attorney also to assist in the prosecution of any cases to which the State is a party.

Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| Position | Compensation | |
|---|--------------|----|
| District attorney | \$8,500 | 00 |
| Assistant district attorney | 4,000 (| 00 |
| Second assistant district attorney | 3,500 (| 00 |
| Law clerk | 1,800 | 00 |
| Confidential stenographer | 1,200 | 00 |
| Detectives and special investigation | | 00 |
| Photographs, surveys and chemical analysis: | 1,500 | 00 |
| Stenographer and typewriting | | 00 |
| Expert witnesses | | 00 |
| Compensation of detained witnesses | 4,000 | 00 |
| County investigator | | 00 |
| | \$51,800 | 00 |

CORONERS

The coroner is chosen by the county electorate. His term of office is three years. The law prescribes that, for counties containing a population of 100,000 and over, four such coroners shall be elected. In other counties the number, not to exceed four, is to be fixed by the board of supervisors. The coroner's chief functions are those of inquiry into the causes of the death or dangerous wounding of any person who has so suffered under circumstances which afford reasonable ground to suspect criminal causes therefor. He is empowered to impanel juries and hold a court to inquire into such matters, and to summon and examine witnesses. For any person or persons shown thereby as chargeable with such crime, or as furnishing cause for belief of their guilt, the coroner must issue warrants of arrest.

In case of vacancy in the office of the sheriff, there being no under sheriff, the coroner must succeed to the duties of the sheriff, and perform them until that office is otherwise filled. There appears to be no function of the coroner's office that is not a reduplication of the functions of some other department or county office.

CORO

CORONER TEMPORARY L

PHYSICIANS STENOGRAPHER INTERPRETER

*

CORONER

| CORONER | \$ 2,000 |
|-----------|-----------|
| TEMPORARY | EMPLOYEES |
| | |

 PHYSICIANS
 \$ 900

 STENOGRAPHER
 \$ 150

 INTERPRETER
 \$ 75

 \$ 3,125

*

* liestigate causes, and st of parties char 2se filled.

CORONER SERVICE

TOTAL SALARIES & FEES \$19,975

CORONER

| CORONER TEMPORARY E | \$2,000 MPLOYEES |
|------------------------|---------------------|
| PHYSICIANS | \$3,500 |
| STENOGRAPHER | \$1,100 |
| INTERPRETER | \$ 100 |
| | \$6,700 |
| | |

CORONER

| CORONER | \$2,000 |
|--------------|---------|
| TEMPORARY EM | PLOYEES |
| PHYSICIANS | \$3,000 |
| STENOGRAPHER | \$ 925 |
| INTERPRETER | \$ 75 |
| | \$6,000 |
| | |
| | |

CORONER

| CORONER | \$2,000 |
|--------------|---------|
| TEMPORARY EM | PLOYEES |
| PHYSICIANS | \$1,800 |
| STENOGRAPHER | \$ 275 |
| INTERPRETER | \$ 75 |
| | \$4,150 |
| | |
| | |

CORONER

| CORONER TEMPORARY EN | \$ 2,000 1PLOYEES |
|-------------------------|----------------------|
| PHYSICIANS | \$ 900 |
| STENOGRAPHER | \$ 150 |
| INTERPRETER | \$ 75 |
| | \$3,125 |
| | |
| * | |

^{*} Functions of Coroners,—same for all,— Inquirie into causes of sudden or violent death. Mold court of inquest to investigate causes, and by determine whether death resulted from crime. To issue warrant for orness of parties chargeable with such crime. 2-10 act as short! (in case of vacancy in affice of sheriff, fill office is atterwise filled.

SHERIFF

\$10.000

TOTAL SALARIES OF OFFICE

\$ 68,200

Execution of court orders. Service of processes preservation of order to courts. Service of sub-poenas, you not become the court of the preservation of public poenes. For execution of the public poenes. For execution of riots, lay posses or call out milita it needed Enforcement of posses or call out milita it needed Enforcement augmentation of the public poenes. For executional Deportment Pursuit and orrest of crammals. Protection and quary where necessing of the laberty and properly of all Execution of court orders. Service of processes

persons in county is the persons in county foils and prisons Custody and care of prisoners committed by magis—trates. Provision of food and clothing for prisoners

UNDER SHERIFF

\$3000

General supervision over office and staff Succession to shrievally in case of vacancy.

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICE

\$8000 DEPUTY SHERIFFS 4 CLERKS 2 \$3300 COUNSEL \$2,000

Keeping of records of de-partment: Serve court processes Serve bench warrants. subpoenos and jury notices for district afforney.

Advising the sheriff as to legality of his proceedings.

JAIL SERVICE

\$1800 WARDEN \$3,900 ASST WAPDENS \$ 800 PHYSICIAN MATRONS COOK & SERVANTS

Custody and care of prisoners committed by magistrates. Provision of food and necessory clothing for prisoners.

COURT SERVICE

DEPUTY SHERIFFS 23 \$27,600 SHERIFF AND DEPUTIES AS COURT MAY DIRECT

Guard of courts in session Preservation of order. Serve as messenders for judge, offorneys, and clerk Producing prisoners in court as directed and returning same to custody of sheriff



Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| Position | Compensation | n |
|--|--------------|----|
| Coroner | \$2,000 | 00 |
| Physicians (external examination and autopsies). | 3,500 | 00 |
| Stenographer | 975 | 00 |
| Interpreter | 100 | 00 |
| Typewriter | 125 | 00 |
| Coroner | 2,000 | 00 |
| Physicians (external examination and autopsies). | 3,000 | 00 |
| Stenographer | 800 | |
| Interpreter | 75 | 00 |
| Typewriter | 125 | 00 |
| Coroner | 2,000 | 00 |
| Physicians (external examination and autopsies). | 1,800 | |
| Stenographer | 150 | |
| Interpreter | 75 | 00 |
| Typewriter | 125 | |
| Coroner | 2,000 | |
| Physicians (external examination and autopsies). | 900 | |
| Stenographer | 75 | |
| Interpreter | | 00 |
| Typewriter | • - | 00 |
| Typewitter | 10 | 00 |

\$19,975 00

SHERIFF

The sheriff is elected by the county electorate. His term of office is three years, and he cannot succeed himself in office. As already indicated, the sheriff's powers and functions are distributed over several fields of governmental control. He is the peace officer of the county, and in the maintenance of the public peace, and the suppression of riots or other disturbances, he may, if needed, levy posses of citizens or call upon the State militia for aid.

As an officer of the courts, he must guard and protect the courts when in session, execute its mandates, serve its processes, confine and produce in court, as directed by the courts, any or all prisoners committed to his charge.

He must assist the coroners and the district attorney in criminal investigations, and serve subpoenas, jury notices, and bench warrants for the district attorney.

He also has charge of the jails, and is obliged to provide, at the expense of the county, such food and such clothing and other supplies as may be needed for the prisoners confined therein. Such correctional functions as are performed for the county are in his charge.

The sheriff of Westchester county is compensated by both fees and salary. The amount of fees received is not made public by the sheriff, but is variously estimated at from \$8,000 to \$20,000

in addition to his salary.

Salaries and Fees* for Personal Services

| Position | Compensation | |
|--|---|--|
| Sheriff | \$10,000 | |
| Under sheriff | 3,000 | 00 |
| Counsel | -, | 00 |
| Clerk | 1,800 | 00 |
| Assistant clerk | 1,500 | 00 |
| Twenty-eight deputies' salaries at \$1,200 each (assigned to courts) | 33,600 | 00 |
| Deputy sheriff | 2,000 | 00 |
| Deputy sheriff | 2,000 | 00 |
| 1 0 | , | 00 |
| Deputy sheriff | , | 00 |
| Deputy sheriff | 2,000 | 00 |
| | \$59,900 | 00 |
| | | |
| T 13 | | |
| Jail: | 44 000 | |
| Warden | \$1,800 | |
| Warden | 1,500 | 00 |
| Warden | 1,500 1,200 | 00 |
| Warden | 1,500 1,200 1,200 | 00 00 00 |
| Warden First assistant warden Second assistant warden Third assistant warden Matron | 1,500 1,200 1,200 600 | 00 00 00 00 |
| Warden | 1,500 1,200 1,200 600 600 | 00 00 00 00 00 |
| Warden First assistant warden Second assistant warden Third assistant warden Matron Night matron Cook and other servants | 1,500 1,200 1,200 600 600 600 | 00 00 00 00 |
| Warden . First assistant warden . Second assistant warden . Third assistant warden . Matron . Night matron . | 1,500 1,200 1,200 600 600 600 | 00 00 00 00 00 |
| Warden First assistant warden Second assistant warden Third assistant warden Matron Night matron Cook and other servants | 1,500 1,200 1,200 600 600 600 | 00 00 00 00 00 00 |
| Warden First assistant warden Second assistant warden Third assistant warden Matron Night matron Cook and other servants | 1,500 1,200 1,200 600 600 600 800 | 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 |

^{*} Fees received by sheriff not made public.



SUPERINTENDENT OF THE POOR

COUNTY SUPERINTENDENT \$ 5,000

*CLERKS. 2 1,200

375

*STENOGRAPHERS 2

Relief of county poor, and of fown poor committed to olmshouse. Medical treatment of sick in almshouse, ulso of indigent sick persons committed from towns. Administration of county almshouse and hospital. Audit of bills for relief and transportation of

Audit of bills for relief and transportation of county poor. Furnishing of temporary vuldoor relief. Annual report of all receipts and disbursements, and all official acts, to board of supervisors. Annual report to county treasurer of expenditures for town poor. Annual report to state board of

charities in form prescribed by such board.
Placing in privale homes, poor children, and supervision of such homes.
Supervision of poor children in institutions.

PLACING-OUT BUREAU

*FEMALE AGENTS, 5 \$1,800
*STENOGRAPHER

of persons applying for children, also of homes of children already committed. Supervision of homes to which children have been committed. Supervision of children

in institutions.

ALMSHOUSE

RELIGIOUS SERVICE

PROTESTANT CHAPI BING 3.50

Conduct of Sundouserrices

Consolation of the sick

Officiale at burials

CATHOLIC

and duing.

#KEEPER \$1,500 EMPLOYEES, 7 2,160

Indoor relief and institutional care for adult paupers.

HOSPITALS

SYISITING PHYSICIAN \$,000
INTERNES 900
CHIEF NURSE / 900
NURSES /2 6,600
COOKS 3 450
OTHER SERVANTS 125
ORDERLIES (IMMATES)

General relief for sick almshouse inmales, and sick persons committed from towns.

Provision of relief
for persons committed
who will pay port or all their
cast. Tuberculosis ward
for the care of tuberculosis abjents.

FARM AND GROUNDS

SUPERINTENDENT \$900 LABORERS 200 LABORERS (MMATES)

The raising of farm produce for maintenance of almshouse and hospital inmates (the produce milk, eggs and vegetables).

Furnish employment for inmates

S Physician has medical administration of all departments.

^{*} Where no amount is stated, salary is paid by private subscription. Other service marked * county pays the omount named, private subscription the balance.

**Respects responsible for discipling and order in all departments.

SUPERINTENDENT OF POOR


The superintendent of the poor is elected by the county electorate. His term of office is three years.

The primary function of this officer is the relief of indigent poor persons. The execution of such function necessarily includes the administration of all the institutions provided by the county for such relief, and the provision of food, clothing, shelter, medical treatment, and other necessities for the operation of such relief.

The law tries to locate upon individual communities responsibility for the support of their own poor, hence it distinguishes between town poor and county poor, the latter being only such as have no settlement in any one of the townships or cities of the county. In case of disputes as to such settlement, the county superintendent decides. In consequence of such law, the number of county poor is comparatively small.

The township overseers of the poor and the local magistrates have power to commit to the institutional care of the county such poor persons as they deem proper subjects for such commitment. The superintendent is then obliged to care for them properly while under his charge. He is empowered to discharge such persons as he finds not in need of institutional relief, and to transfer to other institutions of suitable character any of his charges who are imbecile, insane, feeble-minded, or sick of contagious discases. For all persons committed to his care, he must keep strict account of the cost of their maintenance, and report such cost annually to the county treasurer in such form that each town may be charged with amounts expended for the poor of that town, and the county at large may be charged with the total cost of county poor.

In the administration of his office, the county superintendent has power to draw upon the county treasurer, without further audit, for funds for the support of his department, to the full extent of the budget appropriations.



SUPERINTENDENT OF POOR

The superintendent of the poor is elected by the county electorate. His term of office is three years.

The primary function of this officer is the relief of indigent poor persons. The execution of such function necessarily includes the administration of all the institutions provided by the county for such relief, and the provision of food, clothing, shelter, medical treatment, and other necessities for the operation of such relief.

The law tries to locate upon individual communities responsibility for the support of their own poor, hence it distinguishes between town poor and county poor, the latter being only such as have no settlement in any one of the townships or cities of the county. In case of disputes as to such settlement, the county superintendent decides. In consequence of such law, the number of county poor is comparatively small.

The township overseers of the poor and the local magistrates have power to commit to the institutional care of the county such poor persons as they deem proper subjects for such commitment. The superintendent is then obliged to care for them properly while under his charge. He is empowered to discharge such persons as he finds not in need of institutional relief, and to transfer to other institutions of suitable character any of his charges who are imbecile, insane, feeble-minded, or sick of contagious diseases. For all persons committed to his care, he must keep strict account of the cost of their maintenance, and report such cost annually to the county treasurer in such form that each town may be charged with amounts expended for the poor of that town, and the county at large may be charged with the total cost of county poor.

In the administration of his office, the county superintendent has power to draw upon the county treasurer, without further audit, for funds for the support of his department, to the full extent of the budget appropriations.

Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| pararies and rees for refiscion pervie | | |
|--|--------------|-----|
| Position | Compensation | |
| Superintendent of poor | \$5,000 | |
| Clerk | 1,200 | 00 |
| Almshouse Inmates: | | 0.0 |
| Keeper | 1,500 | 00 |
| Almshouse Employees: | | |
| Matron | 300 | |
| First assistant matron | 480 | 00 |
| Second assistant matron | 300 | |
| Cook, keeper's kitchen | 600 | 00 |
| Cook, inmates' kitchen | 120 | 00 |
| Cook, general hospital | , 100 | 00 |
| Cook, tuberculosis hospital | 100 | 00 |
| Baker | 180 | 00 |
| Night caretaker | 180 | 00 |
| Driver | 180 | 00 |
| Nurses: | | |
| Chief nurse | 900 | 00 |
| Nurse | 780 | 00 |
| Nurse | 720 | 00 |
| Nurse | 600 | 00 |
| Nurse | 600 | 00 |
| Nurse | 540 | 00 |
| Nurse | 480 | 0.0 |
| Nurse | 480 | 00 |
| Nurse | 480 | 00 |
| Nurse | 480 | 00 |
| Physician and Medicine: | | |
| Visiting physician | 1,000 | 00 |
| Senior interne | 600 | 00 |
| Junior interne | 300 | 00 |
| | | |
| Chaplains: Catholic | 550 | 00 |
| | | |
| Protestant | 350 | 00 |
| Farm Superintendent and Labor: | | |
| Superintendent | 900 | |
| Labor | 200 | 00 |
| | | |

IICE

\$13,500

functions clerk of me Courts vite Plains.

IREAU

\$1,500

s of official lerk to docu-

201.

BURE AND INDEXING BUREAU

GENERI_ CLERKS 4 \$4,320

Keepins and filing of all documents
register to the custody of the county clerk.
of any ring all old documents and
from Cong all old documents and
and decto flat filing system (temporary
produc
on requi
person.
affidar

COUNTY CLERK

TOTAL SALARIES

8 20 7700 \$10.000

COUNTY CLERK

Clerk of the County Court. Clerk of the Supreme Court white sitting in the county of the seconds of the county of the court of the court of the county of the court of the county of the court of the county of the court of the c

business in the county
Report changes of Coparale names to State
Superintendent of banks insurance etc.

superintendent of Banks, insurance etc. Report marriage records to State Dept of Health Record and occount for all fees received. Pau periodically to County Treosurer. Report receipte and disbursements to Board of Supervisors

Record of Irust and court funds, lis pendens, Mecond of Irust and court funds, its pender building loan agreements mechanics liens, register of medical proctitioners etc.

STENOGRAPHER \$1200

General service to clerk and denuties.

DEPUTY COUNTY CLERK DEPUTY CLERK \$2,500

General Supervision of office and staff Succeeds county clerk

COURT SERVICE

SPECIAL DEPUTY
COURT CLERKS 4 \$13.500 Restormance of the functions Fine County Clerk as clerk of

BURFAU OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL RECORDS

SECOND DEPUTY CO. CLERK \$1500 OTHER CLERKS (ASNEEDED)

Records of Ils pendens, building loan agreements, mechanics liens business certificates. Registers of medical practitioners.

CERTIFICATE BUREAU

CERTIFICATE CLERK

ments to be certified Custody of county seal.

BUREAU OF COURT RECORDS

GENERAL CLERKS 3 \$3750 Receiving of County and Supreme Cours registers. Make searches and copies of any records or decisions required of any records or decisions required from County Clerk, Rasign briefs and and decisions for Filing.

Produce any document asked for On requisition by any interested person. Record of marriage allidavits and Itemses.

NATURALIZATION BURFAU

THIRD DEPUTY CO. CIERK \$1 500 Record of applications for naturalissued and cilizenshipe granted in Wesichester County.

FILING AND INDEXING BUREAU SPECIAL CLERKS 4 \$4,320

indexing and filing of all documeris committed to the custady of the county clerk. Reindexing all old documents and changing to flat filing ayakm (temperary

| Physician and Medicine: | |
|--|-------------|
| Physician | \$50 00 |
| Medicine | $25 \ 00$ |
| Services overseer of poor and health officer | 200 00 |
| Hospital service | 100 00 |
| County Agent for Placing Dependent Children: | |
| Catholic | 900 00 |
| Protestant | 900 00 |
| - | |
| | \$23,815 00 |
| _ | |

In addition to the above, the present superintendent of the poor of Westchester county employs an assistant superintendent with expert training in charitable administration, two additional placing-out agents and several stenographers, whom he compensates from private funds.

COUNTY ATTORNEY

The county attorney is appointed by the board of supervisors, and is removable at its pleasure. His term of office is two years. His salary is fixed by the board and paid out of county revenues.

The statute simply provides that the board may prescribe the duties of this officer, which may include services to town boards and town officials, when not in conflict with county interests.


Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| Dataties all | a rees for refisorial pervie | ,65 |
|--------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | | |
| | | \$3,200 00 |

(See organization chart for Board of Supervisors.)

COUNTY CLERK

The county clerk is elected by the county electorate. His term of office is three years. He is the custodian of county records of certain nature. In Westchester county some of the functions of his office have been assigned to separate departments, which were created as the needs of the county increased. These are:



| Physician and Medicine: | | |
|--|----------|-----|
| Physician | \$50 | 00 |
| Medicine | 25 | 00 |
| Services overseer of poor and health officer | 200 | 00 |
| Hospital service | 100 | .00 |
| County Agent for Placing Dependent Children: | | |
| Catholic | 900 | 00 |
| Protestant | 900 | 00 |
| - | \$23,815 | 00 |
| | Ψ20,010 | 00 |

In addition to the above, the present superintendent of the poor of Westchester county employs an assistant superintendent with expert training in charitable administration, two additional placing-out agents and several stenographers, whom he compensates from private funds.

COUNTY ATTORNEY

The county attorney is appointed by the board of supervisors, and is removable at its pleasure. His term of office is two years. His salary is fixed by the board and paid out of county revenues.

The statute simply provides that the board may prescribe the duties of this officer, which may include services to town boards and town officials, when not in conflict with county interests.

Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| Position | 1 | Compensation |
|----------|---------------|----------------|
| County | attorney | \$3,000 00 |
| Tempor | ary employees | 200 00 |
| | | |
| | | \$3,200 00 |
| | | |

(See organization chart for Board of Supervisors.)

COUNTY CLERK

The county clerk is elected by the county electorate. His term of office is three years. He is the custodian of county records of certain nature. In Westchester county some of the functions of his office have been assigned to separate departments, which were created as the needs of the county increased. These are:

(1) All records concerning transfer of and encumbrances upon real property, and certain other matters which are set off by themselves and assigned to the county register;

(2) The preparing of jury lists, and the drawing of jurors for

the various courts which hold sessions in the county;

(3) The custody of election records, and the conduct of local elections.

The departments handling these functions are described in their

proper place.

The chief functions of the county clerk, therefore, in West-chester county at present are the keeping of the records of all court procedure in the county, of all litigation instituted and the docketing of judgments, the filing of all public documents, consigned to the county clerk's charge, in such a manner that they may be immediately accessible at all times, the making of searches and certified copies of any public documents on demand, on the payment of appropriate fees, the registry of all business and other corporations formed within the county, or doing business within the county, the naturalization of aliens under direction of the court, the performance of all necessary court services, and the making of reports concerning business to appropriate state and other officials, as prescribed by law.

The clerk of Westchester county has a staff of eighteen deputies and employees, including four special deputy clerks for the actual performance of court service. None of this court service is per-

sonally performed by the county clerk himself.

Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| Position | Compensation |
|---|--------------|
| County clerk | \$10,000 |
| Special deputy court clerk, Supreme Court, Part I | 3,500 |
| Special deputy court clerk, Supreme Court, Part II. | 3,500 |
| Special deputy court clerk, County Court | 3,500 |
| Special deputy court clerk, Supreme Court, Special | |
| Term | 3,000 |
| First deputy county clerk | 2,500 |
| Second deputy county clerk | 1,500 |
| Third deputy county clerk | 1,500 |
| Certificate clerk | 1,500 |
| General clerk | 1,250 |
| General clerk | 1,250 |

RECEIVING & AS BUREA

BOOKKEEPER I

Receive doc for registrat in tickler in assign them er departn clerks to be i

MAPS & MISCELLANEOUS RECORDS BUREAU

CUSTODIAN OF MAPS 1 \$ 1,500

File and index
official maps of the
county and its various
subdivisions, of realty
transfers and subdivisions, and miscellaneous official maps.

REGISTER

REGISTER

FEES

TOTAL COST OF OFFICE \$28700

Record and index all real estate canveyances, martgages, salistachars homeslead exemptions, limited partnerships, rural cemeteries, maps, etc., in books and indexes as prescribed by low. Custady of records as above

DEPUTY REGISTER

General supervision of office and employees.
Acts as register in the absence of register; succeeds him in office in case of vacancy.

RECEIVING & ASSIGNMENT BUREAU

BOOKKEEPER 1 \$1,200 MAILING CLERK 1 \$1,200

Receive documents for registration, enter in tickler indexes, assign them to proper department or clerks to be recorded

MORTGAGE TAX

MORTGAGE TAX DEPUTY-I2,300
CASHIER I \$1,700
Collect and account
for tax on mortgages
Pay receipts monthly to county treasurer. Report receipts
and disposal thereof.

RECORDING AND INDEXING

RECORDING CLERKS 7 \$ 10920 INDEXING CLERKS 3 \$ 4680 COMPARING CLERKS 2 \$ 3,120

Record verbalim documents submitted for per monent record in: registers office. Index off such dacuments in permanent Indices. Compare record with original.

MAPS & MISCELLANEOUS RECORDS BUREAU

CUSTODIAN OF MAPS 1 \$ 1,500

File and index official maps of the county and its various subdivisions, of really transfers and subdivisions, and miscellaneous official maps.

| Position | Compensation |
|-----------------------------|--------------|
| General clerk | \$1,250 |
| Stenographer and typewriter | 1,200 |
| Special clerk | 1,080 |
| - | |
| | #20 770 |

\$39,770

REGISTER

The register, in counties which have registers, is elected by the county electorate. His term of office is three years. He is compensated ordinarily from the fees of his office, and all the clerks and office assistants that he employs must also be paid from such office fees.

The functions of the register are to record all documents presented to him for that purpose, relating to transfers of property, such as deeds, mortgages, and all other conveyances of real estate, including satisfactions of mortgages, notices of homestead exemptions, records of limited copartnerships, rural cemeteries, official maps, and miscellaneous matters. He has to index all such records, and all papers and documents received for record, and is responsible for their custody and proper preservation. He is obliged to keep such records open and accessible to all seekers for information concerning public business at all times during office hours.

Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| Position | Compensation |
|--|--------------|
| Deputy register | \$2,080 |
| Bookkeeper and general clerk | 1,200 |
| Mailing clerk and general clerk | 1,200 |
| Mortgage tax deputy | 2,300 |
| Cashier | 1,700 |
| 7 recording clerks, 3 indexing clerks, 2 comparing | |
| clerks, 12 in all, about \$30 a week each | 18,720 |
| Stenographer | 1,000 |
| Custodian of maps (appointed by board of super- | |
| visors) | 1,500 |
| · | |

\$29,700



| 1,250 $1,200$ |
|---------------|
| 1,200 |
| / |
| 1,080 |
| 1,080 |
| 1,080 |
| 1,080 |
| 9,770 |
| |

REGISTER

The register, in counties which have registers, is elected by the county electorate. His term of office is three years. He is compensated ordinarily from the fees of his office, and all the clerks and office assistants that he employs must also be paid from such office fees.

The functions of the register are to record all documents presented to him for that purpose, relating to transfers of property, such as deeds, mortgages, and all other conveyances of real estate, including satisfactions of mortgages, notices of homestead exemptions, records of limited copartnerships, rural cemeteries, official maps, and miscellaneous matters. He has to index all such records, and all papers and documents received for record, and is responsible for their custody and proper preservation. He is obliged to keep such records open and accessible to all seekers for information concerning public business at all times during office hours.

Salaries and Fees for Personal Services

| Position | Compensation |
|--|--------------|
| Deputy register | \$2,080 |
| Bookkeeper and general clerk | 1,200 |
| Mailing clerk and general clerk | 1,200 |
| Mortgage tax deputy | 2,300 |
| Cashier | 1,700 |
| 7 recording clerks, 3 indexing clerks, 2 comparing | |
| clerks, 12 in all, about \$30 a week each | 18,720 |
| Stenographer | 1,000 |
| Custodian of maps (appointed by board of super- | |
| visors) | 1,500 |

\$29,700

All the foregoing salaries are fixed by the register, and paid by him from the fees of his office, except that of the custodian of maps, which is fixed by the board of supervisors, and paid from county revenues. The amount of fees remaining for the personal compensation of the register is not made public by him.

COUNTY GOVERNMENT

PART III

Tables Giving the Value of School Property and Expenditures for School Purposes in the State of New York



COUNTY GOVERNMENT

PART III

Tables Giving the Value of School Property and Expenditures for School Purposes in the State of New York

EXPLANATORY NOTE

The following tables have been arranged to give to the delegates to the Constitutional Convention detailed information concerning the value of school property in the various supervisory districts in each county of the State, in villages employing superintendents, and in cities. These tables appear as Table 2 and Table 6 in the annual report of the State Commissioner of Education for 1915.

Table 2 relates to school buildings, school property and school libraries throughout the State. These are given by supervisory districts within the counties. In each county, by supervisory districts, are given the number of school districts, number of seats, value of school site, building, miscellaneous equipment, and number of volumes in the school library. The same items of information are given for the villages and cities. It will be noted that the summary gives full information, under these heads, relating to elementary and secondary schools in the cities, villages, and towns, and the total for the entire State. The total value of school property in the State is \$225,433,687.

The other table in this chapter (Table 6) gives a financial statement showing the payments made on account of public schools throughout the State. This table shows these payments by supervisory districts in each county, by villages and by cities. The items include the salary of superintendent, salary of principal, salaries for teachers, janitors and engineers; expenditures for libraries, text books and supplies, compulsory attendance, school board and business offices, transportation of pupils, and new equipment; also expenditures for repairs and improvements, new buildings and sites; fuel and light; bonded indebtedness, both principal and interest; and all other incidental expenses. There are also given totals of these expenditures for the cities, for the villages, and for the towns, totals for elementary and secondary education, and a grand total for the entire State. The total expenditures for school purposes for the fiscal year covered by this table were \$100,714,641.25.



TABLE 2

Buildings, Property and Library

TABLE 6

Financial Statement Showing Payments by Public Schools

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm TABLE} \ \ 2 \\ {\rm Buildings, \ property \ and \ library} \end{array}$

| ARY | By Jasq Buring at 1 seat Jasq Buring | 330 20 350 | 1 022 | 285 39 324 | \$2.45 \$3.85 \$3.85 | 268 185 453 | 1 437 45 1 482 |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| LIBRARY | Number of volumes | 7 659 823 8 482 | 7 371 | 10 664 1 025 11 689 | 7 578 666 8 244 | 8 260 3 640 11 900 | 7 366 2 120 9 486 |
| | Tota, value of school | \$144 161 19 999 164 160 | 43 877 | 195 320 8 123 203 443 | 56 016 9 785 65 801 | 108 807 39 975 148 782 | 103 117 26 857 129 974 |
| | Value of all other | \$255 | 226 | 519 | 576 330 906 | 452 | 1 580 634 2 214 |
| | Value of library | \$3 921 636 4 557 | 4 767 | 6 445 1 205 7 650 | 5 639 860 6 499 | 3 590 2 720 6 310 | 6 470 1 950 8 420 |
| PROPERTY | autaraqqa lo sulaV | \$1 275 1 050 2 325 | 1 180 | 2 217 780 2 997 | 2 413 1 200 3 613 | 2 385 3 210 5 595 | 2 997 2 023 5 020 |
| | erudiatut to sulsV | \$8 491 1 262 9 753 | 3 094 | 9 375 568 9 943 | 2 793 410 3 203 | 8 980 4 945 13 925 | 6 320 1 250 7 570 |
| | sevodloods to sulaV | \$113 770 15 075 128 845 | 31 075 | 155 389 5 170 160 559 | 39 375 5 825 45 200 | 87 540 27 300 114 840 | 77 825 19 150 96 975 |
| | esuodloodes to sulsV | \$16 449 1 976 18 425 | 3 535 | 21 375 400 21 775 | 5 220 1 160 6 380 | 5 860 1 800 7 660 | 7 925 1 850 9 775 |
| BUILDINGS | to atses to redmuN agnittis | 2 279 126 2 405 | 1 562 | 3 090 98 3 188 | 2 007 112 2 119 | 2 077 317 2 394 | 2 072 318 2 390 |
| BUILI | loodsa to radmuZ agnibliud | 45 | 65 | 39 | 6629 | 43 43 | 47 4 47 |
| atoir | Jaib loodes 90 redmuN | 44 84 A | 65: | 37 1 37 | 9229 | 43 443 | 44 47 |
| | SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | Albany co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Allegany co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| | | | | | | 1 1100 | OILLION | | | 121 |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| | 1 020 41 1 061 | 155 74 230 | 156 45 201 | 76 110 186 | 184 | 455 137 592 | 112 245 357 | 31 69 100 | 869 436 1 305 | 104 78 182 |
| | 6 451 1 317 7 768 | 6 260 3 148 9 408 | 6 424 2 615 9 039 | 6 242 880 7 122 | 5 687 | 5 643 2 412 8 055 | 8 797 1 503 10 300 | 9 031 2 876 11 907 | 10 246 3 816 14 062 | 8 423 6 248 14 671 |
| | 66 764 24 325 91 089 | 161 177 106 350 267 525 | 46 008 9 100 55 108 | 47 997 6 015 54 012 | 48 730 | 61 284 16 885 78 169 | 87 247 38 161 125 408 | 100 982 23 218 124 200 | 93 764 24 442 118 206 | 116 092 31 829 147 921 |
| | 230 255 255 | 2 859 1 007 3 866 | 1 525 150 1 675 | 260 20 280 | 174 | 405 238 643 | 375 10 385 | 772 76 848 | 920 285 1 205 | 710 112 822 |
| | 4 407 1 200 5 607 | 3 341 2 088 5 429 | 2 606 1 500 4 106 | 3 525 420 3 945 | 4 378 | 3 162 1 682 4 844 | 5 509 1 780 7 289 | 4 717 2 106 6 823 | 5 406 2 758 8 164 | 3 802 3 138 6 940 |
| | 894 1 400 2 294 | 1 520 6 005 7 525 | 1 787 750 2 537 | 1 726 525 2 251 | 2 152 | 1 524 970 2 494 | 2 402 1 635 4 037 | 1 884 1 326 3 210 | 2 573 2 454 5 027 | 2 332 3 530 5 862 |
| | 3,588 950 4,538 | 5 633 5 900 11 533 | 6 470 1 000 7 470 | 3 946 550 4 496 | 1 916 | 3 408 645 4 053 | 7 011 2 486 9 497 | 7 044 1 110 8 154 | 6 995 1 115 8 110 | 9 758 2 849 12 607 |
| | 53 055 19 450 72 505 | 131 375 81 600 212 975 | 30 100 5 000 35 100 | 33 655 4 000 37 655 | 33 730 | 46 915 11 500 58 415 | 63 470 30 150 93 620 | 80 590 17 500 98 090 | 71 525 16 800 88 325 | 89 964 20 617 110 581 |
| | 4 590 1 300 5 890 | 16 449 9 750 26 199 | 3 520 700 4 220 | 4 885 500 5 385 | 6 380 | 5 870 1 850 7 720 | 8 480 2 100 10 580 | 5 975 1 100 7 075 | 6 345 1 030 7 375 | 9 526 1 583 11 109 |
| • | 1 523 206 1 729 | 2 761 530 3 291 | 1 586 128 1 714 | 1 575 1 575 1 655 | 1 508 | 1 825 212 2 037 | 2 114 207 2 321 | 2 191 200 2 391 | 2 399 297 2 696 | 2 796 375 3 171 |
| | 51 51 | 84 84 84 | 47 1 47 | 53 1 53 | 46 | 55 3 55 55 55 55 | 63 8 8 8 | 55 es 55 | 67 4 67 | 56 |
| | 51 51 | 44 44 44 44 | 47 1 47 | 56 1 56 | 47 | £ 8 13 | 6262 | 51 | 61 | 55.05 |
| , | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Broome co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Cattaraugus co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist, Elementary schools Svoondary schoo s. Total. |

TABLE 2 (continued)

Buildings, property and library

| IRY | somulov do nədmuN bəbba tasy dasığınınb | 73 | 526 36 562 | 29 199 228 | 49 6 55 | 72 | 62 72 72 72 |
|-----------|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| LIBRARY | Semulov 10 rodmuN visidil ni | 7 890 1 298 9 188 | 6 610 1 400 8 010 | 6 651 2 441 9 092 | 6 826 912 7 738 | 4 960 237 5 197 | 7 257 1 235 8 492 |
| | Total value of school groperty | \$97 043 9 230 106 273 | 43 772 4 985 48 757 | 98 604 31 357 129 961 | 96 890 8 478 105 368 | 31 488 1 041 32 529 | 54 046 14 439 68 485 |
| | Value of all other property | \$1 975 280 2 255 | 452 35 487 | 275 40 315 | 475 | | 1 096 |
| | Value of library | \$5 358 1 100 6 458 | 3 698 800 4 498 | 3 334 1 867 5 201 | 4 140 1 030 5 170 | 2 665 200 2 865 | 3 405 1 275 4 680 |
| PROPERTY | sutstange to suls V | \$1 500 850 2 350 | 901 850 1 751 | 1 597 2 060 3 657 | 1 350 498 1 848 | 914 110 1 024 | 1 485 1 800 3 285 |
| | Value of furniture | \$5 485 600 6 085 | 2 551 370 2 921 | 7 918 2 840 10 758 | 5 125 550 5 675 | 1 678 37 1 715 | 3 355 900 4 255 |
| | Value of schoolhouse | \$75 150 4 650 79 800 | 31 725 2 600 34 325 | 76 030 21 700 97 730 | 73 650 5 850 79 500 | 22 694 656 23 350 | 41 870 10 000 51 870 |
| | Value of schoolhouse site | \$7 575 1 750 9 325 | 4 445 330 4 775 | 9 450 2 850 12 300 | 12 150 550 12 700 | 3 537 3 575 3 575 | 2 835 464 3 299 |
| INGS | Number of seats or sittings | 1 849 147 1 996 | 1 473 61 1 534 | 1 877 218 2 095 | 1 423 93 1 516 | 1 144 30 1 174 | 1 215 290 1 505 |
| BUILDINGS | loodas to radmuN sgniblind | \$ c2 45 | 50 20 | 45 85 84 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 | 14 2 4 1 2 1 4 | 40 1 40 | 451 |
| etoir | Vamber of school dist | 52,12 | 50 50 | 43 | 43 | 40 40 | 45 |
| | SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | Cattaraugus co. (continued) 5th sup'v'y dist. Belmentary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Cayuga 60., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| TIT | | | EZXPE | NSES O | F EDU | CATION | | | 129 |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| 150 | 142 | 591 | 238 | 489 | 195 | 228 | 256 | 120 | 66 |
| | 26 | 172 | 20 | 261 | 175 | 170 | 7 | 32 | 15 |
| | 168 | 763 | 258 | 750 | 370 | 398 | 263 | 152 | 81 |
| 8 441 | 7 086 | 7 912 | 6 516 | 9 230 | 7 794 | 6 669 | 5 842 | 7 853 | 8 613 |
| 3 607 | 2 575 | 1 616 | 2 616 | 3 491 | 2 379 | 2 995 | 654 | 1 242 | 1 614 |
| 12 048 | 9 661 | 9 528 | 9 132 | 12 721 | 10 173 | 9 664 | 6 496 | 9 095 | 10 227 |
| 95 456 | 78 634 | 65 017 | 84 806 | 138 141 | 157 531 | 106 895 | 74 936 | 42 910 | 68 822 |
| 36 075 | 14 807 | 19 200 | 36 699 | 78 339 | 51 808 | 44 654 | 3 304 | 5 147 | 19 358 |
| 131 531 | 93 441 | 84 217 | 121 505 | 216 480 | 209 339 | 151 549 | 78 240 | 48 057 | 88 180 |
| 2 214 100 2 314 | 232 | 1 528 573 2 101 | 905 700 1 605 | 4 636 2 467 7 103 | 1 127 1 243 2 370 | 397 30 427 | 35 | 641 10 651 | 275 550 825 |
| 4 695 | 4 019 | 5 705 | 5 110 | 6 203 | 4 721 | 4 279 | 3 537 | 4 175 | 4 855 |
| 3 800 | 1 659 | 1 235 | 1 865 | 4 625 | 2 100 | 2 595 | 421 | 795 | 1 732 |
| 8 495 | 5 678 | 6 940 | 6 975 | 10 828 | 6 821 | 6 874 | 3 958 | 4 970 | 6 587. |
| 1 558 | 1 142 | 1 704 | 1 476 | 3 169 | 2 185 | 3 099 | 1 624 | 1 040 | 2 022 |
| 2 700 | 1 699 | 960 | 1 834 | 4 610 | 2 082 | 2 424 | 313 | 725 | 1 246 |
| 4 258 | 2 841 | 2 664 | 3 310 | 7 779 | 4 267 | 5 523 | 1 937 | 1 765 | 3 268 |
| 10 589 | 6 254 | 3 730 | 6 265 | 6 929 | 6 938 | 6 530 | 4 235 | 3 351 | 3 625 |
| 4 100 | 1 236 | 457 | 3 900 | 3 331 | 2 583 | 2 480 | 175 | 350 | 640 |
| 14 689 | 7 490 | 4 187 | 10 165 | 10 260 | 9 521 | 9 010 | 4 410 | 3 701 | 4 265 |
| 67 150 | 61 109 | 47 235 | 65 450 | 102 760 | 131 655 | 81 275 | 56 005 | 29 843 | 53 990 |
| 23 000 | 9 666 | 14 625 | 26 000 | 56 640 | 41 350 | 33 100 | 2 320 | 2 877 | 14 025 |
| 90 150 | 70 775 | 61 860 | 91 450 | 159 400 | 173 005 | 114 375 | 58 325 | 32 720 | 68 015 |
| 9 250 | 5 878 | 5 115 | 5 600 | 14 444 | 10 905 | 11 315 | 9 500 | 3 860 | 4 055 |
| 2 375 | 547 | 1 350 | 2 400 | 6 666 | 2 450 | 4 025 | 75 | 390 | 1 165 |
| 11 625 | 6 425 | 6 465 | 8 000 | 21 110 | 13 355 | 15 340 | 9 575 | 4 250 | 5 220 |
| 2 349 | 1 774 | 1 747 | 1 488 | 2 680 | 2 356 | 2 698 | 1 974 | 1 443 | 1 538 |
| 2 242 | 154 | 147 | 268 | 370 | 445 | 2 294 | 47 | 68 | 210 |
| 2 591 | 1 928 | 1 894 | 1 756 | 3 050 | 2 801 | 2 992 | 2 021 | 1 511 | 1 748 |
| 74 22 74 | 41 3 41 | 84 8 8 84 | 24 4 | 51.3 | 53 c 53 | 09 4 9 | 53 12 53 | 848 | 49 49 |
| 24 cs 45 | 41 41 41 | 8 8 8 8 | 14 41 | 8.68 | 51 6 51 | 82 4 82 | 52 22 | 848 | 488.88 |
| Chautauqua co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Seondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'vy dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 6th sup'v'y dist. Pelmontary s shools. Secondary schools. Total. | Chemung co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Scendary schools. Total. | 2d sup v y dist. Elenentary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Chemango co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

TABLE 2 (continued)
Buildings, property and library

| | ıRY | Number of volumes added during past year | 61 1 62 | 77 35 112 | 126 20 146 | 1 566 209 1 775 | 1 310 25 1 335 | 1 563 37 1 600 |
|--|-----------|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| | LIBRARY | Number of volumes | 6 057 547 6 604 | 5 234 3 808 9 042 | 7 185 2 011 9 196 | 11 546 1 962 13 508 | 8 020 1 024 9 044 | 9 525 3 573 13 098 |
| | | Total value of school vitagond | \$60 387 8 194 68 581 | 60 244 27 864 88 108 | 53 880 12 547 66 427 | 78 623 8 931 87 554 | 76 793 10 521 87 314 | 98 258 25 554 123 812 |
| | | Value of all other property | \$849 144 993 | 2 137 2 030 4 167 | 405 32 437 | 316 381 697 | 60 : 60 10 : 10 | 376 265 641 |
| | | Value of sibrary | \$3 612 448 4 060 | 3 271 2 434 5 705 | 3 291 1 157 4 428 | 5 639 1 418 7 057 | 4 628 935 5 563 | 6 028 3 034 9 062 |
| | PROPERTY | sutaradga to sulaV | \$1 275 659 1 934 | 1 730 1 150 2 880 | 1 342 917 2 259 | 1 975 983 2 958 | 1 704 722 2 426 | 2 058 1 810 3 868 |
| | | Value of furniture | \$6 862 967 7 829 | 3 198 1 500 4 698 | 3 416 1 109 4 525 | 4 915 313 5 228 | 5 050 615 5 665 | 6 749 1 769 8 518 |
| | - | Value of schoolhouse | \$43 117 4 438 47 555 | 44 490 19 000 63 490 | 41 036 8 457 49 493 | 59 551 4 829 64 380 | 60 009 7 666 67 675 | 76 890 17 250 94 140 |
| | | esuodioodos to sulsV | \$4 672 1 538 6 210 | 5 418 1 750 7 168 | 4 390 895 5 285 | 6 227 1 007 7 234 | 5 367 583 5 950 | 6 157 1 426 7 583 |
| | INGS | Number of seats or strings | 1 407 100 1 507 | 1 378 320 1 698 | 1 120 160 1 280 | 3 201 161 3 362 | 2 344 72 2 416 | 2 929 219 3 148 |
| | BUILDINGS | loods to 19dmuN sgniblind | 20.250 | 43 43 | 43 43 43 | 8.28 | 50 50 50 50 50 | 66 |
| | etoiri | Vumber of school dist | 50 | 43 | 43 | 72 22 | 51 | 64 |
| | | SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | Chenango co. (concluded) 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Clinton co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Ellementarys schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| 542 342 881 | 747 822 829 | 1 003 30 1 033 | 240 58 298 | 3,233 | 155 40 40 195 | 1 384 37 1 421 | 322 90 412 | 148 62 210 | 269 85 354 |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| 19 019 3 214 22 233 | 11 756 2 023 13 779 | 8 999 580 9 579 | 7 960 2 958 10 918 | | 3 220 169 3 389 | 7 770 1 028 8 798 | 6 782 2 203 8 985 | | 6 499 2 397 8 896 |
| 114 881 20 615 135 496 | 135 937 18 748 154 685 | 53 894 4 925 58 S19 | 89 335 27 897 117 232 | | 38 938 4 240 43 178 | 8\$ 256 12 344 100 600 | 84 711 16 286 100 997 | | 90 706 37 330 128 086 |
| 978 | 1 065 350 1 415 | 322 25 347 | 467 | 425 150 575 | 186 | 809 243 1 052 | 360 100 460 | 1 406 | 00 80 140 |
| 9 918 3 001 12 919 | 5 735 1 987 7 722 | 5 259 600 5 859 | 3 828 2 623 6 451 | 2 303 785 3 088 | 1 795 175 1 970 | 5 331 925 6 256 | 3 948 1 814 5 762 | 3 638 4 920 8 558 | 3 375 1 625 5 000 |
| 2 018 1 388 3 406 | 3 630 1 326 4 956 | 1 180 750 1 930 | 1 874 2 440 4 314 | 1 739 922 2 661 | 1 492 490 1 982 | 1 917 1 056 2 973 | 1 875 2 131 4 006 | 1 712 2 050 3 762 | 1 745 1 540* 3 285 |
| 4 960 1 418 6 378 | 7 406 537 7 943 | 4 233 4 633 | 4 380 1 200 5 580 | 3 115 500 3 615 | 2 583 242 2 825 | 8 124 1 210 9 334 | 5 917 1 255 7 172 | 5 821 2 939 8 760 | 4 746 1 885 6 631 |
| 87 020 12 880 99 999 | 104 052 12 548 116 600 | 38 090 2 650 40 740 | 70 751 20 334 91 085 | 34 450 3 000 37 450 | 29 665 3 000 32 665 | 64 980 7 920 72 900 | 65 734 9 688 75 422 | 69 165 30 095 99 260 | 73 350 f 29 000 102 350 |
| 9 987 1 923 11 915 | 14 049 2 000 16 049 | 4 810 5 310 | 8 035 1 300 9 335 | 2 695 300 2 995 | 3 217 333 3 550 | 7 095 990 8 085 | 6 877 1 298 8 175 | 7 685 6 900 14 585 | 7 430 3 250 10 680 |
| 2 157 162 2 319 | 3 098 143 3 241 | 1 411 79 1 490 | 1 736 214 1 950 | 1 082 72 1 154 | 1 225 65 1 290 | 1 911 116 2 027 | 2 281 202 2 483 | 2£077 439 2 516 | 2.015 6.327 2.342 |
| 51. | 25 3 2 | 84 184 84 | 25 25 | 47 | 8 1 8 | 63 | 52.25 | 59 | 61 |
| \$ 50 B | 2002 | 50 | S 25 | 47 | 84 1 84 | 575 | 52 25 | 57 29 27 | 61 |
| Cotumbia co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Cortland co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Delaware co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. | 2d smp'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

TABLE 2 (continued)
Buildings, property and library

| | | 000111 | | | | | L - 01. |
|-----------|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| NRY | səmulov lo nədmuN bəbbs rəsy yası yaninb | 1 515 178 1 693 | 1 023 137 1 160 | 1 134 83 1 217 | 1 168 131 1 299 | 411 20 431 | 985 8 993 |
| LIBRARY | Symplet of volumes yield in library | 6 665 794 7 459 | 6 439 4 044 10 483 | 7 799 1 370 9 169 | 10 144 941 11 085 | 6 972 1 657 8 629 | 9 817 3 031 12 848 |
| | Total value of school property | \$48 051 7 567 55 618 | 53 810 25 993 79 803 | 140 297 12 757 153 054 | 196 586 51 217 247 803 | 68 411 8 689 77 100 | 122 450 41 795 164 245 |
| | Value of all other property | \$1 007 400 1 407 | 257 50 307 | 1 841 305 2 146 | 993 423 1 416 | 1 689 624 2 313 | 3 784 1 873 5 657 |
| | Value of library | \$4 114 900 5 014 | 3 495 4 100 7 595 | 4 477 1 198 5 675 | 6 366 908 7 274 | 4 474 1 265 5 739 | 5 945 3 117 9 062 |
| PROPERTY | sutsingqs to sulsV | \$1 747 950 2 697 | 1 040 1 250 2 290 | 2 344 994 3 338 | 2 527 645 3 172 | 2 971 790 3 761 | 2 154 2 664 4 818 |
| | erutinant to sulsV | \$5 275 650 5 925 | 2 966 1 400 4 366 | 8 825 785 9 610 | 6 365 1 050 7 415 | 6 154 727 6 881 | 9 238 4 775 14 013 |
| | Value of schoolhouse | \$30 250 3 700 33 950 | 40 825 18 000 58 825 | 111 975 8 710 120 685 | 162 156 45 083 207 239 | 47 599 4 522 52 121 | 90 167 26 633 116 800 |
| | Value of schoolhouse | \$5 658 967 6 625 | 5 227 1 193 6 420 | 10 835 765 11 600 | 18 179 3 108 21 287 | 5 524 761 6 285 | 11 162 2 733 13 895 |
| INGS | To state of seats of | 1 373 126 1 499 | 1 433 169 1 602 | 2 505 100 2 605 | 2 406 154 2 560 | 1 460 104 1 564 | 2 233 289 2 522 |
| BUILDINGS | loodes to 19dmuN sgniblind | 10 12 00 61 00 | 52 25 52 25 25 | £ 6. £ | 61 | 39 | 84 4 84 8 |
| stoir | Number of school dist | 8 c. 3 | 52.25 | 51 8 51 | 52 23 | 339 | 84.0 |
| | SUPEPUSORY DISTRICTS | Delaware eo. (conduded) 5th sup'vy dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 6th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Dutchess co., 1st snp'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total |

| TITI | | | LARI | ENSES (| E EDU | CATION | | | 100 |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|---------|
| 426 282 708 | 965 688 1 653 | 998 96 1 094 | 220 140 360 | 131 105 236 | 1 576 1119 1 695 | 213 118 331 | 851 41 892 | 279 50 329 | 116 |
| 9 158 | 17 118 | 9 790 | 8 144 | 9 257 | 8 958 | 6 383 | 10 498 | 9 571 | 4 633 |
| 5 251 | 7 701 | 4 710 | 3 017 | 3 560 | 2 045 | 4 236 | 1 753 | 2 351 | 1 689 |
| 14 409 | 24 819 | 14 500 | 11 161 | 12 817 | 11 003 | 10 619 | 12 251 | 11 922 | 6 322 |
| 196 612 | 453 059 | 110 573 | 117 554 | 109 521 | 128 889 | 106 150 | 92 290 | 85 194 | 71 955 |
| • 69 407 | 78 387 | 25 870 | 24 474 | 58 545 | 37 321 | 42 089 | 15 071 | 11 836 | 29 100 |
| 266 019 | 531 446 | 136 443 | 142 028 | 168 066 | 166 210 | 148 239 | 107 361 | 97 030 | 101 055 |
| 22 592 11 720 34 312 | 6 159 1 885 8 044 | 1 154 75 1 229 | 898 75 973 | 6 055 6 000 12 055 | 704 120 821 | 673 425 1 098 | 505 5 510 | 099 | 227 |
| 5 760 | 9 485 | 6 637 | 4 965 | 5 625 | 5 406 | 3 347 | 5 846 | 5 580 | 2 975 |
| 4 510 | 6 216 | 3 916 | 1 720 | 2 406 | 1 908 | 4 106 | 1 800 | 1 369 | 1 000 |
| 10 270 | 15 701 | 10 553 | 6 685 | 8 031 | 7 314 | 7 453 | 7 646 | 6 949 | 3 975 |
| 2 327 | 5 446 | 1 961 | 1 950 | 2 584 | 2 341 | 2 613 | 2 761 | 3 029 | 1 234 |
| 4 110 | 4 189 | 2 375 | 2 160 | 2 350 | 1 033 | 2 868 | 1 648 | 591 | 600 |
| 6 437 | 9 635 | 4 336 | 4 110 | 4 934 | 3 374 | 5 481 | 4 409 | 3 620 | 1 834 |
| 14 730 | 20 990 | 7 603 | 7 605 | 6 977 | 5 556 | 5 167 | 7 131 | 4 691 | 3 913 |
| 3 930 | 3 914 | 587 | 1 730 | 3 524 | 1 950 | 3 011 | 1 365 | 644 | 1 000 |
| 18 660 | 24 904 | 8 190 | 9 335 | 10 501 | 7 506 | 8 178 | 8 496 | 5 335 | 4 913 |
| 129 938 | 348 850 | 83 450 | 91 783 | 77 701 | 107 037 | 86 576 | 67 714 | 65 108 | 57 981 |
| 38 712 | 55 783 | 17 750 | 17 217 | 38 893 | 29 500 | 29 453 | 9 671 | 8 803 | 24 000 |
| 168 650 | 404 633 | 101 200 | 109 000 | 116 594 | 136 537 | 116 029 | 77 385 | 73 911 | 81 981 |
| 21 265 | 62 129 | 9 768 | 10 353 | 10 579 | 7 845 | 7 774 | 8 333 | 6 126 | 5 625 |
| 6 425 | 6 400 | 1 167 | 1 572 | 5 372 | 2 810 | 2 226 | 582 | 429 | 2 500 |
| 27 690 | 68 529 | 10 935 | 11 925 | 15 951 | 10 655 | 10 000 | 8 915 | 6 555 | 8 125 |
| 3 225 | 4 372 | 2 548 | 2 611 | 2 355 | 1 276 | 2 732 | 2 242 | 2 761 | 1 824 |
| 354 | 532 | 179 | 2 215 | 393 | 324 | 359 | 142 | 141 | 90 |
| 3 579 | 4 90± | 2 727 | 2 826 | 2 748 | 1 600 | 3 091 | 2 384 | 2 902 | 1 914 |
| 67 68 | 59 00 | 51 | 15 | 56 56 | \$5 4 55 4 4 55 | 62 | 49 49 | 75 | 33 |
| 49 49 | 53 oc 53 | 51 51 | 50 50 | 56 | 52 | 59 6 59 | 46 3 46 | 72 | 22 |
| Erie co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | 3d sup'v'y dist. Ellementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Essex co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elomentary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Franklin eo., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Seeondary schools. Total | |

| RY | Number of volumes added during past year | 245 48 293 | 146 102 248 | 464 | 259 5 264 | 133 77 210 | 346 193 539 |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| LIBRARY | Number of volumes | 6 015 1 437 7 452 | 5 933 3 461 9 394 | 5 727 | 3 986 1 513 5 499 | 12 634 2 905 15 539 | 13 043 4 646 17 689 |
| | Total value of school property | 55 445 8 365 63 810 | 50 128 26 041 76 169 | 43 807 | 58 937 7 260 66 197 | 126 929 14 339 141 268 | 165 018 51 376 216 394 |
| | Value of all other property | 1 110 40 1 150 | 416 20 436 | 30 | 241 65 306 | 3 110 1 300 4 410 | 2 161 5 080 7 241 |
| | Value of library | 3 535 1 300 4 835 | 3 037 3 252 6 289 | 3 755 | 2 105 1 055 3 160 | 7 603 2 240 9 843 | 7 074 3 340 10 414 |
| PROPERTY | value of apparatus | 1 325 800 2 125 | 1 085 3 319 4 404 | 1 520 | 1 470 843 2 313 | 5 016 1 757 6 773 | 2 482 1 884 4 366 |
| | Value of furniture | 5 115 550 5 665 | 4 280 2 400 6 680 | 3 802 | 3 966 717 4 683 | 9 035 1 292 10 327 | 11 967 5 699 17 666 |
| | Value of schoolhouse | 40 850 5 250 46 100 | 36 575 15 525 52 100 | 30 000 | 46 770 4 200 50 970 | 88 340 6 500 94 840 | 118 875 31 200 150 075 |
| | Value of schoolhouse | 3 510 425 3 935 | 4 735 1 525 6 260 | 4 700 | 4 385 380 4 765 | 13 825 1 250 15 075 | 22 459 4 173 26 632 |
| BUILDINGS & | to stess to redmuN sgnistis | 1 800 169 1 969 | 2 092 400 2 492 | 1 469 | 1 712 152 1 864 | 2 429 129 2 558 | 2 915 323 3 238 |
| BUILE | loodss to radmuN sgniblind | 43 43 43 | 41 41 41 | 51 | 45.00 | 61 3 61 | 62 |
| stoir | Number of school dist | £ 2 £ | 37 | 52 | 45 45 | 61 | 61 |
| | SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | Franklin co. (concluded) 3d sup'v'y dist. Plementary schools Secondary schools Total | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Fulton co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Genesee co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total |

| 158 143 301 | 66 62 as | 111 162 273 | 624 148 772 | 63 169 232 | 153 20 173 | 814 814 | 636 216 852 | 92 | 373 87 460 |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 8 242 | 5 704 | 8 723 | 7 405 | 8 452 | 5 927 | 8 712 | 6 106 | 7 746 | 7 112 |
| 1 813 | 800 | 625 | 1 936 | 2 003 | 525 | 843 | 1 928 | 407 | 3 093 |
| 10 055 | 6 504 | 9 348 | 9 341 | 10 455 | 6 452 | 9 555 | 8 034 | 8 153 | 10 205 |
| 151 235 | 55 578 | 67 181 | 70 496 | 155 346 | 83 109 | 57 790 | 76 281 | 66 289 | 83 542 |
| 21 509 | 5 167 | 13 683 | 12 928 | 48 296 | 18 494 | 4 256 | 18 175 | 3 714 | 23 272 |
| 172 744 | 60 745 | 80 864 | 83 424 | 203 642 | 101 603 | 62 046 | 94 456 | 70 003 | 106 814 |
| 815 35 850 | 155 | 211 20 231 | 1 143 520 1 663 | 3 137 100 3 237 | 1 341 50 1 391 | 570 6 576 | 249 50 299 | 184 | 911 393 1 304 |
| 5 183 | 3 650 | 4 230 | 4 580 | 4 860 | 3 983 | 4 223 | 4 248 | 3 835 | 4 835 |
| 2 153 | 725 | 631 | 1 930 | 1 950 | 394 | 475 | 1 566 | 408 | 2 570 |
| 7 341 | 4 375 | 4 861 | 6 510 | 6 810 | 4 377 | 4 698 | 5 814 | 4 243 | 7 405 |
| 1 627 | 1 260 | 1 781 | 2 498 | 1 375 | 1 308 | 1 070 | 1 370 | 1 946 | 2 456 |
| 1 281 | 750 | 735 | 1 158 | 2 930 | 1 000 | 475 | 1 133 | 431 | 2 220 |
| 2 908 | 2 010 | 2 516 | 3 656 | 4 305 | 2 308 | 1 545 | 2 503 | 2 377 | 4 676 |
| 8 650 | 3 485 | 4 138 | 5 930 | 9 350 | 4 382 | 3 412 | 4 717 | 3 385 | 5 837 |
| 1 290 | 325 | 810 | 1 595 | 2 700 | 1 000 | 200 | 1 500 | 300 | 1 380 |
| 9 940 | 3 810 | 4 948 | 7 525 | 12 050 | 5 382 | 3 612 | 6 217 | 3 685 | 7 217 |
| 120 550 | 41 822 | 49 640 | 50 325 | 127 375 | 65 800 | 44 175 | 58 553 | 51 989 | 63 125 |
| 15 300 | 2 933 | 10 600 | 6 975 | 38 800 | 14 500 | 3 000 | . 12 500 | 2 275 | 15 500 |
| 135 850 | 44 755 | 60 240 | 57 300 | 166 175 | 80 300 | 47 175 | 71 053 | 54 264 | 78 625 |
| 14 405 | 5 206 | 7 181 | 6 020 | 9 249 | 6 295 | 4 340 | 7 144 | 4 950 | 6 378 |
| 1 450 | 434 | 887 | 750 | 1 816 | 1 550 | 100 | 1 426 | 300 | 1 209 |
| 15 855 | 5 640 | 8 068 | 6 770 | 11 065 | 7 845 | 4 440 | 8 570 | 5 250 | 7 587 |
| 2 304 | 1 779 | 1 615 | 1 276 | 2 728 | 1 546 | 1 471 | 1 187 | 1 892 | 1 871 |
| 180 | 94 | 82 | 95 | 184 | 150 | 40 | 172 | 49 | 288 |
| 2 484 | 1 873 | 1 697 | 1 371 | 2 912 | 1 696 | 1 511 | 1 359 | 1 941 | 2 159 |
| 44 44 | 51 51 | 47 47 | 41 41 41 | 52 32 | 44 1 1 54 | 51 | 413 | 59 59 | 55 4 4 52 |
| 24 4 4 | 522 | 47 | 35 85 | 51. | 42 | 51 | 34. | 50 50 50 | 52 4 52 |
| Greene co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Hamilton co. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Horkimer co., 1st sup v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Jefferson co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. |

| | SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | Jefferson co. (concluded) 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 6th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Lewis co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Ellementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |
|-----------|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| stoir | Taib loods to redumN | 3.50 | 9 4 9 | 85 4-85 | 57 | 55 25 | 27 1 25 |
| BUILI | 100des to 19dmuV sgniblind | 59 3 59 | 63 52 | 59 4 59 | 57.2 | 7.0 TO | 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1 |
| BUILDINGS | To state of seats or sanitize | 2 219 335 2 554 | 1 971 240 2 211 | 2 269 358 2 627 | 2 461 359 2 820 | 1 972 100 2 072 | 1 483 70 1 553 |
| | suconfoods to sule V | \$6 105 1 350 7 455 | 8 730 1 606 10 330 | 10 312 2 033 12 345 | 9 481 4 544 14 025 | 3 685 250 3 935 | 10 025 350 10 375 |
| | Value of schoolhouse | \$89 940 16 500 106 440 | 61 090 31 750 92 840 | 100 331 31 500 131 831 | 119 949 54 125 174 074 | 40 910 6 000 46 910 | 54 005 8 000 62 005 |
| | Salue of furniture | \$4 395 950 5 345 | 5 625 3 750 9 375 | 6 915 1 130 8 045 | 5 138 3 066 8 204 | 3 416 500 3 916 | 3 801 500 4 301 |
| PROPERTY | Value of apparatus | \$1 995 1 280 3 275 | 1 935 2 740 4 675 | 2 310 2 730 5 040 | 2 140 2 758 4 898 | 1 815 560 2 375 | 1 741 650 2 391 |
| | Value of library | \$3 240 1 838 5 078 | 3 532 3 217 6 749 | 3 827 1 986 5 813 | 4 315 3 295 7 610 | 4 346 600 4 946 | 6 158 1 000 7 158 |
| | Value of all other property | \$425 | 610 10 620 | 258 150 408 | 784 190 - 974 | 298 125 423 | 495 |
| | Total value of school | \$106 100 21 918 128 018 | 81 522 43 067 124 589 | 123 953 39 529 163 482 | 141 807 67 978 209 785 | 54 470 8 035 62 505 | 76 225 10 500 86 725 |
| LIBRARY | Number of volumes in library | 6 874 2 388 9 262 | 7 022 3 722 10 744 | 6 550 2 052 8 602 | 11 162 3 659 14 821 | 6 872 725 7 597 | 10 257 2 292 12 519 |
| ARY | somulov to nodrnuV bobbs seet yest | 283 30 313 | 128 101 229 | 867 67 934 | 473 123 596 | 81 40 121 | 337 20 357 |

| | | | 1311111 | TOLLO OL | Liber | | | | 101 |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 110 | 311 | 466 | 1 626 | 477 | 191 | 177 | 216 | 310 | 448 |
| 72 | 42 | 153 | 146 | 32 | 92 | 2 | 112 | 59 | 28 |
| 182 | 353 | 619 | 1 772 | 509 | 283 | 179 | 328 | 369 | 476 |
| 6 070 | 5 737 | 9 979 | 10 620 | 6 760 | 4 970 | 6 950 | 6 349 | 7 635 | 8 854 |
| 500 | 2 012 | 4 134 | 898 | 2 489 | 3 832 | 2 319 | 2 579 | 2 700 | 1 742 |
| 6 570 | 7 749 | 14 113 | 11 518 | 9 249 | 8 802 | 9 269 | 8 928 | 10 335 | 10 596 |
| 28 809 | 35 364 | 168 030 | 89 850 | 133 147 | 106 413 | 81 378 | 69 979 | 122 283 | 175 462 |
| 3 030 | 8 254 | 70 159 | 22 653 | 60 993 | 38 958 | 16 289 | 18 551 | 32 575 | 18 521 |
| 31 839 | 43 618 | 238 189 | 112 503 | 194 140 | 145 371 | 97 667 | 88 530 | 154 858 | 193 983 |
| 332 | 318 | 1 158 | 1 390 | 1 360 | 194 | 1 329 | 3 577 | 503 | 1 460 |
| 20 | 10 | 174 | 10 | 678 | 10 | 50 | 828 | 200 | 155 |
| 352 | 328 | 1 332 | 1 400 | 2 038 | 204 | 1 379 | 4 405 | 703 | 1 615 |
| 3 191 | 2 790 | 8 003 | 6 730 | 4 133 | 2 803 | 3 836 | 3 718 | 4 758 | 5 171 |
| 460 | 1 695 | 3 521 | 1 028 | 2 282 | 2 834 | 1 341 | 2 048 | 2 250 | 1 105 |
| 3 651 | 4 485 | 11 524 | 7 758 | 6 415 | 5 637 | 5 177 | 5 766 | 7 008 | 6 276 |
| 673 | 1 448 | 3 700 | 2 092 | 2 169 | 2 207 | 1 719 | 2 069 | 1 497 | 2 084 |
| 235 | 1 109 | 3 619 | 1 380 | 2 140 | 2 038 | 1 005 | 2 285 | 1 750 | 1 204 |
| 908 | 2 557 | 7 319 | 3 472 | 4 309 | 4 245 | 2 724 | 4 354 | 3 247 | 3 288 |
| 2 203 | 3 205 | 7 850 | 4 411 | 6 090 | 5 325 | 7 652 | 4 949 | 6 510 | 8 188 |
| 590 | 720 | 3 005 | 1 187 | 2 993 | 1 395 | 1 745 | 1 236 | 1 125 | 1 202 |
| 2 793 | 3 925 | 10 855 | 5 598 | 9 083 | 6 720 | 9 397 | 6 185 | 7 635 | 9 390 |
| 20 320 | 25 105 | 130 074 | 67 607 | 109 750 | 87 536 | 62 242 | 48 275 | 100 340 | 136 689 |
| 1 600 | 4 205 | 52 320 | 17 023 | 49 850 | 30 619 | 11 833 | 10 600 | 26 050 | 13 800 |
| 21 920 | 29 310 | 182 394 | 84 630 | 159 600 | 118 155 | 74 075 | 58 875 | 126 390 | 150 489 |
| 2 090 | 2 498 | 17 245 | 7 620 | 9 645 | 8 348 | 4 600 | 7 391 | 8 675 | 21 870 |
| 125 | 515 | 7 520 | 2 025 | 3 050 | 2 062 | 315 | 1 554 | 1 200 | 1 055 |
| 2 215 | 3 013 | 24 765 | 9 645 | 12 695 | 10 410 | 4 915 | 8 945 | 9 875 | 22 925 |
| 1 207 | 1 450 | 2 850 | 1 988 | 2 366 | 2 105 | 1 725 | 1 724 | 2 253 | 2 864 |
| 50 | 139 | 373 | 154 | 382 | 448 | 248 | 244 | 201 | 166 |
| 1 257 | 1 589 | 3 223 | 2 142 | 2 748 | 2 553 | 1 973 | 1 968 | 2 454 | 3 030 |
| 46 1 46 | 49 49 | τυ τυ ∞ τυ ∞ | 55 55 55 55 | 61 | 09 | 74 4 74 | 51 5 | 41 2 41 | 43.3 |
| 46 1 46 | 49 49 | 57 57 | 55 55 | 61 4 61 | 56 6 56 | 44 44 74 | 51 5 51 | 39 | 43.34 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00 6.0 |
| 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Livingston co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Madison co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | |

| | | 0001111 | COTE | | | | Late |
|-----------------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| IRY | eəmulov to nədmuM bəbbs tesv tesq gairub | 739 191 930 | 303 38 341 | 272 91 363 | 376 163 539 | 366 22 388 | 1 068 238 1 306 |
| LIBRARY | samulov 10 nadmuV visidil ni | 7 871 2 861 10 732 | 10 149 1 231 11 380 | 10 419 2 986 13 405 | 11 702 4 641 16 343 | 7 881 3 944 11 825 | 17 691 6 528 24 219 |
| | Total value of schoor | \$169 083 70 120 239 203 | 217 347 41 857 259 204 | 196 731 33 960 230 691 | 125 122 47 372 172 494 | 104 402 15 923 120 325 | 927 096 128 739 1 055 835 |
| | Value of all other property | \$1 494 1 010 2 504 | 695 205 900 | 229 63 292 | 1 547 234 1 781 | 1 259 100 1 359 | 27 588 7 304 34 892 |
| | Value of library | \$4 188 2 010 6 198 | 6 470 1 246 7 716 | 6 136 2 133 8 269 | 6 259 4 893 11_152 | 4 322 2 159 6 481 | 9 785 5 640 15 425 |
| PROPERTY | enterage to sulaV | \$2 466 2 225 4 691 | 2 115 1 883 3 998 | 2 690 2 713 5 403 | 2 307 2 475 4 782 | 2 653 1 850 4 503 | 5 682 6 274 11 956 |
| | Palue of furniture | \$9 495 3 085 12 580 | 10 342 3 733 14 075 | 8 928 1 589 10 517 | 6 304 2 770 9 074 | 7 274 1 039 8 313 | 46 342 8 248 54 590 |
| | Value of schoolbouse | \$134 260 55 850 190 110 | 166 500 31 990 198 490 | 156 804 25 556 182 360 | 98 263 33 867 132 130 | 79 229 9 750 88 979 | 651 550 77 850 729 400 |
| | Value of schoolhouse | \$17 180 5 940 23 120 | 31 225 2 800 34 025 | 21 944 1 906 23 850 | 10 442 3 133 13 575 | 9 665 1 025 10 690 | 186 149 23 423 209 572 |
| INGS | Number of seats or | 3 255 499 3 754 | 3 421 220 3 641 | 2 852 235 3 087 | 3 045 362 3 407 | 2 131 114 2 245 | 7 082 638 7 720 |
| BUILDINGS | loods to 19dmuN egaiblind | 48 48 48 | 60 61 | 50 50 | 52 | 512 | 44 |
| etoint | sib loods to 19dmuN | 45 45 | 96,498 | 20 30 | 57 4 57 | 52.02 | 33 1 33 |
| SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | | Monroe co., (concluded) 2d sup'vy dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Montgomery co., 1st sup'v'y dist Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Nassau co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| *** | | | Limit | TOLO OI | Liber | OMITON | | | 100 |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 142 313 1 455 | 287 245 532 | 119 | 490 154 644 | 356 57 413 | 259 84 | 283 75 358 | 183 60 243 | 154 202 356 | 75 |
| 13 118 | 6 864 | 7 682 | 10 510 | 9 181 | 7 391 | 7 893 | 7 698 | 6 794 | 5 969 |
| 3 303 | 903 | | 3 271 | 2 722 | 2 870 | 4 574 | 911 | 3 616 | 1 300 |
| 16 421 | 7 767 | | 13 781 | 11 903 | 10 261 | 12 467 | 8 609 | 10 410 | 7 269 |
| 796 904 | 140 225 | 118 431 | 125 478 | 164 132 | 107 400 | 125 755 | 75 096 | 72 524 | 71 298 |
| 90 842 | 40 200 | | 19 572 | 20 451 | 37 913 | 31 162 | 4 249 | 16 623 | 22 165 |
| 887 746 | 180 425 | | 145 050 | 184 583 | 145 313 | 156 917 | 79 345 | 89 147 | 93 463 |
| 10 898 4 458 15 356 | 323 | 2 550 | 955 | 827 40 867 | 1 368 535 1 903 | 644 315 959 | 547 | 484 54 538 | 1 315 50 1 365 |
| 8 220 | 3 127 | 4 620 | 4 696 | 4 851 | 4 079 | 5 062 | 4 972 | 4 583 | 2 719 |
| 2 144 | 530 | | 2 970 | 1 989 | 2 073 | 3 532 | 880 | 2 038 | 800 |
| 10 364 | 3 657 | | 7 666 | 6 840 | 6 152 | 8 594 | 5 852 | 6 621 | 3 519 |
| 6 255 | 1 939 | 2 171 | 2 584 | 1 803 | 3 283 | 1 690 | 2 358 | 1 816 | 1 082 |
| 3 334 | 1 009 | | 1 200 | 2 335 | 1 818 | 2 140 | 599 | 951 | 1 050 |
| 9 589 | 2 948 | | 3 784 | 4 138 | 5 101 | 3 830 | 2 957 | 2 767 | 2 132 |
| 31 943 | 6 636 | 5 310 | 7 080 | 8 493 | 5 307 | 5 729 | 4 199 | 4 111 | 5 912 |
| 3 690 | 1 411 | | 1 250 | 1 150 | 930 | 2 200 | 610 | 800 | 1 585 |
| 35 633 | 8 047 | | 8 330 | 9 643 | 6 237 | 7 929 | 4 809 | 4 911 | 7 497 |
| 631 754 | 111 700 | 91 980 | 95 538 | 130 850 | 85 268 | 105 300 | 56 445 | 57 050 | 57 605 |
| 64 150 | 35 750 | | 12 777 | 13 000 | 30 492 | 21 246 | 1 950 | 12 520 | 18 000 |
| 695 904 | 147 450 | | 108 315 | 143 850 | 115 760 | 126 546 | 58 395 | 69 570 | 75 605 |
| 107 834 | 16 500 | 11 800 | 14 625 | 17 308 | \$ 095 | 7 330 | 6 575 | 4 480 | 2 665 |
| 13 066 | 1 500 | | 1 375 | 1 937 | 2 065 | 1 729 | 210 | 260 | 680 |
| 120 900 | 18 000 | | 16 000 | 19 245 | 10 160 | 9 059 | 6 785 | 4 740 | 3 345 |
| 7 209 | 2 288 | 2 016 | 2 620 | 2 961 | 2 192 | 2 234 | 1 997 | 1 577 | 1 900 |
| 455 | 210 | | 216 | 226 | 250 | 266 | 58 | 142 | 152 |
| 7 664 | 2 498 | | 2 836 | 3 187 | 2 442 | 2 500 | 2 055 | 1 719 | 2 052 |
| 30 | . 51 | 48 | 57 | 4 4 4 4 6 | 53 6 53 | 24 42 42 | 56 56 | 47. c. 47. | 60 |
| 25 6 25 | 51 51 | 47 | 54 | 4 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 | 53.6 | 24 4 | 56 56 | 54 3 54 | 60 1 60 |
| 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Niagara co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Oneida co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 6th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

Table 2 (continued)
Buildings, property and library

| | COUNTY | COVER | TA TATATA T | | | LIAI |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| Number of volumes | 116 | 278 | 355 | 162 | 269 | 79 |
| sadded | | 258 | 134 | 99 | 158 | 77 |
| during past year | | 536 | 489 | 261 | 427 | 156 |
| Number of volumes visatini in | 4 922 | 8 224 | 9 458 | 8 550 | 8 781 | 9 288 |
| | 786 | 3 225 | 3 130 | 3 150 | 4 291 | 4 542 |
| | 5 708 | 11 449 | 12 588 | 11 700 | 13 072 | 13 830 |
| Total value of school property | \$73 346 | 94 695 | 125 554 | 127 132 | 170 346 | 167 174 |
| | 19 915 | 37 665 | 49 385 | 30 817 | 74 656 | 49 883 |
| | 93 261 | 132 360 | 174 939 | 157 949 | 245 002 | 217 057 |
| Value of all other property | \$157 63 220 | 869 1 725 2 594 | 3 846 1 800 5 646 | 797 200 997 | 661 | 544 124 668 |
| Value of library | \$2 919 | 4 071 | 6 258 | 4 793 | 5 429 | 6 417 |
| | 920 | 2 300 | 3 815 | 2 130 | 3 662 | 2 884 |
| | 3 839 | 6 371 | 10 073 | 6 923 | 9 091 | 9 301 |
| sutaradge lo sulaV | \$1 796 | 2 148 | 2 090 | 2 061 | 2 130 | 3 374 |
| | 1 470 | 2 050 | 2 968 | 2 287 | 4 450 | 2 747 |
| | 3 266 | 4 198 | 5 058 | 4 348 | 6 580 | 6 121 |
| Value of furniture | \$3 420 | 4 202 | 8 788 | 6 536 | 21 595 | 8 937 |
| | 666 | 1 440 | 2 800 | 1 600 | 4 425 | 3 400 |
| | 4 086 | 5 642 | 11 588 | 8 136 | 26 020 | 12 337 |
| Value of schoolhouse | \$60 454 | 72 675 | 90 462 | 97 995 | 123 598 | 132 700 |
| | 15 546 | 27 000 | 36 612 | 21 000 | 55 852 | 37 200 |
| | 76 000 | 99 675 | 127 074 | 118 995 | 179 450 | 169 900 |
| selocitoons so suls Value of schoolbouloons | \$4 600 | 10 730 | 14 110 | 14 950 | 16 933 | 15 202 |
| | 1 250 | 3 150 | 1 390 | 3 600 | 6 267 | 3 528 |
| | 5 850 | 13 880 | 15 500 | 18 550 | 23 200 | 18 730 |
| Number of seats of statings | 1 225 | 2 202 | 2 465 | 2 560 | 3 040 | 2 690 |
| | 203 | 339 | 301 | 266 | 565 | 349 |
| | 1 428 | 2 541 | 2 766 | 2 826 | 3 605 | 3 039 |
| loodse lo 19dmuN egnibliud | 47 47 | 75 c. 42 | 50 | 51 3 | 51 | 4 4 49 |
| Number of school dist | 47.4 | 54.0 | 49 4 49 | 513 | 50 50 50 | 4 4 4 4 6 4 |
| SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | Oneida co. (concluded) 7th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Onondaga co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |
| | Number of school distribution of school distribution of scate of schoolhouse sittings and sitting of schoolhouse of schoolhouse value of schoolhouse value of schoolhouse value of schoolhouse value of school | Number of school districts | State | State | Number of school dist Number of school dist | 1 |

| 429 160 589 | 274 89 363 | 742 | 742 139 171 310 | 452 324 776 | 427 263 690 | 146 | 146 882 352 1 234 | 207 | 420 39 459 |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| 7 959 2 236 10 195 | 7 214 5 086 12 300 | | 7 338 6 595 9 483 | 12 599 4 239 16 838 | 13 241 6 963 20 204 | | 7 610 6 180 1 595 7 775 | 4 751 | 8 100 2 856 10 956 |
| 87 609 24 238 111 847 | 127 454 34 986 162 440 | | 67 748 53 660 18 745 72 405 | 295 046 64 446 359 492 | 334 867 121 136 456 003 | | 83 409 56 828 10 811 67 639 | 38 003 | 87 938 39 301 127 239 |
| 555 40 595 | 2 753 3 000 5 753 | 309 | 309 60 10 70 | 6 431 2 555 8 986 | 3 241 24 304 27 545 | 268 | 268 142 50 192 | 10 | 816 |
| 4 432 1 575 6 007 | 4 090 3 997 8 087 | | 3 250 1 730 4 980 | 6 805 3 816 10 621 | 7 095 5 439 12 534 | 4 142 | 4 142 3 220 1 173 4 393 | 2 558 | 4 902 2 125 7 027 |
| 2 102 1 400 3 502 | 2 350 4 883 | 1 034 | 1 034 1 880 1 465 2 345 | 5 287 3 500 8 787 | 4 022 5 755 9 777 | | 3 210 1 098 765 1 863 | 1 230 | 1 684 1 476 3 160 |
| 5 645 2 323 7 968 | 6 385 1 963 8 348 | 4 699 | 4 699 3 350 700 4 050 | 13 908 3 075 16 983 | 16 471 6 956 23 427 | | 5 094 5 101 950 6 051 | 2 805 | 5 176 1 100 6 276 |
| 66 500 17 000 83 500 | 102 611 21 818 124 429 | 50 445 | | 235 130 45 250 280 380 | 268 003 71 532 339 535 | | 62 670 41 022 7 193 48 215 | 27 750 | 65 625 32 400 98 025 |
| 8 375 1 900 10 275 | 9 265 1 675 10 940 | 069 2 | | 27 485 6 256 33 735 | 36 035 7 150 43 185 | | 8 025 6 245 6 925 6 925 | 3 650 | 9 735 2 200 11 935 |
| 1 836 181 2 017 | 2 383 296 2 679 | 1 531 | 1 646 1 142 1 788 | 5 025 609 5 634 | 4 539 617 5 156 | | 2 210 1 700 1 811 1 811 | 1 185 | 2 196 328 2 524 |
| 424 | 45 45 | 42 | 59 20 59 | 09 2 09 | 61 | 54 | 54 42 22 42 43 | 36 | 46 46 |
| 45 45 | 4 4 4 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 | 43 | 57 52 52 52 | 55. | 57 8 57 | 54 | 4 4 4 52 54 | 35 ± 54. | 46 |
| ntario co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Flementary schools. | 4th sup vy dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Orange co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Filementary schools. Secondary schools. | Total. Orleans co., 1st sup v v dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| | | | | | | | _ |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| ARY | səmulov do 19dmuN bəbbs 1897 desq gaitub | 121 97 218 | 118 132 250 | 69 48 117 | 41 66 107 | 35 60 95 | 466 60 526 |
| ывкак | semulov 10 redmuN vardil ni | 5 250 867 6 117 | 8 805 4 734 13 539 | 8 564 2 335 10 899 | 7 936 1 942 9 878 | 9 754 1 149 10 903 | 5 624 1 967 7 591 |
| | Total value of school | \$39 676 12 150 51 826 | 74 417 26 967 101 384 | 73 354 19 152 92 506 | 51 946 10 590 62 536 | 70 068 7 180 77 248 | 59 509 20 941 80 450 |
| | Value of all other property | \$300 250 550 | 915 650 1 565 | 587 158 745 | 258 115 373 | 201 | 677 289 966 |
| | Value of library | \$3 248 600 3 848 | 4 605 4 800 9 405 | 6 182 1 725 7 907 | 5 330 1 925 7 255 | 5 458 600 6 058 | 2 675 1 421 4 096 |
| PROPERTY | value of apparatus | \$1 378 500 1 878 | 1 659 1 350 3 009 | 1 759 2 983 4 742 | 1 606 750 2 356 | 2 262 525 2 787 | 1 691 1 024 2 715 |
| | orutiand to sulsV | \$2 800 600 3 400 | .3 888 1 117 5 005 | 5 832 2 815 8 647 | 4 632 1 000 5 632 | 4 592 480 5 072 | 4 075 975 5 050 |
| | Value of schoolhouse | \$29 660 10 000 39 660 | 57 700 17 900 75 600 | 51 979 10 056 62 035 | 36 125 6 100 42 225 | 49 350 5 300 54 650 | 46 046 15 932 61 978 |
| | eanofloods to suls V stie | \$2 290 200 2 490 | 5 650 1 150 6 800 | 7 015 1 415 8 430 | 3 995 700 4 695 | 8 205 175 8 380 | 4 345 1 300 5 645 |
| BUILDINGS | no states of seature samities | 1 318 86 1 404 | 1 937 236 2 173 | 2 127 247 2 374 | 1 729 150 1 879 | 2 174 68 2 242 | 1 312 137 1 449 |
| BUIDE | looder to redmuN egniblind | 39 1 40 | 57.3 | 61 | 59 1 59 | 59 | 46 46 |
| stoir | Number of school dist | 39 1 39 | 57 | 88 | 59 1 59 | 61 | 46 |
| | SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | Oswego co., 1st sun'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 3d s.m'v'y dist. Flementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Otsego co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| 73 94 167 | 554 101 655 | 412 96 508 | 1 140 63 1 203 | 860 14 874 | 1 115 78 1 193 | 1 162 15 1 177 | 322 327 | 1 325 | 877 246 1 123 |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 6 103 | 9 682 | 7 950 | 6 917 | 5 273 | 9 853 | 8 950 | 6 249 | 10 452 | 19 161 |
| 2 656 | 6 792 | 3 564 | 2 142 | 1 693 | 3 235 | 1 322 | 352 | 552 | 5 147 |
| 8 759 | 16 474 | 11 514 | 9 059 | 6 966 | 13 088 | 10 272 | 6 601 | 11 004 | 24 303 |
| 74 246 | 165 026 | 88 726 | 55 599 | 39 534 | 188 001 | 90 055 | 41 265 | 112 728 | 444 061 |
| 20 464 | 56 264 | 29 991 | 14 650 | 5 641 | 38 253 | 13 533 | 6 082 | 1 690 | 92 366 |
| 94 710 | 221 290 | 118 717 | 70 249 | 45 175 | 226 254 | 103 588 | 47 347 | 114 418 | 536 427 |
| 367 50 417 | 1 092 1 25 1 217 | 7 087 3 498 10 585 | 264 | 1 007 203 1 210 | 1 822 1 429 3 251 | 1 412 126 1 538 | 148 32 180 | 539 | 6 406 972 7 378 |
| 3 379 | 5 735 | 4 964 | 4 948 | 3 119 | 5 752 | 6 313 | 3 787 | 6 187 | 9 587 |
| 2 205 | 4 891 | 4 068 | 1 618 | 735 | 2 846 | 1 000 | 482 | 400 | 4 044 |
| 5 584 | 10 626 | 9 032 | 6 566 | 3 854 | 8 598 | 7 313 | 4 269 | 6 587 | 13 631 |
| 1 611 | 3 042 | 1 717 | 1 940 | 2 203 | 2 173 | 1 505 | 1 080 | 1 960 | 5 000 |
| 791 | 3 561 | 2 297 | 1 274 | 600 | 1 960 | 1 158 | 288 | 190 | 3 694 |
| 2 402 | 6 603 | 4 014 | 3 214 | 2 803 | 4 133 | 2 663 | 1 368 | 2 150 | 8 694 |
| 5 544 | 16 021 | 6 220 | 3 931 | 3 831 | 7 960 | 7 850 | 3 186 | 6 217 | 24 490 |
| 1 555 | 6 588 | 1 956 | 824 | 228 | 1 985 | 1 835 | 440 | 250 | 4 156 |
| 7 099 | 22 609 | 8 176 | 4 755 | 4 059 | 9 945 | 9 685 | 3 626 | 6 467 | 28 646 |
| 56 225 | 121 719 | 62 347 | 39 580 | 26 S00 | 147 247 | 64 448 | 28 244 | 87 365 | 354 262 |
| 14 \$115 | 36 466 | 16 638 | 10 000 | 3 450 | 26 300 | 8 881 | 4 000 | 600 | 68 266 |
| 70 340 | 158 185 | 78 985 | 49 580 | 30 250 | 173 547 | 73 329 | 32 244 | 87 965 | 422 528 |
| 7 120 | 17 417 | 6 391 | 4 936 | 2 575 | 23 047 | 8 527 | 4 820 | 10 460 | 44 316 |
| 1 748 | 4 633 | 1 534 | 934 | 425 | 3 733 | 533 | 840 | 250 | 11 234 |
| 8 868 | 22 050 | 7 925 | 5 870 | 3 000 | 26 780 | 9 060 | 5 660 | 10 710 | 55 550 |
| 1 292 | 2 111 | 1 701 | 1 382 | 1 193 | 2 552 | 2 319 | 1 484 | 2 483 | 6 542 |
| 173 | 381 | 322 | 175 | 73 | 268 | 156 | 142 | 19 | 666 |
| 1 465 | 2 492 | 2 023 | 1 557 | 1 266 | 2 820 | 2 475 | 1 626 | 2 502 | 7 208 |
| 44 | 51 51 | 57 | 46 46 | 47 | 55 55 | 50.00 | 48 | 52 | 48 |
| 44 3 44 | 15 4 15 | 57 | 46 | 42.27 | 222 | 59 | 48 48 | 52 | 46 |
| 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 6th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Putnam co. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Rensselaer co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Ellementary schools Secondary schools. Total | Rockland co. Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. |

| RY | Number of volumes sadded during past year | 220 340 560 | 333 72 405 | 838 125 963 | 600 28 628 | 760 569 1 329 | 113 28 141 |
|-----------------------|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| LIBRARY | Number of volumes | 11 959 2 589 14 548 | 7 201 1 178 8 379 | 7 838 1 983 9 821 | 4 449 1 676 6 125 | 8 941 2 018 10 959 | 7 904 2 155 10 059 |
| | loodse do selooT Linguista Esta Transference Linguista Esta Linguista Ling | \$139 398 50 820 190 218 | 65 287 15 035 80 322 | 64 350 11 025 75 375 | 61 148 12 715 73 863 | 142 514 71 390 213 904 | 110 496 30 207 140 703 |
| | Value of all other | \$826 295 1 121 | 170 | 423 35 458 | 75 | 1 232 755 1 987 | 718 |
| | Value of library | \$6 962 2 292 9 254 | 3 097 910 4 007 | 3 795 1 480 5 275 | 3 981 1 410 5 391 | 6 025 1 987 8 012 | 4 349 1 836 6 185 |
| PROPERTY | Value of apparatus | \$2 602 3 531 6 133 | 2 570 575 3 145 | 2 012 1 210 3 222 | 1 862 1 225 3 087 | 3 717 2 381 6 098 | 2 650 2 035 4 685 |
| | Value of furniture | \$6 488 2 792 9 280 | 4 450 700 5 150 | 3 800 850 4 650 | 3 830 980 4 810 | 6 483 3 599 10 082 | 5 437 1 100 6 537 |
| | Value of schoolhouse | \$109 455 35 535 144 990 | 50 800 12 300 63 100 | 49 475 6 800 56 275 | 47 110 8 000 55 110 | 114 321 57 564 171 885 | 85 241 22 280 107 521 |
| | euconfloods to suls V | \$13 065 6 375 19 440 | 4 200 550 4 750 | 4 845 650 5 495 | 4 290 1 100 5 390 | 10 736 5 104 15 840 | 12 101 2 941 15 942 |
| INGS | to stass to redmuN sgnittie | 3 068 3 3 3 9 8 | 1 466 171 1 637 | 1 698 160 1 858 | 1 831 244 2 075 | 2 616 315 2 931 | 2 619 391 3 010 |
| BUILDINGS | loodas to radmuN saniblind | 69 5 | 50 | 63 | 56 56 | 72 23 25 | 59 |
| sto | Number of school distri | 65.5 | 50 | 63 | 56 56 | 70 23 | 59 |
| SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | | St Lawrence co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'y'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 6th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total |

| 493 132 625 | 342 | 316 | 1 263 48 1 311 | 2 395 22 2 417 | 1 165 60 1 225 | 839 44 883 | 151 9 160 | 2 448 205 2 653 | 91 33 124 |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | : | : | | | | | | | |
| 5 708 | 7 137 | 6 382 | 8 135 | 9 207 | 6 610 | 7 537 | 5 852 | 8 138 | 7 945 |
| 1 632 | 460 | 2 364 | 1 500 | 1 664 | 1 284 | 1 333 | 709 | 2 756 | 4 092 |
| 7 340 | 7 597 | 8 746 | 9 635 | 10 871 | 7 894 | 8 870 | 6 561 | 10 894 | 12 037 |
| 132 063 | 69 660 | 70 273 | 74 145 | 146 655 | 104 385 | 299 294 | 36 374 | 64 001 | 128 673 |
| 24 608 | 5 025 | 12 746 | 23 406 | 24 201 | 16 096 | 21 661 | 2 534 | 17 878 | 78 053 |
| 156 671 | 74 685 | 83 019 | 97 551 | 170 856 | 120 481 | 320 955 | 38 908 | 81 879 | 206 726 |
| 431 112 543 | | 1 066 85 1 151 | 724 405 1 129 | 263 | 2 077 706 2 783 | 2 722 210 2 932 | | 350 50 400 | 2 030 122 2 152 |
| 4 049 | 4 960 | 3 349 | 4 215 | 5 852 | 4 052 | 4 226 | 3 799 | 4 170 | 3 877 |
| 1 536 | 525 | 2 550 | 1 585 | 1 250 | 640 | 1 221 | 470 | 1 920 | 2 254 |
| 5 585 | 5 485 | 5 899 | 5 800 | 7 102 | 4 692 | 5 447 | 4 269 | 6 090 | 6 131 |
| 2 207 | 2 795 | 1 512 | 1 838 | 2 566 | 2 823 | 2 372 | 1 175 | 1 169 | 1 564 |
| 1 332 | 200 | 625 | 941 | 1 320 | 600 | 1 530 | 329 | 1 375 | 2 060 |
| 3 539 | 2 995 | 2 137 | 2 779 | 3 886 | 3 423 | 3 902 | 1 504 | 2 544 | 3 624 |
| 9 103 | 4 855 | 4 197 | 3 633 | 10 280 | 6 881 | 12 032 | 3 390 | 4 900 | 5 234 |
| 3 131 | 300 | 255 | 1 225 | 2 500 | 1 650 | 900 | 200 | 1 400 | 3 549 |
| 12 234 | 5 155 | 4 452 | 4 858 | 12 780 | 8 531 | 12 932 | 3 590 | 6 300 | 8 783 |
| 107 858 | 52 800 | 53 186 | 54 885 | 112 355 | 81 192 | 255 392 | 25 495 | 46 785 | 104 654 |
| 17 147 | 3 500 | 8 629 | 17 000 | 16 845 | 11 000 | 15 500 | 1 200 | 11 800 | 64 952 |
| 125 005 | 56 300 | 61 815 | 71 885 | 129 200 | 92 192 | 270 892 | 26 695 | 58 585 | 169 606 |
| 8 415 | 4 250 | 6 963 | 8 850 | 15 339 | 7 360 | 22 550 | 2 515 | 6 627 | 11 314 |
| 1 350 | 500 | 602 | 2 250 | 2 286 | 1 500 | 2 300 | 335 | 1 333 | 5 116 |
| 9 765 | 4 750 | 7 565 | 11 100 | 17 625 | 8 860 | 24 850 | 2 850 | 7 960 | 16 430 |
| 2 814 | 1 673 | 1 665 | 2 416 | 2 297 | 2 008 | 3 751 | 1 260 | 1 956 - | 2 411 |
| 300 | 108 | 94 | 151 | 222 | 118 | 188 | 46 | 218 | 374 |
| 3 114 | 1 781 | 1 759 | 2 567 | 2 519 | 2 126 | 3 939 | 1 306 | 2 174 | 2 785 |
| 3° 3 | 52 | 4.4 | \$0\$ | 50 | 51 1 51 | 65 | 63 | 49 2 49 | 99 |
| 61 | 52 1 52 | 44 24 44 | 25.25 | 47 47 | 49 1 49 | 9,49 | 63 | 49 49 | 65.3 |
| 7th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 8th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Saratoga co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Schenectady co. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Schoharie co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Blementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total |

| ARY | Number of volumes added to library during past year | 94 16 110 | 95 | 200 58 258 | 927 2 929 | 276 60 336 | 121 39 160 |
|-----------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| LIBRARY | Number of volumes in library | 5 863 1 056 6 919 | 4 706 706 5 412 | 7 792 1 469 9 261 | 8 693 672 9 365 | 5 916 800 6 716 | 5 466 1 408 6 874 |
| | Total value of school property | \$62 134 4 566 66 700 | 66 652 24 080 90 732 | 70 095 20 202 90 297 | 102 873 30 891 133 764 | 96 774 10 255 107 029 | 91 933 22 533 114 466 |
| | Value of all other property | \$585 150 735 | 255 | 685 25 710 | 2 790 2 545 5 337 | 2 604 | 290 112 402 |
| | Value of library | \$2 460 850 3 310 | 2 627 700 3 327 | 3 865 800 4 665 | 4 595 1 000 5 595 | 3 171 800 3 971 | 3 096 1 301 4 397 |
| PROPERTY | sutstadge to sulsV | \$1 756 421 2 177 | 1 010 1 080 2 090 | 1 130 1 150 2 280 | 1 658 2 675 4 333 | 1 351 700 2 051 | 1 572 2 215 3 787 |
| P | Value of furniture | \$3 350 350 3 700 | 3 955 500 4 455 | 5 640 1 099 6 739 | 4 690 1 800 6 490 | 5 998 500 6 498 | 5 075 2 500 7 575 |
| | Value of schoolhouse | \$47 927 2 513 50 440 | 50 125 17 000 67 125 | 51 200 15 500 66_700 | 77 015 21 644 98 659 | 75 597 7 728 83 325 | 74 800 14 000 88 800 |
| | sine of schoolhouse site | \$6 056 282 6 338 | 8 680 4 800 13 480 | 7 575 1 628 9 203 | 12 125 1 225 13 350 | 8 053 527 8 580 | 7 100 2 405 9 505 |
| SDI | Number of seats or satisfings | 1 764 52 1 816 | 1 500 147 1 647 | 1 815 216 2 031 | 17.804 230 2 034 | 2 039 FF 69 2 108 | 1 990 2 263 2 253 |
| BUILDINGS | loods to redmuX saniblind | 52 25 | 50 1 50 | 47 3 47 | 44 25 45 | 2.2 | 49 4 49 |
| etoi1 | Number of school dist | 57 10 52 | 20 | 47 | 24 1 42 2 4 | 료그룹 | 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 |
| SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | | Schuyler co., 1st sm'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Sencea co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Steuben co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Flementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| 111 | | | | | | 01111011 | | | 111 |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| 322 | 883 | 294 | 135 | 1 672 | 761 | 958 | 461 | 96 | 274 |
| 33 | 129 | 64 | 240 | 15 | 243 | 422 | 502 | 45 | 41 |
| 355 | 1 012 | 358 | 375 | 1 687 | 1 004 | 1 380 | 963 | 141 | 315 |
| 6 423 | 4 636 | 4 730 | 5 658 | 7 229 | 17 203 | 14 043 | 8 946 | 7 157 | 8 922 |
| 1 011 | 1 286 | 1 302 | 4 025 | 2 040 | 8 928 | 8 021 | 5 193 | 298 | 2 534 |
| 7 434 | 5 922 | 6 032 | 9 683 | 9 269 | 26 131 | 22 064 | 14 139 | 7 455 | 11 456 |
| 85 799 | 45 885 | 75 878 | 84 520 | 83 882 | 670 868 | 407 655 | 367 135 | 106 827 | 81 S74 |
| 11 133 | 4 874 | 14 920 | 27 698 | 35 683 | 205 697 | 93 111 | 76 033 | 21 186 | 12 069 |
| 96 932 | 50 759 | 90 798 | 112 218 | 119 565 | 876 565 | 500 766 | 443 168 | 128 013 | 93 943 |
| 363 | 101 | 95 100 195 | 360 149 509 | 2 010 62 2 072 | 5 077 825 5 902 | 9 056 3 430 12 486 | 6 072 1 310 7 382 | 2 515 13 2 528 | 2 491 290 2 781 |
| 3 957 | 3 544 | 2 710 | 3 042 | 4 196 | 10 231 | 7 600 | 6 746 | 4 427 | 5 615 |
| 955 | 938 | 1 570 | 1 815 | 1 563 | 6 935 | 6 374 | 3 994 | 223 | 1 987 |
| 4 912 | 4 482 | 4 280 | 4 857 | 5 759 | 17 166 | 13 974 | 10 740 | 4 650 | 7 602 |
| 1 914 | 1 640 | 2 098 | 1 545 | 1 863 | 3 636 | 3 655 | 4 396 | 2 316 | 2 127 |
| 1 003 | 636 | 1 370 | 2 166 | 1 308 | 6 987 | 5 518 | 3 409 | 450 | 1 177 |
| 2 917 | 2 276 | 3 468 | 3 711 | 3 171 | 10 623 | 9 173 | 7 805 | 2 766 | 3 304 |
| 4 270 | 2 740 | 4 700 | 4 293 | 4 656 | 26 372 | 18 353 | 18 865 | 7 739 | 7 766 |
| 575 | 350 | 630 | 1 343 | 1 762 | 8 227 | 5 535 | 3 102 | 1 000 | 1 565 |
| 4 845 | 3 090 | 5 330 | 5 636 | 6 418 | 34 599 | 23 888 | 21 967 | 8 739 | 9 331 |
| 67 350 | 34 125 | 59 560 | 69 750 | 63 308 | 547 428 | 317 285 | 277 626 | 77 750 | 55 890 |
| 8 000 | 2 400 | 10 000 | 20 300 | 28 417 | 162 922 | 61 470 | 52 018 | 17 000 | 6 200 |
| 75 350 | 36 525 | 69 560 | 90 050 | 91 725 | 710 350 | 378 755 | 329 644 | 94 750 | 62 090 |
| 7 945 | 3 735 | 6 715 | 5 530 | 7 849 | 78 124 | 51 695 | 53 430 | 12 080 | 7 985 |
| 600 | 550 | 1 250 | 1 925 | 2 571 | 19 801 | 10 795 | 12 200 | 2 500 | 850 |
| 8 545 | 4 285 | 7 965 | 7 455 | 10 420 | 97 925 | 62 490 | 65 630 | 14 580 | 8 835 |
| 1 890 | 1 422 | 1 815 | 2 094 | 1 639 | 7 125 | 5 493 | 4 135 | 2 66 5 | 2 838 |
| 160 | 143 | 205 | 414 | 330 | 984 | 509 | 436 | 2 222 | 200 |
| 2 050 | 1 565 | 2 020 | 2 508 | 1 969 | 8 109 | 6 002 | 4 571 | 2 887 | 3 038 |
| 52 52 | 53 | 4.0.4. | 51 | | 553 13 58 | 47 10 47 | 35.55 | 55 | 61 |
| 252 | 25.25 | 52.25 | 24.22 | 13 to 13 | 52 13 5 | 45 111 45 | 3.5 | 55 | 61 |
| 3d sup'v'y dist. Lementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 6th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 7th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Suffolk co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Sullivan co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Filementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

TABLE 2 (continued)
Buildings, property and library

| IBT | esmulov do 19dmuV bobba seg gariwb | 310 185 495 | 357 100 457 | 843 23 866 | 998 21 1 019 | 354 | 151 85 236 |
|-----------|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| LIBRARY | səmulov 10 19dmuM yısıdil ni | 7 656 1 537 9 193 | 5 752 3 000 8 752 | 6 331 1 590 7 921 | 4 903 803 5 706 | 6 962 1 897 8 859 | 7 091 1 795 8 886 |
| | Total value of school property | \$128 822 17 013 145 835 | 74 857 30 782 105 639 | 52 175 7 993 60 168 | 47 401 7 827 55 228 | 58 259 15 538 73 797 | 64 387 18 860 83 247 |
| | Value of all other property | \$3 755 650 4 405 | 143 112 255 | 712 | 204 10 214 | 233 55 288 | 1 215 400 1 615 |
| | Value of library | \$4 957 1 366 6 323 | 3 442 3 100 6 542 | 3 652 740 4 392 | 2 695 312 3 007 | 4 175 2 003 6 178 | 4 847 1 160 6 007 |
| PROPERTY | value of apparatus | \$1 931 797 2 728 | 1 272 2 505 3 777 | 1 024 515 1 539 | 1 132 725 1 857 | 1 760 900 2 660 | 1 390 1 095 2 485 |
| | Value of furniture | \$7 684 1 000 8 684 | 3 935 1 715 5 650 | 3 312 1 030 4 342 | 2 275 180 2 455 | 4 546 1 230 5 776 | 3 040 630 3 670 |
| | value of schoolhouse | \$91 950 11 500 103 450 | 56 860 18 400 75 260 | 39 943 5 330 45 273 | 36 995 6 175 43 170 | 43 760 10 500 54 260 | 47 715 13 400 61 115 |
| | Value of schoolhouse | \$18 545 1 700 20 245 | 9 205 4 950 14 155 | 4 027 378 4 405 | 4 100 425 4 525 | 3 785 850 4 635 | 6 180 2 175 8 355 |
| SDNI | Number of seats or satisfings | 2 790 184 2 974 | 1 616 205 1 821 | 1 460 63 1 523 | 1 382 62 - 1 444 | 1 347 213 1 560 | 1 861 129 1 990 |
| BUILDINGS | loods to redamN | 59 59 | 50 30 | 50 | 45 22 45 | 45 45 | 48 8 8 8 |
| stoir | Number of school dist | 50 | 51 3 51 | 50 | 47 47 47 | 45 | 48 |
| | SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | Sullivan co. (concluded) 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Tioga co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Flementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Tompkins co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| 111 | | | LANTE | MOEG U | r Liber | MIION | | | 110 |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| 162 155 317 | 373 7 380 | | 638 663 | 933 | 1 040 2 1 042 | 1 537 117 1 654 | 939 101 1 040 | 294 299 | 342 20 362 |
| 7 003 | 8 474 | 12 471 | 8 359 | 4 435 | 7 350 | 7 161 | 4 244 | 3 149 | 5 876 |
| 1 756 | 3 710 | 2 052 | 550 | | 1 968 | 724 | 435 | 735 | 2 725 |
| 8 759 | 12 184 | 14 523 | 8 909 | | 9 318 | 7 885 | 4 679 | 3 884 | 8 601 |
| 69 503 | 142 810 | 159 804 | 98 563 | 55 635 | 97 543 | 46 535 | 22 749 | 41 389 | 184 623 |
| 36 510 | 39 610 | 21 361 | 9 150 | | 31 857 | 8 215 | 2 390 | 3 020 | 65 154 |
| 106 013 | 182 420 | 181 165 | 107 713 | | 129 400 | 54 750 | 25 139 | 44 409 | 249 777 |
| 463 810 1 273 | 2 845 1 000 3 845 | 1 510 50 1 560 | 330 | 165 | 1 369 600 1 969 | 219 | 147 15 162 | 385 | 2 850 1 125 3 975 |
| 4 068 | 4 825 | 6 950 | 4 390 | 3 045 | 3 172 | 4 726 | 2 005 | 1 923 | 3 277 |
| 1 623 | 2 410 | 1 172 | 400 | | 1 200 | 567 | 475 | 600 | 1 992 |
| 5 691 | 7 235 | 8 122 | 4 790 | | 4 372 | 5 293 | 2 480 | 2 523 | 5 269 |
| 2 045 | 2 580 | 2 354 | 2 303 | 1 150 | 1 160 | 1 186 | 722 | 1 141 | 2 771 |
| 1 706 | 1 000 | 797 | 1 000 | | 2 444 | 500 | 600 | 360 | 2 412 |
| 3 751 | 3 580 | 3 151 | 3 303 | | 3 604 | 1 686 | 1 322 | 1 501 | 5 183 |
| 4 590 | 7 025 | 9 456 | 6 720 | 3 570 | 4 510 | 1 601 | 1 430 | 2 560 | 11 685 |
| 1 633 | 1 200 | 645 | 350 | | 1 425 | 191 | 150 | 80 | 2 275 |
| 6 223 | 8 225 | 10 101 | 7 070 | | 5 935 | 1 792 | 1 580 | 2 640 | 13 960 |
| 52 739 | 110 S40 | 122 344 | 76 595 | 39 830 | 69 330 | 34 659 | 16 990 | 31 125 | 152 500 |
| 28 446 | 30 000 | 17 472 | 5 900 | | 22 500 | 6 291 | 1 100 | 1 800 | 46 900 |
| 81 185 | 140 840 | 139 816 | 82 495 | | 91 830 | 40 950 | 18 090 | 32 925 | 199 400 |
| 5 598 | 14 695 | 17 190 | 8 225 | 7 875 | 18 002 | 4 144 | 1 455 | 4 255 | 11 540 |
| 2 292 | 4 000 | 1 225 | 1 500 | | 3 688 | 666 | 50 | 180 | 10 450 |
| 7 890 | 18 695 | 18 415 | 9 725 | | 21 690 | 4 810 | 1 505 | 4 435 | 21 990 |
| 1 660 | 3 358 | 3 800 | 3 100 | 1 856 | 1 822 | 1 231 | 1 088 | 1 368 | 2 112 |
| 271 | 130 | 225 | 160 | | 158 | 87 | 50 | 274 | 361 |
| 1 931 | 3 488 | 4 025 | 3 260 | | 1 980 | 1 318 | 1 138 | 1 642 | 2 473 |
| 59 4 4 59 | 46 1 46 | 99 | 70 1 20 | 42 | 35 33 | 40 40 | 32 1 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 | 53 1 53 | 63 |
| 59 59 | 46 1 46 | 65 65 | 68 1 68 | 40 | 39 39 | 40 40 | 32 1 32 | 53.7 | 61 |
| 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Ulster co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Warren co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Washington co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Ellementary schools. Secondary schools. Total |

| RY | Number of volumes added during past year | 129 56 185 | 33.33 | 427 146 573 | 643 25 668 | 203 52 255 | 1 347 40 1 387 |
|----------|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| LIBRARY | Number of volumes in library | 2 745 2 745 10 476 | 5 536 893 6 429 | 10 568 8 028 18 596 | 9 002 1 554 10 556 | 9 746 4 523 14 269 | 8 561 2 428 10 949 |
| | Total value of school property | \$154 953 29 809 184 762 | 81 224 21 991 103 215 | 177 494 54 474 231 968 | 87 317 17 394 104 711 | 138 829 30 727 169 556 | 85 934 17 472 103 406 |
| | Value of all other property | \$741 40 781 | 340 55 395 | 610 89 699 | 240 | 568 | 903 |
| | Value of library | \$4 447 2 100 6 547 | 3 262 1 053 4 315 | 6 612 7 833 14 445 | 6 132 961 7 093 | 5 394 2 874 8 268 | 5 149 1 300 6 449 |
| PROPERTY | Value of apparatus | \$2 245 2 894 5 139 | 1 425 980 2 405 | 3 867 2 392 6 259 | 2 410 1 192 3 602 | 1 555 2 475 4 030 | 1 947 1 525 3 472 |
| | Furniture of furniture | \$7 085 1 325 8 410 | 3 185 1 170 4 355 | 9 975 2 735 12 710 | 5 629 983 6 612 | 7 565 1 345 8 910 | 5 118 834 5 952 |
| | Value of schoolhouse | \$129 150 22 000 151 150 | 64 469 16 231 80 700 | 140 710 37 750 178 460 | 68 045 13 698 81 743 | 108 327 20 833 129 160 | 61 450 11 750 73 200 |
| | estoolboolse to sule V | \$11 285 1 450 12 735 | 8 543 2 502 11 045 | 15 720 3 675 19 395 | 4 861 557 5 418 | 15 420 3 200 18 620 | 11 367 2 058 13 425 |
| INGS | to state to redmuN significant | 3 022 333 3 355 | 1 786 195 1 981 | 3 055 344 3 399 | 1 979 211 2 190 | 2 328 298 2 626 | 2 402 247 2 649 |
| BULDINGS | loods to redmuN egaiblind | 09 | 20 20 | 63 63 | 46 3 46 | 84 48 8 | 20 30 |
| stoir | Number of school dist | χς ε. χς Σ | 20 20 20 | 25 23 | 46 3 46 | 48 48 | 50 |
| | SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | Washington co. (concluded) 3d sup vy dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Wayne co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| ٦ | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| 528 | 1 025 | 1 321 | 890 | 58 | 97 | 1 012 | 16 | 54 |
| 687 | 132 | 206 | 203 | 107 | 32 | 70 | 50 | 131 |
| 1 215 | 1 157 | 1 527 | 1 093 | 165 | 129 | 1 082 | 66 | 185 |
| 7 548 | 9 097 | 9 262 | 9 108 | 6 084 | 7 527 | 9 666 | 7 899 | 6 606 |
| 5 800 | 4 169 | 2 140 | 1 348 | 1 476 | 3 435 | 2 953 | 929 | 1 052 |
| 13 348 | 13 266 | 11 402 | 10 456 | 7 560 | 10 962 | 12 619 | 8 828 | 7 658 |
| 920 362 | 549 223 | 244 018 | 244 771 | 75 062 | 99 211 | 124 755 | 65 077 | 58 754 |
| 131 985 | 372 950 | 76 684 | 45 235 | 21 937 | 45 323 | 46 748 | 9 080 | 9 923 |
| 1 052 347 | 922 173 | 320 702 | 290 006 | 96 999 | 144 534 | 171 503 | 74 157 | 68 677 |
| 89 711 7 470 97 181 | 11 330 3 260 14 590 | 2 291 950 3 241 | 2 527 900 3 427 | 5 195 7 463 12 658 | 503 598 1 101 | 728 | 136 | 226 40 266 |
| 5 833 | 5 919 | 5 956 | 6 059 | 3 842 | 4 868 | 6 437 | 4 785 | 4 662 |
| 4 262 | 2 665 | 1 100 | 1 285 | 1 450 | 2 125 | 2 287 | 680 | 815 |
| 10 095 | 8 584 | 7 056 | 7 344 | 5 292 | 6 993 | 8 724 | 5 465 | 5 477 |
| 4 851 | 4 891 | 2 910 | 2 860 | 1 751 | 1 140 | 2 595 | 2 216 | 1 475 |
| 10 537 | 5 435 | 825 | 900 | 1 235 | 3 900 | 2 353 | 600 | 618 |
| 15 388 | 10 326 | 3 735 | 3 760 | 2 986 | 5 040 | 4 948 | 2 816 | 2 093 |
| 30 136 | 25 676 | 8 945 | 9 275 | 4 070 | 7 350 | 7 271 | 4 657 | 3 325 |
| 6 807 | 15 090 | 2 300 | 1 300 | 525 | 4 600 | 2 787 | 500 | 1 000 |
| 36 943 | 40 766 | 11 245 | 10 575 | 4 595 | 11 950 | 10 058 | 5 157 | 4 325 |
| 647 364 | 412 857 | 187 082 | 187 800 | 54 215 | 75 465 | 97 720 | 46 563 | 43 816 |
| 85 426 | 262 500 | 62 343 | 34 500 | 10 460 | 30 500 | 36 650 | 6 300 | 7 000 |
| 732 790 | 675 357 | 249 425 | 222 300 | 64 675 | 105 965 | 134 370 | 52 863 | 50 816 |
| 142 467 | 88 550 | 36 834 | 36 250 | 5 989 | 9 885 | 10 004 | 6 720 | 5 250 |
| 17 483 | 84 000 | 9 166 | 6 350 | 804 | 3 600 | 2 671 | 1 000 | 450 |
| 159 950 | 172 550 | 46 000 | 42 600 | 6 793 | 13 485 | 12 675 | 7 720 | 5 700 |
| 5 101 | 4 214 | 2 000 | 2 470 | 1 807 | 2 526 | 2 201 | 1 529 | 1 414 |
| 577 | 559 | 2 256 | 199 | 1 1 895 | 422 | 356 | 1 108 | 100 |
| 5 678 | 4 773 | 2 256 | 2 669 | 1 995 | 2 948 | 2 557 | 1 637 | 1 514 |
| 27 | 29 29 29 | 38 | 38 2 38 | 75 co 75 | 57 | 747 | 53 - 53 | 512 |
| 18 | 25.55 | 36 36 | 37 | 55 35 | 572 | 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | 52 | 512 |
| Westchester co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Wyoming co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Yates co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |
| - | | | | - | | | | |

TABLE 2 (continued)
Buildings, property and library

| *************************************** | ARY | səmulv 10 rədmuK bəbbs during past year | 37. 42. | 122 32 154 | 15 | 22 371 393 | | . m m |
|---|-----------|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | LIBRARY | Number of volumes | 100 762 862 | 825 524 1 349 | 1 200 | 1 401 839 2 240 | 1 411 600 2 011 | 464 1 272 1 736 |
| | | Total value of school property | \$65 855 55 186 121 041 | 96 546 27 030 123 576 | 79 400 | 150 535 20 935 171 470 | 184 254 42 392 226 646 | 63 125 39 200 102 325 |
| | | Value of all other property - | \$2 680 | 500 | 550 | 3 000 1 450 4 450 | 4 814 2 742 7 556 | 1 000 2 000 2 000 |
| | | Value of library | \$75 451 526 | 340 960 1 300 | 850 | 753 777 1 530 | 910 500 1 410 | 125 700 825 |
| | PROPERTY | suterapperatus | \$100 735 835 | 706 1 120 1 826 | 1 500 | 548 1 272 1 820 | 790 1 600 2 390 | 2 500 3 000 |
| | | erutiaruf do sulsV | \$3 000 2 000 5 000 | 2 000 1 000 3 000 | 2 500 | 6 984 1 686 8 670 | 9 740 2 550 12 290 | 2 500 2 000 4 500 |
| | | Value of schoolhouse | \$51 000 46 000 97 000 | 80 000 22 450 102 450 | 65 000 | 108 000 12 000 120 000 | 148 000 30 000 178 000 | 53 000 30 000 83 000 |
| | | ezuodioodes to sulsV | \$9 000 6 000 15 000 | 13 000 1 500 14 500 | 000 6 | 31 250 3 750 35 000 | 20 000 5 000 25 000 | 000 9 8 6 |
| | SDNI | to stess to redmuN sgniffig | 735 350 1 085 | 762 219 981 | 815 | 1 145 -160 1 305 | · 1 544 300 1 844 | 800 288 1 088 |
| | BUILDINGS | loods to redmuN sgniblind | 87 - 18 | 01-10 | 4 :4 | es es | 8-8 | |
| | atoir | Taib loods to radmuN | | | T : T | | | |
| | | VILLAGES UNDER SUPERINTENDENTS | Albion Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | Catskill Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Fredonia Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Freeport Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Glen Cove Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Haverstraw Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| 111] | | | LIATE | MSES C | T LDU | CATION | | | 100 |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---------------------------|
| 1222 186 | 234 40 274 | 21 18 39 | 20 24 24 | 25 10 35 | 10 29 29 | 189 73 262 | 180 10 190 | 33 75 108 | 190 105 295 |
| 1 837 | 1 428 | 577 | 410 | 775 | 1 347 | 4 515 | 4 270 | 616 | 8 133 |
| 1 922 | 1 157 | 1 125 | 2 042 | 1 140 | 1 169 | 558 | 3 028 | 1 072 | 999 |
| 3 759 | 2 585 | 1 702 | 2 452 | 1 915 | 2 516 | 5 073 | 7 298 | 1 68S | 9 132 |
| 130 150 | 142 524 | 44 249 | 87 982 | 171 485 | 72 492 | 147 700 | 341 794 | 54 550 | 90 120 |
| 39 300 | 111 313 | 31 300 | 29 750 | 79 205 | 129 658 | 113 775 | 59 306 | 24 175 | 74 950 |
| 169 450 | 253 837 | 75 549 | 117 732 | 250 690 | 202 150 | 261 475 | 401 100 | 78 725 | 165 070 |
| 8 500 8 500 | 1 750 | 2 377 3 488 5 865 | 932 | 5 060 3 125 8 185 | 275 | 6 800 2 875 9 675 | 4 300 1 100 5 400 | 300 10 150 10 450 | 470 50 520 |
| 700 | 970 | 289 | 500 | 625 | 819 | 2 000 | 2 100 | 300 | 3 200 |
| 1 200 | 1 605 | 890 | 1 900 | 1 230 | 1 726 | 2 900 | 2 500 | 750 | 4 200 |
| 1 900 | 2 575 | 1 179 | 2 400 | 1 855 | 2 545 | 2 900 | 4 600 | 1 050 | 7 400 |
| 1 950 | 1 027 | 276 | 1 150 | 1 100 | 421 | 900 | 1 000 | 250 | 250 |
| 1 950 | 1 889 | 2 198 | 1 250 | 650 | 1 292 | 2 500 | 4 500 | 375 | 1 200 |
| 1 950 | 2 916 | 2 474 | 2 400 | 1 750 | 1 713 | 3 400 | 5 500 | 625 | 1 450 |
| 4 000 | 11 582 | 2 132 | 2 400 | 7 700 | 3 027 | 8 000 | 10 100 | 2 200 | 4 200 |
| 1 600 | 3 500 | 1 124 | 600 | 2 200 | 6 161 | 7 500 | 2 500 | 900 | 4 500 |
| 5 600 | 15 082 | 3 256 | 3 000 | 9 900 | 9 188 | 15 500 | 12 600 | 3 100 | 8 700 |
| 100 000 | 109 195 | 30 675 | 80 000 | 145 000 | 57 000 | 110 000 | 244 948 | 45 500 | 75 000 |
| 30 000 | 96 819 | 22 100 | 25 000 | 68 000 | 99 500 | 90 000 | 38 052 | 10 000 | 55 000 |
| 130 000 | 206 014 | 52 775 | 105 000 | 213 000 | 156 500 | 200 000 | 283 000 | 55 500 | 130 000 |
| 19 000 2 500 21 500 | 18 000 7 500 25 500 | 8 500 1 500 10 000 | 3 000 1 000 4 000 | 12 000 4 000 16 000 | 10 950 20 979 31 929 | 20 000 10 000 30 000 | 79 346 10 654 90 000 | 8 000 8 000 | 7 000 10 000 17 000 |
| 1 300 | 1 544 | 857 | 1 032 | 1 500 | 1 217 | 2 000 | 1 800 | 850 | 1 750 |
| 249 | 290 | 207 | 238 | 275 | 217 | 2 500 | 2 200 | 120 | 510 |
| 1 549 | 1 834 | 1 064 | 1 270 | 1 775 | 1 434 | 2 500 | 2 000 | 970 | 2 260 |
| 4 -4 | ਚਾ ਜਾਂ | 4-4 | 4-50 | ಬ∺ಬ | ਚਾ ਜ ਚਾ | 4-10 | ਚਾਜਚ | 61 61 | 9110 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Hempstead Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Herkimer Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. | Hoosiek Falls Elementary schools Secondary schools. | Hudson Falls Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Huntington Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Hion Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Lansingburg Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Lawrence Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | Lestershire Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | |

TABLE 2 (continued)
Buildings, property and library

| | ARY | samber of volumes saded during past year | 12 232 244 | | 100 | 15 | 236 125 361 | |
|---|-----------|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| | LIBRARY | səmulov 10 rədmuN vısıdil ni | 1 187 2 872 4 059 | 200 100 300 | 900 2 100 3 000 | 1 155 1 225 2 380 | 1 000 1 836 2 836 | 783 634 1 417 |
| | | Total value of school | 219 200 66 100 285 300 | 90 350 40 200 130 550 | 56 600 30 675 87 275 | 58 850 60 180 119 030 | 98 800 36 700 135 500 | 91 533 44 217 135 750 |
| | | Value of all other property | 5 000 2 000 7 000 | 14 950 17 400 32 350 | 300 75 375 | 300 | 7 350 1 650 9 000 | 2 000 3 200 |
| | | Value of library | 2 200 2 800 | 200 200 400 | 2 650 2 650 2 650 | 500 1 650 2 150 | 850 1 100 1 950 | 500 1 000 1 500 |
| | PROPERTY | suteragga lo sulaV | 100 900 1 000 | 200 400 600 | 500 600 1 100 | 200 200 200 200 200 | 600 750 1 350 | 500 1 500 2 000 |
| | | 97utin7ut to 9ulsV | 6 500 13 000 19 500 | 5 500 1 200 6 700 | 1 000 5 000 6 000 | 1 750 3 030 4 780 | 3 200 3 700 3 700 | 6 016 2 834 8 850 |
| | | value of schoolhouse | 177 000 33 000 210 000 | 61 000 17 000 78 000 | 39 900 20 000 59 900 | 42 000 45 000 87 000 | 67 000 25 000 92 000 | 75 000 34 350 109 350 |
| | | esundloodes to sulaV | 30 000 15 000 45 000 | 8 500 4 000 12 500 | 14 250 3 000 17 250 | 14 000 10 000 24 000 | 20 000 7 500 27 500 | 7 517 3 333 10 850 |
| | INGS | no estase do radmuN eguidia | 1 470 180 1 650 | 1 600 260 1 860 | 775 180 955 | 996 200 1 196 | 692 171 863 | 1 055 404 1 459 |
| | BUILDINGS | loodse to 19dmuV agaribliud | € I C | 4-4 | 4-10 | en — en | | 01-01 |
| - | stoire | Number of school dist | | | | | | |
| | | VILLAGES UNDER SUPERINTENDENTS | Mamaroneek Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Mechanicville Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Medina Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Newark Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | North Tarrytown Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Nyack Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| TIL | | | TYXLI | ENSES (| JE EDU | CATION | | | 100 |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| | 208 62 268 | | 4 156 160 | 17 10 27 | 100 | 100 51 151 | 100 104 104 | 155 75 230 | 1010 |
| 518 | 1 422 | 2 055 | 471 | 386 | 200 | 100 | 3 200 | 1 500 | 500 |
| 838 | 712 | 1 025 | 856 | 589 | 650 | 700 | 1 930 | 464 | 1 365 |
| 1 356 | 2 134 | 3 080 | 1 327 | 975 | 850 | 800 | 5 130 | 1 964 | 1 865 |
| 138 <u>1</u> 823 | 61 600 | 125 900 | 243 538 | 209 350 | 77 750 | 238 354 | 102 450 | 163 166 | 76 148 |
| 97 535 | 29 815 | 20 550 | 83 150 | 59 475 | 77 975 | 65 546 | 35 950 | 130 890 | 34 500 |
| 236 358 | 91 415 | 146 450 | 326 688 | 268 825 | 155 725 | 303 900 | 138 400 | 294 056 | 110 648 |
| 3 450 | 800 | 550 | 3 800 | 1 900 | 8 600 | 5 500 | 3 250 | 1 850 | 4 100 |
| 2 500 | 215 | 300 | 900 | 1 275 | 9 000 | 2 000 | 3 150 | | 4 050 |
| 5 950 | 1 015 | 850 | 4 700 | 3 175 | 17 600 | 7 500 | 6 400 | | 8 150 |
| 182 | 400 | 1 000 | 163 | 250 | 250 | 254 | 1 200 | 425 | 750 |
| 425 | 600 | 700 | 600 | 600 | 750 | 752 | 1 300 | 690 | 1 200 |
| 607 | 1 000 | 1 700 | 763 | 850 | 1 000 | 1 006 | 2 500 | 1 115 | 1 950 |
| 211 | 1 500 | 150 | 75 | 600 | 400 | 400 | 1 500 | 850 | 1 000 |
| 1 523 | 1 500 | 500 | 650 | 1 200 | 1 725 | 2 594 | 1 500 | 3 900 | 1 250 |
| 1 734 | 1 500 | 650 | 725 | 1 800 | 2 125 | 2 994 | 3 000 | 4 750 | 2 250 |
| 14 680 13 387 28 067 | 1 900 1 000 2 900 | 4 100 800 4 900 | 11 500 5 000 16 500 | 4 000 1 000 5 000 | 2 500 5 000 | 9 200 3 200 12 400 | 5 000 4 000 9 000 | 1 241 5 300 6 541 | 9 500 6 500 16 000 |
| 102 3800 | 48 000 | 101 900 | 172 000 | 185 000 | 60 000 | 181 000 | 66 000 | 142 000 | 52 558 |
| 65 700 | 24 000 | 5 250 | 60 000 | 50 000 | 60 000 | 47 000 | 16 000 | 113 000 | 18 500 |
| 168 500 | 72 000 | 107 150 | 232 000 | 235 000 | 120 000 | 228 000 | 82 000 | 255 000 | 71 058 |
| 17 500 | 10 000 | 18 200 | 56 000 | 17 690 | 6 000 | 42 000 | 25 500 | 16 800 | 8 240 |
| 14 000 | 3 000 | 13 000 | 16 000 | 5 400 | 4 000 | 10 000 | 10 000 | 8 000 | 3 000 |
| 31 500 | 13 000 | 31 200 | 72 000 | 23 000 | 10 000 | 52 000 | 35 500 | 24 800 | 11 240 |
| 1 467 | 727 | 1 211 | 1 288 | 1 174 | 725 | 2 849 | 954 | 2 000 | 645 |
| 402 | 215 | 303 | 1 280 | 252 | 325 | 401 | 454 | 519 | 217 |
| 1 869 | 942 | 1 514 | 1 568 | 1 426 | 1 050 | 3 250 | 1 408 | 2 519 | 862 |
| es → es | m m | co ← 4 | es es | 61-61 | 4 | 5 1 9 | 9119 | 2013 | ∞ – ⇔ |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Ossining Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Owego Edementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Patchogue Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Peekskill, district 7 Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Peckskill, district 8 Ellementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Penn Yan Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Port Chester Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Saranac Lake Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Saratoga Springs Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Seneca Falls Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

TABLE 2 (continued)
Buildings, property and library

| ARY | Sumber of volumes saded during past year | 50 100 150 | 24 115 139 | . 98 | 4 0 1 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | 30 30 60 60 | 8 112 20 |
|-----------|---|---|--|---|--|--|---|
| LIBRARY | Sambler of volumes visited in | 1 200 1 200 2 400 | 1 748 2 565 4 313 | 900 1 233 2 133 | 474 715 1 189 | 200 100 300 | 540 481 1 021 |
| | Total value of school property | 243 100 100 500 343 600 | 110 S50 55 260 166 110 | 41 875 19 375 61 250 | 43 800 21 350 65 150 | 73 760 32 850 106 610 | 32 307 15 077 47 384 |
| | Value of all other | 5 500 800 6 300 | 6 161 10 853 17 014 | 550 | 009 | 800 600 1 400 | 1 700 |
| 2 | Value of library | 600 700 1 300 | 689 3 053 3 742 | 400 1 800 2 200 | 700 860 1 500 | 260 150 410 | 382 552 934 |
| PROPERTY | value of apparatus | 3 500 3 000 6 500 | 1 500 5 354 6 854 | 325 1 000 1 325 | 500 550 1 050 | 2 600 3 300 | 225 1 025 1 250 |
| | 9711 for furniture | 7 000 3 000 10 000 | 1 000 5 000 6 000 | 3 400 975 4 375 | 1 600 400 2 000 | 2 000 2 500 4 500 | 2 500 3 500 3 000 |
| | esuodioodos to sulaV | 205 000 90 000 295 000 | 81 500 26 000 107 500 | 27 000 15 000 42 000 | 37 000 18 000 55 000 | 64 000 25 000 89 600 | 22 500 10 000 32 500 |
| | Value of schoolhouse | 21 500 3 000 24 500 | 20 000 5 000 25 000 | 10 200 600 10 800 | 3 406 1 600 5 000 | 6 000 2 000 8 000 | \$ 000 8 000 |
| INGS | no state of seats or significant Regularities | 1 160 160 1 320 | 1 511 270 1 781 | 945 157 1 102 | 992 150 1 142 | 830 260 1 090 | 1 020 86 1 106 |
| BUILDINGS | Number of school | 4-4 | e – e | 20 − 20 | 87-83 | 20 - 20 | किल क |
| etoir | Number of school dist | | | | | | |
| | VILLAGES UNDER SUPERINTENDENTS | Sovav Hementary schools Secondary schools. Total | arrytown Elementary schools Seendary schools. Total | Union Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Waterford Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Waverly Elementary schools. Secondary schools. | Whitehall Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| 1 | .11] | | 1 | TALENS | 10 64 | LIDUCA | 1103 | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 510 483 993 | 570 1 429 1 999 | 1 064 241 1 305 | 117 64 181 | 285 65 350 | 265 323 | 1 200 30 1 230 | 2 494 905 3 399 | 235 50 285 | |
| | 3 967 1 770 5 737 | 11 500 38 509 50 009 | 8 339 2 848 11 187 | 2 484 1 789 4 273 | 400 135 535 | 2 330 2 437 4 767 | 7 980 1 575 9 555 | 48 230 14 117 62 347 | 3 326 6 789 10 115 | |
| | 270 425 188 775 459 200 | 1 232 350 902 000 2 134 350 | 268 176 100 092 368 268 | 402 175 210 162 612 337 | 166 470 119 890 286 360 | 100 165 32 271 132 436 | 513 219 123 376 636 595 | 6 386 566 1 920 599 8 307 165 | 137 710 146 645 284 355 | |
| | 21 000 9 000 30 000 | 75 000 27 000 102 000 | 1 350 425 1 775 | 8 643 1 166 9 809 | 4 274 4 680 8 954 | 3 586 1 763 5 249 | 12 040 300 12 340 | 368 441 34 708 403 149 | 330 10 705 11 035 | |
| | 1 875 2 050 3 925 | 7 900 21 000 28 900 | 5 000 2 350 7 350 | 866 894 1 760 | 250 300 550 | 1 341 2 435 3 776 | 5 231 2 451 7 682 | 36 172 22 331 58 503 | 2 280 8 560 10 840 | |
| | 2 750 6 700 9 450 | 6 200 29 000 35 200 | 1 626 2 317 3 943 | 2 845 3 650 6 495 | 1 286 1 286 2 572 | 888 1 973 2 861 | S00 5 430 6 230 | 159 780 65 673 225 453 | 2 100 6 880 8 980 | |
| | 34 200 1 800 36 000 | 30 000 25 000 55 000 | 7 200 3 000 10 200 | 9 821 4 452 14 273 | \$ 600 8 600 | 8 500 2 000 10 500 | 24 448 2 24 448 | 545 774 26 222 571 996 | 8 000 7 000 15 000 | |
| | 146 600 130 725 277 325 | 888 000 636 000 1 524 000 | 205 000 80 000 285 000 | 343 380 189 185 532 565 | 140 089 92 980 233 069 | 77 358 22 642 100 000 | 379 000 379 000 | 4 698 814 1 290 600 5 989 414 | 115 000 98 500 213 500 | |
| | 64 000 38 500 102 500 | 225 250 164 000 389 250 | 48 000 12 000 60 000 | 36 620 10 815 47 435 | 14 971 17 644 32 615 | 8 492 1 458 9 950 | 91 700 115 195 206 895 | 577 585 481 065 1 058 650 | 10 000 15 000 25 000 | |
| | 2 889 765 3 654 | 12 677 2 083 14 760 | 3 700 468 4 168 | 3 681 725 4 406 | 1 806 392 2 198 | 1 202 267 1 469 | 6 714 787 7 501 | 56 017 3 276 59 293 | 1 290 576 1 866 | |
| | ∞-∞ | 25 1 25 | 10 | =7,= | 7117 | ಬ ೮1 ಬ | 14 3 15 | 118 | 4-12 | |
| | | | | : : : | | | | | | |
| | White Plains Helmentary schools Secondary schools. Total. | Albany Elementary schools Scondary schools Total | Amsterdam Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | Auburn Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Batavia Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Beacon Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Binghamton Ellementary schools Secondary schools. Total | Buffalo Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | Canandaigua Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | |

a New building being built.

TABLE 2 (continued)
Buildings, property and library

| | | 0001111 | 0.0122 | | | | [1,4. |
|-----------|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| ARY | Number of volumes added during past year | | 82 30 112 | 37 75 | 127 | 339 122 461 | 381 45 426 |
| LIBRARY | Number of volumes in library | 2 005 975 2 980 | 1 125 1 380 2 505 | 573 804 1 377 | 1 827 2 200 4 027 | 1 489 1 810 3 299 | 8 829 4 454 13 283 |
| | Total value or school property | \$86 164 28 951 115 115 | 87 475 55 090 142 565 | 67 475 77 466 144 941 | 118 400 27 227 145 627 | 116 801 203 412 320 213 | 546 263 189 460 735 723 |
| | Value of all other property | 902S | 240 | 1 575 1 800 3 375 | 300 | 5 698 378 6 076 | 5 751 90 5 841 |
| | Value of library | \$1 602 1 225 2 827 | 885 1 565 2 450 | 1 300 2 000 3 300 | 1 500 2 527 4 027 | 1 452 3 378 4 830 | 6 278 3 453 9 731 |
| PROPERTY | sutarada io sulaV | \$962 1 226 2 188 | 1 000 2 400 3 400 | 600 1 666 2 266 | 500 1 600 2 100 | 1 755 4 566 6 321 | 1 473 4 200 5 673 |
| | Value of furniture | \$2 500 1 500 4 000 | 6 350 3 125 9 475 | 4 000 2 000 6 000 | 4 500 2 000 6 500 | 7 896 10 090 17 986 | 20 164 11 567 31 731 |
| | Value of schoolhouse | \$55 600 19 000 74 600 | 57 000 43 000 100 000 | 45 000 55 000 100 000 | 92 000 16 000 108 000 | 55 000 150 000 205 000 | 454 097 150 000 604 097 |
| | Value of schoolhouse | \$24 800 6 000 30 800 | 22 000 5 000 27 000 | 15 000 15 000 30 000 | 19 600 5 000 24 600 | 45 000 35 000 80 000 | 58 500 20 150 78 650 |
| INGS | no sease of polymul sanitite | 2 142 330 2 472 | 900 350 1 250 | 1 000 295 1 295 | 1 340 314 1-654 | 2 441 358 2 799 | 5 460 950 6 410 |
| BUILDINGS | loods lo school loods lo | 9 10 | 814 | 0,-0 | 4-10 | 6.46 | 13 |
| stoir | teib loods to redmuN | | : : : | : : : | : : : | | |
| | CITIES | Cohoes 11 Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Corning, district 9 Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Corning, district 13 Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Cortland Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Dunkirk Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Elmira Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total |

| Typnwana | OT | EDUCATION |
|----------|----|-----------|
| LXPENSES | OF | EDUCATION |

| 111 | -7 | | | LALE | 11010 | - 1100 | 01111011 | | | 100 |
|------------------------------|-------------------|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| 354 | 519 | 190 90 280 | | 94 11 105 | 66 42 108 | 100 25 125 | 212 210 422 | 191 344 535 | 134 81 215 | 209 762 |
| 2 692 | 3 663 | 3 900 7 006 10 906 | 3 500 2 490 5 990 | 2 032 1 174 3 206 | 4 284 2 295 6 579 | 2 050 1 000 3 050 | 3 087 3 743 6 830 | 6 729 6 269 12 998 | 1 681 3 277 4 958 | 6 203 2 681 8 884 |
| 177 350 | | 128 791 89 102 217 893 | 176 350 120 150 296 500 | 202 611 134 918 337 529 | $\begin{array}{c} 181 & 575 \\ 42 & 027 \\ 223 & 602 \end{array}$ | 83 100 25 700 108 800 | 289 207 185 166 474 373 | 742 139 97 809 839 948 | 165 423 83 840 249 263 | 303 347 164 370 467 717 |
| 200 | 200 | 1 300 880 2 180 | 1 600 500 2 100 | 3 611 2 468 6 079 | 1 375 300 1 675 | 1 200 500 1 700 | 9 266 5 317 14 583 | 13 220 5 650 18 870 | 3 000 1 700 4 700 | 13 111 6 850 19 961 |
| | 3 500 | 3 000 7 000 10 000 | 2 550 1 900 4 450 | 500 950 1 450 | 2 600 1 927 4 527 | 500 1 000 1 500 | 1 605 6 859 8 464 | 3 500 7 000 10 500 | 1 725 4 340 6 065 | 7 898 2 590 10 488 |
| | 3 450 | 825 2 722 3 547 | 4 100 1 250 5 350 | 1 000 1 500 2 500 | 100 800 900 | 700 1 200 1 900 | 2 469 2 302 4 771 | 2 600 6 400 9 000 | 1 000 2 800 3 800 | 625 9 045 9 670 |
| | 7 361 | 4 666 3 500 8 166 | 6 100 8 500 14 600 | 16 000 10 000 26 000 | 12 500 4 000 16 500 | 2 700 2 000 4 700 | 23 510 2 330 25 840 | 17 441 3 759 21 200 | 5 000 4 000 9 000 | 11 890 5 425 17 315 |
| | 21 124 172 439 | 104 000 70 000 174 000 | 130 000 80 000 210 000 | $\begin{array}{c} 165 \ 000 \\ 80 \ 000 \\ 245 \ 000 \end{array}$ | 125 000 25 000 150 000 | 70 000 15 000 85 000 | 205 358 130 858 336 216 | 561 478 60 000 621 478 | 135 148 65 000 200 148 | 229 096 53 091 282 187 |
| | 18 400 | 15 000 5 000 20 000 | 32 28 000 60 000 | 16 500 40 000 56 500 | 40 000 10 000 50 000 | 8 000 6 000 14 000 | 46 999 37 500 84 499 | 143 900 15 000 158 900 | 19 550 6 000 25 550 | 40 727 87 369 128 096 |
| 2 005 | 2 420 | 1 413 443 1 856 | 1 337 410 1 747 | 3 132 610 3 742 | 2 090 500 2 590 | 1 100 500 1 600 | 1 731 227 1 958 | 5 476 1 193 6 669 | 1 994 323 2 317 | 4 002 209 4 211 |
| 1-1 | -12 | 4 1 10 | ਚਾਜਚਾ | 10 | 9 | 4-4 | & 61 S | 41 1 41 | 5 1 9 | 616 |
| : | | : : : | : : : | | : : : | : : : | | : : : | : : : | |
| Fulton Elementary schools | Secondary schools | Geneva Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Glens Falls Blementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Gloversville Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Hornell Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Hudson Elementary schools Secondary schools. | Ithaca Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Jamestown Elementary schools Secondary schools | Johnstown Elementary schools Secondary schools. | Kingston Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. |

TABLE 2 (continued)
Buildings, property and library

| _ | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| | ARY | Zumber of volumes saded during past year | 833 110 943 | 9 7 19 | 356 382 382 | 60 229 289 | 97 38 135 | 115 95 210 |
| | LIBRARY | Number of volumes in library | 4 102 1 101 5 203 | 1 000 872 1 872 | 4 028 750 4 778 | 514 2 000 2 514 | 897 1 693 2 590 | 4 433 1 730 6 163 |
| | | Total value of school property | \$160 556 61 165 221 721 | 140 600 83 900 224 500 | 306 700 163 080 469 780 | 210 450 49 700 260 150 | 840 033 390 665 1 230 698 | 867 915 250 434 1 118 349 |
| | | Value of all other | \$11 203 2 971 14 174 | 6 500 100 6 600 | 6 200 3 530 9 730 | 2 750 800 3 550 | 18 625 9 650 28 275 | 25 100 11 700 36 800 |
| THEODE | | Value of library | \$2 884 1 119 4 003 | 400 800 1 200 | 3 000 1 250 4 250 | 500 1 500 2 000 | 2 633 3 408 | 3 900 1 200 5 100 |
| | PROPERTY | sutaradda to sulaV | \$642 922 1 564 | 4 700 10 300 15 000 | 4 000 3 500 7 500 | 1 000 6 000 7 000 | 800 6 450 7 250 | 1 398 6 800 8 198 |
| | | entiant to suls. | \$12 500 3 000 15 500 | 5 500 2 700 8 200 | 19 500 5 000 24 500 | 2 500 1 800 4 300 | 14 670 4 502 19 172 | 37 017 15 734 52 751 |
| | | suchloods to suls V | \$117 327 29 153 146 480 | 87 000 50 000 137 000 | 223 000 116 800 339 800 | 157 000 36 000 193 000 | 680 363 288 430 968 793 | 668 500 155 000 823 500 |
| | | sundloods to suls V | \$16 000 24 000 40 000 | 36 500 20 000 56 500 | 51 000 33 000 84 000 | 46 700 3 600 50 300 | 124 800 79 000 203 800 | 132 000 60 000 192 000 |
| | INGS | To stage of seats or sittings | 1 575 120 1 695 | 1 213 278 1 491 | 3 390 315 3 705 | 2 .005 426 2 431 | 5 599 1 799 7 398 | 5 910 784 6 694 |
| | BUILDINGS | Vumber of school | 4-4 | ∞-∞ | === | ∞ - ∞ | 1183 | 01 11 |
| | stoir | taib loodse to 19dmuV. | | | | :::: | : : : | |
| | CITIES | | Lackawanna Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Little Falls Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Lockport Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Middletown Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Mount Vernon Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | New Rochelle Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total |

| . 1. | T] | | 1 | LAPENS. | ES OF | EDUCA | HON | | | |
|------|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| | 127 496 4 129 131 625 | 437 | 25 130 155 | . 50 | 86 472 558 | | 16 59 75 | 150 100 250 | 85 115 200 | |
| | 671 225 96 791 768 016 | 4 348 1 200 5 548 | 3 425 1 597 5 022 | 1 522 1 522 | 1 258 10 238 11 496 | 1 000 1 630 2 630 | 2 336 1 867 4 203 | 3 750 7 250 11 000 | 1 250 600 1 850 | |
| | 129 944 251 15 603 465 145 547 716 | 462 570 112 930 575 500 | 494 257 200 000 694 257 | 159 120 145 119 304 239 | 119 575 70 375 189 950 | 176 646 137 900 314 546 | 464 840 145 920 610 760 | 115 650 88 800 204 450 | 58 300 126 700 185 000 | |
| | a18 211 872 3 119 390 21 331 262 | 25 400 6 600 32 000 | 13 800 4 500 18 300 | 8 820 3 769 12 589 | 12 125 12 275 24 400 | 5 500 400 5 900 | 12 000 | 500 | 100 | |
| | 402 735 131 456 534 191 | 2 810 2 800 5 610 | 2 000 2 000 2 000 | 1 750 1 750 | 650 5 600 6 250 | 500 1 000 1 500 | 1 200 2 200 2 200 | 750 5 000 5 750 | 400 600 1 000 | |
| | 89 530 287 614 377 144 | 3 130 3 730 | 2 000 8 500 10 500 | 1 500 2 400 3 900 | 300 2 000 2 300 | 896 3 500 4 396 | 3 500 2 500 6 000 | 400 1 800 2 200 | 700 1 000 1 700 | |
| | g | 21 500 5 000 26 500 | 35 000 8 000 43 000 | 13 800 7 200 21 000 | 1 500 1 500 3 000 | 7 500 4 000 11 500 | 28 964 11 493 40 457 | 4 000 1 000 5 000 | 4 000 3 000 7 000 | |
| | 82 783 074 8 510 360 91 293 434 | 367 260 71 900 439 160 | 368 000 150 000 518 000 | 100 000 120 600 220 000 | 88 000 39 000 127 000 | 142 500 120 000 262 500 | 354 376 86 727 441 103 | 100 000 75 000 175 000 | 44 090 115 000 159 000 | |
| | 28 457 040 3 554 645 32 011 685 | 45 000 23 500 68 500 | 73 457 26 000 99 457 | 35 000 10 000 45 000 | 17 000 10 000 27 000 | 19 750 9 000 28 750 | 65 000 44 000 109 000 | 10 000 6 000 16 000 | 8 500 7 000 15 500 | |
| | 593 596 59 977 653 573 | 4 071 540 4 611 | 4 545 850 5 395 | 1 730 221 1 951 | 1 409 280 1 689 | 2 062 400 2 462 | 3 180 700 3 880 | 1 450 280 1 730 | 1 175 450 1 625 | |
| | 508 48 556 | 7 | 112 | 70 T 10 | | 816 | 10 10 | 4-10 | 4-4 | |
| | | | : : : | | | | : : : | | | |
| | New York Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Newburgh Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Niagara Falls Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. | North Tonawanda Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | Norwich Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. | Ogdensburg Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. | Olean Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. | Cneida Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Oneonta Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | - T 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - |
| | | , O, | | | | | | | | |

a Included in value of all other property.

TABLE 2 (continued) Buildings, property and library

| səmulov do 19dmuN bəbbs 18sq dəninub | 35.5 | .6161 | | 12 | 48 73 | 1 530 512 2 042 |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| səmulov 10 rədmuM visitali ni | 5 900 970 6 870 | 2 838 2 309 5 147 | 294 400 694 | 2 026 888 2 914 | 3 028 1 180 4 208 | 14 545 12 498 27 043 |
| Total value of school property | \$194 115 29 700 223 815 | 122 408 164 753 287 161 | 141 860 34 360 176 220 | 378 748 276 154 654 902 | 106 922 23 273 130 195 | 2 837 724 755 800 3 593 524 |
| Value of all other property | \$415 | 1 839 300 2 139 | 2 410 890 3 300 | 15 000 4 000 19 000 | 8 125 1 900 10 025 | 48 000 500 48 500 |
| Value of library | \$2 300 1 200 3 500 | 1 904 2 993 4 897 | 100 300 400 | 1 000 800 1 800 | 1 940 1 282 3 222 | 23 500 11 300 34 800 |
| sutaradga to sulaV | \$1 200 2 500 3 700 | 165 1 460 1 625 | 2 670 2 670 | 1 500 1 500 3 000 | 1 200 1 410 2 610 | 26 500 59 000 85 500 |
| 9 Walue of furniture | \$4 200 1 000 5 200 | 6 500 8 000 14 500 | 6 450 1 900 8 350 | 15 000 4 000 19 000 | 6 015 3 060 9 075 | 102 230 50 000 152 230 |
| esuodioods to sulsV | \$160 000 20 000 180 000 | 100 000 120 000 220 000 | 121 000 25 000 146 000 | 281 465 237 495 518 960 | 83 642 15 121 98 763 | 2 287 220 575 000 2 862 220 |
| Selve of schoolhouse of selfe | \$26 000 5 000 31 000 | 12 000 32 000 44 000 | 11 900 3 600 15 500 | 64 783 28 359 93 142 | 6 000 500 6 500 | 350 274 60 000 410 274 |
| Number of seats or | 3 360 685 4 045 | 1 812 288 2 100 | 1 781 436 2 217 | 3 572 641 4 213 | 1 826 300 2 126 | 22 316 2 106 24 422 |
| loodsa 3o 19dmuN agaibliud | 11 22 | ∞ ∞ | 613 | 11 | e ⊣ e | 39 |
| Asib loods to redmuN | | | | : : : | | |
| GTTES | Oswego Elementary schools. Scondary schools. Total. | Plattsburg Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | Port Jervis Elementary schools Secondery schools. Total. | Poughkeepsie Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | Rensedaer Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Rochester Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. |
| | Number of school dist loadings mumber of seats or strings attings loadings loadin | Mumber of school dist Number of school dist Number of school dist Number of schoolhouse at 53 5000 Number of schoolhouse 12 23 1 000 Number of schoolhouse 13 200 Nalue of schoolhouse Value of schoolhouse Value of schoolhouse 14 63 500 Nalue of schoolhouse Nalue of schoolhouse 15 20 20 Nalue of schoolhouse Nalue of schoolhouse 16 20 20 Nalue of schoolhouse Nalue of schoolhouse 18 20 20 Nalue of schoolhouse Nalue of schoolhouse | Number of school dist Number of school dist | Number of school dist Number of school dist | Number of school dist Number of school dist Number of school dist | Number of school dist Number of school dist Number of school dist |

| 1 | 1] | | 1 | APENSI | ES OF . | EDUCA | HON | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | 70 135 205 | 25 | 1 377 356 1 733 | 1 738 719 2 457 | | 679 95 774 | 10 | 133 438 571 | 200 200 200 | |
| | 1 150 700 1 850 | 4 115 2 229 6 344 | 8 500 3 701 12 201 | 37 553 9 912 47 465 | a | 5 274 2 543 7 817 | 5 721 2 000 7 721 | 3 939 8 392 12 331 | 775 1 719 2 494 | |
| | 182 750 94 950 277 700 | 109 119 49 100 158 219 | 1 415 324 591 419 2 006 743 | 2 171 126 837 261 3 008 387 | 108 400 52 200 160 600 | 934 185 167 700 1 101 885 | 1 082 800 285 200 1 368 000 | 707 581 149 250 856 831 | 146 700 60 300 207 000 | |
| | 1 200 1 200 1 200 | 500 | 28 115 | 163 066 9 264 172 330 | 3 100 1 800 4 900 | 16 150 200 16 350 | 11 000 700 11 700 | 27 831 950 28 781 | 1 800 300 2 100 | |
| | 750 750 1 500 | 2 000 1 800 3 800 | 8 845 8 820 17 665 | 20 185 11 147 31 332 | <i>a</i> | 4 800 3 550 8 350 | 4 500 3 500 8 000 | 3 350 4 000 7 350 | 900 1 350 2 250 | |
| | 1 000 4 000 5 000 | 600 1 800 2 400 | 4 875 13 199 18 074 | 17 429 26 070 43 499 | 300 900 1 200 | 18 076 5 450 23 526 | 5 500 7 000 12 500 | 6 400 4 000 10 400 | 2 000 1 900 3 900 | |
| | 5 000 5 000 10 000 | 2 519 1 300 3 819 | \$6 019 11 400 47 419 | 98 746 35 780 134 526 | 4 000 2 500 6 500 | 60 159 5 000 65 159 | 21 000 14 000 35 000 | 20 000 10 300 30 300 | 5 000 1 750 6 750 | |
| | 150 000 75 000 225 000 | 95 000 38 700 133 700 | 1 097 000 500 000 1 597 000 | 1 536 700 640 000 2 176 700 | 92 000 43 000 135 000 | 769 760 125 000 894 760 | 874 800 175 000 1 049 800 | 600 000 110 000 710 000 | 125 000 50 000 175 000 | |
| | 25 000 10 000 35 000 | 8 500 5 500 14 000 | 240 470 58 000 298 470 | 335 000 115 000 450 000 | 9 000 4 000 13 000 | 65 240 28 500 93 740 | 166 000 85 000 251 000 | 50 000 20 000 70 000 | 12 000 5 000 17 000 | |
| | 2 100 425 2 525 | 1 443 232 1 675 | 12 280 1 480 13 760 | 19 762 2 730 22 492 | 1 300 250 1 550 | 7 943 1 250 9 193 | 11 286 1 139 12 425 | 5 242 676 5 918 | 2 000 4 00 2 400 | |
| | 11 7 | 919 | 22 22 | 37 40 | 20112 | 18 2 19 | 23 1 24 | 12 | v + 0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Rome Elementary schools Egeondary schools Total | Salamanca Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | Schenectady Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Syracuse Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Tonawanda Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Troy Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Utica Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. | Watertown Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Water viiet Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | |

a Merged with public library.

TABLE 2 (concluded)
Buildings, property and library

| BULDINGS | Value of seate or seatury satchings at things of schoolhouse site of schoolhouse value of schoolhouse value of substantial of all other value of all other value of school property value of school value of s | 22 12 000 \$365 177 \$2 074 240 \$205 219 \$13 588 \$11 223 \$76 151 \$2 745 598 13 2 13 300 47 330 196 105 18 000 25 208, 3 760 13 983 323 333 2 2 13 300 412 527 2 270 345 223 219 38 796 14 983 109 081 3 068 951 15 | 141 867 583 32 461 585 106 903 980 1586 733 408 283 607 886 19 301 708 160 586 125 948 548 147 48 626 714 253 3 659 076 221 152 28 854 27 636 140 319 4 791 240 53 683 4 62 245 2 634 632 19 161 512 1 408 407 433 640 902 428 395 589 2 996 208 1 645 | 971 1 378 454 \$35 810 470 \$129 030 548 \$3 226 312 \$870 777 \$1 597 900 \$19 837 616 \$190 373 623 2 648 | 119 | 768 154 303 \$6 400 950 \$22 385 805 \$865 650 \$1 076 658 \$757 331 \$3 573 670 \$35 060 064 819 | 224 695 342 838 019 435 \$122 561 731 81 989 318 1 075 352 894 531 \$22 633 707 8187 219 074 1 242 895 895 895 895 895 895 895 895 895 895 | 075 1 532 757 \$42 211 420 \$151 416 353 \$4 091 962 \$1 947 435 \$2 355 231 \$23 411 286 \$225 433 687 3 467 | |
|----------|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| | Variable of school distribution of school dis | | 39 459 10 | 498 11 | 38 299 | 637 | 1 39 459 10 | 493 12 | |
| | CITIES | Yonkers Elementary schools Secondury schools Total | Cities, elementary. Villages, elementary. Towns, elementary. | Total, elementary | Cities, secondary Villages, secondary Towns, secondary | Total, secondary | Total, cities. Total, villages. Total, towns. | Total, State | |

| | | 714 | | | 189 114 303 | 189 828 1 017 |
|-----------------|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | 9 284 | 5 150 1 350 6 500 | 459 | 6 123 2 141 . 8 264 | 11 273 13 234 24 507 |
| | \$1 533 710 1 533 710 | 500 910 606 393 1 107 303 | 2 561 202 284 578 2 845 780 | 77 094 | 346 060 86 140 432 200 | 3 408 172 2 587 915 5 996 087 |
| | 819 047 19 047 | 910 2 727 3 637 | 2 148 865 238 763 2 387 628 | 1 500 | | 2 149 775 262 037 2 411 812 |
| | | 1 833 | 3 600 4 000 4 000 | 445 | 3 360 840 4 200 | 6 960 3 518 10 478 |
| | | 1 833 1 833 | 20 671 2 297 22 968 | 2 750 2 750 | 19 200 4 800 24 000 | 39 871 11 680 51 551 |
| _ | \$15 000 15 000 | d. | 18 095 2 010 20 105 | 5 184 | 7 000 4 000 11 000 | 25 095 26 194 51 289 |
| | \$378 224 378 224 | 000 009 | 199 644 22 183 221 827 | 46 840 46 840 | 300 500 72 500 373 000 | 500 144 1 119 747 1 619 891 |
| | \$1 121 439 1 121 439 | 500 000 | 170 327 18 925 189 252 | 20 375 20 375 | 16 000 4 000 20 000 | 686 327 1 164 739 1 851 066 |
| | 1 400 | | | 226 | 148 30 178 | 148 1 656 1 804 |
| | : | а 6 | === | | 2112 | co 4∗ro |
| | : : : | | : : : | | : : : | |
| SPECIAL SCHOOLS | College of the City of N. Y., acad. dep't. Elementary. Secondary. Total | Hunter Col. of the City of N. Y., h. s. dep't Elementary Secondary Total | N. Y. Inst. for the Blind Elementary. Secondary. Total. | N. Y. State College for Teachers, h. s. dep't, Albany Elementary Secondary Total. | N. Y. State Sch. for the Blind, Batavia Elementary. Secondary. Total. | Special schools, elementary. Special schools, secondary. Total, special schools. |

b Housed in three buildings belonging to board of education. c Building now completed for high school but at present used by college. c Temporarily housed in college building.

 ${\bf TABLE} \ \ 6$ Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| TRANS | PORTA- | PUPILS | | | \$512 512 | | | 774 67 | | 200 |
|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|---|----------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| FOR | BOARD | BUSINESS | \$25 | 55 | | 74 30 37 15 111 45 | 15 10 25 : : | 40 : 24 15 64 15 | 32 47 60 38 92 85 | 81 54 69 63 151 17 |
| POR COM- | PULSORY ATTEND- | ANCE | \$93 75 10 | 103 75 | | 10 | 61 ← 60 | 111 19 130 : | 16 78 8 78 24 78 | 23 10 |
| TEXTROOKS | STATIONERY | SUPPLIES | \$294 30 44 14 | | 35 99 | 1 661 26 50 94 1 712 20 | 202 84 94 76 297 60 | 423 61 385 88 809 49 | 136 82 71 01 207 83 | 159 50 109 30 268 80 |
| | LIBRARIES | | \$360 46 17 47 | | 631 55 | 552 80 90 48 643 28 | 283 32 47 05 330 37 | 249 90 305 95 555 85 | 865 50 29 19 894 69 | 1 251 38 |
| | MATTORS | ENGINEERS ETC. | \$1 601 86 145 | 1 746 86 | 418 44 | 5 071 77 108 34 5 180 11 | 668 18 160 828 18 | 1 557 81 584 67 2 142 48 | 1 068 25 440 :: 1 508 25 | 786 85 330 1 166 85 |
| | ERS | WOMEN | \$24 807 43 | 973 | 17 389 50 | 33 725 28 1 291 25 35 016 53 | 23 140 20 2 175 25 315 20 | 25 467 60 7 7779 50 33 247 10 | 23 690 97 4 110 03 27 801 05 | 20 927 18 3 670 :: 24 597 18 |
| SALARIES | TEACHERS | MEN | \$6 060 84 | 6 060 84 | 09 900 9 | 4 614 4 13 4 618 13 | 3 294 | 1 278 05 1 278 05 | 1 984 25 | 2 052 |
| | | PRINCIPAL | \$1 271 52 | 2 500 2 | | 1 800 1 200 3 000 | 300 1 650 1 950 | 1 943 3 157 5 100 | 1 142 73 2 877 27 4 020 | 850 : 3 050 : . |
| | | SUPERIN- TENDENT | | | | | | | | |
| | SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | | Albany eo., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools | Decondary schools. Total. | chools | ist. y schoolsschools | Allegany co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| | 619 | | | 200 | 200 | 50 | 283 29 | 280 63 | 396 50 |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| 80 :: 83 77 163 77 | 10 20 | 61 10 47 27 108 37 | | | 45 90 | 30 10 40 | 52 87 32 43 85 30 | 118 72 66 69 185 41 | 81 41 33 59 115 |
| 33 75 | 25. | | | 13 | | 62 75 10 :: 72 75 | 11 75 | | 14 · · · 4 70 18 70 |
| 231 16 97 53 328 69 | 498 26 400 898 26 | 238 57 13 44 252 01 | 167 94 | 291 92 144 48 436 40 | 275 31 65 340 31 | 447 60 219 02 666 62 | 433 08 108 62 541 70 | 317 75 170 41 488 16 | 146 76 79 90 226 66 |
| 222 17 3 06 79 528 96 | 221 31 29 250 31 | 204 28 11 95 216 23 | 645 42 | 277 09 110 387 09 | 650 57 136 88 787 45 | 76 26 91 36 167 62 | 916 94 81 25 998 19 | 197 14 106 50 3 03 64 | 86 38 25 14 111 52 |
| 2 026 06 1 402 50 3 428 56 | 828 70 225 :. 1 053 70 | 660 18 125 :: 785 18 | 400 46 | 813 58 266 08 1 079 66 | 1 160 73 513 70 1 674 43 | 1 061 50 370 1 431 50 | 1 582 61 459 37 2 041 98 | 1 864 26 506 54 2 370 80 | 953 92 153 75 1 107 67 |
| 28 019 55 10 768 50 38 788 05 | 19 733 3 300 23 033 | 20 654 1 475 22 129 | 16 178 98 | 21 233 57 2 300 23 533 57 | 28 372 51 3 686 52 32 059 03 | 24 960 3 099 38 28 059 38 | 32 322 30 4 984 11 37 306 41 | 30 544 50 6 541 88 37 086 38 | 25 402 54 1 573 46 26 976 |
| 2 292 4 075 6 367 | 1 760 | 1 686 | 1 803 | 1 019 | 979 42 192 50 1 171 92 | 1 973 70 | 654 | 1 986 50 900 :: 2 886 50 | 544 |
| 2 050 3 077 74 5 127 74 | 800 400 1 200 | 450 800 1 250 | | 675 1 826 25 2 501 25 | 1 200 3 116 58 4 316 58 | 700 2 309 50 3 009 50 | 883 19 2 888 06 3 771 25 | 2 630 3 451 6 081 | 1 080 1 320 2 400 |
| 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Broome co., 1st sup'v y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Cattaraugus co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup vy dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

 ${\it TABLE \ 6} \ (continued)$ Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| PN A UP | PORTA- | PUPILS | \$293 25 293 25 | 908 50 | 378 12 | | 309 30 | 83 25 | 84 16 |
|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|---|---|--|--|------------------------------------|---|
| FOR | BOARD | BUSINESS | \$13 8 80. 21 80 | 45 10 15 60 10 | 95 36 12 :: 107 36 | | 20 20 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 | 20 22 32 33 | 52 17 70 |
| ROP COM- | PULSORY | ANCE | \$12 3 15 | 46 25 2 50 48 75 | 8 90 1 30 10 20 | | 25. 25. | 57 48 | 16 42 98 17 40 |
| SHOORLAND | STATIONERY | SUPPLIES | \$117 03 69 34 186 37 | 232 06 57 95 290 01 | 109 26 5 25 114 51 | 67 14 1 50 68 64 | 169 39 70 : 239 39 | 406 71 160 35 567 06 | 107 05 39 79 146 84 |
| | LIBRARIES | | \$280 96 110 72 391 68 | 72 72 175 18 247 90 | 193 64 2 40 196 04 | 33 37 2 63 36 | 164 90 30 :: 194 90 | 192 24 53 23 245 47 | 247 53 35 49 283 02 |
| | PATTODO | ETC. | \$823 52 87 910 52 | 1 259 67 346 50 1 606 17 | 598 20 125 723 20 | 411 91 17 84 429 75 | 696 13 175 871 13 | 1 438 33 630 2 068 33 | 981 32 156 03 1 137 35 |
| | ers | WOMEN | \$18 851 31 1 900 20 751 31 | 21 646 02 3 187 24 833 02 | 19 742 97 1 050 20 792 97 | 14 432 28 200 14 632 28 | 19 357 56 3 475 22 832 56 | 26 154 60 4 685 30 30 839 90 | 19 725 40 2 810 60 22 536 |
| SALARIES | TEACHERS | MEN | \$2 129 60 2 129 60 | 396 600 | 944 | 1 303 10 | 1 340 60 | 2 113 1 650 3 763 | 2 055 25 |
| | | PRINCIPAL | \$528 28 1 350 | 1 092 2 313 3 405 | 904 1 400 2 304 | 175 575 750 | 350 1 150 | 1 900 1 650 3 550 | 1 189 1 766 |
| | | SUPERIN- TENDENT | | | | | | | |
| | SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | | Cayuga co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Scondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 'v'y dist. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| 650 | 440 | 534 | | 1 084 | 714 71 | 753 75 | 480 | 1 202 87 | 575 |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| 27 50 | 60 87 | 112 | 98 93 | 136 10 | 18 75 | 18 33 | | 62 50 | 48 |
| 17 50 | 61 :7 | 168 6. | 56 58 | 82 29 | 6 25 | 9 17 | | 12 50 | 12 |
| 45 | 121 87 | 280 | 155 51 | 218 39 | 25 | 27 50 | | 75 | |
| 3 25 | 30 | 57 7 25 64 25 | 63 75 6 50 70 25 | 125 75 200 | | 3 34 1 66 5 | 31 85 6 :: 37 85 | 41 66 8 34 50 | 14 |
| 144 99 | 620 58 | 1 145 37 | 1 149 62 | 260 42 | 222 90 | 117 56 | 381 85 | 313 34 | 144 96 |
| 96 84 | 602 45 | 919 15 | 364 92 | 78 41 | 11 99 | 33 13 | 133 37 | 51 66 | 169 70 |
| 241 83 | 1 223 03 | 2 064 52 | 1 514 54 | 338 83 | 234 89 | 150 69 | 515 22 | 365 | 314 66 |
| 669 12 | 280 23 | 419 95 | 345 02 | 210 35 | 233 92 | 561 80 | 407 77 | 431 94 | 318 90 |
| 85 56 | 67 65 | 230 70 | 93 83 | 158 22 | 4 23 | 7 28 | 4 53 | 2 92 | 158 59 |
| 754 68 | 347 88 | 650 65 | 438 85 | 368 57 | 238 15 | 569 08 | 412 30 | 434 86 | 477 49 |
| 1 132 39 | 1 087 08 | 1 654 90 | 2 241 78 | 1 742 13 | 1 600 58 | 419 85 | 948 06 | $\begin{array}{c} 902 & 72 \\ 135 & \vdots \\ 1 & 037 & 72 \end{array}$ | 867 50 |
| 340 77 | 613 38 | 873 17 | 881 86 | 721 25 | 83 :- | 101 33 | 270 32 | | 338 :. |
| 1 473 16 | 1 700 46 | 2 528 07 | 3 123 64 | 2 463 38 | 1 683 58 | 521 18 | 1 218 38 | | 1 205 50 |
| 20 022 12 | 21 286 40 | 32 412 82 | 32 152 82 | 28 280 15 | 20 916 20 | 18 397 91 | 22 831 | 20 063 39 | 20 153 40 |
| 2 587 75 | 4 065 | 6 137 45 | 6 400 | 5 325 | 500 | 1 050 20 | 3 989 25 | 2 605 | 3 250 |
| 22 609 87 | 25 351 40 | 38 550 27 | 38 552 82 | 33 605 15 | 21 416 20 | 19 448 11 | 26 820 25 | 22 668 39 | 23 403 40 |
| 3 097 | 1 452 875 2 327 | 2 285 50 2 950 5 235 50 | 2 711 250 2 961 | 2 542 2 542 | 2 020 | 2 798 10 2 798 10 | | 1 814 | 524 |
| 625 | 1 495 | 2 375 | 1 313 75 | 1 905 27 | 429 57 | 469 | 1 335 | 575 | 450 |
| 2 687 86 | 2 830 | 2 200 | 3 036 25 | 2 510 54 | 870 43 | 1 056 | 2 115 | 1 425 | 1 200 |
| 3 312 86 | 4 325 | 4 575 | 4 350 | 4 415 81 | 1 300 | 1 525 | 3 450 | 2 000 | 1 650 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 6th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 6th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Chemung co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Chenango co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementrary sehools. Secondary schools. Total | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total |

 ${\rm TABLE} \ \ 6 \ \ (continued)$ Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| - | | | | 08.8 | ::: | 96 | ; ; ; | : ; : | : ; : | ::: |
|---|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| | 200 | PORTA- | PUPILS | \$1 394 | 120 | 194 | | 284 | 300 | 30 |
| | FOR | BOARD | BUSINESS | \$33 33 46 :: 79 33 | 100 25 125 | 112 38 150 | | | 20 27 50 47 50 | 30 53 19 14 49 67 |
| | a do | PULSORY | ANCE | \$6 50 3 50 10 ·· | 168 13 41 87 210 | 133 50 21 154 50 | 28 10 1 50 29 60 | 30° : : | 111 64 8 36 120 | 6 15 3 85 10 |
| | SACOGRAGA | STATIONERY AND | SUPPLIES | \$138 58 69 12 207 70 | 440 09 83 13 523 22 | 161 27 12 99 174 26 | 473 88 134 01 607 89 | 725 20 485 59 1 210 79 | 793 87 113 38 907 25 | 153 07 30 85 183 92 |
| | | LIBRARIES | | \$384 68 15 399 68 | 838 85 195 97 1 034 82 | 1 034 07 23 11 1 057 18 | 1 043 35 100 43 1 143 78 | 1 109 95 996 45 2 106 40 | 505 30 34 71 540 01 | 684 80 119 75 804 55 |
| , | | TANTHOODS | ENGINEERS ETC. | \$578 65 209 787 65 | 1 263 81 145 33 1 409 14 | 1 229 63 162 09 1 391 72 | 1 481 04 345 80 1 826 84 | 1 70S 99 430 75 2 139 74 | 3 027 23 243 77 3 271 | 809 34 75 46 884 80 |
| | | IERS | WOMEN | \$17 007 20 2 001 19 19 008 20 | 35 436 27 2 291 83 37 728 10 | 25 005 60 1 391 26 396 60 | 28 240 50 1 861 30 101 50 | 27 317 80 4 300 31 617 80 | 31 808 67 2 123 05 33 931 72 | 19 835 07 757 50 20 592 57 |
| | SALARIES | TEACHERS | MEN | \$1 044 | 2 034 | 1 046 | 1 585 40 | 2 450 | 4 812 | 1 377 |
| | | | PRINCIPAL | \$865 1 885 2 750 | 1 139 29 1 860 71 3 000 | 933 1 617 | 1 179 50 3 681 | 917 1 683 2 600 | 4 316 35 2 053 65 6 370 | 246 754 |
| | | | SUPERIN- TENDENT | | | | | | | |
| | | SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | | Chenango eo. (concluded) 5th sup 'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Clinton co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Columbia co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d aup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| 925 | 1 190 | 267 | 957 48 | | | 388 | 782 80 | 490 | 250 31 |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| | | 10 13 50 23 50 | | 26 50 15 :. 41 50 | 36 60 | 32 26 28 32 60 58 | 51 06 27 87 78 93 | 17 14 12 86 30 | 483 34 |
| | | | 68 25 19 25 87 50 | 259 82 | 100 | 35 10 : | | 35 15 | 160 10 |
| 322 88 110 86 433 74 | 122 27 44 17 166 44 | 150 17 | 220 85 55 13 275 98 | 180 15 55 05 235 20 | 1 887 45 846 52 2 733 97 | 228 21 212 41 440 62 | 157 70 82 68 240 38 | 273 28 384 45 657 73 | 909 09 193 98 1 103 07 |
| 227 61 84 29 311 90 | 14 90 5 13 20 03 | 93 68 | 917 12 62 72 979 84 | 228 28 124 85 353 13 | 215 76 170 94 386 70 | 637 25 45 :- 682 25 | 783 60 185 :: 968 60 | 855 63 118 81 974 44 | 755 69 53 45 809 14 |
| 1 161 30 430 23 1 591 53 | 322 02 61 60 383 62 | 463 08 165 628 08 | 1 002 36 132 1 134 36 | 1 342 12 300 : 1 642 12 | 1 577 45 696 72 2 274 17 | 943 67 513 83 1 457 50 | 599 98 124 16 724 14 | 444 19 242 86 687 05 | 2 045 55 385 75 2 431 30 |
| 22,897 01 4 213 27 110 01 | 15 575 03 1 280 16 855 03 | 18 316 70 1 750 20 066 70 | 26 883 15 3 162 63 30 045 78 | 29 916 80 4 585 34 501 80 | 32 729 88 9 028 41 757 88 | 25 067 16 4 363 12 29 430 28 | 19 646 40 1 165 20 811 40 | 22 263 71 2 574 29 24 838 | 26 978 16 2 296 15 29 274 31 |
| 1 208 | 618 | 2 162 | 1 274 40 | 2 721 | 504 1 350 1 854 | 4 778 | 3 615 3 615 | 1 751 | 2 765 |
| 1 050 1 550 2 600 | 325 1 225 1 550 | 334 816 | 650 1 000 1 650 | 700 1 550 2 250 | 1 520 1 780 3 300 | 2 322 50 3 452 75 5 775 25 | 475 1 202 1 677 | 628 57 1 571 43 2 200 | 3 388 50 2 193 : 5 581 50 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Cortland co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Delaware co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Ellementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 6th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Dutchess co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

Table 6 (continued)
Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| TRANG | PORTA- | PUPILS | \$100 | 125 | 105 | | | | |
|------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| FOR | BOARD | BUSINESS | \$30 10 40 | 15 10 25 | 166 15 9 10 175 25 | | 1 316 45 328 59 1 645 04 | 29 17 5 83 35 | 72 50 34 50 107 |
| FOR COM- | PULSORY ATTEND- | ANCE | 078 | 75 50 125 | 210 | 83 17 100 | 588 79 156 15 744 94 | 200 84 56 16 257 | 108 20 6 50 114 70 |
| TEXTBOOKS. | STATIONERY | SUPPLIES | \$1 059 46 207 75 1 267 21 | 343 81 219 86 563 67 | 1 064 543 58 1 607 58 | 1 814 91 577 90 2 392 81 | 3 468 37 1 360 84 4 829 21 | 215 14 65 215 79 | 940 54 378 1 318 54 |
| | LIBRARIES | | \$825 35 202 81 1 028 16 | 311 65 10 321 65 | 411 76 7 33 419 09 | 609 12 188 17 797 29 | 1 097 63 459 71 1 557 34 | $\begin{array}{c} 1 & 412 & 15 \\ 100 & 52 \\ 1 & 512 & 67 \end{array}$ | 439 71 124 25 563 96 |
| | PROTINAL | ETC. | \$2 237 03 555 2 792 03 | 1 079 05 385 | 2 095 82 603 58 2 699 40 | 3 230 55 748 3 978 55 | 8 207 11 1 756 97 9 964 08 | 1 908 64 245 50 2 154 14 | 2 289 50 575 50 2 864 50 |
| | ERS | WOMEN | \$32 104 85 2 870 34 974 85 | 21 061 05 2 054 23 115 05 | 26 247 50 6 085 32 332 50 | 38 922 81 8 110 47 032 81 | 60 996 22 13 623 74 619 22 | 30 398 90 5 441 70 35 840 60 | 30 497 06 4 357 34 854 06 |
| SALARIES | TEACHERS | MEN | \$5 000 200 5 200 | 2 130 365 2 495 | 3 196 86 3 196 86 | 1 829 68 1 829 68 | 4 824 40 600 5 424 40 | 1 356 750 2 106 | 786 12 |
| | | PRINCIPAL | \$1 470 2 330 3 800 | 1 360 1 990 3 350 | 3 500 4 400 7 900 | 2 819 3 231 6 050 | 5 175 6 830 12 005 | 1 541 1 434 2 975 | 2 918 2 522 5 440 |
| | | SUPERIN- TENDENT | | | | | | | |
| | SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | | Dutchess co. (coucluded) 2d sup vy dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Erie co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Flementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total |

| 2 567 1 687 25 601 60 693 73 6 593 80 28 60 1 687 25 601 60 1 683 60 1 14 80 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|
| 1 100 1 487 25 001 50 594 45 25 445 25 577 80 <td></td> <td>215</td> <td>160</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | 215 | 160 | | | | | | | |
| 2 567 1 487 25 677 39 77 33 1 173 48 28 62 1 183 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 | | | 507 175 682 | 63 11 75 | | | | | | 29 37 19 38 48 75 |
| 1 1 1 1 457 25 601 30 72 35 1173 48 28 193 35 193 35 193 35 193 35 193 35 193 35 193 35 193 493 35 493 35 493 35 493 35 493 35 493 35 493 35 493 35 493 35 493 35 493 35 493 35 493 35 493 35 493 35 443 46 46 60 46 46 60 46 46 60 46 46 60 46 46 60 46 46 60 46 46 60 46 46 60 46 60 46 46 60 46 60 46 60 46 60 46 60 46 60 46 60 <td< td=""><td>141</td><td>313 62 375</td><td>620 145 766</td><td>208 34 243</td><td>50</td><td>17.5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>100</td></td<> | 141 | 313 62 375 | 620 145 766 | 208 34 243 | 50 | 17.5 | | | | 100 |
| 1 103 | 225 193 418 | 757 292 1 050 | 630 381 1 011 | 413 79 492 | 312 50 362 | 170 | 182 98 281 | 157 86 243 | 207 | 160 64 224 |
| 1 1 1 487 25 5001 50 2 5 5 5 5 5 1173 3 6 5 5 5 1173 5 5 4 6 6 6 7 6 7 6 7 4 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 5 6 2 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 | | | | | | | | | | 113 77 6 49 120 26 |
| 2 547 | | 619 874 493 | 572 758 330 | 487 484 972 | $\frac{101}{238}$ | $\frac{083}{262}$ | | | | 1 060 26 200 :: 1 260 26 |
| 1 103 2 547 3 650 1 487 3 117 4 650 2 582 71 3 186 5 642 29 7 625 20 1 704 1 800 2 560 1 704 1 800 1 122 1 700 1 800 1 122 1 123 1 123 1 123 1 123 1 124 1 125 1 126 1 127 1 128 1 128 | 001 971 972 | 370 170 541 | 829 736 566 | 986 500 486 | 568 554 123 | 613 263 876 | 532 550 082 | 341 548 889 | 778 | 20 045 1 600 21 645 |
| | 1 487 | | 1 386 318 1 704 | 2 560 1 550 4 110 | 1 122 | 1 440 897 2 337 | 1 305 | 7 7 | 029 | |
| Sth sup 'v'y dist. Elementary schools Fleeundary schools Total Zd sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Fleeundary schools Total 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Total Total Secondary schools Total Elementary schools Total Secondary schools Fleeundary schools Fleeundary schools Fleeundary schools Fleeundary schools Fleeundary schools Secondary schools Secondary schools Elementary schools Secondary schools Elementary schools Secondary schools Elementary schools Secondary schools Fleeundary schools Secondary schools Secondary schools Fleeundary schools Secondary schools Fleeundary schools Fleeundary schools Secondary schools Secondary schools Fleeundary schools Secondary schools Fleeundary schools Fleeun | 103 547 650 | 533 117 650 | 582 042 625 | 800 | | | 950 200 150 | $\frac{683}{216}$ | | 185 515 700 |
| Elementary schools Flementary schools Total Total Total Zecondary schools Elementary schools Secondary schools Jotal Jo | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Fasex co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Franklin co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d s.tp'v'y dist. El ameritary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elsmentary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Fulton co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

TABLE 6 (continued)
Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| | TRANS- PORTA- | TION OF PUPILS | | \$1 139 35 | | | 440 | 300 | 239 |
|----------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| FOR | SCHOOL | AND BUSINESS OFFICES | \$60 85 30 :: 90 85 | 52 16 9 54 61 70 | 149 86 :: 235 :: | | | 275 125 400 | 20 60 60 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 |
| | FOR COM- | ATTEND- ANCE | \$55 75 | 94 20 36 80 131 | 158 50 20 :: 178 50 | 20 30 30 30 | 45 15 4 85 50 | | 150 15 165 |
| | STATIONERY | SUPPLIES | \$464 87 68 :- 532 87 | 606 19 411 08 1 017 27 | 487 99 229 50 717 49 | 125 95 32 44 158 39 | 177 79 90 55 268 34 | 1 241 46 450 36 1 691 82 | 737 53 451 03 1 188 56 |
| | LIBRARIES | | \$503 13 91 48 594 61 | 373 14 127 93 501 07 | 228 69 55 283 69 | 10 :: 42 63: 52 63 | 132 53 168 74 301 27 | 583 16 196 07 779 23 | 548 34 191 23 739 57 |
| | TAMPODE | ETC. | \$1 636 69 370 2 006 69 | 2 601 05 594 26 3 198 31 | 1 929 66 170 61 2 100 27 | 694 31 121 16 815 47 | 999 25 252 1 251 25 | 1 692 87 375 2 067 87 | 1 649 80 767 05 2 416 85 |
| | ERS | WOMEN | \$30 909 09 2 573 33 33 482 42 | 32 988 80 5 168 26 38 157 06 | 23 608 42 3 195 : 26 803 42 | 14 239 05 779 15 018 05 | 18 786 87 1 312 50 20 099 37 | 21 176 75 2 345 23 521 75 | 34 051 95 7 446 12 41 498 07 |
| SALARIES | TEACHERS | MEN | \$708 200 908 | 3 552 05 900 4 452 05 | 3 356 | 5 135 50 | 5 730 37 | 3 542 | 1 116 |
| | | PRINCIPAL | \$1 200 2 600 3 800 | 3 017 50 2 880 5 897 50 | 2 325 2 525 4 850 | 534 1 266 1 800 | 450 1 450 1 900 | 955 2 095 3 050 | 2 025 1 975 4 000 |
| | | SUPERIN- TENDENT | | | | | | | |
| | SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | | Genssee co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Blementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Greene co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Hamilton co. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | Herkimer co., 1st sup v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total |

| 150 | | 212 50 | 1 239 02 | | 603 | 550 | 804 67 | 170 |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| | . : : | | 80 45 | 72 50 64 57 137 07 | 135 47 108 12 243 59 | 18 55 18 56 37 11 | 50 62 01 112 01 | 15 10 25 |
| | 157 43 200 | | 27 11 50 38 50 | | 50 100 | 172 32 204 | 116 67 8 33 125 | 45 |
| 134 23 37 98 172 21 | 292 20 74 10 366 30 | 110 98 13 89 124 87 | 286 88 144 65 431 53 | 190 73 98 48 289 21 | 174 71 183 64 358 35 | 191 45 187 46 378 91 | 145 01 106 67 251 68 | 246 59 144 32 390 91 |
| 671 42 | 646 37 158 83 805 20 | 89 78 65 35 155 13 | 183 66 74 92 258 58 | 152 87 68 20 221 07 | 127 39 46 25 173 64 | 519 79 73 66 593 45 | 342 59 139 94 482 53 | 276 48 |
| 518 55 100 618 55 | 1 857 28 579 30 2 436 58 | 785 63 338 75 1 124 38 | 1 005 24 386 68 1 391 92 | 997 08 601 1 598 08 | 1 303 88 755 | 1 030 64 412 13 1 442 77 | 1 641 16 865 : 2 506 16 | 830 76 136 90 967 66 |
| 20 184 72 600 20 784 72 | 20 080 3 125 23 205 | 22 667 51 2 059 09 24 726 60 | 22 443 50 4 534 26 977 50 | 26 413 55 3 318 75 29 732 30 | 28 224 29 5 062 50 33 286 79 | 29 375 96 5 020 42 34 396 38 | 30 410 13 7 253 33 37 663 46 | 21 801 20 1 185 :: 22 986 20 |
| 1 431 21 | 2 631 50 900 3 531 50 | 1 360 40 | 1 501 25 850 .: 2 351 25 | 3 627 | 1 635 50 150 1 785 50 | 216 | 436 60 | 720 |
| 300 700 | 1 450 2 100 3 550 | 690 1 880 2 570 | 1 266 66 3 020 84 4 287 50 | 960 2 240 3 200 | 1 800 3 350 5 150 | 1 900 2 800 4 700 | 4 117 3 045 7 162 | 700 1 390 2 090 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Jefferson co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 6th sup 'v'y dist Elementary schools. Secondary schools Total | Lewis co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools Total |
| | heols 300 1 431 21 20 184 72 518 55 671 42 134 23 87 88 90ls 10 00 1 431 21 20 784 72 618 55 671 42 172 21 | hools 300 1 431 21 20 184 72 518 55 671 42 134 23 ools 1 000 1 431 21 20 784 72 618 55 671 42 172 21 hools 1 450 2 631 50 20 080 1 857 28 646 37 292 20 157 3 550 3 551 50 23 205 2 436 58 24 36 50 365 30 200 5 | 300 1 431 21 20 184 72 518 55 671 42 134 23 150 1 000 1 431 21 20 784 72 100 600 1 618 55 671 42 172 21 150 2 100 2 631 50 20 080 1 857 28 646 37 292 20 157 3 3 550 3 531 50 22 667 51 785 53 80 520 366 30 200 5 1 880 1 360 40 22 667 51 785 53 88 78 110 98 5 2 570 1 360 40 22 667 51 785 53 188 37 110 98 212 | 300 1 431 21 20 184 72 518 55 671 42 134 23 150 700 1 431 21 20 784 72 100 600 1 618 55 671 42 172 21 172 21 150 2 100 2 631 50 20 080 1 857 28 646 37 292 20 157 3 150 3 550 3 531 50 22 667 51 785 53 805 20 366 30 200 5 2 1 880 1 860 1 360 40 22 667 51 785 53 88 78 110 98 5 2 2 570 1 360 40 22 647 51 785 63 38 75 13 89 5 2 2 570 1 360 40 22 443 50 1 124 38 155 13 124 87 212 3 020 84 850 2 841 50 1 805 24 36 85 88 27 80 1 239 4 287 50 2 851 25 2 851 25 1 391 92 288 58 4 81 55 1 239 | 300 1 431 21 20 184 72 518 55 671 42 134 23 150 1 000 1 431 21 20 784 72 100 600 1 618 55 671 42 172 21 172 21 150 2 100 1 450 2 631 50 20 080 1 857 28 646 37 292 20 157 3 2 100 3 551 50 3 531 50 2 2 305 2 435 58 805 20 366 30 200 5 2 1 880 1 360 40 2 2 647 51 785 63 88 78 110 98 5 2 2 570 1 360 40 2 2 647 51 785 63 88 78 110 98 5 2 2 570 1 360 40 2 443 50 1 124 38 155 13 124 87 2 2 3 020 84 850 2 443 50 1 005 24 74 92 256 88 27 15 50 1 239 4 287 50 2 351 25 2 69 77 50 1 391 92 258 58 431 55 38 60 12 50 2 240 3 607 3 | 300 1 431 20 184 72 600 600 100 600 100 1431 21 20 784 72 100 671 42 37 98 150 160 | 300 1 431 20 184 72 618 65 671 42 134 23 150 | 1,000 |

TABLE 6 (continued)
Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| | | | SALAKIES | | | | | | FOR | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--|----------------------------|-------------------|--|
| SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | | | TEACI | TEACHERS | | LIBRARIES | TEXTBOOKS, STATIONERY | FOR COM- | BOARD | TRANS- PORTA- | |
| | SUPERIN- TENDENT | PRINCIPAL | MEN | WOMEN | JANITORS, ENGINEERS ETC. | | AND | ATTEND- ANCE | AND BUSINESS OFFICES | TION OF PUPILS | |
| Lewis co. (concluded) 2d sup'y y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | | 975 1 575 2 550 | 1 244 04 800 :: 2 044 04 | 24 210 65 4 100 :: 28 310 65 | 997 37 225 : | 228 05 68 15 296 20 | 290 48 115 | | | 351 | |
| 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary sehools. Total. | | 125 625 750 | 1 098 20 | 16 227 50 460 16 687 50 | 350 11 40 :: 390 11 | | 56 86 10 80 67 66 | 10 10 20 20 90 | | | |
| th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | | 700 1 950 2 650 | 1 989 10 | 19 190 46 1 565 20 755 46 | 612 88, 225 65 838 53 | 315 88 157 72 473 60 | | 90 52 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 | 12 | 233 | |
| Livingston co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | | 2 610 3 540 6 150 | 5 081 500 5 581 | 35 621 71 6 170 41 791 71 | 2 401 04 753 3 154 04 | 249 21 288 93 538 14 | 532 84 149 53 682 37 | 75 68 143 | | 109 | |
| 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | | 766 66 2 033 34 2 800 | 2 751 80 555 3 306 80 | 25 765 98 3 335 29 100 98 | 1 570 28 294 20 1 864 48 | 831 55 300 1 131 55 | | 10000 | | 140 | |
| 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : | 1 900 :: 3 050 :: 4 950 :: | 4 406 45 1 000 5 406 45 | 30 056 05 8 022 50 38 078 55 | 1 556 90 860 2 416 90 | | 660 66 592 22 1 252 88 | 300 | | 215 | |
| Madison co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | | 1 606 45 4 050 5 656 45 | 1 200 1 200 | 23 021 12 4 830 41 27 851 53 | 1 654 75 483 22 2 137 97 | 265 02 42 27 307 29 | | 79 101 | | 3 473 75 | |

| 1 090 | | | | | 167 | 77 | | 75 35 | 832 50 |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| 125 10 135 | 130 22 48 66 178 88 | | 25.5.20 | | | | | | 918 22 245 53 1 163 75 |
| 50 | 67 69 70 | 110 50 15 25 125 75 | 32 40 32 40 | | | | | | 1 390 29 214 71 1 605 |
| 391 35 82 473 35 | 270 11 183 11 453 22 | 484 42 147 45 631 87 | 421 07 71 71 492 78 | 374 68 229 48 604 16 | | | 682 79 299 91 982 70 | | 14 068 24 2 233 99 16 302 23 |
| 378 91 9 .: 387 91 | 221 84 182 64 404 48 | 159 20 134 04 293 24 | 454 80 13 74 468 54 | 1 338 62 849 72 2 188 34 | | 517 34 70 36 587 70 | 323 22 165 05 488 27 | 329 91 30 359 91 | 1 342 23 189 68 1 531 91 |
| 1 371 99 310 1 681 99 | 1 009 67 272 63 1 282 30 | 1 668 68 427 90 2 096 58 | 2 644 75 243 30 2 888 05 | 3 099 61 918 33 4 017 94 | 3 716 22 680 4 396 22 | 3 070 85 465 10 3 535 95 | 2 112 72 861 66 2 974 38 | 1 704 99 261 08 1 966 07 | 17 378 62 2 048 50 19 427 12 |
| 22 313 06 2 747 25 060 06 | 20 297 06 2 392 22 689 06 | 26 882 79 4 225 31 107 79 | 29 403 14 3 345 :: 32 748 14 | 38 547 87 11 449 33 49 997 20 | 42 542 23 5 094 47 636 23 | 33 358 50 4 958 38 316 50 | 37 059 04 9 783 15 46 842 19 | 23 411 02 2 120 25 531 02 | 105 850 28 28 410 15 134 260 43 |
| 285 30 75 30 360 30 | 1 338 | 1 095 15 900 1 995 15 | 4 139 | 1 934 | 2 504 78 | 2 467 | 5 751 50 | 5 206 | 3 896 40 2 046 60 5 943 |
| 1 633 4 858 | 967 3 313 4 280 | 1 100 1 700 2 800 | 1 627 2 075 3 702 | 2 650 :: 5 850 :: | 2 427 50 1 450 3 877 50 | 1 329 50 2 570 50 3 900 | 3 718 50 3 325 7 043 50 | 2 906 66 1 783 34 4 690 | 27 332 58 3 392 42 30 725 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Monroe co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementry schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elemantary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Monteomery co., 1st sup'vy dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Nassan co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

TABLE 6 (continued)
Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| | | | SALARIES | | | | | | FOR | |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | | | TEAC | TEACHERS | STOREN | LIBRARIES | TEXTBOOKS, STATIONERY | FOR COM- | BOARD | TRANS- |
| | SUPERIN- TENDENT | PRINCIPAL | MEN | WOMEN | ETC. | | SUPPLIES | ATTEND- | AND BUSINESS OFFICES | TION OF PUPILS |
| Nassau co. (concluded) 2d sup'vy dist. Flementary schools Secondary schools. Total. | | \$22 763 33 4 111 67 26 875 | \$1 540 1 560 3 100 | \$95 594 23 14 065 109 659 23 | \$13 350 48 1 542 66 14 893 14 | \$865 46 98 80 964 26 | \$8 115 03 1 214 32 9 329 35 | \$948 03 88 33 1 036 36 | \$761 45 308 55 1 070 | |
| Niagara co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | | 880 1 770 2 650 | 1 104 | 25 169 48 3 815 28 984 48 | 1 689 02 589 69 2 278 71 | 182 03 221 26 403 29 | 243 13 39 92 283 05 | 37 20 57 | 85. 86. 87. | |
| 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | | 2 172 | 2 572 10 | 19 639 10 19 639 10 | 1 811 47 | 306 92 | 810 09 | | | |
| 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | | 1 075 1 960 3 035 | 1 008 | 31 660 35 2 346 34 006 35 | 2 118 41 375 2 493 41 | 742 87 127 43 870 30 | 508 08 155 31 663 39 | 124 2 126 | | |
| Oneida co., Ist sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | | 5 175 2 975 8 150 | 892 80 | 36 647 66 6 235 42 882 66 | 2 899 37 411 53 3 310 90 | 520 49 48 79 569 28 | 725 43 147 34 872 77 | 81 87 18 13 100 | 196 25 53 75 250 | \$40 |
| 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | | 2 430 3 776 10 6 206 10 | 786 | 23 923 29 5 121 06 29 044 35 | 1 363 50 480 92 1 844 42 | 556 28 289 10 845 38 | 429 23 148 64 577 87 | 50 12 7 :: 57 12 | 87 02 51 62 138 64 | 1 465 78 |
| | | 1 250 3 534 4 784 | 2 014 37 950 2 964 37 | 26 124 30 4 322 :: 30 446 30 | 1 589 78 555 51 2 145 29 | 467 88 612 01 1 079 89 | 337 70 185 14 522 84 | 46 50 21 :0 67 50 | | |

| 111] | | | 13111 | GIADID A | or Libe | | | | 710 |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| | | 516 06 | 290 | | 50 | | | | 449 |
| 39 50 | 22 71 | 52 50 | 21 | 42 90 | 24 | 10 | 252 85 | 111 67 | 75 75 |
| 10 50 | 45 41 | 22 50 | 19 | 42 90 | 15 38 | 5 | 135 04 | 73 33 | 75 78 |
| 50 | 68 12 | 75 | 40 | 85 80 | 39 38 | 15 | 387 89 | 185 | 151 53 |
| 22 50 2 50 25 | 19 21 | 30 111 41 : : : | 35 15 | 22 09 | 116 50 2 :: 118 50 | 30 50 | 173 50 | 25 10 35 | 8 25 |
| 181 92 | 137 19 | 123 72 | 133 86 | 114 87 | 638 25 | 413 06 | 415 66 | 411 24 | 388 68 |
| 9 99 | 112 43 | 27 51 | 107 12 | 67 45 | 220 88 | 88 99 | 214 03 | 168 67 | 277 59 |
| 191 91 | 249 62 | 151 23 | 240 98 | 182 32 | 859 13 | 502 05 | 629 69 | 579 91 | 666 27 |
| 358 70 | 662 54 | 472 34 | 320 98 | 222 45 | 619 24 | 364 85 | 185 41 | 52 18 | 384 71 |
| 53 70 | 226 :: | 10 80 | 104 75 | 196 98 | 79 24 | 58 85 | 113 71 | 227 64 | 93 90 |
| 412 40 | 888 54 | 483 14 | 425 73 | 419 43 | 698 48 | 423 70 | 299 12 | 279 82 | 478 61 |
| 856 20 | 703 91 | 608 93 | 568 37 | 1 300 17 | 2 352 92 | 1 716 60 | 2 706 04 | 1 955 55 | 1 058 57 |
| 60 35 | 288 90 | 25 | 163 01 | 517 25 | 765 :- | 423 65 | 981 55 | 696 65 | 420 :: |
| 916 55 | 992 81 | 633 93 | 731 38 | 1 817 42 | 3 117 92 | 2 140 25 | 3 687 59 | 2 652 20 | 1 478 57 |
| 22 026 06 | 21 840 50 | 23 381 07 | 19 694 29 | 28 619 60 | 33 241 23 | 27 784 04 | 33 767 62 | 30 985 79 | 21 186 60 |
| 777 | 2 250 | 3 697 25 | 2 994 93 | 4 550 | 5 640 24 | 4 627 97 | 6 676 75 | 7 780 45 | 3 700 |
| 22 803 06 | 24 090 50 | 27 078 32 | 22 689 22 | 33 169 60 | 38 881 47 | 32 412 01 | 40 444 37 | 38 766 24 | 24 886 60 |
| | 242 | 2 817 88 | 1 069 900 1 969 | 1 102 50 | 1 600 2 400 4 000 | 972 | 2 073 | 600 | 765 |
| 968 | 2 025 | 400 | 912 50 | 1 425 | 2 020 | 5 756 50 | 1 700 | 1 855 | 1 090 |
| 1 050 | 1 975 | 900 | 1 864 50 | 2 425 | 2 730 | 2 150 | 4 500 | 3 245 | 1 660 |
| 2 018 | 4 000 | 1 300 | 2 777 | 3 850 | 4 750 | 7 906 50 | 6 200 | 5 100 | 2 750 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 4th sup'v y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 6th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 7th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Onondaga co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d s.p'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Ontario co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. |

| | TRANS- | TION OF PUPILS | | \$507 | 459 50 | 50 | 520 | | 300 |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|-----------|---------------------------------|
| FOR | SCHOOL | AND BUSINESS OFFICES | \$248 61 82 20 330 S1 | | 39 11 97 69 136 80 | 516 44 236 33 752 77 | 256 43 43 90 300 33 | | |
| | FOR COM- | ATTEND- ANCE | \$44 60 | | 63 63 | 457 88 545 | 505 37 79 83 585 20 | | 15 10 25 |
| | TEXTBOOKS, STATIONERY | SUPPLIES | \$666 93 276 46 943 39 | 254 40 | 47 74 28 17 75 91 | 1577 59 928 37 2 505 96 | 3 093 51 1 198 05 4 291 56 | 220 34 | 418 88 38 47 457 35 |
| | LIBRARIES | | \$384 57 79 80 464 37 | 495 84 | 212 98 152 57 365 55 | 536 64 355 23 891 87 | 326 05 298 29 624 34 | 139 44 | 351 63 10 :: 361 63 |
| | | FTC. | \$1 923 14 433 11 2 356 25 | 1 040 22 | 758 86 325 50 1 084 36 | 4 226 58 1 073 25 5 299 83 | 4 840 31 1 248 95 6 039 26 | 1 095 51 | 823 62 199 30 1 022 92 |
| | ERS | WOMEN | \$30 460 89 6 361 23 36 822 12 | 18 264 73 | 21 725 56 2 350 24 075 56 | 60 714 63 11 238 75 71 953 38 | 57 401 64 13 375 48 70 777 12 | 26 136 11 | 20 409 32 1 875 22 284 32 |
| SALARIES | TEACHERS | MEN | \$921 | 2 523 60 | 2 430 650 3 080 | 600 400 1 000 | 4 177 | 3 984 50 | 747 |
| | | PRINCIPAL | \$1 915 2 913 4 828 | | 700 1 350 2 050 | 8 444 4 106 12 550 | 5 977 50 5 222 50 11 200 | | 900 950 1 850 |
| | | SUPERIN- TENDENT | | | | | | | |
| | SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | | Ontario co. (conduded) 24 sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Sœondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Orange co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | | 'v'y dist. hools |

| 111] | | | EAPI | ENSES C | OF EDU | CATION | | | 101 |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| 1 288 15 | | 918 | 605 | 125 | 228 97 | | 2 446 69 | 472 | 931 83 |
| | | 9 48 10 :- 19 48 | 54 25 18 08 72 33 | 20 20 40 | | 23 113 36 | | 10 36 10 36 20 72 | 122 50 54 50 177 |
| | 31 50 10 :. 41 50 | | 16 66 4 80 21 46 | 73 33 16 67 90 | 25 | 45 55 55 | 32 45 12 55 45 | 17 50 7 50 25 | 13: |
| 22 92 | 159 35 77 61 236 96 | 156 71 38 02 194 73 | 486 59 120 12 606 71 | 319 05 181 25 500 30 | 243 23 151 63 394 86 | 74 67 16 10 90 77 | 273 55 133 77 407 32 | 200 28 93 64 293 92 | 1 032 96 402 56 1 435 52 |
| 216 05 | 214 33 120 75 335 08 | 155 23 82 93 238 16 | 196 77 133 04 329 81 | 337 79 42 379 79 | 331 59 37 19 368 78 | 225 93 14 239 93 | 369 90 87 22 457 12 | 325 96 79 43 405 39 | 341 63 101 79 443 42 |
| 380 53 | 1 571 56 374 1 945 56 | 562 08 117 65 679 73 | 1 020 41 197 20 1 217 61 | 695 61 305 58 1 001 19 | 726 08 200 926 08 | 712 70 110 822 70 | 587 93 262 13 850 06 | 749 42 328 25 1 077 67 | 1 909 17 751 43 2 660 60 |
| 13 455 | 24 230 55 3 900 28 130 55 | 13 878 94 2 200 16 078 94 | 23 619 76 3 178 48 26 798 24 | 24 865 24 3 975 28 840 24 | 24 771 50 2 225 26 996 50 | 24 450 86 1 100 25 550 86 | 15 919 90 2 114 : 18 033 90 | 17 253 98 2 747 50 20 001 48 | 28 540 38 6 441 :: 34 981 38 |
| 1 062 | 3 447 14 | 985 50 | 1 047 100 1 147 | 2 780 10 | 432 | 360 | 2 890 | 2 388 | 1 001 30 20 :: 1 021 30 |
| | 950 2 350 3 300 | 745 825 1 570 | 1 214 1 636 2 850 | 850 2 400 3 250 | 400 900 1 300 | 500 250 750 | 445 2 080 2 525 | 808 95 2 241 05 3 050 | 1 325 3 975 5 300 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Oswego co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary scehools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Flementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Otsego co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total |

TABLE 6 (continued)
Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| Die Fold | PORTA- | PUPILS | \$2 245 2 245 | 1 593 12 | 2 558 52 2 558 52 | 454 | 90 40 | 436 | |
|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|----------------------------|
| FOR | BOARD | BUSINESS | | \$18 15 33 | | 72 50 117 50 190 | 102 48 150 | 41 25 27 50 68 75 | 45 |
| A CO | PULSORY | ANCE | \$36 55 | 2 14 86 3 | 7 33 4 67 12 | 250 50 300 | 15 20 | 9 6 31 | |
| DACOGRAGA | STATIONERY | SUPPLIES | \$505 47 87 77 593 24 | 133 26 63 21 196 47 | 114 87 56 13 171 | 1 319 07 300 65 1 619 72 | 248 72 90 08 338 80 | 128 35 63 90 192 25 | 302 92 |
| | LIBRARIES | | \$224 71 209 43 434 14 | 803 66 82 14 885 80 | 468 01 6 16 474 17 | 899 64 101 94 1 001 58 | 636 54 39 :- 675 54 | 242 21 5 25 247 46 | 483 33 |
| | OHOMAN FA | ETC. | \$853 57 385 1 238 57 | · 595 44 304 57 900 01 | 322 39 105 .: | 2 908 62 718 :: 3 626 62 | 1 454 311 1 765 | 678 81 121 799 81 | 1 803 82 77 1 880 82 |
| | ERS | WOMEN | \$22 412 16 3 485 25 897 16 | 16 549 40 2 436 ··· 18 985 40 | 13 204 60 725 :0 | 35 804 40 6 239 54 42 043 94 | 25 827 83 2 183 50 28 011 33 | 17 140 970 18 110 | 25 943 28 25 943 28 |
| SALARIES | TEACHERS | MEN | \$679 17 550 1 229 17 | 828 | 1 266 | 2 183 45 | 1 468 | 1 650 | 872 70 300 |
| | | PRINCIPAL | \$1 025 2 325 3 350 | 590 2 110 2 700 | 343 1 257 1 600 | 4 541 25 3 810 8 351 25 | 566 67 1 533 33 2 100 | 190 760 950 | 1 778 725 2 503 |
| | | SUPERIN- TENDENT | | | | | | | |
| | SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | | Otsego co. (concluded) 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | 6th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Putnam eo. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Rensselaer co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | |

| 111 | | | | 2110110 | 01 230 | 0 0111101 | ., | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| | 238 | 130 | | 210 | 645 75 | 648 | 335 | 448 49 | |
| 296 86 82 60 379 46 | 217 56 350 75 568 31 | | 30 15 45 | 12 50 12 50 25 | 17 79 17 79 35 58 | 50 20 70 | 60 90 150 | 70 70 140 | |
| 660 70 156 80 817 50 | 393 30 2 50 395 80 | | 12 75 | 12 50 12 50 25 | 85 80 | 16 60 13 60 30 20 | 125 25 30 155 25 | 15 | 31 25 3 75 35 |
| 3 561 28 903 22 4 464 50 | 728 14 561 34 1 289 48 | 163 96 34 78 198 74 | 200 40 60 95 261 35 | 243 88 27 50 271 38 | 323 14 244 05 567 19 | 199 62 120 72 320 34 | 290 89 228 73 519 62 | 313 27 84 06 397 33 | 84 70 4 83 89 53 |
| 717 39 186 97 904 36 | 478 55 201 48 680 03 | 430 45 33 93 464 38 | 406 70 82 84 489 54 | 147 14 38 43 185 57 | 677 11 196 69 873 80 | 374 79 22 21 397 | 537 94 117 31 655 25 | 299 25 | 200 53 1 80 202 33 |
| 9 850 41 1 529 43 11 379 84 | 1 969 08 979 25 2 948 33 | 696 55 186 50 883 05 | 781 57 192 70 974 27 | 599 94 242 841 94 | 1 377 92 690 64 2 068 56 | 1 515 03 425 :: 1 940 03 | 1 790 56 575 2 365 56 | 535 28 50 585[28 | 946 125 |
| 73 537 70 12 385 93 85 923 63 | 40 078 21 11 192 02 51 270 23 | 18 806 17 980 :7 19 786 17 | 26 143 1 600 27 743 | 20 465 16 1 525 :: 21 990 16 | 27 094 18 5 166 :: 32_260 18 | 29 009 70 3 245 :: 32 254 70 | 31 806 42 5 903 74 37 710 16 | 19 890 25 400 :: 20 290 25 | 20 086 08 1 625 :: 21 711 08 |
| 8 480 2 800 11 280 | 3 529 05 1 308 75 4 837 80 | 2 151 2 151 | | 589 72 | 2 101 950 3 051 | 1 572 50 1 622 | 1 732 | 1 472 | 930 |
| 15 173 34 5 021 66 20 195 | 2 175 3 297 50 5 472 50 | 1 010 1 300 2 310 | 1 025 2 150 3 175 | 400 1 500 1 900 | 2 509 2 129 4 638 | 1 685 2 815 4 500 | 2 350 2 250 4 600 | 1 050 500 1 550 | 525 1 400 1 925 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Rockland co. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. | St Lawrence co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Becondary schools. Total | 6th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 7th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 8th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Saratoga co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

Table 6 (continued)
Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| DW A CITY | PORTA- | PUPILS | | | \$160 160 | | 704 64 | 180 | 875 |
| FOR | BOARD | BUSINESS | \$30 20 100 | | | 367 38 339 12 706 50 | | | 38 50 23 50 62 : |
| TWOD GOD | PULSORY | ANCE | \$190 10 200 | 25 75 | 59 16 75 | 187 50 62 50 250 | 60 63 tO | 33 15 16 17 49 32 | 55 |
| SHOOGENAL | STATIONERY | SUPPLIES | \$388 48 97 02 485 50 | 220 64 41 50 262 14 | 566 18 200 : 766 18 | 715 43 264 84 980 27 | 28 47 15 70 44 17 | 138 23 36 65 174 88 | 256 68 254 05 510 73 |
| | LIBRARIES | | \$600 14 32 07 632 21 | 991 91 3 75 995 66 | 631 38 45 676 38 | 601 26 105 90 707 16 | 263 30 10 273 30 | 1 335 42 68 12 1 403 54 | 338 45 72 04 410 49 |
| | SHOPINAL | ENGINEERS ETC. | \$1 369 13 537 50 1 906 63 | 2 166 25 289 2 455 25 | 1 263 04 250 1 513 04 | 4 920 93 585 87 5 506 80 | 281 05 69 50 350 55 | 657 53 200 857 53 | 1 354 13 684 2 038 13 |
| | TERS | WOMEN | \$28 722 26 4 486 33 208 26 | 27 036 40 3 225 30 261 40 | 21 S5S 50 2 460 24 318 50 | 53 820 24 3 959 37 57 779 61 | 16 473 74 525 16 998 74 | 15 452 69 3 286 08 18 738 77 | 25 308 75 5 650 30 958 75 |
| SALARIES | TEACHERS | MEN | \$1 234 1 234 | 360 | 1 649 | 3 43S 90 3 43S 90 | 4 678 50 | 9 502 | 6 467 75 |
| | | PRINCIPAL | \$1 090 1 110 2 200 | 1 050 1 400 2 450 | 750 800 1 550 | 3 600 80 1 399 20 5 000 | 125 625 750 | 766 66 1 583 34 2 350 | 1 050 2 350 3 400 |
| | | SUPERIN- TENDENT | | | | | | | |
| | SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | | Saratogra co. (concluded) 2d sup v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Schenectady co. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Schoharie co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Flemontary schools. Serondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| 941 35 | 1 032 10 | 125 | 167 20 | 225 | 928 | 1 227 25 | 388 23 | 165 | |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| 10 22 16 .: 26 22 | 112 46 87 46 199 92 | 68 75 52 75 121 50 | | | 296 21 104 25 400 46 | 137 41 178 | 10 | | 56 10 35 46 91 56 |
| 10 | 150 | 63 48 28 71 92 19 | 202 50 | | 115 50 3 118 50 | 33 n 28 | | | 48 06 62 64 110 70 |
| 136 75 88 17 224 92 | 126 63 50 176 63 | 95 19 132 07 227 26 | 181 24 110 83 292 07 | 268 06 20 50 288 56 | 218 62 69 46 288 08 | 392 55 87 70 480 25 | 37 73 6 29 44 02 | 306 61 95 401 61 | 515 97 195 60 711 57 |
| 56 82 44 :: 100 82 | 72 66 60 11 132 77 | 109 54 130 12 239 66 | 785 60 34 40 820 | 167 09 18 34 185 43 | 66 72 113 15 179 87 | 487 51 151 638 51 | 1 212 95 100 . 1 312 95 | 237 24 33 270 24 | 164 96 212 14 377 10 |
| 783 59 134 67 918 26 | 728 17 374 50 1 102 67 | 1 100 60 435 | 1 717 67 268 49 1 986 16 | 1 649 04 158 1 807 04 | 958 25 292 16 1 250 41 | 940 57 270 :: 1 210 57 | 363 15 69 50 432 65 | 971 95 365 83 1 337 78 | 1 033 16 430 :. 1 463 16 |
| 21 612 80 1 106 22 718 80 | 20 739 2 700 23 439 | 19 096 30 3 820 22 916 30 | 22 657 71 3 666 60 26 324 31 | 25 079 63 1 275 26 354 63 | 23 763 68 4 720 :: 28 453 68 | 21 888 41 3 047 32 24 935 73 | 16 297 SSO 17 177 | 25 792 47 3 550 29 342 47 | 24 418 84 5 100 :: 29 518 84 |
| 1 666 30 | 936 900 1 836 | 4 009 | 660 800 1 460 | 728 | 1 369 80 800 : | 009 | 4 089 | 679 98 | 3 119 50 |
| 1 312 1 113 2 425 | 500 900 | 1 285 2 115 3 400 | 1 666 70 533 30 2 200 | 700 600 | 1 626 2 174 3 800 | 757 1 543 2 300 | 285 1 115 1 400 | 650 1 800 2 450 | 1 319 65 3 280 35 4 600 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Schuyler co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Flementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Eennentary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Seneca co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Hamentary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elonæntary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Steuben co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementiary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist Filomentary schools Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 6th snp'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. |

 ${\it TABLE~6~(continued)} \\ {\it Financial~statement~showimg~payments~by~public~schools} \\$

| TRANS- | TRANS- PORTA- TION OF PUPILS | | \$281 | | | | | | 500 |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| FOR SCHOOL BOARD AND BUSINESS OFFICES | | | \$68 16 107 29 175 45 | 254 43 282 67 537 10 | 400 138 538 | 459 17 358 95 818 12 | | 20 55 5 55 25 55 | 145 157 68 302 68 |
| FOR COM- PULSORY ATTEND- ANCE | | | \$75 | 533 79 \$66 96 600 75 | 515 90 47 50 563 40 | 231 89 26 66 258 55 | | 30 | |
| TEXTBOOKS, STATIONERY AND SUPPLIES | | | \$227 80 195 24 423 04 | 2 994 50 882 29 3 876 79 | 5 259 63 1 638 82 6 898 45 | 2 569 52 582 94 3 152 46 | 287 85 67 :: 354 85 | 300 88 68 06 368 94 | 365 83 283 42 649 25 |
| LIBRARIES | | | \$932 17 137 01 1 069 18 | 922 77 263 24 1 186 01 | 797 45 461 12 1 258 57 | 435 260 58 695 58 | 148 12 | 156 22 20 85 177 07 | 126 31 150 92 277 23 |
| | JANITORS, ENGINEERS ETC. | | \$809 53 336 89 1 146 42 | 10 770 97 2 833 98 13 604 95 | 8 100 85 2 050 08 10 150 93 | 7 168 46 1 181 70 8 350 16 | 1 042 68 166 1 208 68 | 1 087 90 419 37 1 507 27 | 1 698 91 300 1 998 91 |
| SALARIES | TEACHERS | WOMEN | \$23 033 22 3 814 92 26 848 14 | 90 096 51 20 215 71 110 312 22 | 67 861 35 13 593 81 81 455 16 | 52 308 94 8 415 75 60 724 69 | 21 702 60 3 200 24 902 60 | 30 446 08 3 020 33 466 08 | 28 199 39 2 669 10 30 868 49 |
| | | MEN | \$1 909 80 888 80 2 798 60 | 7 922 65 4 096 12 018 65 | 4 917 C6 1 400 6 317 06 | 1 215 1 000 2 215 | 6 272 68 | 3 862 | 7 453 38 700 :: 8 153 38 |
| | PRINCIPAL | | \$764 80 2 014 20 2 779 | 8 540 54 10 281 33 18 821 87 | 9 183 33 7 526 27 16 709 60 | 9 370 3 650 13 020 | 4 730 06 967 5 697 06 | 1 665 3 000 4 665 | 650 1 500 2 150 |
| | | SUPERIN- TENDENT | | | | | | | |
| SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | | | Steuben co. (concluded) 7th sup'vy dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Suffolk co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Sullivan co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Flementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| | | | 43211 | | JE 111/ | OHITON | | | 10 | |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| 750 70 | 453 75 | 875 | 786 | 255 | 582 62 | 0 | | 472 50 | | |
| 45 20 20 60 65 80 | 72 91 40 89 113 80 | 39 92 8 90 48 82 | 43 96 43 97 87 93 | 20 34 34 35 34 | | | 67 18 85 | 150 45 195 | | |
| 28 29 | 9 67 5 33 15 | 19 92 1 33 21 25 | 12 | 2 25 | 22 27 17 73 40 | 160 | 158 25 31 22 189 47 | | | |
| 203 18 128 10 331 28 | 61 97 18 35 80 32 | 51 94 14 70 69 64 | 151 37 29 25 180 62 | 231 64 250 91 482 55 | 187 86 164 18 352 04 | 1 110 18 246 15 1 356 33 | 739 11 144 42 883 53 | 286 44 55 :- 341 44 | 105 29 | |
| 277 55 103 93 381 48 | 409 80 13 39 423 19 | 544 76 16 98 561 74 | 76 83 24 53 101 36 | 336 17 247 65 583 82 | 201 55 135 50 337 05 | 149 96 16 04 166 | 233 74 | 247 57 55 75 303 32 | 70 007 | |
| 827 28 265 52 1 092 80 | 614 37 79 50 693 87 | 596 81 129 17 725 98 | 542 09 240 782 09 | 772 15 375 1 147 15 | 964 27 354 14 1 318 41 | 2 280 82 300 2 580 82 | 2 606 61 295 79 2 902 40 | 1 407 08 200 1 607 08 | 979 46 | |
| 17 806 3 598 21 404 | 17 903 25 600 :. 18 503 25 | 14 496 18 961 :: 15 457 18 | 14 099 2 655 16 754 | 21 227 40 2 825 :. 24 052 40 | 22 223 4 292 26 515 | 29 925 84 4 142 50 34 068 34 | 35 924 46 3 296 64 39 221 10 | 30 748 65 3 700 :: 34 448 65 | 20 716 45 | |
| 2 182 10 | 1 282 40 | 1 453 100 1 553 | 1 451 54 | 248 60 | 2 142 750 2 892 | 3 754 | 8 585 56 8 585 56 | 4 953 1 135 6 088 | 4 695 | |
| 1 288 2 162 3 450 | 664 12 960 88 1 625 | 770 810 1 580 | 423 1 491 1 914 | 450 1 650 2 100 | 1 281 2 389 3 670 | 3 350 500 3 850 | 786 2 385 3 171 | 1 200 400 1 600 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tioga eo., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Tompkins co., lst sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Ulster co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | |

 ${\it TABLE~6~(continued)} \\ {\it Financial~statement~showing~payments~by~public~schools} \\$

| | | | | | | | | | - | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| | | | SALABIES | | | | одоофилац | SKOD GOG | FOR | TO A NG |
| SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | - | | TEACHERS | IERS | TANTIORS | LIBRARIES | STATIONERY AND | PULSORY ATTEND | BOARD | PORTA- |
| | SUPERIN- TENDENT | PRINCIPAL | MEN | WOMEN | ENGINEERS ETC. | | SUPPLIES | ANCE | BUSINESS | PUPILS |
| Warren co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | | \$1 500 2 325 3 825 | \$760 | \$22 807 09 3 605 75 26 412 84 | \$1 990 91 513 70 2 501 61 | \$723 91 30 58 754 49 | \$420 93 236 79 657 72 | \$651 67 13 33 665 | \$199 83 105 92 305 75 | |
| 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | | 351 1 269 1 620 | 2 515 | 15 934 900 16 834 | 851 43 122 50 973 93 | 562 02 62 09 624 11 | 105 82 22 67 128 49 | 12 8 | 12 8 | |
| 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | | 312 738 1 050 | 882 | 12 526 775 13 301 | 464 87 75 :75 :75 | 366 08 40 78 406 86 | 33 09 | 37 50 12 50 50 | 40 10 | |
| Washington co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | | 250 750 | 250 | 18 323 20 850 | 388 53 60 :. 448 53 | 108 86 5 113 86 | 25 52 4 73 30 25 | 15 | | \$384 36 |
| 2d sup'v'y dist. Blementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | | 1 225 2 975 4 200 | 416 | 30 643 55 5 150 35 793 55 | 1 169 62 523 63 1 693 25 | 254 76 38 292 76 | 220 98 136 70 357 68 | 211 10 | 27 31 52 58 52 | 425 90 515 |
| 3d sup'vy dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | | 1 745 41 2 154 59 3 900 | 2 231 | 36 091 15 6 143 75 42 234 90 | 2 925 38 339 3 264 38 | 343 92 27 60 371 52 | 863 33 159 84 1 023 17 | 184 34 12 16 196 50 | 262 18 50 280 50 | 180 |
| 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | | 1 025 1 875 2 900 | 1 258 | 21 072 50 3 662 50 24 735 | 1 177 63 400 50 1 578 13 | 344 12 | 285 43 109 49 394 92 | | | 33 |

| | | | 13211 | 110110 | | | | | 100 |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| 448 | 116 75 | | 46 92 | | 264 | | | | 256 50 |
| 203 78 | 70 | 6 66 | 50 .: | 2 441 33 | 824 65 | 313 34 | 120 | 16 67 | 20 |
| 108 62 | 27 | 3 34 | 21 45 | 451 25 | 287 02 | 156 66 | 53 | 8 33 | 23 35 |
| 312 40 | 97 | 10 | 71 45 | 2 892 58 | 1 111 67 | 470 | 173 | 25 | 43 35 |
| 540 | 75 50 3 75 79 25 | 107 50 157 | | 1 025 25 88 25 1 113 50 | 954 96 355 04 1 310 | 40 20 60 | 215 35 250 | 2 75 | 65 10 75 |
| 392 70 | 264 79 | 406 03 | 186 82 | 7 768 16 | 9 265 78 | 2 177 70 | 2 067 43 | 265 28 | 980 66 |
| 182 56 | 96 81 | 135 73 | 63 99 | 1 781 82 | 1 640 15 | 943 31 | 588 22 | 58 98 | 576 18 |
| 575 26 | 361 60 | 541 76 | 250 81 | 9 549 98 | 10 905 93 | 3 121 01 | 2 655 65 | 324 26 | 1 556 84 |
| 671 98 | 624 26 | 584 25 | 1 382 06 | 451 30 | 848 99 | 742 95 | 739 36 | 107 10 | 375 36 |
| 151 57 | 15 73 | 212 78 | 46 : | 612 04 | 77 92 | 67 | 62 | 158 25 | 45 11 |
| 823 55 | 639 99 | 797 03 | 1 428 06 | 1 063 34 | 926 91 | 809 95 | 801 36 | 265 35 | 420 47 |
| 1 851 84 | 1 033 08 | 1 801 34 | 1 692 43 | 12 077 47 | 10 261 79 | 4 019 46 | 3 710 85 | 805 89 | 1 369 81 |
| 676 94 | 278 32 | 432 46 | 457 | 1 504 99 | 2 516 29 | 1 229 65 | 418 33 | 200 33 | 667 : |
| 2 528 78 | 1 311 40 | 2 233 80 | 2 149 43 | 13 582 46 | 12 778 08 | 5 249 11 | 4 129 18 | 1 006 22 | 2 036 81 |
| 36 081 66 | 22 125 69 | 29 186 51 | 26 866 15 | 94 300 16 | 76 537 27 | 37 369 18 | 32 047 34 | 25 461 34 | 33 131 67 |
| 9 454 81 | 4 384 47 | 5 810 | 4 270 | 17 496 02 | 17 685 50 | 8 325 50 | 3 545 | 3 249 56 | 7 332 02 |
| 45 536 47 | 26 510 16 | 34 996 51 | 31 136 15 | 111 796 18 | 94 222 77 | 45 694 68 | 35 592 34 | 28 710 90 | 40 463 69 |
| 1 418 1 000 2 418 | 1 736 | 006 | 1 712 750 2 462 | 2 200 2 603 63 4 803 63 | 6 131 45 5 300 11 431 45 | 1 478 | 4 505 | 1 859 71 41 80 1 901 51 | 1 875 1 875 |
| 2 271 | 672 80 | 1 711 25 | 1 170 | 11 820 71 | 11 900 25 | 4 000 2 700 6 700 | 950 | 810 | 1 400 |
| 2 529 | 1 397 20 | 3 138 75 | 2 480 | 5 270 | 4 350 | | 1 500 | 2 090 | 2 800 |
| 4 800 | 2 070 | 4 850 | 3 650 | 17 090 71 | 16 250 25 | | 2 450 | 2 900 | 4 200 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Wayne co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Flementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Westchester co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Wyoming co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

Table 6 (continued)
Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| | NB- TA- | PUPILS | | \$307 | 550 | : | | | |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | TRANS- | TION OF PUPILS | | | | | | | |
| FOR | SCHOOL | AND BUSINESS OFFICES | \$193 40 125 60 319 | 27 27 54 | | | | | |
| | FOR COM- PULSORY | ATTEND- ANCE | \$20 50 12 32 50 | 13 | | | 200 | 250 50 | |
| | TEXTBOOKS, STATIONERY | RUPPLES | \$417 31 273 52 690 83 | 126 76 75 12 201 88 | 184 56 19 61 204 17 | | 10 15 : | 284 156 32 440 32 | 913 74 |
| | LIBRARIES | | \$419 16 44 38 463 54 | 316 67 46 90 363 57 | 344 17 77 23 421 40 | | 65 91 53 156 53 | 58 35 99 93 99 | 92 96 |
| | 通安全 西港 | ENGINEERS ETC. | \$1 647 60 630 50 2 278 10 | 624 49 150 774 49 | 411 84 91 58 503 42 | | 900 600 1 500 | 900 720 | 1 280 |
| | RS | WOMEN | \$31 636 76 7 150 76 38 786 76 | 20 879 1 800 22 679 | 19 481 12 1 339 94 20 821 06 | | 10 385 4 749 79 15 134 79 | 9 480 5 045 14 525 | 12 141 57 |
| BALARIES | TEACHERS | MEN | \$1 686 900 2 586 | 1 992 500 2 492 | 1 170 | | 3 350 3 350 | 650 | : |
| | | PRINCIPAL | \$2 000 2 750 4 750 | 600 950 1 550 | 449 1 376 | | 1 000 | 850 850 | |
| | | SUPERIN- TENDENT | | | | | \$1 000 1 900 | 1 500 2 200 | 1 200 |
| | SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | | Wyoming co. (concluded) 3d sup'vy dist. Elementary schools. Scondary schools. Total. | | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | VILLAGES UNDER SUPERIN- TENDENTS | Abion Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Catskill Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Fredonia Elementary schools. Scondary schools. Total |

| III] | | | Expi | ENSES (| of Edu | CATION | | | 191 |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| | | | 1 316 67 263 33 1 580 | 424 32 95 2 519 32 | 100 50 150 | 209 33 69 77 279 10 | | 494 27 123 617 27 | 1 373 72 528 45 1 902 17) |
| 302 75 43 75 346 50 | | 200 | 575 | 250 40 290 | 100 | 120 | 200 | 108 12 120 | 250 25 275 |
| 1 914 49 759 21 2 673 70 | 2 400 1 441 86 3 841 86 | 888 38 666 67 1 555 05 | 2 095 81 1 351 04 3 446 85 | 799 17 242 84 1 042 01 | 908 32 791 94 1 700 26 | 1 016 46 241 94 1 258 40 | 1 550 824 77 2 374 77 | 329 69 126 31 456 00 | 1 996 97 872 91 2 869 88 |
| 83 76 307 14 390 90 | 200 600 800 | 8 8 90 8 8 | 33 10 175 55 208 65 | 112 47 40 02 152 49 | 25 70 15 35 41 05 | 10 30 44 40 44 | 59 55 30 55 89 55 | 75 95 18 98 94 93 | 113 76 43 06 156 82 |
| 2 225 80 785 80 3 011 60 | 1 590 840 2 430 | 933 34 466 66 1 400 | 2 760 690 3 450 | 2 271 89 1 005 42 3 277 31 | 1 880 33 440 32 2 320 65 | 1 929 65 520 2 449 65 | 1 994 700 2 694 | 1 616 72 418 2 034 72 | 3 182 50 1 212 4 394 50 |
| 25 810 48 5 200 :: 31 010 48 | 22 271 41 7 062 29 333 41 | 10 575 7 300 17 875 | 22 932 35 5 997 50 28 929 85 | 20 202 75 5 525 75 25 727 75 | 10 184 57 3 510 13 694 57 | 14 886 85 4 581 38 19 468 23 | 18 821 5 300 24 121 | 15 887 94 5 965 21 852 94 | 32 851 88 9 172 64 42 024 52 |
| 2 828 2 828 | 360 1 290 1 650 | | 2 250 2 800 | 2 000 2 000 | 2 100 2 675 | 675 225 900 | 3 350 3 350 | 895 80 895 80 | 900 200 1 100 |
| 1 895 1 600 3 495 | 1 100 | . 1 333 667 2 000 | 1 850 | 1 100 | | 1 200 1 200 | | | 1 300 1 300 |
| 2 080 . 520 . 2 600 . | 1 300 . 1 200 . 2 500 . | | 1 725 . 575 . 2 300 . | 1 750 . 450 . 2 200 . | 1 900 . 800 . 1 800 . | 1 500 . 500 . 2 000 . | 2 100 . 600 . 2 700 . | 1 520 . 380 . 1 900 . | 1 800 . 2 300 . |
| Freeport Flementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Glen Cove Elementary schools Serondary schools Total | Haverstraw Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Hempstead Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Herkimer Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Hoosick Falls Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | Hudson Falls Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Huntington Blementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Ilion Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Lansingburg Flementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

 ${\rm TABLE} \ 6 \ (continued)$ Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| | | | SALARIES | | | | SACO CHILATER | 200 | FOR | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------|
| VILLAGES UNDER SUPERINTENDENTE | | | TEAC | TEACHERS | TANITORS | LIBRARIES | STATIONERY AND | PULSORY | BOARD | PORTA- |
| | SUPERIN- TENDENT | PRINCIPAL | MEN | WOMEN | ETC. | | SUPPLIES | ANCE | BUSINESS | PUPILS |
| Lawrence Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | \$2 500 \$ 500 | \$5 300 6 300 | \$330 1 115 1 445 | \$30 220 58 5 865 36 085 58 | \$5 025 625 5 650 | \$164 41 38 66 203 07 | \$2 707 19 448 15 3 155 34 | \$710 90 800 | \$622 78 700 | |
| Lestershire Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | 1 000 1 950 | | | 10 966 \$0 3 419 37 14 386 27 | 800 300 1 100 | 20 163 90 183 90 | 107 85 67 174 85 | | 379 51 250 629 51 | |
| Malone Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | 1 275 425 1 700 | 1 600 1 600 | 1 960 1 900 | 16 634 06 4 456 21 090 06 | 1 042 800 1 842 | 568 82 568 81 1 137 63 | 1 237 82 381 33 1 619 15 | 300 | | |
| Mamaroneck Elementary schools Sevendary schools Total | 2 115 2 820 | 3 450 1 250 4 700 | 4 250 4 250 | 20 292 42 3 185 23 477 42 | 3 521 500 4 021 | 69 97 200 269 97 | 1 260 27 629 69 1 889 96 | 525 75 600 | | |
| Mechanicville Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 1 200 1 700 | 1 100 | 800 | 19 340 2 085 21 425 | 1 295 64 350 1 645 64 | 55 97 55 97 | 789 24 100 :: 889 24 | 131 20 25 :: 156 20 | 375 100 475 | |
| Medina Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | 1 200 | 1 100 | 800 | 11 533 40 5 950 17 483 40 | 1 300 400 1 700 | 40 :- 25 73 65 73 | 300 167 51 467 51 | | | |
| Newark Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 1 782 | 1 287 | 773 773 1 546 | 12 072 85 6 036 42 18 109 27 | 1 205 78 602 88 1 808 66 | 156 12 | 264 37 182 05 446 42 | 250 | 222 87 111 43 334 30 | |

| 111] | | | .1.3.1.1 | EMOLO . | OF LID | CATIO. | ` | | 100 |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 200 200 320 320 | 750 100 850 | | 46 55 20 50 66 55 | | | 214 23 207 421 23 | | 42 99 | 500 245 745 |
| 300 25 | 360 385 | 350 50 | 200 :: 250 :: | 50 | 370 | 300 | 25 : | 590 | 250 10 |
| 1 361 43 174 45 1 535 88 | 1 012 61 1 003 91 2 016 52 | 1 775 98 1 093 98 2 869 96 | 235 16 90 :: | 1 652 32 813 65 2 465 97 | 1 195 576 35 1 771 35 | 930 64 730 | 37 50 75 | 3 142 18 1 571 11 4 713 29 | 408 355 33 763 33 |
| 130 130 260 | | 75 75 | 79 76 37 28 117 04 | 25 25 50 30 | 9 | 19 77 49 89 69 66 | 110 05 18 19 128 24 | 200 53 50 253 50 | 42 34 30 50 72 84 |
| 1 400 1 600 | 1 744 96 500 | 2 036 1 200 3 236 | 910 11 400 :: 1 310 11 | 2 780 650 3 430 | 2 705 1 100 3 805 | 1 230 615 1 845 | 757 73 493 73 1 251 46 | 4 125 910 64 5 035 64 | 1 914 666 2 580 |
| 10 660 89 3 520 14 180 89 | 18 997 90 5 885 24 882 90 | 30 949 30 8 300 39 249 30 | 8 427 11 3 535 11 962 11 | 16 525 7 305 23 830 | 17 850 5 650 23 500 | 15 450 5 060 20 510 | 6 983 5 530 12 513 | 53 776 51 9 350 63 126 51 | 17 597 34 2 729 40 20 326 74 |
| 006 | | 480 2 620 3 100 | 20 980 1 000 | | 1 600 2 700 :: 4 300 :: | 300 100 | 1 800 | 700 5 000 5 700 | 2 900 2 900 |
| | 2 050 1 300 3 350 | 1 500 1 500 | 1 600 1 600 | 2 600 1 100 3 700 | 1 600 | 008 | 500 1 600 2 100 | 7 500 1 500 9 000 | 1 100 1 100 |
| 1 300 2 300 | 1 875 625 2 500 | 1 700 800 2 500 | 1 100 500 1 600 | 1 100 1 100 2 200 | 1 600 800 2 400 | 1 700 800 2 500 | 600 600 1 200 | 2 000 2 800 | 1 260 940 |
| North Tarytown Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Nyack Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | Ossining Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Owego Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | Patchogue fdementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Peekskill, district 7 Edementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Peekskill, district 8 Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Penn Yan Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Port Chester Elementary schools. Secondary szhools. Total. | Saranac Lake Elementary schools Secondary schools Total |

TABLE 2 (continued)
Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| | FOR | LIBRARIES STATIONERY PULSORY BOARD | MEN WOMEN ETC. AND ATTEND- AND THOUGH THOU THOU THOU TO THOU OF THE STORY OF THE ST | \$1 000 \$26 968 71 \$3 201 47 \$140 \$1 756 10 \$400 \$350 \$6 768 71 4 201 47 198 15 2 563 76 500 | 750 5 090 80 <th< th=""><th>225 . 21 487 70 1310 . 64 95 4 135 17 720 . 8414 87 87 8414 87 84 85 87 . 27 953 70 2 870 . 129 91 5 622 88 720 . 820 75</th><th>1 100 17 808 86 1 325 38 1 904 49 150 5 287 42 22 600 86 2 025 38 2 894 49 175</th><th>11 125 16 1 220 90 20 23 116 200 270 950 15 125 16 1 670 190 88 631 116 200 270</th><th>9 200 1 000 30 50 337 2 675 1 100 17 25 25 1 1877 1 100 47 75 382</th><th>11 200 97 1 640 62 60 1 540 65 120 115 57 5001 411 25 100 802 19 30 110 110 802 19 30 110 802 19 30 110 802 19 30 110 802 19 30 110 802 19 30 802 10</th></th<> | 225 . 21 487 70 1310 . 64 95 4 135 17 720 . 8414 87 87 8414 87 84 85 87 . 27 953 70 2 870 . 129 91 5 622 88 720 . 820 75 | 1 100 17 808 86 1 325 38 1 904 49 150 5 287 42 22 600 86 2 025 38 2 894 49 175 | 11 125 16 1 220 90 20 23 116 200 270 950 15 125 16 1 670 190 88 631 116 200 270 | 9 200 1 000 30 50 337 2 675 1 100 17 25 25 1 1877 1 100 47 75 382 | 11 200 97 1 640 62 60 1 540 65 120 115 57 5001 411 25 100 802 19 30 110 110 802 19 30 110 802 19 30 110 802 19 30 110 802 19 30 110 802 19 30 802 10 |
|-------|----------|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| L | | LIBRARIES | | \$140 58 198 | en en | 64 | | 90 100 190 | 30 17 47 | 00 100 |
| | | The state of the s | SANTIORS, ENGINEERS, ETC. | 201 201 201 | 287 800 087 | 310 560 870 | 325 700 025 | | | 640 |
| C 4 0 | | HERS | WOMEN | 896 800 768 | | 487 466 953 | 808 792 600 | $\frac{125}{000}$ | 200 675 875 | 209 |
| | SALARIES | TEAC | MEN | 2000 | | | 1 100 · · · 4 187 42 5 287 42 | | | |
| | | | PRINCIPAL | \$4 200 1 700 5 900 | | 2 300 1 600 3 900 | | 725 725 | 1 100 | 1 176 |
| | | | SUPERIN- TENDENT | \$1 600 800 2 400 | 1 000 730 1 730 | 1 250 1 250 2 500 | 1 900 900 2 800 | | 1 500 250 1 750 | 1 200 500 |
| | | VILLAGES UNDER SUPERINTENDENT | | Saratoga Springs Blementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Seneca Falls. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Solvay Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Tarrytown Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Union Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Waterford Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Waverly Elementary schools Secondary schools Total |

| TITI | | | 13111 | Lations | | | • • | | |
|---|--|--------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 1 752 2 218 3 970 | | 6 355 98 6 355 98 | 1 126 112 1 238 | 1 370 38 | 1 051 78 262 94 1 314 72 | | 3 412 853 08 4 265 08 | 18 166[61 1 367 38 19 533[99] |
| | 006 | | 4 926 34 | 650 | 750 | 424 30 106 07 530 37 | 440 | 648 72 720 | 28 829 60 |
| 457 53 559 04 1 016 57 | 7 345 14 4 315 50 11 660 64 | | 18 824 47 10 118 75 28 943 22 | 1 779 77 736 66 2 516 43 | 4 056 73 501 34 4 558 07 | 2 349 50 809 81 3 159 31 | 1 448 46 322 39 1 770 85 | 7 712 38 275 : 7 987 38 | 70 306 ⁷ 35 5 621 ⁸ 68 75 928 <u>1</u> 03 |
| 11 50 11 50 | 54 10 60 50 114 60 | | 470 24 736 73 1 206 97 | 385 61 152 59 538_20 | 130 47 131 30 261 77 | 2 184 68 1 092 34 3 277 02 | 98 23 45 32 143 55 | 10 60 10 60 | 6 214796 1 180°01 7 394297 |
| 974 72 243 68 1 218 40 | 6 915 3 250 10 165 | | 17 554 84 8 102 72 25 657 56 | 9 700 1 395 84 11 095 84 | 9 873 34 2 039 40 11 912 74 | 2 999 98 700 3 699 98 | 1 816 83 450 :: | 12 128 23 1 655 67 13 783 90 | 132 342 89 13 150 145 <u>x</u> 492 89 |
| 11 678 75 2 805 14 483 75 | 64 640 11 018 75 658 | | 204 040 76 36 796 92 240 837 68 | 43 417 99 11 837 39 55 255 38 | 66 607 49 12 272 18 78 879 67 | 24 150 61 5 452 01 29 602 62 | 17 883 66 5 075 22 958 66 | 102 952 81 19 528 14 122 840 95 | 1 233 272 12 152 478 33 1 385 750 45 |
| | 11 440 | | 23 910 23 910 | 2 950 2 950 | 1 200 13 573 68 14 773 68 | 5 115 36 5 115 36 | | 5 630 75 5 630 75 | 4 620 55 800 60 420 |
| 1 050 1 050 | 6 800 2 600 9 400 | , | 47 440 3 000 50 440 | 13 850 3 375 17 225 | 16 542 69 4 439 79 20 982 48 | 3 064 31 2 532 07 5 596 38 | 2 225 500 2 725 | 19 983 06 5 950 25 933 06 | 155 381 25 11 750 167 131 25 |
| 1 800 | 3 200 800 | | 2 533 36 800 :: 3 333 36 | 2 875 425 | 2 861 95 638 05 3 500 | 1 058 50 1 058 50 2 117 | 1 750 250 2 000 | 3 019 13 750 :3 | 6 956 24 513 76 7 500 |
| Whitehall Elementary schools. Scroudary schools. Total. | White Plains Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | CITIES | Albany Elementary schools. Seeondary schools. Total | Amsterdam Ellementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Auburn Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Batavia Filomentary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Beacon Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Binghamton Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Buffalo Elementary schools Secondary schools Total |

 ${\rm TABLE} \ \ 6 \ \ (continued)$ Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| | | | And in concession, which were the second second | THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN CO. | Manager of the state of the sta | Married Commission Commission of the Commission | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|
| | | | SALARIES | | | | PACCULA GA | ANOD GOR | FOR | |
| CITIES | | | TEAC | TEACHERS | SHOTINAL | LIBRAUES | STATIONERY AND | FOR COM- PULSORY | BOARD | TRANS- PORTA- |
| | SUPERIN- TENDENT | PRINCIPAL | MEN | WOMEN | ETC. | | SUPPLIES | ANCE | BUSINESS | PUPILS |
| Canandaigua Filamentary schools Secondary schools Total | \$1 500 1 000 2 509 | \$2 368 45 885 55 3 254 | \$3 000 3 000 | \$13 063 25 8 854 50 21 917 75 | \$1 560 1 290 2 850 | \$533 64 30 563 64 | \$2 120 65 510 39 . 2 631 04 | \$400 | \$1 007 10 50 91 1 058 01 | |
| Cohoes Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 1 500 500 2 000 | 7 814 1 500 9 344 | | 24 600 57 5 100 29 700 57 | 4 769 400 5 169 | | 765 38 . 69 92 . 835 30 . | | 1 301 55 300 1 601 55 | |
| Corning, district 9 Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 1 000 1 750 2 750 | 1 925 200 2 125 | 2 950 2 950 | 13 413 85 7 037 50 20 451 35 | 1 704 16 700 i | 64 19 80 75 144 94 | 20 150 170 | 240 10 250 | 60 100 | |
| Corning, district 13 Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 1 000 1 000 2 000 : : | | 006 | 10 000 6 025 16 025 | 821 37 821 36 1 642 73 | 55 10 55 10 | 761 63 375 1 136 63 | 75 75 150 | 50 50 100 | |
| Cortland Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2 000 500 2 500 | 3 375 : 2 125 : 5 500 : | 750 975 1 725 | 18 189 6 175 24 364 | 1 460 400 1 860 | 100 09 | 700 72 51 772 51 | 250 | 210 100 310 | |
| Dunkirk Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | 2 222 278 2 500 | 1 399 27 1 877 59 3 276 86 | 1 180 31 6 266 04 7 446 35 | 37 437 10 6 128 74 43 565 84 | 6 640 83 1 906 85 8 547 68 | 46 31 565 86 612 17 | 3 165 79 416 84 3 582 63 | 1 080 120 | 167 07 34 80 201 87 | |
| Elmira Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | 3 000 1 000 4 000 | 18 177 31 2 700 20 877 31 | 1 000 8 337 50 9 337 50 | 68 605 25 19 012 24 87 617 49 | 7 699 31 2 144 94 9 844 25 | 942 47 85 63 1 028 10 | 3 901 06 972 76 4 873 82. | 1 022 68 100 1 122 68 | 1 299 92 1 182 71 2 482 63 | |

| | | : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 350 100 450 | | | | | 350 75 425 | 1 325 75 669 02 1 994 77 | 2 023 93 1 349 26 3 373 19 | 800 200 1 000 : : | 950 450 1 400 |
| 250 :: 50 :: 300 :: | 400 | 400 | 550 50 | | 300 70 370 | 400 25 57 25 457 50 | 450 50 | 275 25 300 | 877 27 200 1 077 27 |
| 147 70 70 217 70 | | 2 046 32 400 :: 2 446 32 | 3 097 07 1 094 39 4 191 46 | 2 409 43 575 12 2 984 55 | 1 150 257 93 1 407 93 | 2 303 42 793 65 3 097 07 | 5 424 94 3 878 68 9 303 62 | 1 570 09 638 82 2 208 91 | 5 195 17 1 817 76 7 012 93 |
| 389 81 36 : 425 81 | 211 76 100 311 76 | 104 73 75 :: 179 73 | 51 29 51 92 103 21 | 30 85 186 16 217 01 | 185 64 71 38 257 02 | 405 65 275 21 680 86 | 290 80 501 24 792 04 | 73 74 134 75 208 49 | 647 02 163 84 810 86 |
| 2 101 500 2 601 | 2 172 840 3 012 | 2 111 59 900 : 3 011 59 | 4 196 25 1 000 5 196 25 | 5 206 98 1 490 02 6 697 | 1 390 230 1 620 | 4 023 29 825 4 848 29 | 9 752 77 1 970 70 11 723 47 | 3 124 784 3 908 | 5 120 650 5 770 |
| 20 167 07 8 825 28 992 07 | 25 284 82 12 600 37 884 82 | 18 250 91 6 500 24 750 91 | 38 502 05 12 926 01 51 428 06 | 24 601 25 13 028 55 37 629 80 | 17 492 08 4 425 21 917 08 | 26 437 35 14 921 65 41 359 | 81 745 66 17 425 : 99 170 66 | 18 679 06 8 672 48 27 351 54 | 49 336 53 17 200 66 536 53 |
| 900 1 700 2 600 | 250 5 450 5 700 | 1 200 | 700 2 591 3 291 | | 3 425 3 425 | 700 5 850 6 550 | 900 8 100 9 000 6 | 1 920 1 920 | 1 950 1 950 |
| 1 450 1 750 3 200 | 3 650 225 3 875 | 6 045 50 4 000 10 045 50 | 8 989 13 2 000 :: 10 989 13 | 6 131 81 2 636 70 8 768 51 | | 5 175 1 500 6 675 | 14 666 25 6 199 20 865 25 | 5 209 42 1 904 82 7 114 24 | 12 400 3 175 15 575 |
| 1 800 2 600 | 1 283 25 1 200 :: 2 483 25 | 1 800 :: 800 :: 2 600 :: | 2 453 33 500 :: 2 953 33 | 1 600 1 000 2 600 | 1 875 525 2 400 | 2 600 1 000 :: 3 600 :: | 1 800 1 200 :: | 1 833 33 458 33 2 291 66 | 2 000 1 000 :: |
| Fulton Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Geneva Ellementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Glens Falls Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Gloversville Elementary schools. Seeondary schools. Total. | Hornell Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Hudson Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Ithaca Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Jamestown Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Johnstown Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Kingston Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

 $\label{eq:total tangent} Table \ 6 \ (continued)$ Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| | | | SALARIES | | | | | | FOR | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| CITIES | | | TEACHERS | TERS | SGOWINTI | LIBRARIES | TEXTBOOKS. STATIONERY | FOR COM- | BOARD | TRANS- PORTA- |
| | SUPERIN- TENDENT | PRINCIPAL | MEN | WOMEN | ETC. | | SUPPLIES | ATTEND- ANCE | AND BUSINESS OFFICES | TION OF PUPILS |
| Lackawanna Elomentary schools Secondary schools. Total. | \$1 890 210 2 100 | \$4 360 1 410 5 770 | \$1 340 | \$18 312 69 3 900 22 212 69 | \$4 042 25 449 14 4 491 39 | \$610 53 100 :: 710 53 | \$2 686 44 416 23 3 102 67 | \$500 100 :: | \$274 50 324 | |
| Little Falls Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | 1 491 63 800 2 291 63 | 4 025 1 300 5 325 | 750 1 200 1 950 | 16 287 50 5 200 21 487 50 | 1 820 600 2 420 | 20 87 40 87 | 1 051 48 402 29 1 453 77 | 458 26 | 62 50 62 50 125 | |
| Lockport Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | 1 800 600 2 400 | 6 092 38 2 200 8 292 38 | 1 550 6 708 44 8 258 44 | 32 677 12 9 818 33 42 495 45 | 6 138 75 1 000 7 138 75 | 276 15 | 3 295 84 215 3 510 84 | 789 27 100 :: 889 27 | 1 761 34 700 2 461 34 | |
| Middletown Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 2 308 266 2 574 | 11 524 89 1 440 61 12 965 50 | 5 641 08 5 641 08 | 29 712 15 6 760 81 36 472 96 | 3 697 50 1 700 . 5 397 50 | | 1 625 92 203 24 1 829 16 | 1 043 50 130 44 1 173 94 | 1 991 65 248 95 2 240 60 | |
| Mount Vernon Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 2 750 02 415 30 3 165 32 | 31 202 68 5 544 36 746 68 | 8 414 12 8 414 12 | 129 273 25 38 878 97 168 152 22 | 11 529 82 1 701 21 13 231 03 | | 9 831 79 3 546 52 13 378 31 | 1 000 | 4 563 72 726 29 5 290 01 | |
| New Rochelle Elementary schools. Secondary schools. | 4 239 32 718 94 4 958 26 | 19 126 50 3 773 50 22 900 | 13 166 46 13 166 46 | 132 176 47 24 964 05 157 140 52 | 15 245 72 4 648 88 19 894 60 | 107 98 101 56 209 54 | 9 367 76 5 169 55 14 537 31 | 1 150 | 2 858 94 484 84 3 343 78 | |
| New York Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | a185 174 70 a185 174 70 | 1 371 032 01 107 199 93 1 478 231 94 | | 22 404 322 33 4 249 670 27 626 653 992 60 | 1 400 979 68 174 684 34 1 575 664 02 | 54 430 10 1 6 623 84 61 053 94 1 | 1 205 547 85 239 922 36 1 445 470 21 | 211 175 59 | | |

| 10 51 7 90 18 41 | 1657 65 540 2 197 65 | 173 33 43 33 216 66 | | 415 75 100 515 75 | 2 452 68 350 40 2 803 08 | | | 275 42 82 87 358 29 |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| 923 91 | 840 | 009 | 75 25 100 | 417 65 100 517 65 | 009 | 100 20 120 | 147 25 172 | 1 080 120 |
| 5 722 04 1 509 86 7 231 90 | 6 064 19 1 648 03 7 712 22 | 2 177 41 544 36 2 721 77 | 50 345 33 395 33 | 1 491 10 11 78 1 502 88 | 3 166 68 299 37 3 466 05 | 764 719 10 1 483 10 | 944 53 92 38 1 036 91 | 1 132 75 313 68 1 446 43 |
| 305 36 | 157 34 | 42 17 42 17 | 562 37 562 37 1 124 74 | | 14 70 83 73 98 43 | 87 330 42 417 42 | 174 115 37 289 37 | 601 63 120 89 722 52 |
| 6 105 800 6 905 | 10 030 10 2 173 10 12 203 20 | 3 115 635 3 750 | 1 181 25 600 1 781 25 | 3 306 85 1 371 02 4 677 87 | 6 500 05 928 58 7 428 63 | 2 627 20 1 010 61 3 637 81 | 1 478 67 739 33 2 218 | 5 445 86 1 056 78 6 502 64 |
| 55 845 39 10 550 : 66 395 39 | 84 515 92 21 900 40 106 416 32 | 27 330 57 6 506 96 33 837 53 | 14 451 25 7 750 22 201 25 | 21 207 29 5 650 : 26 857 29 | 36 348 07 15 230 75 51 578 82 | 17 458 50 7 450 24 908 50 | 11 106 75 7 475 18 581 75 | 29 642 85 14 978 17 44 621 02 |
| 3 995 3 995 | 991 60 6 514 50 7 506 10 | 1 280 1 280 | | 255 1 191 1 446 | 4 498 75 | 1 000 1 000 | 908 800 | 2 000 2 000 |
| 12 199 26 6 099 63 18 298 89 | 16 344 69 5 280 39 21 625 08 | 9 691 74 3 708 26 13 400 | 1 400 | 1 514 76 · 2 494 ·· 4 008 76 | 8 071 13 4 737 50 12 808 63 | 3 900 2 100 :: | 3 250 3 225 6 475 | 7 223 05 2 043 9 266 05 |
| 1 700 800 2 500 | 1 470 91 735 44 2 206 35 | 2 333 33 466 67 2 800 | 1 150 1 000 2 150 | 1 225 400 1 625 | 2 362 50 337 50 2 700 | 1 200 800 2 000 | 1 466 66 733 34 2 200 | 1 747 353 2 100 |
| Newburgh Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Niagara Falls Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | North Tonawanda Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Norwich Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Ogdensburg Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Olean Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Oneida Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Oneonta Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | Oswego Ekenentary schools Secondary schools Total . |

 α Includes salaries of associate and district superintendents. b Includes salaries for men.

| | TRANS- | TION OF PUPILS | | | | | | | |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| BOB | SCHOOL | AND BUSINESS OFFICES | \$400 500 | 666 47 133 29 799 76 | 1 366 62 547 50 1 914 12 | 316 16 100 416 16 | 22 991 67 3 000 25 991 67 | 300 120 : | |
| | FOR COM- | ATTEND- ANCE | \$500 | 350 400 | 866 12 226 28 1 092 40 | 600 120 720 | 4 975 | 350 25 | 300 20 : : |
| | TEXTBOOKS, STATIONERY | AND | \$978 80 211 34 1 190 14 | 1 309 65 604 97 1 914 62 | 6 393 03 2 435 12 8 828 15 | 1 654 76 551 58 2 206 34 | 29 352 06 4 696 63 34 048 69 | 1 421 54 204 50 1 626 04 | 394 76 116 30 511 06 |
| | | LIBRARILES | 25.2 | | | 187 20 12 50 199 70 | 9 534 22 2 918 83 12 453 05 | 73 05 205 18 278 23 | 329 30 88 57 417 87 |
| | SHOWIN TA | ENGINEERS ETC. | \$2 147 98 1 132 87 3 280 85 | 2 820 540 | 5 355 09 1 236 6 591 09 | 2 495 300 2 795 | 51 883 97 10 503 95 62 387 92 | 2 775 900 3 675 | 1 063 53 400 1 463 53 |
| | TEACHERS | WOMEN | \$15 025 2 950 17 975 | 21 880 80 7 431 80 29 312 60 | 50 666 54 16 512 37 67 178 91 | 24 389 46 6 181 25 30 570 71 | 577 828 47 72 936 05 650 764 52 | 29 979 13 7 781 25 37 760 38 | 15 058 12 5 150 20 208 12 |
| SALARIES | | MEN | \$2 300 2 300 | 800 | 715 50 6 263 50 6 979 | | 6 205 75 71 484 49 77 690 24 | 1 100 4 447 5 547 | 620 1 200 1 820 |
| | - | PRINCIPAL | \$4 750 1 000 5 750 | 4 192 25 1 377 28 5 569 53 | 11 802 92 3 549 08 15 352 | 3 850 200 4 050 | 76 023 30 8 691 :0 84 714 30 | 5 349 75 2 942 50 8 292 25 | 1 750 2 000 3 750 |
| | | SUPERIN- TENDENT | \$1 500 500 2 000 | 1 666 70 333 34 2 000 04 | 2 518 732 | 1 200 600 1 800 | 4 000 1 000 5 000 | 2 000 2 350 | 1 500 700 |
| | SELEC | OGRAFIO | Plattsburg Blementary schools Scondary schools Total | Port Jervis Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Pourhkeepsie Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Rensselaer Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Rochester Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Rome Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Salamanca Elementary schools Secondary schools Total |

| 1111 | | | LXPE | NSES O | F LDU | CATION | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|-------------------|
| | | | | | | | | \$74 761 93 | \$74 761 93 |
| 5 476 53 1 369 13 6 845 66 | 12 359 23 12 359 23 | 100 50 150 | 4 919 61 1 200 6 119 61 | 1 903 93 1 123 03 3 026 96 | 400 85 08 485 08 | 100 09 50 150 09 | 9 286 04 1 020 60 10 306 64 | \$118 461 91 9 880 91 19 911 88 | \$148 254 70 |
| 1 999 34 | | 450 75 | 1 440 | 2 485 34 300 2 785 34 | | 900 1 100 | 2 650 150 2 800 | \$282 404 42 10 499 95 21 335 58 | \$314 239 95 |
| 24 899 88 850 :: 25 749 88 | 16 660 81 1 054 15 17 714 96 | 1 245 09 1 067 2 312 09 | 2 382 54 555 95 2 938 49 | 9 248 85 2 975 84 12 224 69 | 2 716 06 400 :: 3 116 06 | 400 200 600 : : | 20 709 59 6 895 37 27 604 96 | \$1 515 943 51 51 969 93 135 969 27 | \$1 703 882 71 |
| 2 200 417 67 2 617 67 | 1 676 28 526 69 2 202 97 | 145 26 450 595 26 | 1 271 63 73 22 1 344 85 | 12 17 24 74 36 91 | 91 09 | 116 53 | 691 76 99 30 791 06 | \$86 963 96 2 902 19 92 060 81 | \$181 926 96 |
| 23 780 86 4 500 :: 28 280 86 | 32 950 47 12 835 67 45 786 14 | 2 982 50 1 100 4 082 50 | 17 222 21 2 960 :: 20 182 21 | 20 064 04 3 319 50 23 383 54 | 8 256 28 1 768 : 10 024 28 | 3 318 10 600 :: 3 918 10 | 30 883 72 3 852 34 735 72 | \$1 941 511 11 76 131 16 373 235 65 | \$2 390 877 92 |
| 213 059 85 33 559 50 246 619 35 | 309 120 28 67 658 26 376 778 54 | 20 515 64 6 526 27 041 64 | 142 352 59 17 241 94 159 594 53 | 195 888 98 26 863 222 751 98 | 55 104 23 15 119 70 70 223 93 | 25 289 29 5 750 31 039 29 | 327 622 53 45 960 07 373 582 60 | \$27 282 580 25 729 262 21 5 694 171 05 | \$33 706 013 51 |
| 4%319 16 16 036 20 355 16 | 29 500 29 500 | 500 1 000 | 1 600 12 700 14 300 | 2 892 87 24 424 75 27 317 62 | 2 100 2 100 | 1 633 28 1 633 28 | 23 250 10 23 250 10 | 0.00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 | b\$496 354 25 |
| 40 644 22 3 200 43 844 22 | 58 000 24 800 82 800 | 1 125 2 075 3 200 | 22 250 3 140 25 390 | 28 090 35 3 000 :: 31 090 35 | 10 500 2 100 12 600 | 4 900 2 200 7 100 | 30 944 21 8 464 12 39 408 33 | \$2 171 022 54 41 303 391 553 20 | \$2 603 878 74 |
| 3 381 02 910 63 4 291 65 | 4 000 | 1 800 2 500 | 3 000 | 3 000 1 000 4 000 :: | 2 500 2 500 : : | 1 400 600 | 4 500 5 000 | a304 095 88 54 650 | a358 745 88 |
| Schenectady Lilementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Syracuse Blementary schools Secondary schools Total | Tonawanda Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Troy Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Utica Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Watertown Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Watervliet Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Yonkers Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Cities, elementary Villages, elementary Towns, elementary | Total, elementary |

a Includes salaries of associate and district sure interdents in New York city.

§ Salaries of men in New York city are not included bere, no separate data Leing reported for men. All teachers salaries reported under salaries for women.

 $\label{eq:total_total} {\it TABLE 6} \ (continued)$ Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| | 105 470 23 575 23 | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| | | 7 247 | 7 888 |
| | | | 30 137 87 |
| 303 65 308 65 | 105 470 23 575 23 | 12 291 30 | 30 137 87 |
| 88 | | 80 | 08 |
| 330 | | 14 054 69 21 727 20 | 35 781 89 |
| 4 800 4 800 | 6 053 1 600 50 7 653 50 | 50 795 28 135 468 64 | 186 263 92 |
| 2 600 2 600 | 3 098 1 400 4 498 | 8 391 34 202 258 83 | 210 650 17 |
| 2 600 2 600 | 700 210 910 | 9 700 | 22 010 |
| | 2 000 :: 500 :: 2 500 :: | 2 000 5 500 | 7 500 |
| N. Y. State College for Teachers, h. s. dep't, Albany Blementary Secondary Total. | N. Y. State School for the Blind, Batavia Elementary Scendary Total | Special schools, elementary | Total, special schools |

a Includes salaries of associate and district superintendents in New York city.

b Including amount expended for library, apparatus, furniture, equipment, repairs and supplies.

c Salaries for men in New York city are not included here, no separate data being reported for men. All teachers salaries reported under salaries for women.

 $\label{eq:total tau} {\rm Table} \ 6 \ (continued)$ Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| | TOTAL | \$51 893 56 5 041 56 934 56 | 30 479 49 | 80 020 45 3 739 87 83 760 32 | 37 835 06 4 942 75 42 777 81 | 49 266 25 17 738 85 67 005 10 | 43 895 47 11 004 18 54 899 65 | 35 811 18 8 700 27 44 511 45 |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| | AMOUNT ON HAND JULY 31, 1914 | \$4 644 82 98 04 4 742 86 | 1 314 50 | 15 029 14 138 49 15 167 63 | 3 995 93 261 21 4 257 14 | 6 065 66 900 :- 6 965 66 | 5 950 28 661 47 6 611 75 | 3 341 68 |
| | ALL OTHER INCIDENTAL EXPENSES | \$900 80 210 75 1 111 55 | 1 568 22 1 568 22 | 2 789 81 76 11 2 865 92 | 1 232 62 129 89 1 362 51 | 2 567 60 992 18 3 559 78 | 1 117 89 289 92 1 407 81 | 921 34 502 29 1 423 63 |
| BONDED INDEBTEDNESS | INTEREST | \$1 677 50 252 50 1 930 | 09 | 1 316 59 73 33 1 389 92 | | 1 796 44 897 31 2 693 75 | 634 316 950 | . 373 80 45 20 419 |
| BONDED INI | PRINCIPAL | \$2 800 75 193 2 993 75 | 300 | 3 867 67 332 33 4 200 | | $\begin{array}{c} 1 & 525 & \dots \\ 575 & \dots \\ 2 & 100 & \dots \end{array}$ | 2 000 1 000 3 000 | 840 560 1 400 |
| FUEL, | WATER, LIGHT, POWER, JANNTORS SUPPLIES AND OTHER EX- PENSES OF OPERATION | \$3 207 04 335 52 3 542 56 | 1 378 03 | 3 710 42 296 90 4 007 32 | 2 064 53 247 48 2 312 01 | 2 257 27 788 09 3 045 36 | 2 505 95 566 46 3 072 41 | 1 763 07 520 66 2 283 73 |
| | NEW BUILDINGS AND SCHOOLHOUSE SITES | | | | \$470 | 253 43 203 05 456 48 | | 56 25 |
| REPAIRING, | HIMNG, INSURING AND IMPROVING SCHOOL- HOUSES, SITES AND OUTBUILDINGS | \$3 626 12 141 74 3 767 86 | 768 46 | 5 377 18 33 79 5 410 97 | 1 841 96 125 60 1 967 56 | 2 370 07 769 29 3 139 36 | 2 652 72 546 40 3 199 12 | 2 096 44 515 20 2 611 64 |
| | NEW EQUIPMENT (APPARATUS AND FURNITURE) | \$521 37 198 73 720 10 | 96 20 | 420 23 6 63 426 86 | 324 48 40 76 365 24 | 585 14 357 78 942 92 | 96 86 28 124 86 | 87 05 127 99 215 04 |
| | SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | Albany co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | Allegany co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Edementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total |

| 10.00 | 000 | | | | 2300 | | | 52 C1 20 | 10 mm |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| 3 05 3 10 6 15 | | 4 11 2 80 6 91 | | | | | 4 31 2 41 6 72 | | 9 38 9 43 |
| 573 973 546 | 427 678 105 | 574 292 866 | | | | | 474 372 846 | 882 076 958 | 700 349 019 |
| 54 27 82 | 33 | 33 | 25 | 31 6 87 | 49 62 | 48 8 56 | 262 | 55 15 70 | 40 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.00.10 | 0)01 | 0.0 | : | 20 5-10 | | 61-410 | | | |
| 1 60 7 78 9 38 | 3 92 | 7 40 | 7 10 | | 8 41 2 52 | | 1 23 | | 1 83 6 04 |
| 821 47 869 | 773 | 827 | | | 048 384 432 | | 814 | 653 827 480 | 701 334 036 |
| 61 61 | m : m | | | 63 69 | 5 1 9 | ÷ 9 | 4 :4 | 4 13 | 63 63 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 255 | 03 | 10 10 | | | | | 332 | 687 | 05 57 62 |
| 025 675 700 | 734 900 634 | 766 200 966 | 119 | 811 125 937 | 400 347 748 | 471 178 650 | 358 441 800 | 458 572 031 | 098 62 160 |
| 9878 | 63 65 | | | (| | | ¢1 ¢1 | c1 to | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 15 42 | 1::: | | 9 :8 | ::: | ::: | . 20 | ::: | 39 | 12 25 25 |
| 726 596 322 | | | | 888 | 786 36 522 | | 45. | | 276 1 71 1 347 2 |
| 2-4 | : : : | : : : | : | - | 2 0 | w 4- | | ලබ්හි | ¢1 °c5 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | ::: | ::: | <u> </u> | | | | : | | |
| 2 50 3 50 | | | 5: 55 | | : : : | | : : : | 2 77 3 08 | : : : |
| 6S1 292 973 | : : : | : : : | 95 | 250 250 500 | 990 | 950 550 500 | 300 | 360 542 903 | 075 325 400 |
| c3 — 65 | | | : | | | | : | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 15 35 | 18 | 40 | 17 | | 65 83 48 | 61 36 97 | 28217 | 45 443 474 | 3 33 . |
| 239 107 346 | 588 250 838 | 802 802 | 162 | 477 331 809 | 479 716 196 | 424 562 986 | 714 7762 477 | 569 900 469 | 142 251 393 |
| € - 4 | | | - i- | | 61 10 | 61 61 | 61 10 | ಬ ಈ | 61 63 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 1::: | ::: | ::: | : | ::: | 40 | 73: 73 | 95 | 81 | |
| : : : | : : : | | : : : | 85. | 449 126 575 | 301 | 860 | 712 8 | |
| | | | | | 4-10 | 61 - 61 62 - 63 | 1 0 | 1: -1 | : : : |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| . : : | : : : | - ::: | 2 : 2 | : | m 01.0 | : | : | : | ::: |
| 7 29 0 04 8 03 | 7 45 0 7 45 | 5 89 4 68 0 57 | 3 52 | 6 88 9 88 6 77 | 1 28 9 32 0 60 | 7 39 7 74 5 13 | 222 | 5 43 6 03 | 2 66 0 61 3 27 |
| 1 977 780 2 758 | 597 20 617 | 1 675 384 2 060 | 1 703 | 586 139 726 | 881 279 160 | 507 447 955 | $\frac{511}{382}$ | 710 185 896 | 012 110 123 |
| H 64 | | 1 67 | | | - 2 | w w | 4 4 | 60 60 | ক ক |
| | | | :_ | | | | | | |
| 96 | 10 | 19 46 65 | 28: 28 | 90 | 82 11 | 35 | 47 06 53 | 841 | 87: |
| 146 662 809 | 238 144 382 | 748 35 783 | 991 | 226 71 298 | 865 447 313 | 228 31 259 | 490 232 722 | 768 75 843 | 688 4 692 |
| | | | | | - | 63 63 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| -::: | ::: | ::: | ::: | : : : | : : : | ::: | ::: | ::: | ::: |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| : : : | : : : | : : : | : : : | | dist. | | | | |
| | ist. | | | | | : : : | | | : : : |
| ols. | ols. | ols. | ols. | ols. | ols. | ols. | ols. | ols. | ols. |
| scho hool | up'v scho hool | scho hool | scho hool | cho hool | lst scho hool | hool | cho | cho 100l | choc |
| dist | st sury s | dist. | dist. | dist ury e y sc. | ury s | rry s y sel | list. ry s y scl | dist ry s / sel | dist ry s / scl |
| sup'v'y dist. lementary schools geondary schools Total. | le co., 1st su lementary sc condary sch Total | up'v'y dis lementary scondary s Total | up'v'y dist. lementary sebeondary sebe | sup'v'y clementar condary Total | augus co lementar scondary Total | up'v'y dist. ementary s condary sel Total | up'v'y dis lementary scondary s Total | sup'v'y dis lementary scondary s Total | sup'v'y elementar condary Total |
| 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | me co., 1st sup'v'y dist Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | araugus co., 1st sup'v'y Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | h sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | h sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total |
| 5th SE | Broome co., 1st sup'v'y dist Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | 2d g E | 3ds E | ## E.S. | Cattaraugus co., 1st sup'v'y Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | S.E.S. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary s Secondary sel Total | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary sel Secondary scho Total | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary se Secondary sch Total |
| | ğ | | 1 | | Ca | | | 4' | |

 ${\rm TABLE} \ \ 6 \ \ (continued)$ Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| | TOTAL | \$30 442 41 4 484 72 34 927 13 | 38 230 21 10 003 57 48 233 78 | 35 015 05 3 224 70 38 239 75 | 22 381 38 1 291 39 23 672 77 | 30 053 18 6 958 41 37 011 59 | 49 300 14 11 572 29 60 872 43 | 34 157 83 6 545 47 40 703 30 |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| AMOUNT | ON HAND JULY 31, 1914 | \$3 013 95 453 05 3 467 | 2 481 45 247 99 2 729 44 | 4 137 85 108 : 4 245 85 | 2 196 60 1 29 2 197 89 | 1 735 82 244 34 1 980 16 | 5 478 62 400 : 5 878 62 | 3 510 82 449 41 3 990 23 |
| ALL OTHER | INCIDENTAL | \$584 58 48 07 632 65 | 2 407 94 246 48 2 654 42 | 4 006 07 219 4 225 07 | 1 159 90 22 53 1 182 43 | 1 156 61 946 07 2 102 68 | 1 977 14 698 48 2 675 62 | 1 269 07 164 14 1 433 21 |
| EBTEDNESS | INTEREST | \$51 17 75 68 75 | 993 50 398 1 391 50 | 174 50 | 20 20 | 320 | 43 20 | 332 13 229 87 562 |
| BONDED INDEBTEDNESS | PRINCIPAL | \$190 60 250 | 1 800 2 800 | 500 | 200 | 500 | | 561 39 238 61 800 |
| FUEL, WATER, LIGHT, POWER, | JANITORS SUPPLIES AND OTHER EX- PENSES OF | \$1 881 98 229 93 2 111 91 | 2 127 57 492 55 2 620 12 | 1 535 57 151 1 686 57 | 1 073 95 59 20 1 133 15 | 1 651 45 380 : 2 041 45 | 2 136 67 631 14 2 767 81 | 1 732 20 470 50 2 302 70 |
| NEW | AND SCHOOLHOUSE SITES | | | | \$100 | 80 69 | 3 108 30 | 562 98 562 98 |
| REPAIRING, HIRING, INSURING AND | SCHOOL- HOUSES, SITES AND OUTBUILDINGS | \$1 563 45 85 81 1 649 26 | 2 346 38 669 60 3 015 98 | 1 509 32 94 75 1 604 07 | 1 273 59 74 29 1 347 88 | 1 551 88 -267 1 818 88 | 3 693 88 836 45 4 530 33 | 1 535 84 54 63 1 590 47 |
| NEW | (APPARATUS AND FURNITURE) | \$108 50 61 25 169 75 | 375 05 251 82 626 87 | 177 29 56 233 29 | 154 54 117 11 271 65 | 635 46 191 826 46 | 481 72 157 34 639 06 | 248 59 112 26 360 85 |
| | SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | Cayuga co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Lementary schools Secondary schools Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Chautanqua co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Ellementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| 937 | 77 | 24.2 | 95 86 | 333 | 14 61 75 | 86 26 | 113 83 83 | 89 52 52 | 52 76 |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| 956 258 215 | 298 855 153 | 402 561 964 | 799 229 029 | 965 179 145 | 586 863 449 | 331 718 050 | 002 454 456 | 631 620 | 282 |
| 36 9 9 9 | 39 16 56 | 8128 | 63 17 81 | 65 # 55 | 35 | 825 | 37 10 47 | 37.4.23 | 30 37 37 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| -845 | 45 96 41 | 87 86 86 | 38 20 20 | 86 60 46 | 2883 | 62 14 14 | 986 | 2 : 2 | |
| 805 0 101 0 906 1 | 593 4 289 9 883 4 | 287 8 646 9 934 8 | 122 3 424 1 546 5 | 564 8 718 6 283 4 | 720 3 216 8 937 1 | 877 5 54 6 932 1 | 795 9 423 9 219 9 | 136 6 94 530 6 | 845 41 845 41 |
| 8 H 4 | 50 to 50 | ၁ ၁ | 8 8 11479 | 41 57.00 | 80 80 15 01 90 | 2 8 6 | 61 82 F-4-61 | 4 73 | 1 8 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 51 90 41 | 69 | 23 | 8.838 | 05 8 8 8 8 8 | 93 | 593 | 76 69 45 | 81 06 06 | 888 |
| 131 238 370 | 707 249 956 | 750 479 230 | 522 080 603 | 348 451 799 | 910 12 923 | 317 115 433 | 403 047 451 | 223 272 502 | 580 265 845 |
| | | & - 1 7 | 1 7 | | | | 61-65 | 61 61 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| ::: | 823 | 67 | 49 08 57 | 2:2 | 05 | : : : | 95 | ::: | 48 |
| 331 125 456 | 314 314 628 | $\frac{296}{160}$ | 663 777 440 | 270 90 360 | 89 :89 :89 | 30 15 45 | 540 534 075 | | 750 428 178 |
| | | | 61 69 | | | | | | 7 |
| | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 999 | : : : 200 200 | 666 67 500 166 67 | 191 67 083 33 275 | ::: | 250 | 166 67 83 33 250 | 383 33 200 583 33 | | 999 |
| 980 1 680 | 555 | 3.2 | 2 19 3 27 2 27 | 1 475 900 2 375 | 250 | 91 82 83 | 8883 | | 1 250 750 2 000 |
| | | | | | Ė | | | | |
| 61 | 87 79 | 91 05 | 3330 | 90 83 | 14 51 | 55 33 33 | 72 62 34 | 43 20 | 85 12 97 |
| 502 373 375 6 | 618 9 562 8 181 7 | 172 1 976 9 149 0 | 396 3 454 9 851 2 | 348 9 630 1 979 0 | 88 5 113 6 | 184 5 161 7 346 3 | 963 7 511 6 475 3 | 463 4 165 7 629 2 | 620 8 540 1 160 9 |
| | 1 2 2 | 8 4 1 9 | 4-r3 | 61 61 60 60 | 0 0 | 1 1 2 | 1 9 2 4 4 | 1 4 | 1 6 2 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 01:0 | . 33 | | | 45: 45 | 23 : 23 | | | 25. | 43. |
| 678 400 078 | 933 900 900 | | | 022 | 8 : 8 | | | 253 253 | 20:20 |
| _ | - | | : : : | - :- | : | | | | |
| | | | : : : | | : | | | : | |
| 50.00 | 3002 | 12 89 01 | 30 68 | 89 59 48 | 98 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 | 85 9 66 51 | 1 81 1 59 3 40 | 26.25 | 67 |
| 1 905 418 2 324 | 2 504 1 212 3 717 | 4 500 843 5 344 | 4 146 895 5 042 | 3 062 1 267 4 330 | 2 126 41 2 168 | 1 040 19 1 060 | 2 134 701 2 836 | . 697 67 765 | 914 29 943 |
| - 64 | 24-65 | 4 13 | 4 13 | es = 4 | 04 04 | | 64 64 | | |
| | 130 | 73 | | m c) 10 | 553 | 28: 28 | 2007 | 27 27 97 | D-802 |
| 251 88 85 11 337 0 | 640 7: 278 7: 919 4: | 731 73 468 55 200 33 | 679 89 424 11 104 | 587 33 171 42 758 75 | 237 28 00 265 55 | 25.28 2.38 | 364 0 517 2 881 2 | 143 7 148 2 291 9 | 228 87 134 08 362 95 |
| 61 ~ 85 | 9816 | 5.4.9 | 243 | 312 | ลา"ลั | a | e e e e e | 223 | 21 22 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| ::: | ::: | ::: | ::: | ::: | ::: | ::: | ::: | ::: | ::: |
| | | | | | | : : : | | | |
| | | | | st. : : : | | dist. | | | |
| | | | | y d | | y, d | | | |
| hools ools. | nools ools. | aools ools. | nools ools. | up'v hook soks. | hools. | hook hook ooks. | hoolk ooks. | hools ools. | nools ools. |
| sele scho | list. y sel scho | list. y sel scho | list. y sch sche | lst su y sel sche | st. y sel sche | lst s y sel sche | ist. y sel sche | st. y sel sche | list. y sel scho |
| up'v'y dist. lementary selecondary scho Total | v'y c entar dary al | sup'v'y dist lementary s eondary se Total | sup'v'y clementar scondary Total | ing co., 1st su lementary scho econdary scho Total | up'v'y dist lementary econdary sc Total | ngo eo., lementar scondary Total | up'v'y dist lementary s condary sc Total | "y d entar dary al | sup'v'y dist. ementary schools condary schools. Total |
| 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 6th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | nung co., 1st sup'v'y Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | ango eo., 1st sup'v'y c Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Serondary schools Total | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. |
| 3d.s EE | 4th E | 5th EE | SEP VE | Chemung co., 1st sup'v'y dist Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | 2d 8 | Chenango eo., 1st sup'v'y e Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | 2d s E | 56 E E 2 | Ath E |
| | | | | D D | | 5 | | | |

TABLE 6 (continued)
Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| | SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS (APPARA AND PURMYIN FURNYIN FURN | Shenango eo. (concluded) 5th sup Yy dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Clinton co., 1st sup'v'y dist., Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Elementary schools Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. | Solumbia co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Econdury schools. Total. | 3d sup'y dist. Elementary schools. Scenndary schools. Total. |
|---------------------|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | NEW EQUIPMENT (APPARATUS AND FURNITURE) | \$82 89 199 :3 282 12 | 809 77 137 42 947 19 | 488 95 70 72 559 67 | 703 47 167 870 47 | 817 12 321 60 138 72 | 404 25 102 60 506 85 | 427 05 5 30 432 35 |
| REPAIRING, | INSURING, INSURING AND IMPROVING SCHOOL- HOUSES, SITES AND OUTBUILDINGS | \$2 036 76 172 40 2 209 16 | 2 374 87 323 69 2 698 56 | 2 820 45 195 02 3 015 47 | 2 048 69 218 97 2 267 66 | 2 733 98 86 58 2 820 56 | 3 424 21 267 84 3 692 05 | 2 762 60 241 24 3 003 84 |
| | NEW BUILDINGS AND SCHOOLHOUSE SITES | \$1 625 92 | | 901 | 610 | | | 162 19 |
| FUEL, | POWER, JANNTORS, SUPPLIES AND OTHER EX- PENSES OF | \$1 462 19 397 73 1 859 92 | 2 984 68 410 26 3 394 94 | 2 681 87 287 67 2 969 54 | 3 025 01 481 47 3 506 48 | 3 011 17 458 64 3 469 81 | 3 694 66 224 71 3 919 37 | 1 772 07 109 54 1 881 61 |
| BONDED INDEBTEDNESS | PRINCIPAL | \$150 50 200 | 654 79 | 891 33 208 67 1 100 | 1 000 500 1 500 | 848 102 | 1 940 30 59 70 2 000 | |
| EBTEDNESS | INTEREST | \$57 19 76 | 248 06 59 69 307 75 | 1 312 34 266 20 1 578 54 | 200 50 180 50 381 | 570 120 690 | 931 30 1 20 932 50 | |
| | ALL OTHER INCIDENTAL EXPENSES | \$613 25 52 31 665 56 | 364 73 243 15 2 607 88 | 1 598 03 137 30 1 735 33 | 1 695 65 195 99 1 891 64 | 894 17 153 13 1 047 30 | 2 552 52 181 63 2 734 15 | 1 025 30 124 28 1 149 58 |
| | AMOUNT ON HAND JULY 31, 1914 | \$3 224 7 136 3 361 | 4 160 61 239 06 4 399 67 | 3 102 60 425 66 3 528 26 | 2 679 72 555 62 3 235 34 | 5 366 49 | 4 065 94 287 4 352 94 | 1 712 37 |
| | TOTAL | \$30 705 64 5 256 10 35 961 74 | 55 137 16 6 136 11 61 273 27 | 42 851 60 4 856 43 47 708 03 | 45 994 81 8 423 29 54 418 10 | 48 778 87 9 142 74 57 921 61 | 62 776 24 5 729 10 68 505 34 | 31 033 54 2 240 91 33 274 45 |

| II] | EXPENSES | OF | EDUCATION |
|-----|----------|----|-----------|
|-----|----------|----|-----------|

209

| 38 514 11 8 861 42 47 375 53 | 23 701 98 3 208 77 26 910 75 | 26 964 60 3 617 69 30 582 29 | 42 371 89 5 104 13 47 476 02 | 48 119 93 9 432 51 57 552 44 | 49 887 72 17 792 03 67 679 75 | 49 297 18 16 635 94 65 933 12 | 31 700 67 3 610 91 35 311 58 | 35 595 12 8 032 33 43 627 45 | 56 144 23 7 266 47 63 410 70 |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| 3 666 42 753 10 4 419 52 | 2 290 47 2 290 47 | 2 216 31 163 63 2 379 94 | 3 804 26 183 63 3 987 89 | 3 192 57 3 192 57 | 3 063 10 41 26 3 104 36 | 2 998 39 1 234 50 4 232 89 | 1 603 88 | 2 558 27 2 558 27 | 7 011 05 416 18 7 427 23 |
| 2 027 14 674 11 2 701 25 | 847 70 14 20 861 90 | 536 51 164 33 700 84 | 1 693 67 151 70 1 845 37 | 1 336 50 147 41 1 483 91 | 672 54 184 07 856 61 | 2 112 91 1 117 57 3 230 48 | 1 073 18 73 82 1 147 | 1 945 32 1 875 42 3 820 74 | 1 859 08 260 79 2 119 87 |
| 149 | 74 80 31 20 106 | | 50 | 349 42 104 :- 453 42 | 252 40 297 549 40 | 721 41 484 56 1 205 97 | 3 75 | 469 79 420 :: 889 79 | 1 172 25 272 22 1 444 47 |
| 1 700 | 590 210 800 | | 500 | 1 784 1 416 3 200 | 1 417 50 1 700 :: 3 117 50 | 1 450 775 2 225 | | 1 166 50 233 50 1400 | 2 600 26 325 :: 2 925 26 |
| 1 894 68 457 94 2 352 62 | 826 71 107 27 933 98 | 1 127 07 297 26 1 424 33 | 1 943 40 186 : 2 129 40 | 2 750 44 529 :: 3 279 44 | 3 311 93 1 084 96 4 396 89 | 2 429 74 984 80 3 414 54 | . 1 322 07 162 70 1 484 77 | $\begin{array}{c} 1 & 256 & 52 \\ 301 & 70 \\ 1 & 558 & 22 \end{array}$ | 2 835 09 501 95 3 337 01 |
| | 09 | | 500 | 42 03 | 194 35 194 35 | 2 374 43 2 078 20 4 452 63 | 317 158 475 | 15 | 2 2 |
| 1 173 86 518 24 1 692 10 | 814 08 65 20 879 28 | 1 020 58 159 55 1 180 13 | 1 494 73 96 50 1 591 23 | 3 081 32 511 12 3 592 44 | 2 125 17 450 08 2 575 25 | 2 053 80 345 26 2 399 06 | 889 98 97 94 987 92 | 1 109 51 74 58 1 184 09 | 2 338 36 263 36 2 601 72 |
| 111 21 69 65 180 86 | 31 165 196 | 267 50 88 42 355 92 | 412 22 54 57 466 79 | 208 98 95 08 304 06 | 280 19 138 48 418 67 | 724 45 990 62 1 715 07 | 379 27 331 74 711 01 | 315 69 207 43 523 12 | 590 50 94 64 685 14 |
| Cortland co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Seeondary schools. Total. | Delaware co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 6th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Dutchess co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

 $\label{eq:total_total} {\rm Table} \ \ 6 \ \ (continued)$ Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | NEW EQUIPMENT (APPARATUS AND FURNITURE) | REPAIRING, HIRING, INSURING AND IMPROVING SCHOOL- HOUSES, SITES AND | NEW BUILDINGS AND SCHOOLHOUSE SITES | FUEL, WATER, LIGHT, POWER, JANITORS SUPPLIES AND OTHER EX- PENSES OF OPERATION | BONDED INDEBTEDNESS PRINCIPAL INTERES | ERTEDNESS INTEREST | ALL OTHER INCIDENTAL EXPENSES | AMOUNT ON HAND JULY 31, 1914 | TOTAL |
|--|---|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Dutchess co. (concluded) 2d sup Vy dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | \$573 09 200 43 773 52 | \$3 579 86 575 45 4 155 31 | \$1 200 1 200 | \$3 967 67 853 48 4 821 15 | \$2 810 250 3 060 | \$1 371 55 149 75 1 521 30 | \$5 346 60 778 63 6 125 23 | \$8 275 57 623 64 8 899 21 | \$70 021 9 806 79 827 |
| 3d sup v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 253 99 153 88 407 87 | 1 457 70 274 12 1 731 82 | | 2 106 82 572 96 2 679 78 | | | 1 507 28 345 71 1 852 99 | 2 018 10 510 75 2 528 85 | 33 844 6 941 40 785 |
| 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary sehools. Secondary schools. Total. | 289 81 36 68 326 49 | 2 689 26 630 09 3 319 35 | | 3 259 66 1 077 69 4 337 35 | 2 416 66 1 583 34 4 000 | 826 67 604 58 1 431 25 | 2 807 88 1 651 79 4 459 67 | 3 381 48 727 92 4 109 40 | 52 668 17 960 70 629 |
| Erie co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 993 79 180 73 1 174 52 | 5 314 99 804 52 6 119 51 | 4 307 60 | 3 666 07 772 53 4 438 60 | 2 375 1 875 4 250 | 1 502 06 734 72 2 236 78 | 7 931 99 2 635 81 10 567 80 | 15 876 01 1 678 44 17 554 45 | 91 276 21 553 112 830 |
| 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2 388 33 838 93 3 227 26 | 11- 288 11 1 487 99 12 776 10 | 16 866 57 7 985 24 851 57 | 9 308 71 1 631 06 10 939 77 | 13 254 75 2 106 75 15 360 75 | 9 879 94 2 422 71 12 302 65 | 16 090 25 3 231 16 19 321 41 | 31 841 59 | 196 592 44 818 241 410 |
| 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 467 66 13 29 480 95 | 3 418 73 370 86 3 789 59 | 887 61 18 788 | 3 238 10 298 37 3 536 47 | 960 220 1 180 | 270 30 57 20 327 50 | 5 328 91 1 164 76 6 493 67 | 2 042 89 44 84 2 087 73 | 53 676 10 203 63 879 |
| 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. | 1 564 24 71 63 1 635 87 | 4 739 13 1 460 :: 6 199 13 | 2 881 29 717 3 598 29 | 3 499 01 884 25 4 383 26 | 600 150 | 475 42 157 50 632 92 | 2 110 59 426 2 536 59 | 8 734 08 329 9 063 08 | 62 655 12 192 74 848 |

| 41 912 68 14 740 30 56 652 98 | 61 175 70 20 153 28 81 328 98 | 61 342 38 18 914 83 80 257 21 | 56 889 48 10 658 81 67 548 29 | 44 790 79 4 801 78 49 592 57 | 50 560 49 16 848 14 67 408 63 | 27 625 82 4 111 02 31 736 84 | 31 619 23 10 684 68 42 303 91 | 30 876 46 30 876 46 | 32 800 63 4 487 28 37 287 91 |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| 2 373 26 218 58 2 591 84 | 6 651 48 1 212 10 7 863 58 | 6 799 04 2 239 67 9 038 71 | 3 712 62 455 43 4 168 05 | 3 847 27 571 13 4 418 40 | 2 379 44 | 1 897 21 | 3 061 69 471 55 3 533 24 | 1 698 47 | 2 054 07 215 22 2 269 29 |
| 2 060 32 1 029 79 3 090 11 | 4 091 75 1 886 67 5 978 42 | 3 013 59 876 63 3 890 22 | 2 439 61 670 95 3 110 56 | 1 941 12 148 19 2 089 31 | 2 585 59 222 12 2 507 71 | 1 761 52 510 2 271 52 | 1 063 86 373 46 1 437 32 | 621 97 | 884 59 70 23 954 82 |
| 1 650 92 1 650 91 3 301 83 | 1 726 1 446 3 172 | 283 43 88 06 371 49 | 929 07 171 58 1 100 65 | 181 75 104 285 75 | 854 39 1 118 33 1 972 72 | 9 81 | | 68 75 | 342 54 25 367 54 |
| 1 000 1 000 2 000 : | 2 200 1 360 | 1 325 425 1 750 | 5 316 67 533 33 5 850 | 900 300 | 22 950 29 000 4 950 | 325 125 450 | | 250 | 1 802 34 166 1 968 34 |
| 2 183 43 894 20 3 077 63 | 4 198 37 1 301 16 5 499 53 | 3 600 58 855 33 4 455 91 | 3 433 47 527 13 3 960 60 | 1 957 132 45 2 089 45 | 2 957 83 353 40 3 311 23 | 1 541 49 181 31 1 722 80 | 1 676 98 631 36 2 308 34 | 1 255 14 | 1 732 43 344 07 2 076 50 |
| 141 89 | | | | 2 322 | 7 600 7 500 15 100 | | | | 15 |
| 2 039 2 09 378 <u>5</u> 82 2 417 2 91 | 3 892 47 1 567 39 5 459 86 | 3 066 52 681 97 3 748 49 | 2 251 28 488 40 2 739 68 | 1 795 10 12 93 1 808 03 | 2 583 15 200 28 2 783 43 | 2 580 54 222 78 2 803 32 | 1 859 22 594 60 2 453 82 | 1 883 22 | 2 168 07 132 02 2 300 09 |
| 993 75 303 85 1 297 60 | 582 15 682 12 1 264 27 | 506 01 73 25 579 26 | 716 50 119 41 835 91 | 953 84 111 58 1 065 42 | 1 206 77 908 84 2 115 61 | 507 23 37 64 544 87 | 85 03 135 04 220 07 | 180 74 | 330 43 99 65 430 08 |
| 5th sup'v'y,dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Essex co., 1st sup'vy dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Franklin co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Fulton co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Ellementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

 ${\it TABLE~6~(continucd)}$ Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| TOTAL | \$55 614 82 8 154 42 63 769 24 | 71 440 04 13 880 61 85 320 65 | 43 306 05 7 404 50 50 710 55 | 28 102 06 2 898 55 31 000 61 | 37 120 05 5 612 43 42 732 48 | 51 082 64 8 023 99 59 106 63 | 55 525 62 15 479 84 71 005 46 |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| AMOUNT ON HAND JULY 31, 1914 | \$5 724 67 359 90 6 084 57 | 9 958 98 194 15 10 153 13 | 2 149 13 118 88 2 268 01 | 1 391 34 136 85 1 528 19 | 1 761 36 316 14 2 077 50 | 2 526 01 206 39 2 732 40 | 4 718 77 97 70 4 816 47 |
| ALL OTHER INCIDENTAL EXPENSES | \$2 514 44 565 52 3 079 96 | 1 459 51 87 28 1 546 79 | 1 322 26 166 63 1 488 89 | 1 510 41 86 43 1 596 84 | 1 268 04 261 09 1 529 13 | 4 366 79 1 192 65 5 559 44 | 1 929 09 324 33 2 253 42 |
| EBTEDNESS INTEREST | \$415 | 3 232 50 846 4 078 50 | 503 82 15 518 82 | 105 66 28 34 134 | 808 96 325 1 133 96 | 592 51 20 643 20 | 1 494 604 88 2 098 88 |
| BONDED INDERTEDNESS PRINCIPAL INTERES | \$1 450 1 450 | 120 | 2 000 : | 1 058 33 166 C7 1 225 | 2 230 770 3 000 | 1 775 80 1 855 | 950 750 1 700 |
| FUEL, POWER, JANITORS, JANITORS, SUPPLIES AND OTHER EX- PENSES OF | \$3 084 69 417 81 3 502 50 | 4 789 75 887 55 5 677 30 | 3 421 59 305 3 726 59 | 1 410 59 168 43 1 579 02 | 2 193 04 395 84 2 588 88 | 2 769 04 562 55 3 331 59 | 4 267 69 1 344 10 5 611 79 |
| NEW BUILDINGS AND SCHOOLHOUSE SITES | \$1 675 63 1 675 63 | 1 329 77 311 54 1 641 31 | | 53 54 | 372 06 14 52 386 58 | 7 573 84 | |
| REPAIRING, HIRING, INSURING AND IMPROVING SCHOOL- HOUSES, SITES AND OUTBUILDINGS | \$3 670 09 703 60 4 573 69 | 5 182 33 813 77 5 996 10 | 1 475 67 215 43 1 691 10 | 911 84 22 94 934 78 | $\begin{array}{c} 1 - 199 & 20 \\ 170 & 65 \\ 1 & 369 & 85 \end{array}$ | 1 279 81 153 26 1 433 07 | 1 272 77 993 57 2 266 34 |
| NEW EQUIPMENT (APPARATUS AND FURNITURE) | \$1 541 92 174 78 1 716 70 | 939 76 612 45 1 552 21 | 190 32 102 45 292 77 | 21 54 37 66 59 20 | 525 43 80 55 605 98 | 433 91 191 51 625 42 | 355 68 499 83 855 51 |
| SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | Genesee co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Flementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Greene eo., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Hamilton co. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Herkimer co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| 1147 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| 38 659 03 6 345 48 45 004 51 | 32 267 69 1 786 55 34 054 24 | 47 589 78 15 290 50 62 880 28 | 32 536 10 5 254 82 37 790 92 | 40 459 12 753 74 53 212 74 | 43 524 37 9 566 43 53 090 80 | 45 245 54 13 335 59 58 581 13 | 50 593 21 12 439 60 63 032 81 | 56 331 06 19 669 48 76 000 54 | 33 642 68 4 335 28 37 977 96 |
| 2 065 43 | 1 673 98 30 1 703 98 | 6 507 19 | 1 917 96 163 74 2 081 70 | 3 033 60 1 726 04 4 759 64 | 2 707 21 2 707 21 | 3 453 97 376 00 3 829 97 | 3 656 73 735 20 4 391 93 | 4 020 25 | 2 077 38 170 :. 2 247 38 |
| 1 806 52 600 40 2 406 92 | 2 803 67 65 2 868 67 | 1 514 23 454 36 . 1 968 59 | 849 11 58 95 908 06 | 2 485 49 536 28 3 021 77 | 1 254 09 656 48 1 910 57 | 931 47 242 20 1 173 67 | 1 652 47 424 47 2 076 94 | 4 906 88 2 444 73 7 351 61 | 1 764 79 516 2 280 79 |
| 644 | 18 63 | 880 220 1 100 | | 260 131 30 391 30 | 726 519 1 245 | 334 75 490 75 825 50 | 399 19 175 19 574 38 | 727 41 1 319 25 2 046 66 | 181 67 180 361 67 |
| 1 000 | 40 | 1 600 400 | | 691 27 347 50 1 038 77 | 850 650 | 800 1 100 1 900 | 1 441 559 | 2 860 2 910 5 770 | 400 200 600 |
| 2 107 25 507 74 2 614 99 | 1 564 99 140 | 2 226 25 645 14 2 871 39 | 1 995 23 . 562 39 . 2 557 62 . | 1 906 06 519 56 2 425 62 | 2 453 73 698 77 3 152 50 | 2 918 60 793 67 3 712 27 | 2 860 77 990 78 3 851 55 | 2 989 897 77 3 886 77 | 1 488 48 191 40 1 679 88 |
| 1 448 46 | 380 90 | 4 970 48 4 794 58 9 765 06 | | | | | 100 | | 420 96 50 :- 470 96 |
| 4 445 22 952 20 5 397 42 | 2 073 65 83 28 2 156 93 | 1 576 48 872 15 2 448 63 | 1 503 13 110 01 1 613 14 | 3 177 69 372 66 3 550 35 | 2 666 62 590 54 3 257 16 | 2 311 70 311 86 2 623 56 | 5 508 04 769 20 6 277 24 | 2 570 64 517 30 3 087 94 | 2 185 85 112 71 2 298 56 |
| 256 84 85 17 342 01 | 321 74 30 29 352 03 | 1 197 80 922 04 2 119 84 | 353 87 2 65 356 52 | 871 68 52 81 924 49 | 452 99 60 64 513 63 | 440 81 315 60 756 41 | 1 000 22 241 13 1 241 35 | 193 05 100 15 293 20 | 318 52 48 95 367 47 |
| 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Jefferson co., 1st sup v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Blementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 6th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Socondary schools. Total | Lewis co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. |

 ${\rm TABLE} \ \ 6 \ \ (continued)$ Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| TOTAL | \$38 083 54 9 992 54 48 076 03 | 24 749 11 1 567 82 26 316 93 | 29 676 49 5 661 35 337 49 | 70 452 38 19 148 75 89 601 13 | 45 497 53 10 542 51 56 040 04 | 56 297 06 22 344 76 78 641 82 | 49 190 33 15 469 02 64 659 35 |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| AMOUNT ON HAND JULY 31, 1914 | \$3 820 58 942 33 4 762 91 | 2 739 46 239 09 2 978 55 | 2 113 14 578 53 2 691 67 | 4 873 91 862 88 5 736 79 | 2 984 43 305 94 3 290 37 | 3 084 83 336 20 3 421 03 | 4 502 07 207 10 4 709 17 |
| ALL OTHER INCIDENTAL EXPENSES | \$1 264 64 436 15 1 700 79 | 819 47 16 835 47 | 815 98 69 30 885 28 | 3 908 33 1 101 54 5 009 87 | 2 912 58 806 80 3 719 38 | 2 436 95 1 670 35 4 107 30 | 3 421 49 443 03 3 864 52 |
| PRTEDNESS | \$320 321 25 641 25 | | 45 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 | 1 985 50 1 156 3 141 50 | 896 13 668 12 1 564 25 | 819 88 783 :: 1 602 88 | 1 367 76 564 76 1 931 76 |
| BONDED INDERTEDNESS PRINCIPAL INTERES | \$250 250 500 | | 250 250 500 | 3 934 2 166 6 100 | 850 250 | 2 300 1 200 3 500 | 2 284 731 |
| FUEL, WATER, LIGHT, POWER, JANITORS' SUPPLIES AND OTHER EX- PENSES OF | \$2 234 70 572 60 2 807 30 | 975 73 87 80 1 063 53 | 1 439 01 456 30 1 895 31 | 4 150 27 765 65 4 915 92 | 3 088 73 411 23 3 499 96 | 4 175 77 1 795 02 5 970 79 | 2 631 39 850 84 3 482 23 |
| NEW BUILDINGS AND SCHOOLHOUSE SITES | | \$65 29 65 29 | 35 08 35 08 | 600 239 82 839 82 | 50 100 | 742 24 1 000 1 742 24 | 1 291 25 265 1 556 25 |
| REPAIRING, HIRING, INSURING AND IMPROVING SCHOOL- HOUSES, SITES AND OUTBUILDINGS | \$1 403 49 131 1 534 49 | 1 383 20 55 13 1 438 33 | 1 550 54 265 20 1 815 74 | 3 686 44 1 132 36 4 818 80 | 2 145 49 432 12 2 577 61 | 2 577 86 1 000 51 3 578 37 | 2 435 37 727 31 3 162 68 |
| NEW EQUIPMENT (APPARATUS AND FURNITUPE) | \$493 54 456 06 949 60 | 310 56 | 278 46 | 579 13 215 04 794 17 | 547 22 862 64 1 409 86 | 708 43 877 33 1 585 76 | 739 56 795 51 1 535 07 |
| SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | Lewis co. (concluded) 2d sup'v y dist. Blementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Livingston eo., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Madison co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| 1111 | | | EXPE | NSES O | F EDU | CATION | | | 210 |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| 28 81 81 | 22.2% | 89 17 | 13 | 19 88 07 | 338 | 16 13 29 | 2528 | 9 03 82 82 | 7 69 3 77 1 46 |
| 579 709 288 | 221 818 039 | 085 077 163 | 951 042 993 | 402 051 454 | 390 790 181 | 875 409 284 284 | 533 322 856 | 802 93 4 736 | 867 073 941 |
| 46 11 58 | 35 | 45 14 59 | 88 | 68 26 94 | $\frac{86}{12}$ | 111 | 70 19 89 | 54.5 | 374 57 431 |
| | | | | | | | | | 00 4 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 47 | 17 | 74: | 91 15 | 38 65 | 888 | 22,428 | 26 | 888 | 46.24 |
| 4 :4 | 087 087 | 309 | 639 486 126 | 985 519 505 | 140 942 082 | 411 287 699 | 071 071 | $\frac{8000}{180}$ | 681 951 632 |
| ∞ ∞ | თ : თ | 23 : 53 | ∞ ≎ | ರಿ ಅ | 11 13 13 | 4 4 | ∞ :∞ | ಬ ಬ | 91 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 36 | | 33. | 252 | 34.670 | 280 | 202 | 62. 62. | 97 76 73 | 13 |
| 107 8 893 3 001 2 | 524 5 566 4 090 9 | 417 7 548 5 966 3 | 297 8 279 2 577 0 | 625 7 520 6 146 3 | 976 296 273 | 342 4 084 5 426 9 | 941 (390 a | 491 153 645 | 632 361 993 |
| 522 | 3 52 | 1 4 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 2 2 2 | 20 14 14 14 | 686 | 8 H 4 | 9 H 4 | 4 1 0 | 27 32 32 32 |
| 6464 43 | 64 65 | | 04 04 | 444 | 44 | ****** | | | 64 65 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| ::: | : : : | ::: | 8889 | 66 89 55 | 37 75 12 | 20 80 : | ::: | 88 88 | 2825 |
| 523 299 822 | | 150 600 | 398 196 595 | 283 063 347 | 313 023 337 | 274 121 396 | 513 127 640 | 481 1 482 | 180 950 131 |
| 23 64 50 | | 4 | 61 61 | 21-12 | e1 e5 | ကက | | | 23 33 |
| | : : : | | | | | | | | |
| | - : : : | | | @## £ | 0 .0 | .8.8 : | . 22 - | ::: | 23 66 66 |
| ::: | | : : : | 0 51 0 51 | 8 89 3 33 | 4 50 4 50 4 50 | | 6 67 3 33 0 | | |
| 150 950 100 | 1 1 1 | :000 | 470 350 820 | 388 544 933 | 954 500 454 | 270 519 790 | 166 333 500 | 400 125 525 | 753 888 641 |
| 63 69 | : : : | | 4 4 | C1 C1 | භ 4 | 4 4 | | | 18 1 20 |
| | : : : | : | | | | | | | |
| 11 12 12 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 | 337 | 89 | 48 11 11 | 22 16 88 | 99 | 94 | 32 44 76 | 63 46 | 61 41 |
| 183 705 888 | 155 611 767 | 795 978 773 | 425 514 940 | 027 720 747 | 018 905 923 | 199 588 787 | 851 324 175 | 924 420 345 | 775 463 239 |
| 2 2 2 | 19 7 | 5 4 | 5 5 5 | 5 0 1 7 6 7 | 5 0 | 4 4 | 2 - 2 | 61 ts | 62 6 7 4 6 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | m · m |
| 90.30 | : : : | | 96 (| | | 57. 57 | 81 : 81 | : : : | 0 23 |
| 885 | 8: 30 | | 720 | : : : | : : : | | 102 | : : : | 4 450 |
| - :- | : | : : : | 1- 1- | : : : | : : : | : | : | | 4 :41 |
| | : | : : : | : | : : : | | : | | | |
| 925 | 51 | 8:8 | 883 | | 007 | 322 | 62 95 57 | 328 | 8: 8 |
| 668 186 854 | 594 200 794 | 8000 | 351 251 603 | 944 183 127 | 381 709 | 827 449 276 | 131 981 113 | 067 748 816 | 464 082 546 |
| 1 8 2 6 | 1 2 2 1 7 2 | 5 5 50 50 50 | 5 23 | 3 2 1 6 1 | 6 33 | 82 44 82 44 63 | 10.4 | 4 4 0 7 8 | 16 4 19 5 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 12.010 | * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * |
| 49 | 544 545 546 | . 80 . 83 . 43 | 98,6 | 938 | 28 44 28 28 | 08 23 | 3 63 53 | 77 70 45 | 9 94 5 74 5 68 |
| 278 217 495 | 126 45 171 | $^{215}_{20}$ | 905 209 114 | 999 715 715 | 201 203 | 835 79 915 | 468 585 053 | 734 27 762 | 919 595 515 |
| | | | - | - 67 | 61 61 | | 1 | | 63 65 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| ::: | ::: | ::: | ::: | ::: | ::: | ::: | | - ::: | |
| : : : | | : : : | | | | | . ! ! ! | | |
| | | | | | | | dist | | : : : |
| 100 | | | st. | | | : : : | | ::: | st |
| 122 | ls. | 133 | y di ls | <u>s</u> | ls: | ls. : | up, | ls | y dis |
| hoo. | hoo | hoo sloo | v'v' hoo ools | sloo | sloo sloo | sloo | st s | sloo. | hoo ools |
| st. y sc. sch | st. y sc sch | ist. y sc sch | sul y sc sch | st. y sc sch | st. y sc sch | list. y sc sch | y sc sch | y sc sch | sul y sc sch |
| sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | H sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | roe eo., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | k sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | tgomery co., 1st sup'v'. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | au co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total |
| p'v' mer ond | p'v' mer ond Tota | np'v mer ond | eo. mer ond | p'v' mer ond | up'v'y ements condar Total. | up'v mer ond ond | ond | p'v' emer ond | co., ond ond l'ota |
| 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary se Secondary sel Total | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary s Secondary scl Total | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary sc Secondary sch Total | Sec. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary s Secondary scl Total | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary s Secondary scl Total | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary sc. Secondary schr Total | Ele Sec 7 | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary s Secondary scl Total | Nassau co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total |
| ŭ | 8 | 4 t | Monroe eo., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | ă | 2 | 4t | Montgomery co., 1st sup'v'y Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | 22 | Nas |
| | | | | | | | - | | |

 $\label{eq:thm:continued} {\rm Table} \ 6 \ (continued)$ Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| TOTAL | \$309 037 76 35 034 37 344 072 13 | 45 740 04 12 242 82 57 982 86 | 42 913 36 | 53 356 18 7 193 59 60 549 77 | 73 627 90 12 674 65 86 302 55 | 46 650 93 11 865 27 58 516 20 | 54 146 20 16 764 36 70 910 56 |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| AMOUNT ON HAND JULY 31, 1914 | \$48 347 13 | 4 647 09 785 20 5 432 29 | 3 892 63 3 892 63 | 4 992 15 679 63 5 671 78 | 5 313 67 535 65 5 849 32 | 5 429 98 5 429 98 | 2 812 25 847 32 3 659 57 |
| ALL OTHER INCIDENTAL EXPENSES | \$25 718 97 4 332 39 30 051 36 | 2 493 62 826 57 3 320 19 | 1 669 06 | 1 496 24 182 23 1 678 47 | 1 986 14 186 78 2 172 92 | 3 233 71 258 47 3 492 18 | 1 807 09 1 619 34 3 426 43 |
| EBTEDNESS | \$20 159 76 1 460 49 21 620 25 | 1 788 1 263 3 051 | 1 462 50 | 920 26 127 70 1 047 96 | 1 587 75 232 25 1 820 | 726 314 1 040 | 637 50 100 :: 737 50 |
| PRINCIPAL INTERES | \$34 872 01 2 629 17 37 501 18 | 1 200 990 2 190 | 2 250 | 2 135 45 347 88 2 483 33 | 3 800 600 4 400 | 458 142 600 | 1 300 200 1 500 |
| FUEL, POWER, JANITORS, SUPPLES AND OTHER EX- PENSES OF | \$14 163 52 1 624 65 15 788 17 | 3 313 62 986 78 4 300 40 | 2 723 41 | 3 823 90 545 24 4 369 14 | 4 875 26 771 34 5 646 60 | 2 625 82 643 22 3 269 04 | 3 710 06 1 416 60 5 126 66 |
| NEW BUILDINGS AND SCHOOLHOUSE SITES | | | | 210 40 6 25 216 65 | 3 042 | | 8 427 |
| REPAIRING, HIRRING, INRURING AND INPURING SCHOOL- HOUSES, SITES AND OUTBUILDINGS | \$19 457 96 1 521 58 20 979 54 | 2 521 60 458 58 2 980 18 | 3 036 92 | 2 210 51 316 09 2 526 60 | 4 587 21 326 45 4 913 66 | 2 551 64 482 79 3 034 43 | 3 160 61 1 995 35 5 155 96 |
| NEW EQUIPMENT (APPARATUS AND FURNITURE) | \$2 380 40 476 76 2 857 16 | 436 45 451 82 888 27 | 560 16 | 330 56 22 83 353 39 | 1 257 132 64 1 389 64 | 534 56 150 35 684 91 | 461 16 406 09 867 25 |
| SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | Nassau co. (concluded) 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Niagara co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Oneida co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Edementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total |

| 1111 | | | EXPE | ENSES C | F LDU | CATION | | | 211 |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 32 072 08 | 36 085 44 | 37 525 84 | 31 973 14 | 45 600 68 | 60 402 79 | 61 433 52 | 67 931 13 | 54 688 51 | 39 040 76 |
| 2 241 51 | 7 403 97 | 5 472 10 | 8 593 67 | 12 526 92 | 16 305 20 | 11 778 66 | 21 057 71 | 17 682 07 | 10 676 20 |
| 34 313 59 | 43 489 41 | 42 997 94 | 40 566 81 | 58 127 60 | 76 707 99 | 73 212 18 | 88 988 84 | 72 370 58 | 49 716 96 |
| 2 161 75 | 2 420 38 | 3 242 96 | 2 075 51 | 2 436 17 | 2 965 86 | 3 361 08 | 4 023 86 | 3 735 48 | 2 536 57 |
| 99 33 | 772 57 | | 41 27 | 523 66 | 395 | 155 96 | 781 33 | 234 24 | 563 34 |
| 2 261 08 | 3 192 95 | | 2 116 78 | 2 959 83 | 3 360 86 | 3 517 04 | 4 805 19 | 3 969 72 | 3 099 91 |
| 844 85 | 1 710 34 | 623 78 | 555 30 | 2 533 45 | 4 913 36 | 3 755 80 | 8 827 77 | 1 929 06 | 745 73 |
| 54 92 | 365 99 | 32 05 | 152 36 | 1 868 36 | 891 31 | 815 61 | 2 663 34 | 260 42 | 141 41 |
| 899 77 | 2 076 33 | 655 83 | 707 66 | 4 401 81 | 5 804 67 | 4 571 41 | 11 491 11 | 2 189 48 | 887 14 |
| | 446 03 | 823 | 1 282 77 | 576 75 | 780 14 | 975 | 765 50 | 2 358 94 | 636 22 |
| | 169 34 | 272 | 604 73 | 148 75 | 111 50 | 395 | 255 | 1 448 12 | 514 55 |
| | 615 37 | 1 095 | 1 887 50 | 725 50 | 891 64 | 1 370 | 1 020 50 | 3 807 06 | 1 150 77 |
| | 2 040 | 803 | 1 005 | 1 100 | 2 368 35 | 1 736 67 | 2 216 67 | 1 833 40 | 1 950 |
| | 760 | 250 | 495 | 500 | 800 | 663 33 | 1 433 33 | 1 066 60 | 1 100 |
| | 2 800 | 1 053 | 1 500 | 1 600 | 3 168 35 | 2 400 | 3 650 | 2 900 | 3 050 |
| 1 922 52 | 1 856 11 | 1 561 77 | 2 046 29 | 2 956 35 | 3 379 11 | 3 378 54 | 4 546 79 | 3 841 15 | 2 089 83 |
| 70 30 | 284 33 | 142 37 | 587 30 | 895 08 | 1 116 58 | 578 83 | 1 275 71 | 1 205 96 | 397 78 |
| 1 992 82 | 2 140 44 | 1 704 14 | 2 633 59 | 3 851 43 | 4 495 69 | 3 957 37 | 5 822 50 | 5 047 11 | 2 487 61 |
| 165 41 165 41 | | | | 100 | 1 721 37 | 5 832 11 1 120 6 952 11 | | | 2 050 |
| 2 343 27 | 1 837 88 | 1 585 48 | 1 596 98 | 2 683 06 | 2 929 01 | 4 167 20 | 5 163 62 | 4 486 33 | 3 110 41 |
| 39 26 | 63 75 | 36 15 | 279 31 | 391 60 | 744 73 | 505 46 | 1 133 67 | 920 41 | 1 251 13 |
| 2 382 53 | 1 901 63 | 1 621 63 | 1 876 29 | 3 074 66 | 3 673 74 | 4 672 66 | 6 297 29 | 5 406 74 | 4 361 54 |
| 181 40 | 121 85 | 483 35 | 366 29 | 365 32 | 683 45 | 1 179 57 | 1 112 84 | 507 72 | 515 44 |
| 13 66 | 88 25 | 45 47 | 265 39 | 399 89 | 393 34 | 190 01 | 894 25 | 344 58 | 480 72 |
| 195 06 | 210 10 | 528 82 | 631 68 | 765 21 | 1 076 79 | 1 369 58 | 2 007 09 | 852 30 | 996 16 |
| 4th sup'v'y dist. Flementary schools. Sceondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 6th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 7th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Onondaga co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Seeondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Ontario co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary echools. Total. |

 $\label{eq:total table formula} {\rm Table \ 6} \ (continued)$ Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| | TOTAL | \$52 110 12 762 64 64 872 64 | 35 156 72 35 156 72 | 37 518 38 7 995 60 45 513 98 | 123 369 40 29 233 10 152 602 50 | 124 358 66 35 555 82 159 914 48 | 48 294 99 | 33 096 01 - 5 257 16 38 353 17 |
|---------------------|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| - | AMOUNT ON HAND JULY 31, 1914 | \$4 278 51. 4 278 51 | 3 654 67 | 3 564 86 688 06 4 252 92 | 8 732 93 1 549 19 10 282 12 | 11 227 38 2 159 03 13 386 41 | 6 864 63 | 2 705 18 570 :: 3 275 18 |
| | ALL OTHER INCIDENTAL EXPENSES | \$2 586 40 698 15 3 284 55 | 1 557 75 | 1 039 51 376 16 1 415 67 | 5 812 45 2 073 69 7 886 14 | 5 425 33 983 69 6 409 02 | 2 504 62 | 944 13 202 19 1 146 32 |
| ERTEDNESS | INTEREST | \$537 50 587 | 185 56 | 183 04 274 56 457 60 | 4 086 68 809 08 4 895 76 | 4 875 81 2 592 32 7 468 13 | 889 56 | 140 140 280 |
| RONDER INDERTERNASS | PRINCIPAL | \$1 465 115 1 580 | 1 676 | 240 360 | 9 473 33 1 766 67 11 240 | 7 683 3 932 11 615 | 1 300 | 500 500 |
| ETTET. | WATER, LIGHT, POWER, JANITORS, SUPPLIES AND OTHER EN-PENSES OF OPERATION | \$4 193 20 835 64 5 028 84 | 1 766 09 | 1 907 94 555 43 2 463 37 | 5 697 38 1 526 20 7 223 58 | 7 528 14 1 437 39 8 965 53 | 2 262 88 | 2 039 79 452 55 2 492 34 |
| | NEW BUILDINGS AND SCHOOLHOUSE SITES | 058 | | 349 99 341 77 691 76 | 529 17 | 1 265 | 157 | |
| DEDADENG | INBUNG INSURING AND IMPROVING SCHOOL- HOUSES, SITES AND OUTBUILDINGS | \$2 314 59 693 74 3 008 33 | 3 017 55 | 3 314 68 165 77 3 480 45 | 10 774 56 2 559 51 13 334 07 | 8 026 33 2 029 57 10 055 90 | 2 182 19 | 2 023 59 303 13 2 326 72 |
| | NEW EQUIPMENT (APPARATUS AND PURNITURE) | \$120 56 224 31 344 87 | 213 31 | 542 61 279 92 822 53 | 1 140 02 522 83 1 662 85 | 1 229 86 954 82 2 184 68 | 558 21 | 777 87 6 52 784 39 |
| | SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | Ontario co. (concluded) 2d sup vy dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Orange co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Orleans co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| 111] | | | EAP. | ENSES (| or Edd | CATION | · | | 210 |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| 22 967 30 | 44 258 57 9 837 87 54 096 44 | 26 702 17 19 119 54 45 821 71 | 38 899 98 7 073 68 45 973 66 | 38 568 02 9 231 38 47 799 40 | 37 011 09 4 560 30 41 571 39 | 36 684 19 2 210 22 38 894 41 | 32 915 76 6 351 79 39 267 55 | 30 232 72 8 073 99 38 306 71 | 51 830 59 17 337 72 69 168 31 |
| 1 288 04 1 288 04 | 2 982 65 466 90 3 449 55 | 3 837 64 982 73 4 820 37 | 2 030 84 66 59 2 097 43 | 2 119 304 12 2 423 12 | 2 310 11 | 2 986 70 62 19 3 048 89 | 1 328 53 | 1 387 08 143 49 1 530 57 | 4 041 78 |
| 1 651 99 | 1 339 52 154 58 1 494 10 | 2 722 37 4 375 32 7 097 69 | 2 726 71 554 42 3 281 13 | 1 752 29 520 55 2 272 84 | 991 79 87 05 1 078 84 | 2 754 75 387 18 3 141 93 | 1 660 92 47 91 1 708 83 | 1 002 52 529 78 1 532 30 | 3 219 89 1 311 58 4 531 47 |
| 1 95 | 850 08 271 74 1 121 82 | 198 50 198 50 | 466 154 620 | 5 67 | 25 25 50 | 20 | 915 20 403 55 1 318 75 | 720 515 1 235 | 1 433 14 666 66 2 099 80 |
| 237 | 1 650 88 517 54 2 168 42 | 1 000 | 750 250 1 000 | 213 50 | 250 250 500 | 200 | 850 150 1 000 | 900 500 1 400 | 3 0S6 67 1 333 33 4 420 |
| 1 273 16 | 3 390 42 945 99 4 336 41 | 1 405 17 350 1 755 17 | 2 169 46 263 59 2 433 05 | 1 740 89 501 45 2 242 34 | 1 708 57 340 47 2 049 04 | 2 022 79 164 85 2 187 64 | 1 327 22 371 16 1 698 33 | 1 445 57 505 09 1 950 66 | 2 250 68 684 61 2 935 29 |
| | 650 | 8 721 64 8 721 64 | 393 168 45 561 45 | 46 67 | 1 198 75 1 198 75 | | 448 28 | 338 21 | 255 66 255 66 |
| 1 525 80 1 525 80 | 2 442 58 482 2 924 58 | 1 192 21 217 75 1 409 96 | 2 008 08 142 10 2 150 18 | 2 544 91 717 88 3 262 79 | 2 249 65 330 16 2 579 81 | 2 051 95 124 2 175 95 | 1 493 41 71 :1 1 564 41 | 1 869 15 292 37 2 161 52 | 1 839 35 1 522 35 3 361 70 |
| 564 71 | 348 01 166 76 514 77 | 133 84 | 95 45 86 81 182 26 | 78 97 246 88 325 85 | 1 118 85 13 80 1 132 65 | 155 81 58 90 214 74 | 1 926 78 618 50 2 545 28 | 343 74 80 53 424 27 | 496 65 59 91 556 56 |
| 2d sup'v'y dist, Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Oswego co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Otsego co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total |

 ${\rm TABLE} \ 6 \ (continued)$ Financial statement showing payments by public aschools

| TOTAL | | \$39 263 22 9 666 47 48 929 69 | 26 901 20 7 198 63 34 099 83 | 25 676 89 3 080 07 28 756 96 | 78 358 11 14 740 26 93 098 37 | 38 755 55 5 357 58 44 113 13 | 26 121 45 3 468 85 29 590 30 | 45 702 49 1 240 81 46 943 30 |
|---|---------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| AMOUNT ON HAND JULY 31, 1914 | | \$3 253 17 111 74 3 364 91 | 1 882 04 757 62 2 639 66 | 2 865 66 667 09 3 532 75 | 12 400 59 24 88 12 425 47 | 3 169 45 259 02 3 428 47 | 2 547 18 606 96 3 154 14 | 3 165 67 |
| ALL OTHER INCIDENTAL EXPENSES | | \$2 478 52 440 71 2 919 23 | 1 125 21 228 60 1 353 81 | 2 285 69 35 59 2 321 28 | 3 062 97 565 31 3 628 28 | 1 369 13 81 72 1 450 85 | 697 30 78 68 775 98 | 1 315 46 74 1 361 74 |
| PERTEDNESS INTEREST | | \$70 70 140 | 162 108 270 | | 1 531 75 222 25 1 754 | 8 40 8 40 | 306 204 510 | 575 75 |
| BONDED INDERTEDNESS PRINCIPAL INTERES | | \$500 500 1 000 | 600 400 1 000 | | 2 925 375 3 300 | 140 | 420 280 700 | 2 200 |
| FUEL, WATER, LIGHT, POWER, JANITORS' SUPPLIES AND OTHER EX- | PENSES OF OPERATION | \$2 127 72 941 42 3 069 14 | 1 055 75 472 85 1 528 60 | 981 24 143 98 1 125 22 | 3 562 89 635 02 4 197 91 | 2 335 91 211 91 2 547 82 | 1 049 54 177 20 1 226 74 | 2 488 09 92 07 2 580 16 |
| NEW BUILDINGS AND SCHOOLHOUSE SITTER | | \$898 25 898 25 | | | | 50 | | 08 098 |
| INEFALIGING, HIRING, INSURING AND IMPROVING SCHOOL- HOUSES, | SITES AND OUTBUILDINGS | \$1 435 71 153 37 1 589 08 | 823 65 156 27 979 92 | 1 227 25 79 45 1 306 70 | 5 783 93 1 009 45 6 793 38 | 1 124 17 73 37 1 197 54 | 514 11 11 78 525 89 | 3 186 72 |
| NEW EQUIPMENT (APPARATUS AND WITHNITHE) | | \$618 22 307 03 925 25 | 139 53 63 51 203 04 | 32 33 | 658 05 570 72 1 228 77 | 149 33 521 65 670 98 | 71 70 156 58 228 28 | 681 41 |
| SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | | Otsego co. (conduded) 4th sup'v'y dist. Flementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 6th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Putnam co. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Rensselaer co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| 1 | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 188 924 68 34 794 73 223 719 41 | 66 341 40 22 931 40 89 272 80 | 31 524 21 4 223 71 35 747 92 | 36 994 72 5 535 41 42 530 13 | 31 399 20 4 700 72 36 099 92 | 48 146 15 16 079 22 64 225 37 | 48 491 25 9 799 09 58 290 34 | 55 913 08 13 521 31 69 434 39 | 32 791 67 1 490 50 34 282 17 | 31 560 08 3 334 47 34 894 55 |
| 26 539 78 2 688 17 29 227 95 | 7 084 80 | 3 256 65 203 61 3 460 26 | 2 991 19 241 93 3 233 12 | 2 493 53 167 : 2 660 53 | 3 798 58 344 88 4 143 46 | 4 519 37 506 37 5 025 74 | 3 287 09 1 425 4 712 09 | 3 331 64 3 22 3 334 86 | 2 977 74 10 70 2 988 44 |
| 7 049 64 1 664 69 8 714 33 | 1 423 73 870 88 2 294 61 | 1 012 68 139 35 1 152 03 | 945 63 97 05 1 042 68 | 1 113 05 461 66 1 574 71 | 1 474 10 589 71 2 063 81 | 1 811 70 653 07 2 464 77 | 1 568 88 541 71 2 110 59 | 1 440 93 25 79 1 466 72 | 1 016 57 16 98 1 033 55 |
| 8 083 52 1 516 21 9 599 73 | 181 92 388 569 92 | 235 35 235 35 470 70 | | 111 88 20 :: 131 \$8 | 253 40 1 328 1 581 40 | 239 50 55 50 295 | 1 447 145 1 592 | . : : 80 90 90 90 | F8 09 |
| 14 332 15 2 284 51 16 616 66 | 955 65 1 575 2 530 65 | 495 495 990 | | 475 100 575 | 2 165 2 200 4 365 | 1 317 34 782 66 2 100 | 3 750 250 4 000 | 100 100 200 | 450 |
| 9 858 96 1 745 35 11 604 31 | 3 435 57 1 348 39 4 783 96 | 1 632 65 322 07 1 954 72 | 1 915 99 443 89 2 359 88 | 1 933 04 365 89 2 298 93 | 2 306 55 1 495 96 3 802 51 | 2 897 31 603 04 3 500 35 | 4 216 94 652 70 4 869 64 | 1 349 40 129 93 1 479 33 | 2 215 88 112 01 2 327 89 |
| 603 58 71 42 675 | 47 67 | 67 53 | | | 187 50 | | | | |
| 9 236 02 1 158 36 10 394 38 | 3 076 471 33 3 547 33 | 1 121 31 293 12 1 414 43 | 1 970 27 594 69 2 564 96 | 1 945 55 66 55 2 011 55 | 2 765 19 327 65 3 092 84 | 2 562 79 399 91 2 962 70 | 2 256 80 937 48 3 194 28 | 2 277 78 97 50 2 375 28 | 1 513 57 12 40 1 525 97 |
| 943 35 599 41 1 542 76 | 329 17 384 21 713 38 | 314 91 | 572 56 36 628 58 | 646 31 162 24 803 55 | 364 14 398 85 762 99 | 72 50 87 01 159 51 | 358 31 374 64 732 95 | 168 38 | 521 92 22 543 92 |
| Rockland to. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | St Lawrence co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 6th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 7th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 8th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Saratoga co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total |

 $\label{eq:tontinued} {\rm TABLE} \ \ 6 \ (continued)$ Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| TOTAL | | 55 830 38 8 770 36 64 600 74 | 49 504 18 6 274 48 55 778 66 | 40 974 36 4 607 40 5 45 581 76 | 111 816 05 11 466 63 123 282 68 | 29 069 71 1 685 74 3 30 755 45 | 5 5 680 70 6 872 40 42 553 10 | 47 980 63 22 095 75 1 70 076 38 |
|---|-----------|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| AMOUNT ON HAND JULY 31, 1914 | | 6 408 44 | 5 646 09 | 7 599 06 | 8 324 37 | 842 20 212 03 1 054 23 | 1 416 95 205 85 1 622 80 | 3 069 22 1 186 52 4 255 74 |
| ALL OTHER INCIDENTAL EXPENSES | | 2 714 48 344 20 3 058 68 | 1 673 96 290 95 1 964 91 | 758 90 64 30 823 20 | 8 500 80 2 023 66 10 524 46 | 1 855 21 23 14 1 878 35 | 805 99 231 23 1 037 22 | 2 225 63 776 03 3 001 66 |
| BONDED INDEBTEDNESS | INTEREST | 2 151 33 582 :: 2 733 33 | 1 287 53 1 340 | 1 362 98 321 1 683 98 | 4 998 06 550 5 548 06 | 134 32 | 306 67 153 33 460 | 909 2 711 3 620 |
| | PRINCIPAL | 2 260 600 2 860 | 2 525 175 2 700 | 365 | 7 600 1 000 8 600 | 400 | 666 67 333 33 1 000 | 574 926 |
| FUEL, WATER, LIGHT, POWER, JANITORS, SUPPLIES AND OTHER EX- PENSES OF | | 3 106 68 524 68 3 631 36 | 2 853 60 421 12 3 274 72 | 1 844 80 225 50 2 070 30 | 5 797 32 519 28 6 316 60 | 1 004 84 87 82 1 092 66 | 2 081 45 432 17 2 513 62 | 2 260 20 595 07 2 855 27 |
| NEW BUILDINGS AND SCHOOLHOUSE SITES | | 3 610 96 | 373 . | | 1 372 97 | 125 | | |
| REPAIRING, HIRING, INSURING AND IMPROVING SCHOOL- HOUSES, SITES AND | | 1 722 90 308 56 2 031 46 | 2 767 04 350 16 3 117 20 | 1 880 08 225 60 2 105 68 | 5 086 66 594 09 5 680 75 | 1-861 35 64 65 1 926 | 2 139 47 180 08 2 319 55 | 2 368 13 4 453 01 6 821 14 |
| NEW EQUIPMENT (APPARATUS AND FURNITURE) | | 181 58 118 33 299 91 | 503 29 | 226 44 | 2 483 43 62 80 2 546 23 | 289 09 50 90 339 99 | 197 82 146 05 343 87 | 830 19 2 414 53 3 214 72 |
| SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | | Saratoga eo. (concluded) 2d sup 'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Schenectady co. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Schoharie co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Seeondary schools. Total. |

| 35 397 41 3 740 20 39 137 61 | 51 278 48 8 764 44 60 042 92 | 39 613 62 11 088 11 50 701 73 | 40 604 69 9 150 62 49 755 31 | 41 669 47 2 916 42 44 585 89 | 40 868 30 10 019 02 50 887 32 | 35 430 19 6 385 41 41 815 60 | 27 255 37 2 988 19 30 243 56 | 41 275 41 10 110 71 51 386 12 | 53 137 19 16 316 53 69 453 72 |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| 3 105 03 91 44 3 196 47 | 18 998 07 | 4 656 56 438 35 5 094 91 | 5 337 90 | 3 379 40 | 3 315 95 329 14 3 645 09 | 3 551 02 586 94 4 137 96 | 1 164 49 532 30 1 696 79 | 3 907 67 1 201 96 5 109 63 | 4 984 99 |
| 1 418 40 903 2 321 40 | 2 474 13 1 646 26 4 120 39 | 2 840 58 1 864 56 4 705 14 | 1 126 61 246 66 1 373 27 | 946 55 35 90 982 45 | 2 535 47 609 39 3 144 86 | 1 086 06 278 09 1 364 15 | 606 99 35 46 642 45 | 1 711 71 842 98 2 554 69 | 9 180 48 4 562 32 13 742 80 |
| | 260 260 520 | 393 253 646 | 318 64 445 27 763 91 | 940 45 158 1 098 45 | 265 | | | 1 019 72 400 1 419 72 | 689 47 382 43 1 071 90 |
| | 515 515 1 030 | 933 33 700 | 733 33 666 67 1 400 | 1 791 219 2 010 | 1 500 | | | 1 225 33 250 1 475 33 | 1 165 685 1 850 |
| 1 941 09 117 :- 2 058 09 | 1 640 13 350 : 1 990 13 | 2 529 42 743 05 3 272 47 | 2 249 66 393 66 2 643 32 | 2 945 58 307 40 3 252 98 | 2 185 73 438 06 2 623 79 | 1 512 94 157 77 1 670 71 | 929 59 78 988 78 | 1 782 56 438 37 2 220 93 | 2 625 31 648 13 3 273 44 |
| | 56 85 | | 75 75 | | | 116 72 | | | |
| 1 812 07 117 62 1 929 69 | 2 637 12 921 11 3 558 23 | 1 958 60 282 45 2 241 05 | 2 414 82 1 824 45 4 239 27 | 2 334 06 86 80 2 420 86 | 1 695 33 342 69 2 038 02 | 2 447 01 194 93 2 641 94 | $\begin{array}{c} 1 \ 652 \ 55 \\ 101 \ 49 \\ 1 \ 754 \ 04 \end{array}$ | 2 617 57 979 18 3 596 75 | 3 238 59 348 : 3 586 59 |
| 590 99 9 30 600 29 | 300 16 | 349 27 93 05 442 32 | 310 11 160 29 470 40 | . 515 61 37 48 553 09 | 88 04 23 72 111 76 | 258 15 21 92 280 07 | 219 28 83 37 302 65 | 207 60 154 39 361 99 | 577 11 374 46 951 57 |
| Schuyler co., 1st snp'v'y dist. Flomentary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Seneca co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Steuben co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 5th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 6th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

TABLE 6 (continued)
Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| | | | | | | - | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| | 388 | 50 29 29 | 3338 | 23 44 | 58 119 | 74 95 95 | 88 17 60 |
| | 528 111 69 | 172 025 198 | 399 226 625 | 875 850 725 | 406 070 476 | 277 065 342 | 322 820 143 |
| TOTAL | \$37 558 10 411 47 969 | 207 1 61 (268 1 | 208 42 2 250 6 | 8228 | 49 4 7 (| 8 6 6 5 7 3 | 80.08 |
| TO | 665 | ลีล | 9.9 | 4.4 | | | |
| 14 | 12 12 12 12 | 86 28 14 | 09 60 19 | 97 10 07 | 87 | 25 23 25 | 45 64 64 |
| AND 19 | 241 275 516 | 967 611 579 | 720 764 484 | 373 963 337 | 547 | 336 440 776 | 529 588 588 |
| AMOUNT ON HAND JULY 31, 1914 | 83 83 | 25 4 2 | 40 52 52 | 16 | 4 4 | 4 4 | 62 63 |
| Jar - | | | | | | | |
| AL SS | 9 87 8 16 8 03 | 3 3 3 3 0 6 7 3 8 | 684 77 272 10 956 87 | 605 86 329 83 935 69 | 3 27 1 67 | 103 67 326 37 430 04 | 940 46 389 02 329 48 |
| ALL OTHER NCIDENTAI EXPENSES | \$1 099 448 1 548 | 6 666 1 746 8 413 | 12 68 2 27 14 95 | 18 60 6 32 24 93 | 2 113 228 2 341 | 1 10 32 1 43 | 2888 |
| ALL OTHER INCIDENTAL EXPENSES | 0.0 | | A | - 6 | | | |
| | 95322 | 99 44 44 | 21 91 | 00 16 25 | 20.20 | 50 | 76 67 43 |
| EST | \$277 221 498 | 624 290 915 | 118 205 323 | 923 322 245 | 855 927 782 | 224 50 274 | 519 792 312 |
| TEDNESS | 00 | $\begin{array}{c} 12 \\ 4 \\ 16 \end{array}$ | 8 - 6 | 8 11 | 1 2 | | - 2 |
| BONDED INDEBTEDNESS INCIPAL INTERES | | 010 | 1×m · | N. 50 · | 33 | ::: | 39 |
| 1 2 | \$225 83 308 | 848 99 433 11 282 10 | 708 27 716 73 425 | 141 67 933 33 075 | 666 67 833 33 500 | 200 . 700 . | 300 300 300 300 300 |
| BONDED | 55, 56 | 8 5 5 8 2 4 8 | 52 23 22 42 42 43 | 62 82 03 92 14 | 1 2 2 2 2 2 | 7882 | 6 4 2 2 2 |
| PRIL | | 21 21 | | - 61 | | | |
| i g z | 35.42 | 118 65 | 49 17 66 | 112 47 59 | 09 02 02 | 15 82 97 | 95 88 83 83 |
| EL, LIGITER, ORIS, ORIS AI EX EX AI | 182 987 170 | 11 128 2 905 14 033 | 555 968 523 | 503 521 024 | 242 333 576 | 203 356 559 | 897 438 336 |
| FUEL, WATER, LIGHT, FOWER, JANITORE, OTHER EX- PENSES OF | 3 % | 12.4 | 8 10 | 9-1-8 | 61 63 | 61 61 | 63 63 |
| r Gas | | | | | | 0.0 | F . F |
| GS | 241 29 | 517 | 648 50 648 50 | | | 154 29 154 29 | 875 67 875 67 |
| NEW BUILDINGS AND HOOLHOUS SITES | \$241 | 2 2 | 12:02 | | | 2 2 | \$ 50 |
| NEW BUILDINGS AND SCHOOLHOUSE SITES | | : | : | | | : | |
| | 104.00 | 49 74 :: | 96 :9 | 57 48 05 | 71 | | 45 67 12 |
| G ANG | 892 697 589 | 581 574 155 | 242 087 329 | 523 (394) | 403 347 750 | 706 | 716 806 523 |
| REPAIRING, HIRING, INSURING AND IMPROVING SCHOOL- HOUSES, SITES AND | 2 2 | 13.2 | Ξ. 4 2 | ∞ ⊣ ⊅ | .61 61 | | to 4 |
| H INS | | | | | | | |
| -T | 822 | 8330 | 22 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 3 | 2388 | 3 98 3 98 | 0 68 8 48 9 16 | 3 91 5 68 5 59 |
| NEW IPMEN PARATI AND NITUR | \$296 203 500 | 801 542 343 | 684 357 042 | 2 045 909 2 955 | 393 | 480 158 639 | 996 272 269 |
| NEW RQUIPMENT (APPARATUS AND FURNITURE) | | - 61 | 01114 | ର ରା | : | | _ |
| #3 E | | | | | <u>:</u> | | |
| | | | | | | | sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |
| STS | | | : : : | : : : | : : : | : : : | : : : |
| TRIC | | list. | | | dist | | |
| DIS | ed) | ools. | ools. | ools. | v'y ools. | ools | ools |
| SORY | st. schochochoch | schochoc | scho | schoc | schochochochochochochochochochochochochoc | schoel | sehoce |
| SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | (cor. | lst stary | y dis ttary ary s | y dis | tary ary E | y dis | y dis |
| SUP | ben co. (concluded) n sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools | olk co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | van co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | sup'v'y dist. Elementary sehools. Secondary schools. Total |
| | Steuben co. (concluded) 7th sup'vy dist. Flementary schools Secondary schools. Total. | Suffolk co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary s Secondary so Total | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary s Secondary scl Total | Sullivan co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary s Secondary sc Total | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary s Secondary se Total |
| | 1 to 1 | Su | • 1 | Ç.5 | Su | • 1 | |
| | | | | | | | |

| 33 084 57 8 426 78 41 511 35 | 28 536 70 2 418 57 30 955 27 | 26 677 73 3 130 87 29 808 60 | 24 881 21 7 097 78 31 978 99 | 33 803 56 7 391 96 41 195 52 | 38 976 36 13 400 52 52 376 88 | 65 562 62 7 067 73 72 630 35 | 73 733 05 9 288 76 83 021 81 | 53 020 89 6 671 68 59 692 57 | 730 | 40 730 87 |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| 2 385 58 295 14 2 680 72 | 2 050 38 | 2 191 71 127 24 2 318 95 | 1 009 78 5 75 1 015 53 | 2 384 11 384 55 2 768 66 | 2 293 75 70 38 2 364 13 | 2 911 06 | 9 293 08 469 95 9 763 03 | 4 154 94 | | 5 871 28 |
| 1 811 44 423 16 2 234 60 | 651 26 32 40 683 66 | 1 217 83 11 70 1 229 53 | 3 384 87 1 856 11 5 240 98 | 3 622 88 312 32 3 935 20 | 3 847 68 2 842 69 6 690 37 | 3 410 41 200 3 610 41 | 1 815 82 217 80 2 133 62 | 2 873 53 600 :: 3 473 53 | 1 650 | 1 650 79 |
| 380 260 640 | 211 75 168 379 75 | 725 63 241 87 967 50 | | 150 75 225 | 530 26 484 19 1 014 45 | 2 585 61 410 2 995 61 | 12 812 98 60 259 90 62 1 072 88 | 222 92 | 1 | |
| 72 600 . 11 400 . 83 1 000 . | 31 550 . 09 350 . 40 900 . | 34 750 . 49 250 . 83 1 000 . | 95 92 87 | 67 165 . 85 . 67 250 . | 10 218 . 77 32 . 87 250 . | 18 3 253 . 307 . 18 3 560 . | 32 3 735 1 12 912 5 44 647 6 | 18 500 . 500 . | | |
| 1 369 295 1 664 | 1 686 97 1 783 | 1 234 101 1 335 | 1 395 9 454 9 1 850 8 | 1 578 6 396 . 1 974 6 | 2 617 1 285 3 902 | 4 601 500 5 101 | 4 117 536 4 653 | 2 766 227 2 993 | 1 628 | 1 628 17 |
| 30 75 | 126 19 | 20 | | | 45 15 | 4 401 46 | 606 50 156 10 762 60 | 411 03 | 1 595 | 1 595 15 |
| 2 902 72 397 09 3 299 81 | 1 716 90 32 98 1 749 88 | 1 545 42 135 99 1 681 41 | 1 028 68 283 75 1 312 43 | 1 843 11 707 70 2 550 81 | 1 454 69 342 67 1 797 36 | 3 017 70 250 3 267 70 | 3 638 17 341 07 3 979 24 | 1 967 46 100 10 2 067 46 | 2 353 56 | 2 353 56 |
| 182 10 47 13 229 23 | 71 67 19 76 91 43 | 143 27 220 50 362 77 | 275 14 13 50 288 64 | 522 58 62 83 585 41 | 365 16 240 27 605 43 | 651 40 196 04 847 44 | 513 33 224 25 737 58 | 599 69 153 45 753 14 | 435 65 | 435 65 |
| Tioga co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'vy dist. Ekrmentary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | OO 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Tompkins co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Ulster co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools | Total |

${\rm TABLE} \ \ (continued)$ Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| * FOR COMP | TVIO | \$45 279 83 11 512 72 56 792 55 | 27 506 07 3 472 47 30 978 54 | 19 118 29 1 889 66 21 007 95 | 25 458 23 1 995 65 27 453 88 | 45 073 05 14 609 01 59 682 06 | 63 692 42 12 009 57 75 701 99 | 41 077 26 12 017 31 53 094 57 |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|
| AMOUNT ON HAND | JULY 31, 1914 | \$5 370 56 919 25 6 289 81 | 2 222 42 23 07 2 245 49 | 2 014 57 14 59 2 029 16 | 1 914 68 44 19 1 958 87 | 3 045 93 828 09 3 874 02 | 4 378 24 591 18 4 969 42 | 4 987 49 1 509 50 6 496 99 |
| ALL OTHER | EXPENSES | \$3 223 86 1 181 84 4 405 70 | 1 068 68 227 25 1 295 93 | 513 79 23 79 537 58 | 1 669 91 140 57 1 810 48 | 2 250 49 860 12 3 110 61 | 2 163 78 404 32 2 568 10 | 1 829 82 385 01 2 214 83 |
| EBTEDNESS | INTEREST | \$894 26 429 16 1 323 42 | 861 | | | 366 666 82 1 032 82 | 2 865 84 566 66 3 432 50 | 140 140 280 |
| BONDED INDEBTEDNESS | PRINCIPAL | \$1 924 99 708 34 2 633 33 | 700 | | | 860 1 490 2 350 | 2 666 66 333 34 3 000 | 500 500 : |
| FUEL, WATER, LIGHT, POWER, JANITORS' | SUPPLIES AND OTHER EX- PENSES OF OPERATION | \$2 929 33 753 17 3 682 50 | 1 435 96 284 92 1 720 88 | 678 69 100 778 69 | 1 069 86 72 69 1 142 55 | 2 106 37 1 176 50 3 282 87 | 4 122 22 1 059 78 5 182 | 1 926 17 · 690 72 2 616 89 |
| NEW | SCHOOLHOUSE SITES | | | | | | \$81 50 | 4 800 2 432 20 7 232 20 |
| REPAIRING, HIRING, INSURING AND IMPROVING | SCHOOL- HOUSES, SITES AND OUTBUILDINGS | \$1 627 65 385 66 2 013 31 | 1 353 55 381 20 1 734 75 | 1 064 59 100 1 164 59 | 985 21 56 41 1 041 62 | 1 412 19 508 34 1 920 53 | 2 436 87 182 50 2 619 37 | 1 586 84 193 29 1 780 13 |
| NEW | (APPARATUS AND FURNITURE) | \$254 84 304 23 559 07 | 184 19 163 77 347 96 | 185 11 | 73 10 12 06 85 16 | 439 06 134 29 573 35 | 150 78 16 35 167 13 | 111 26 119 10 230 36 |
| | BUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | Warren co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Washington co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 4th sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| 28. 28. 81 66 85 81 65 85 81 65 85 81 65 85 81 65 85 81 65 85 81 65 85 81 65 85 81 65 85 81 65 85 81 65 85 85 81 65 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 | |
|--|--|
| 26 986 27 973 28 205 7 882 7 882 7 882 7 882 7 882 8 737 8 10 731 10 731 60 305 60 305 7 883 8 8 955 8 8 955 8 9 91 839 9 1 839 9 1 839 9 1 839 9 1 839 1 1 132 8 9 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 | |
| 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 | |
| ର ଜ ର ର - | |
| - 8 . 4 4 5 1 − 6 5 50 4 4 0 0 0 4 0 1 - 1 0 0 − 1 0 0 − 1 0 0 − 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 49 19 69 |
| 8 ·8 ·44 485 5-88 884 408 048 5 ·5 804 | |
| | 282 |
| 827 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | |
| お : 10 | 315 |
| rg rg 60 60 | |
| | |
| 24 | |
| 134 134 134 134 134 134 134 134 | |
| 000 0 0 1 1 0 0 1140 0 00 0 0 4 | 63 69 |
| | |
| 500 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 | 52.53 |
| 250 4 4130 250 252 252 252 252 252 252 252 252 25 | 356 356 803 |
| 712 538 535 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 71 | 4.000 |
| | |
| 881 489 489 | : ::: |
| 1255 | |
| 210 240 244 244 284 125 425 244 844 | 9224 |
| | |
| | |
| 8442 867 8 65 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 | |
| 042 674 674 674 674 674 677 677 677 677 677 | 294 691 985 |
| 70-10 1 0 0 4 4-10 S1-4 104 70-10 4 4 H | 63 63 |
| | |
| 65 .65 48 .84 12 .12 .12 .12 .13 .14 .15 .15 .15 .15 .15 .15 .15 .15 .15 .15 | ::: |
| 156 156 156 156 156 157 157 167 167 167 167 167 167 167 167 167 16 | |
| | |
| | |
| 945 475 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 | \$ \$3.54 \$ \$3.54 |
| | |
| | 3 855 1 650 5 505 |
| 8 4 6 6 8 8 4 4 HILE 01H 6 7 8 8 8 8 8 | 0.5 2.5 |
| | |
| 747 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |
| 74.8 17.8 | 114 25 140 |
| | |
| च यम् म म म | |
| \ M | ::: |
| , | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | sls |
| | chools |
| | list. ry schools. 7 schools. |
| | r'y dist. intary schools dary schoolsal. |
| | up'v'y dist. ementary schools. condary schools. Total |
| thools tools | 2d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools Secondary schools Total |

 $\label{eq:total_theory} {\bf Table } \ 6 \ (continued)$ Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| SUPERVISORY DISTRICTS | NEW EQUIPMENT (APPARATUS AND FURNITURE) | REPAIRING, HIRING, INSURING AND IMPROVING SCHOOL- HOUSES, SITES AND OUTBUILDINGS | NEW BUILDINGS AND SCHOOLHOUSE SITES | FUEL, WATER, LIGHT, POWER, JANITORS' SUPPLIES AND OTHER EX- PENSES OF | BONDED INDEBTEDNESS PRINCIPAL INTERES | EBTEDNESS | ALL OTHER INCIDENTAL EXPENSES | AMOUNT ON HAND JULY 31, 1914 | TOTAL |
|--|---|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Wyoming co. (concluded) 3d sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | \$211 01 129 03 340 04 | \$2 739 59 701 92 3 441 51 | \$100 | \$3 107 73 887 44 3 995 17 | \$1 960 67 1 139 33 3 100 | \$1 461 41 891 84 2 353 25 | \$1 758 19 643 67 2 401 86 | \$4 433 13 935 54 5 368 67 | \$53 792 46 17 214 77 71 007 23 |
| Yates co., 1st sup'v'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 217 89 17 10 234 99 | 1 557 81 71 11 1 628 92 | 263 | 2 021 47 248 02 2 269 49 | | | 985 54 103 :: 1 088 54 | 4 450 80 | 34 382 43 3 988 25 38 370 68 |
| 2d sup'y dist. Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 113 94 180 84 294 78 | 2 224 71 167 85 2 392 56 | 35 50 35 50 | 1 515 01 230 93 1 745 94 | | | 669 79 48 22 718 01 | 2 886 36 | 30 046 3 537 20 33 583 20 |
| VILLAGES UNDER SUPERIN- TENDENT | | | | | | | | | |
| Albion Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | 27 45 1 178 55 1 206 | 1.000 10 704 30 11 704 30 | | 3 326 19 2 000 :- 5 326 19 | 1 200 1 900 | 1 200 2 600 | 1 089 40 600 : 1 689 40 | 10 169 94 8 000 18 169 94 | 30 772 98 35 089 17 65 862 15 |
| Catskill Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | 325 150 475 | 1 042 519 44 1 561 44 | | 1 490 26 744 2 234 26 | 2 000 | 1 520 | 1 902 44 1 002 35 2 904 79 | 548 25 276 26 824 51 | 21 949 95 10 249 36 32 199 31 |
| Fredonia Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | | 308 60 | | 1 013 69 | 1 000 | 880 | 6 214 15 | 4 229 38 4 229 38 | 29 274 09 29 274 09 |

| 56 906 46 18 879 72 75 786 18 | 50 865 31 23 618 14 74 483 45 | 26 864 75 15 626 88 42 491 63 | 60 729 46 15 305 70 76 035 16 | 38 713 70 25 572 24 64 285 94 | 21 544 15 9 864 34 31 408 49 | 37 067 41 9 276 08 46 343 49 | 45 178 75 14 441 82 59 620 57 | 29 667 83 12 509 33 42 177 16 | 63 996 35 20 551 83 84 548 18 |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| 560 31 | 4 469 88 2 000 :: 6 469 88 | 1 127 41 563 71 1 691 12 | 9 445 37 1 889 07 11 334 44 | | 2 132 92 | 6 524 09 | 2 654 86 | 1 998 69 | 7 830 84 |
| 10 040 63 3 010 04 13 050 67 | 6 028 81 3 014 40 9 043 21 | 6 019 06 3 009 53 9 028 59 | 1 806 36 451 58 2 257 94 | 3 774 86 1 967 50 5 742 36 | 767 44 801 08 1 568 52 | 1 254 25 313 56 1 567 81 | 1 130 95 300 1 430 95 | 300 36 74 : 374 36 | 643 29 346 70 989 99 |
| 2 905 968 : | 4 430 940 5 370 | 1 512 50 756 25 2 268 75 | 4 733 46 | 1 910 4 594 50 6 504 50 | | 1 490 | 5 286 26 2 000 7 286 26 | 932 3 671 50 4 603 50 | 2 155 3 825 5 980 |
| 3 000 1 000 4 000 :: | 4 000 : 500 : 4 500 : | 1 833 33 916 67 2 750 | 6 125 | 3 000 : 3 000 9 | | 2 000 | 500 | 2 900 100 | 2 000 |
| 1 989 29 500 2 489 29 | 1 959 30 653 10 2 612 40 | 1 325 03 662 51 1 987 54 | 2 693 62 673 40 3 367 02 | 2 978 74 1 328 31 4 307 05 | 2 821 05 809 66 3 630 71 | 2 615 38 871 79 3 487 17 | 3 218 16 900 :. 4 118 16 | 1 484 84 371 21 1 856 05 | 3 727 02 1 873 13 5 600 15 |
| | | | | 263 29 263 29 | | | 2 685 91 | | |
| 3 515 94 988 64 4 504 58 | 1 500 :: 2 176 78 3 676 78 | 1 057 95 528 98 1 586 93 | 1 766 38 441 59 2 207 97 | 1 213 65 365 :- 1 578 65 | $\begin{array}{c} 1 & 020 & 25 \\ 491 & 10 \\ 1 & 511 & 35 \end{array}$ | 2 765 90 553 18 3 319 08 | 2 808 14 400 :: 3 208 14 | 1 733 16 64 41 1 797 57 | 5 171 37 217 85 5 389 22 |
| 583 01 369 14 952 15 | 355 91 800 :- 1 155 91 | 59 75 80 75 139 75 | 321 34 547 64 868 98 | 25 85 3 555 36 3 581 21 | 28 57 54 89 83 46 | 70 50 169 02 239 52 | 2 169 92 37 05 2 206 97 | 286 21 289 12 575 33 | 435 09 435 09 |
| Freeport Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Glen Cove Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Haverstraw Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Hempstead Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Herkimer Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Hoosick Falls Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | Hudson Falls Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Huntington Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Ilion Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Lausingburg Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

Table 6 (continued)
Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| | TOTAL | \$87 920 16 18 863 12 106 783 28 | 19 743 87 7 081 39 26 825 26 | 39 415 17 19 746 48 59 161 65 | 58 610 97 15 023 83 73 634 80 | 34 856 55 8 395 15 43 251 70 | 36 592 92 11 059 42 47 652 34 | 26 722 76 18 770 19 45 492 95 |
|------|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| | AMOUNT ON HAND JULY 31, 1914 | \$1 158 41 144 80 1 303 21 | 401 96 | 10 555 35 5 277 67 15 833 02 | 5 000 1 181 6 181 | 612 47 250 :: 862 47 | 18 619 52 18 619 52 | 563 22 |
| | ALL OTHER INCIDENTAL EXPENSES | \$12 260 61 1 771 28 14 031 89 | 558 41 223 781 41 | 302 70 151 40 454 10 | 2 000 :. 676 54 2 676 54 | 545 57 125 15 670 72 | 900 451 09 1 351 09 | 3 940 44 1 520 47 5 460 91 |
| | EBTEDNESS INTEREST | \$12 165 94 1 875 14 040 94 | 850 333 1 183 | 1 136 25 1 136 25 2 272 50 | 7 000 570 53 7 570 53 | 2 854 1 810 4 664 | 120 120 240 | 1 916 25 380 2 296 25 |
| 3 6- | BONDED INDEBTEDNESS PPINCIPAL INTERES | \$7 020 7 020 | 1 500 2 000 | 1 000 :: 1 000 :: 2 000 :: | 8 050 | 1 500 2 000 | 500 500 | 2 000 1 000 3 000 :: |
| La G | FUEL, WATER, LIGHT, POWER, JANTORES SUPPLIES AND OTHER EX- PENSES OF | \$3 523 97 2 183 22 5 707 19 | 856 87 400 1 256 87 | 3 484 15 1 691 18 5 175 33 | 3 117 99 500 3 617 99 | 2 262 43 450 2 712 43 | 1 100 475 35 1 575 35 | 3 041 30 1 520 65 4 561 95 |
| | NEW BUILDINGS AND SCHOOLHOUSE SITES | | | | | | | |
| | REPAIRING, HIRING, INSURING AND IMPROVING SCHOOL- HOUSES, SITES AND OUTBUILDINGS | \$1 870 89 999 63 2 870 52 | 2 000 333 36 2 333 36 | 1 793 52 267 91 2 061 43 | 2 004 947 63 2 951 63 | 3 137 67 200 3 337 67 | 900 363 96 1 263 96 | 472 68 2 931 81 3 404 49 |
| | NEW EQUIPMENT (APPARATUS AND FURNITURE) | \$2 341 16 2 129 38 4 470 54 | 302 37 141 76 444 13 | 85 50 90 93 176 43 | 205 32 353 44 558 76 | 757 36 | 80 : 105 78 185 78 | 486 36 486 36 |
| | VILLAGES UNDER SUPERINTENDENT | Lawrence Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | Lestershire Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Malone Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | Mamaroneck Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Mechanicville Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Medina Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Newark Elementary schools Secondary schools Total |

| | | | | 23210230 | O1 .131 | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| 26 637 75 11 083 77 27 791 59 | 478 298 298 | 598 | 832 267 | 600 | 647 | 217 742 059 | 233 180 1413 | 290 290 506 | 299 286 586 |
| 4 373 96 3 500 ·· | 875 | 86 130 130 | | | 981 | 865 | | | 120 560 630 |
| 1 200 73 60 1 273 60 | | | 091 25 116 | 487 733 221 | 217 108 395 | 327 164 491 | | 513 756 269 | 833 423 257 |
| 1 300 350 1 650 | 105 | 830 830 | 1 205 | | 895 610 505 | 905 952 857 | 0000 | 361 354 715 | 1 959 987 2 946 |
| | | 000 | 2 860 | 8 500 8 500 | 500 250 750 | 0000 | 0000 | 3 500 3 000 6 500 | 1 690 800 2 490 |
| 1 200 1 200 : | 1 614 46 600 2 214 46 | 2 616 1 308 64 3 924 64 | 1 211 600 83 1 811 83 | 2 239 18 1 119 58 3 358 76 | 1 690 17 880 :: 2 570 17 | 978 08 484 1 462 08 | 1 017 38 1 409 70 2 427 08 | 1 111 27 555 66 1 666 93 | 2 879 08 1 200 4 079 08 |
| | | | \$691 78 | | | 5 259 03 | 643 09 700 1 343 09 | | |
| 300 89 389 | 518 26 1 200 1 718 26 | 644 84 200 :: 844 84 | 536 01 270 806 01 | 2 159 59 1 229 79 3 389 38 | 1 017 25 216 25 1 233 50 | 1 055 71 528 1 583 71 | 517 57 381 21 898 78 | 2 643 47 1 321 74 3 965 21 | 2 234 47 2 934 47 |
| 11 47 71 72 83 19 | 200 371 :: | 272 42 30 314 30 | 83 159 63 242 63 | 17 24 8 61 25 85 | 20 21 91 41 91 | 881 52 52 73 934 25 | 747 94 350 64 1 098 58 | 801 | 145 70 105 250 70 |
| North Tarrytown Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Nyack Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Ossining Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Owego Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Patchogue Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Peekskill district 7 Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Peekskill, district 8 Elementary schools. Secondary schools Total. | Penn Yan Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Port Chester Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | Saranac Lake Elementary schools. Secondary schools Total. |

Table 6 (continued)
Financial statement showing payments by public schools

| | | TOTAL | \$76 181 23 19 701 01 95 882 24 | 31 406 08 8 673 73 40 079 81 | 56 682 74 19 177 43 75 860 17 | 33 993 79 15 679 63 49 673 42 | 24 417 60 8 920 67 33 338 27 | 17 979 44 6 071 58 24 051 02 | 22 797 09 13 972 77 36 769 86 |
|-------------------|---------------------|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | | \$72 26 926 9 | 61 3 | 40 1 | 558 | 3 2 | 99 | |
| | | AMOUNT ON HAND JULY 31, 1914 | \$22 850 | 12 209 | 10 754 | 1 553 700 2 253 | 3 681 | 406 | |
| | | ALL OTHER INCIDENTAL EXPENSES | \$948 77 50 77 998 77 | 700 298 57 998 57 | 798 06 798 06 1 596 12 | 1 756 72 800 :- 2 556 72 | 737 84 337 85 1 075 69 | 100 24 08 124 08 | 2 584 68 2 270 4 854 68 |
| | BONDED INDEBTEDNESS | INTEREST | \$1 957 50 | 787 50 | 9 450 922 50 10 372 50 | 1 320 600 1 920 | 1 044 88 544 87 1 589 75 | 1 100 227 50 1 327 50 | 1 116 75 1 116 76 2 233 51 |
| To San Language | BONDED INI | PRINCIPAL | \$3 000 | 2 000 | 1 000 | 3 000 1 000 4 000 : : : | 1 612 813 2 425 | 1 500 :: 2 000 :: | 1 100 1 100 2 200 :: |
| Carrow Fad Garage | FUEL, | POWER, JANITORS, SUPPLIES AND OTHER EX- PENSES OF OPERATION | \$4 839 36 1 096 89 5 936 25 | 100 43 67 143 67 | 2 008 35 2 348 27 4 356 62 | 993 33 500 :: 1 493 33 | 826 31 426 22 1 252 53 | 1 719 500 2 219 | 1 017 85 814 :: 1 831 85 |
| | | NEW BUILDINGS AND SCHOOLHOUSE SITES | | | | | | | |
| | REPAIRING, | INSURING AND IMPROVING SCHOOL- HOUSES, SITES AND OUTBUILDINGS | \$3 298 06 1 413 46 4 711 52 | 1 605 35 333 1 938 35 | 1 764 24 858 85 2 623 09 | 1 181 43 500 : 1 681 43 | 1 860 37 200 :: 2 060 37 | 1 000 600 | 715 50 32 765 32 |
| | | NEW EQUIPMENT (APPARATUS AND FURNITURE) | \$21 524 85 545 85 | 100 292 50 392 50 | 181 50 181 50 | 75 21 75 21 | 592 89 172 85 765 74 | 36 45 28 .: 64 45 | 376 501 25 877 25 |
| | | VILLAGES UNDER SUPERINTENDENTS | Saratoga Springs Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Seneca Falls Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. | Solvay Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. | Tarrytown Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Union Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | Waterford Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | Waverly Elementary schools Secondary schools Total |

| TTT | | EX | PENSES | OF E | DUCATIO | ON | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 19 880 77 7 060 54 26 941 31 | 110 922 08 69 127 97 180 050 05 | 558 717 42 98 804 42 657 521 84 | 116 477 24 30 318 56 146 795 80 | 132 673 45 44 653 12 177 326 57 | 62 118 61 22 565 10 84 683 71 | 36 889 91 9 917 54 46 807 45 | 249 969 02 37 881 53 287 850 55 | 2 555 221 26 321 863 96 2 877 085 22 |
| 630 14 630 15 1 260 29 | 1 174 87 | 207 906 51 | 4 421 69 | 3 724 | 6 695 61 1 673 90 8 369 51 | 3 500 1 243 35 4 743 35 | 66 587 22 | |
| 335 80 111 93 447 73 | 1 190 20 968 50 2 158 70 | 12 087 60 3 597 92 15 685 52 | 6 533 95 146 73 6 680 68 | 1 880 29 283 21 2 163 50 | 10 031 60 2 612 40 12 644 | 1 200 : 762 78 1 962 78 | 2 005 404 47 2 409 47 | 2 833 88 |
| 120 120 : | 4 273 85 12 016 50 16 290 35 | | 2 605 53 2 780 5 385 53 | 1 900 1 900 3 800 | 1 505 | 1 538 93 210 1 748 93 | | 104 328 08 44 524 148 852 08 |
| 500 500 :: | 6 000 12 000 18 000 | | 3 000 2 000 2 000 | 5 000 5 000 10 000 | 3 000 | 1 944 74 500 :: 2 444 74 | | 422 500 7 500 430 000 |
| 916 94 229 24 1 146 18 | 1 736 80 3 850 70 5 587 50 | 24 348 87 8 613 81 32 962 68 | 7 174 58 2 132 26 9 306 84 | 6 097 42 2 652 19 8 749 61 | 1 739 82 592 24 2 332 06 | 1 756 80 475 2 231 80 | 7 324 72 1 194 89 8 519 61 | 122 026 39 10 929 13 132 955 52 |
| | | | | | | | \$11 000 | |
| 2 678 76 2 678 76 | 5 632 83 2 762 90 8 395 73 | 11 460 60 1 394 16 12 854 76 | 15 893 66 1 538 98 17 432 64 | 9 853 57 896 19 10 749 76 | 1 342 91 339 04 1 681 95 | 678 26 50 728 26 | 13 196 47 1 547 18 14 743 65 | 159 537 65 8 865 06 168 402 71 |
| 588 13 | 482 16 652 50 1 134 66 | 767 85 1 733 41 2 501 26 | 3 063 46 736 11 3 799 57 | 825 12 325 79 1 150 91 | 520 01 218 42 738 43 | 609 33 70 642 70 | 9 75 | 87 905 24 8 154 61 96 059 85 |
| Whitehall Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | White Plains Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | CITIES Albany Elementary schools. Total. | Amsterdam Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Auburn Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Batavia Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | Beacon Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Binghamton Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Buffalo Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| | | | | - G I 9 | | | | | |
|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | REPAIRING, | | FUEL, | BONDED INDEBTEDNESS | EBTEDNESS | | | |
| CITIES | NEW EQUIPMENT (APPARATUS AND FURNITURE) | INSURING IMPROVING SCHOOL- HOUSES, SITES AND OUTBUILDINGS | NEW BUILDINGS AND SCHOOLHOUSE SITES | POWER, JANITORS SUPPLIES AND OTHER EX- PENSES OF OPERATION | PRINCIPAL | INTEREST | ALL OTHER INCIDENTAL EXPENSES | AMOUNT ON HAND JULY 31, 1914 | TOTAL |
| Canandaigua Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | \$77 86 116 09 193 95 | \$3 681 1 163 18 4 844 18 | | \$2 363 62 2 032 92 4 396 54 | \$4 000 4 000 | \$2 480 2 480 | \$4 543 15 2 971 85 7 515 | \$298 40 11 516 90 11 815 30 | \$33 517 12 39 502 29 73 419 41 |
| Cohocs Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | 108 17 50 90 159 07 | 2 002 73 355 42 2 358 15 | | 2 581 74 200 :: 2 781 74 | | | 1 158 44 230 :: 1 388 44 | 25 084 33 25 084 33 | 71 715 91 8 706 24 80 422 15 |
| Corning, district 9 Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 242 48 147 50 389 98 | 1 988 97 1 339 25 3 328 22 | | 1 696 15 1 105 :: 2 801 15 | 2 000 | 2 580 30 2 610 | 572 59 519 37 1 091 96 | 6 665 12 6 665 12 | 34 172 51 16 059 37 50 231 88 |
| Corning, district 13 Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 42 18 42 18 | 850 476 67 1 326 67 | | 651 76 651 75 1 303 51 | 1 000 1 000 : | 460 :: 3 000 :: 3 460 :: | 1 000 : 768 17 1 768 17 | 4 550 24 4 550 24 | 21 220 15 240 23 36 460 23 |
| Cortland Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | 80 100 180 :: | 1 900 107 45 2 007 45 | | 3 150 :: 850 :: 3 800 :: | | | 1 400 41 41 : 1 441 41 | 9 641 14 4 927 49 14 568 63 | 43 205 64 16 173 45 59 379 09 |
| Dunkirk Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | 412 18 633 14 1 045 32 | 6 220 74 1 514 52 7 735 26 | | 3 669 11 1 400 43 5 069 54 | 3 500 4 000 : : | 3 300 2 580 5 880 | 8 938 08 1 402 10 10 340 18 | 15 580 87 7 739 81 23 320 68 | 91 959 66 36 364 72 128 324 38 |
| Elmira Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total | 523 58 978 58 1 502 16 | 4 423 46 1 090 79 5 514 25 | | 8 230 81 3 191 26 11 422 07 | | 875 6 720 7 595 | 3 506 48 3 033 06 6 539 54 | 80 494 22 15 206 17 95 700 39) | 203 701 55 65 755 64 269 457 19 |

| 111] | | | EXPE | INSES O | F LDU | CATION | | | 235 |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| 51 217 75 18 177 43 69 395 18 | 50 366 86 23 815 74 181 86 | 44 763 41 26 765 71 528 41 | 157 859 02 50 704 51 208 563 53 | 73 694 34 22 812 91 96 507 25 | 108 571 61 44 066 49 152 638 10 | 170 933 67 130 966 83 301 900 50 | 180 352 73 59 765 86 240 118 59 | 48 805 14 24 329 03 73 134 17 | 101 871 82 34 367 72 136 239 54 |
| 11 827 21 3 126 71 14 953 92 | 613 97 | 4 791 37 | 77 834 82 19 458 71 97 293 53 | 15 267 35 | 79 330 94 | 13 953 19 | | 6 923 35 | 6 785 63 |
| 640 61 154 794 61 | 2 052 30 400 2 452 30 | 3 560 40 1 200 4 760 40 | 9 553 65 2 471 31 12 024 96 | 7 154 40 917 82 8 072 22 | 1 000 :. 38 16 1 038 16 | 1 737 95 1 011 93 2 749 88 | 16 116 32 8 013 78 24 130 10 | 1 433 26 286 65 1 719 91 | 2 413 99 867 70 3 281 69 |
| 1 000 82 | 720 | 3 190 3 190 | 751 50 3 006 :: 3 757 50 | 1 644 | 1 000 :. 248 75 1 248 75 | 834 80 1 502 70 2 337 50 | 20 858 33 2 080 5: 22 938 33 | 1 715 2 700 4 415 | 180 : |
| 2 330 | 000 9 | 000 9 | 1 000 5 000 :: | 2 000 | 2 000 500 | | 1 550 2 600 4 150 | 9 8 000 000 6 | 4 000 |
| 3 674 69 800 : . 4 474 69 | 4 191 47 2 000 6 191 47 | 2 089 27 1 400 :: 3 489 27 | 5 251 1 312 75 6 563 75 | 2 885 27 921 84 3 807 11 | 1 205 27 300 1 505 27 | 3 702 85 632 08 4 334 93 | 10 820 69 3 354 41 14 175 10 | 637 01 216 33 853 34 | 5 527 81 744 10 6 271 91 |
| | | | | | \$33 743 41 33 743 41 | 99 877 64 99 877 65 199 755 29 | | | |
| 3 197 13 200 :: 3 397 13 | 3 537 29 1 000 4 537 29 | 2 931 3 831 | 4 060 01 235 04 4 295 05 | 4 403 77 1 745 44 6 149 21 | 1 292 68 50 1 342 68 | 6 350 64 1 908 23 8 258 87 | 9 694 47 2 995 42 12 689 89 | 519 88 2 599 44 3 119 32 | 6 019 58 776 27 6 795 85 |
| 991 71 65 72 1 057 43 | | 632 200 200 832 32 | 868 92 7 38 876 30 | 359 23 311 26 670 49 | 106 86 | 1 105 89 142 46 1 248 35 | 4 258 57 48 37 4 306 94 | 788 41 800 41 | 418 82 426 32 845 14 |
| Fulton Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Geneva Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Glens Falls Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. | Gloversville Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. | Hornell Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. | Hudson Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. | Ithaca Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. | Jamestown Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | Johnstown Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | Kingston Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. |

| ALL OTHER AMOUNT NOTERNAL ON BAND TOTAL EXPENSES JULY 31, 1913 | \$2 078 09 \$25 276 91 \$81 764 89 897 01 2 382 08 25 276 91 90 741 90 | 443 38 17 238 12 53 973 47 165 58 13 540 12 608 96 17 238 12 67 513 59 | 42 791 85 113 387 23 922 08 42 791 85 137 309 08 | 6 700 07 90 500 77 21 233 43 6 700 07 111 734 20 | 1 540 58 503 766 53 85 760 05 1 540 58 589 526 58 | 976 01 328 596 33 642 52 91 238 65 618 53 419 834 98 | 114 13 64 054 883 06 5 796 725 83 114 13 69 851 608 89 |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 078 09 \$25 276 303 99 25 276 382 08 25 276 | 38 17 238 58 17 238 | 791 791 | | 540 | 976 642 618 | 14 13 |
| LL OTHER ACIDENTAL SXPENSES | 078 303 382 | | | | 241 | 108 25 134 | 29 029 29 029 |
| 4 41 | | 94 | 1 685 43 900 2 585 43 | 7 601 54 937 10 8 538 64 | 25 139 34 1 782 17 26 921 51 | 4 864 87 840 91 5 705 78 | 2 160 803 12 10 237 05 2 171 040 17 |
| BONDED INDERTEDNESS RINGIPAL INTEREST | \$5 026 62 558 52 5 585 14 | 800 775 1 575 | | 765 | 15 871 25 18 085 33 956 25 | | |
| BONDED IN | \$8 479 49 942 17 9 421 66 | 2 000 1 000 :: | | 1 700 | 5 000 | | |
| FUEL, WATER, LIGHT, POWER, JANTORS' SUPPLIES AND OTHER EX- PENSES OF | \$4 317 76 479 76 4 797 52 | 2 500 1 275 26 3 775 26 | 5 503 84 1 000 6 503 84 | 4 612 42 1 543 52 6 155 94 | 12 940 50 2 783 27 15 723 77 | 14 871 77 2 925 48 17 797 25 | 624 271 50 60 324 70 684 596 20 |
| NEW BUILDINGS AND SCHOOLHOUSE SITES | | | \$1 463 58 1 463 58 | 10 775 81 | | | 4 181 135 27 830 621 62 5 011 756 89 |
| REPAIRING, HIRING, INSURING AND IMPROVING SCHOOL- HOUSES, SITES AND | \$1 859 20 1 859 20 | 5 000 592 61 5 592 61 | 6 291 39 475 06 6 766 45 | 6 442 32 2 241 91 8 684 23 | 10 821 76 308 09 11 129 85 | 11 232 64 2 271 77 13 504 41 | 1 028 380 91 72 626 68 1 101 007 59 |
| NEW EQUIPMENT (APPARATUS AND FURNITURE) | \$710 91 57 20 768 11 | 25 60 146 01 171 61 | $\begin{array}{c} 1 & 270 & 06 \\ 205 & 25 \\ 1 & 475 & 31 \end{array}$ | 77 119 77 | 2 301 82 3 575 11 5 876 93 | 4 378 35 6 530 19 10 908 54 | 198 515 87 44 815 04 243 330 91 |
| ствя | Lackawanna Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Little Falls Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Lockport Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Middletown Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Mount Vernon Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | New Rochelle Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | New York Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. |

| 111] | | | EXP | ENSES (| OF LDU | CATION | | | 237 |
|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| 131 841 91 | 236 808 55 | 73 484 22 | 27 868 65 | 45 601 89 | 94 707 74 | 50 991 43 | 33 164 98 | 71 584 62 | 45 631 59 |
| 31 060 78 | 45 179 05 | 19 575 02 | 14 338 65 | 15 329 73 | 32 198 20 | 21 278 61 | 23 084 42 | 22 426 70 | 22 686 04 |
| 162 902 69 | 281 987 60 | 93 059 24 | 42 207 30 | 60 931 62 | 126 905 94 | 72 270 04 | 56 249 40 | 94 011 32 | 68 317 63 |
| 25 108 88 25 108 88 | 55 044 | | 819 29 | | 415 16 | 14 947 79 | 2 294 37 | 1 895 65 | 14 143 91 14 143 91 |
| 5 821 89 | 5 734 99 | 15 172 54 | 1 749 47 | 6 427 11 | 6 460 81 | 2 454 | 4 304 03 | 993 74 | 682 16 |
| 2 852 23 | 2 734 59 | 3 050 55 | 874 73 | 1 950 99 | 1 869 30 | 1 609 22 | 2 152 01 | 241 07 | 300 :: |
| 8 674 12 | 8 469 58 | 18 223 09 | 2 624 22 | 8 378 10 | 8 330 11 | 4 063 22 | 6 456 04 | 1 234 81 | 982 16 |
| | | 3 853 60 963 40 4 817 | 1 004 | | 6 002 70 857 80 6 860 50 | 1 827 50 525 2 352 50 | 1 460 1 992 50 3 452 50 | | 220 5 762 50 5 982 50 |
| | | 1 600 400 2 000 | 2 000 | | 3 500 500 4 000 | 3 000 : | 2 750 3 750 6 500 | | 1 000 5 000 : : : |
| 5 659 57 | 6 068 37 | 4 560 35 | 3 601 92 | 4 894 47 | 8 747 17 | 3 521 75 | 1 789 56 | 2 816 19 | 3 022 06 |
| 824 39 | 1 725 90 | 1 140 09 | 900 45 | 1 062 43 | 1 244 :: | 2 021 80 | 894 77 | 435 12 | 2 200 |
| 6 483 96 | 7 794 27 | 5 700 44 | 4 502 37 | 5 956 90 | 9 991 17 | 5 543 55 | 2 684 33 | 3 251 31 | 5 222 06 |
| | 36 112 32 | | 450 | 2 000 | | | | | |
| 11 269 08 | 11 495 06 | 2 608 44 | 1 101 | 2 348 91 | 7 662 65 | 2 035 30 | . 1 424 06 | 18 008 40 | 1 154 79 |
| 3 005 31 | 646 60 | 652 11 | 288 16 | 998 51 | 1 094 67 | 662 80 | 712 03 | 535 38 | 1 000 :. |
| 14 274 39 | 12 141 66 | 3 260 55 | 1 389 16 | 3 347 42 | 8 757 32 | 2 698 10 | 2 136 09 | 18 543 78 | 2 154 79 |
| 1 171 02 | 438 75 | 267 91 | 123 10 | 86 | 2 403 44 | 68 39 | 575 35 | 722 08 | 106 89 |
| 616 46 | 1 122 76 | 142 12 | 142 61 | | 165 85 | 29 66 | 377 69 | 146 74 | 227 33 |
| 1 787 48 | 1 561 51 | 410 03 | 265 71 | | 2 569 29 | 98 05 | 953 04 | 868 82 | 334 22 |
| Nowburgh | Niagara Falls | North Tonawanda | Norwich | Ogdensburg Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Olean | Oneida | Oneonta | Oswego | Plattshurg |
| Elementary schools | Elementary schools | Elementary schools | Elementary schools. | | Elementary schools | Elementary schools | Elementary schools. | Elementary schools | Elementary schools |
| Secondary schools | Secondary schools | Secondary schools | Secondary schools. | | Secondary schools. | Secondary schools. | Secondary schools. | Secondary schools | Secondary schools |
| Total | Total | Total | Total. | | Total | Total. | Total | Total | Total |


 $\begin{tabular}{ll} $\operatorname{Table} \ 6 \ (continued) \\ \hline \textbf{Financial statement showing payments by public schools} \\ \end{tabular}$

| | | TOTAL | \$45 210 96 14 111 77 59 322 73 | 147 331 66 37 509 11 184 840 77 | 39 886 22 9 725 33 49 611 55 | 1 618 097 79 223 620 90 1 841 718 69 | 74 331 46 25 930 61 100 262 07 | 50 451 56 20 367 27 70 818 83 | 650 686 90 178 358 87 829 045 77 |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | AMOUNT ON HAND JULY 31, 1914 | \$244 76 | 35 338 19 | | 598 961 38 598 961 38 | 9 481 87 | 16 939 22 5 646 41 22 585 63 | 200 399 77 |
| | | ALL OTHER INCIDENTAL EXPENSES | \$2 581 63 1 536 31 4 117 94 | 18 344 59 2 315 12 20 659 71 | 159 29 40 :- 199 29 | 4 130 39 19 254 69 23 385 08 | 1 783 41 500 2 283 41 | 4 960 72 1 653 58 6 614 30 | 10 000 1 551 99 11 551 99 |
| | EBTEDNESS | INTEREST | \$3 757 50 3 757 50 | | 240 120 360 | 21 000 | 1 780 650 2 430 | 3 224 30 960 : 4 184 30 | 5 952 39 79 081 81 85 034 20 |
| To all beautiful and a second | BONDED INDEBTEDNESS | PRINCIPAL | \$2 000 | | 2 400 1 000 3 400 | 34 000 | 1 000 3 250 4 250 | 1 444 16 1 333 34 2 777 50 | 75 351 50 32 293 50 107 645 |
| 6 7 9 | FUEL, | POWER, JANITORS SUPPLIES AND OTHER EX- PENSES OF | \$2 180 05 467 26 2 647 31 | 4 932 23 1 662 24 6 594 47 | 1 394 35 200 1 594 35 | 55 788 08 12 025 38 67 813 46 | 4 281 78 1 500 5 781 78 | 2 085 33 716 31 2 801 64 | 24 234 19 4 010 50 28 244 69 |
| | | NEW BUILDINGS AND SCHOOLHOUSE SITES | \$108 94 | 1 599 70 1 599 70 | | 44 424 | 5 288 55 | | |
| | REPAIRING, | INSURING AND IMPROVING SCHOOL—HOUSES, SITES AND OUTBUILDINGS | \$1 452 21 576 42 2 028 63 | 7 094 14 2 014 05 9 108 19 | 1 000 300 1 300 | 50 157 89 10 536 22 60 694 11 | 6 202 75 2 849 21 9 051 96 | 682 37 227 45 909 82 | 13 319 33 150 13 469 33 |
| | | NEW EQUIPMENT (APPARATUS AND FURNITURE) | \$261 10 261 10 | 338 99 15 85 354 84 | | 26 841 61 6 573 66 33 415 27 | 1 164 63 205 97 1 370 60 | 99 75 155 31 255 06 | 1 668 86 428 14 2 097 |
| | | сттвя | Port Jewis Elementary schools Secondary schools Total. | Poughkeepsie Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Renselaer Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | Rochester Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | Rome Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total. | Salamanca Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | Schenectady Elementary schools Secondary schools Total |

| 945 193 02 164 498 : 1 109 691 02 | 37 826 40 18 032 73 55 859 13 | 275 196 03 55 442 30 330 638 33 | 493 810 68 74 482 98 568 293 66 | 123 600 12 31 211 59 154 811 71 | 62 882 79 22 349 61 85 232 40 | 630 934 84 122 496 76 753 431 60 | \$76 433 993 71 1 633 699 52 11 208 388 77 | \$89 276 082 | \$8 486 669 15 651 154 99 2 300 735 11 | \$11 438 559 25 | |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|-------------------|--|------------------|--|
| 366 599 47 | 1 192 17 | | 186 584 97 | 26 894 15 5 570 :- 32 464 15 | 20 274 36 8 000 28 274 36 | | \$31 701 694 21 180 517 26 1 164 449 13 | \$33 046 660 60 | \$109 751 97 28 149 22 96 596 61 | \$234 497 80 | |
| 83 450 68 18 381 11 101 831 79 | 245 61 125 370 61 | 6 446 66 472 81 6 919 47 | 5 891 17 3 383 41 9 274 58 | 1 735 82 200 :- 1 935 82 | 1 700 624 25 2 324 25 | 13 693 96 727 54 14 421 50 | \$2 510 894 79 99 695 67 590 755 83 | \$3 201 346 29 | \$115 701 71 38 925 13 155 182 96 | \$309 809 80 | |
| | 1 620 1 620 3 240 | 12 998 50 1 196 17 14 194 67 | | | | 83 757 33 7 879 74 91 637 07 | \$318 957 68 100 651 70 274 003 21 | \$693 612 59 | \$202 925 62 53 796 16 86 929 33 | \$343 651 11 | |
| | 1 500 3 000 | 641.4 | | | | 49 490 10 000 59 490 | \$688 725 38 97 890 33 429 349 02 | \$1 215 964 73 | \$116 199 01 40 479 67 124 460 25 | \$281 138 93 | |
| 28 698 17 5 557 29 34 255 46 | 1 930 40 680 :: 2 610 40 | 18 757 22 2 402 69 21 159 91 | 20 156 09 5 169 92 25 326 01 | 9 122 53 1 720 10 842 53 | 3 490 80 1 275 4 765 80 | 32 796 90 5 077 13 37 874 03 | \$1 156 344 44 78 543 84 603 228 24 | \$1 838 116 52 | \$172 747 05 36 774 91 125 512 45 | \$335 034 41 | |
| | | | | | | | \$4 393 785 81 9 279 81 155 495 35 | \$4 558 560 97 | \$964 692 68 963 29 41 403 87 | \$1 007 059 84 | |
| 30 349 96 3 544 20 33 894 16 | 2 381 83 1 255 3 636 83 | 10 374 57 224 73 10 599 30 | 16 286 35 2 064 33 18 350 68 | 6 050 21 1 000 7 050 21 | 1 110 15 500 :: 1 610 15 | 18 648 32 3 315 34 21 963 66 | \$1 569 282 46 66 910 84 614 533 54 | \$2 250 726 84 | \$149 756 37 37 884 56 107 603 90 | \$295 244 83 | |
| 1 327 67 640 63 1 968 30 | 92 90 309 73 402 63 | 495 01 644 79 1 139 80 | 1 305 57 834 46 2 140 03 | 729 75 648 81 1 378 56 | 600 55 600 55 | 5 260 48 5 305 45 10 565 93 | \$356 285 17 13 372 72 122 499 02 | \$492 156 91 | \$90 421 20 14 414 71 48 450 03 | \$153 285 94 | |
| Syraouse Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Tonawanda Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | Troy Elementary schools Secondary schools Total | Utica Elementary schools Secondary schools. | Watertown Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Watervliet Elementary schools Secondary schools. Total | Yonkers Elementary schools. Secondary schools. Total. | Citics, elementary. Villages, elementary. Towns, elementary. | Total, elementary | Cities, secondary | Total, secondary | |

| | | TOTAL | \$84 920 662 86 2 284 854 51 13 509 123 88 | \$100 714 641 25 | | \$236 596 69 236 596 69 | 45 571 30 139 948 80 185 590 10 | 333 229 52 166 614 76 499 844 28 | 55.55 |
|--|---------------------|---|--|---------------------|-----------------|--|--|---|---|
| | | AMOUNT ON HAND JULY 31, 1914 | 596 50 \$31 811 446 18 \$620 80 208 666 48 938 79 1 261 045 74 | 281 158 40 | | | | \$234 898 96 117 449 48 352 348 44 | |
| | | ALL OTHER INCIDENTAL EXPENSES | \$2 626 138 745 | \$3 511 156 09 \$33 | | \$522 | | 49 249 99 24 625 73 874 99 | 426 72 426 72 |
| c sciionis | BONDED INDEBTEDNESS | INTEPEST | \$521 883 30 154 447 86 360 932 54 | 1 037 263 70 | | | | | |
| remotes secondary stoward payments by public schools | BONDED INI | PRINCIPAL | \$804 924 39 138 370 553 809 27 | \$1 497 103 66 | | | | | |
| wing payine | FUEL, | FOWER, JANITORS' SUPPLIES AND OTHER EX- PENSES OF OPERATION | \$1 329 091 49 115 318 75 728 740 69 | \$2 173 150 93 | | \$4 128 42 4 128 42 | | 4 544 10 2 272 06 6 816 16 | 540 |
| content and | | NEW BUILDINGS AND SCHOOLHOUSE SITES | \$5 358 478 49 10 243 10 196 899 22 | \$5 565 620 81 | | | | | 370 370 |
| marian 200 | REPAIRING, | INSURING AND IMPROVING SCHOOL- HOUSES, SITES AND OUTBUILDINGS | \$1 719 038 83 104 795 40 722 137 44 | \$2 545 971 67 | | \$1 240 81 1 240 81 | | 4 235 98 2 117 99 6 353 97 | 370 370 |
| 4 | | NEW EQUIPMENT (APPARATUS AND FURNITURE) | \$446 706 37 27 787 43 170 949 05 | \$645 442 85 | | | | \$2 532 18 1 266 08 3 798 26 | |
| | ٠ | CITIES | Total, cities. Total, villages. Total, towns. | Total, State | SPECIAL SCHOOLS | College of the City of N. Y., acad. dep't Elementary. Secondary. Total. | Hunter Col. of the City of N. Y., h. s. dep't Elementary Scienciary Total. | N. Y. Inst. for the Blind Elementary Secondary Total. | N. Y. State College for Teachers, h. s. Gebt, Albany Elementary. Secondary Total. |

| 99 834 54 18 419 14 118 253 68 | \$478 635 36 571 034 76 | \$353 400 19 \$1 049 670 12 |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 725 54 326 21 1 051 75 | \$235 624 50 117 775 69 | |
| 32 825 20 12 111 22 44 936 42 | \$82 075 19 37 684 94 | \$119 760 13 |
| | | |
| | | |
| 4 038 1 200 53 5 238 53 | \$8 582 10 8 141 01 | \$16 723 11 |
| \$47 711 80 47 711 80 | \$47 711 80 | \$47 711 80 |
| | \$4 235 98 3 728 80 | \$7 964 78 |
| | \$2 532 18 1 266 08 | \$3 798 26 |
| N. Y. State School for the Blind, Batavia Ementiary Secondary Total. | Special schools, elementary | Total, special schools |



COUNTY GOVERNMENT

PART IV

Statistical Tables Relative to County Finance

PERSONAL PROPERTY.

11 11 11 11

The second second second

State of New York — Comptroller's Office ${\rm Albany}, July~8,~1915.$

To the Constitutional Convention:

Gentlemen.— I take pleasure in submitting herewith comparative, classified, statistical tables relative to county finance, showing among other things the sources of receipts and the purposes and objects of expenditures.

Respectfully yours,

EUGENE M. TRAVIS,

Comptroller.

[245]



CONTENTS OF PART IV

| PA | GE |
|--|-----|
| Counties — Classification, population and wealth: | |
| Table 1 — Classification, population, per capita wealth 2 | 249 |
| Table 2 — Comparative density of population and per capita cost of govern- | |
| ment 2 | 256 |
| Table 3 — Comparative wealth and cost of government per \$1,000 of | |
| valuation 2 | 265 |
| The Board of Supervisors: | |
| Table 4 — Composition of Boards of Supervisors | 270 |
| Table 5 — Services of members of Boards of Supervisors | 278 |
| Cost of supervision of county finances: | |
| Table 6 — Total cost and cost per capita and per \$1,000 valuation 2 | 284 |
| Table 7 — Compensation of members of the boards | 293 |
| Table 8 — Expenses of board, | 298 |
| Table 9 — Expenditures for additional supervisory officers 3 | 305 |
| Character of work of Board of Supervisors: | |
| Table 10 — Cost of general government per capita and per \$1,000 | |
| valuation | 308 |
| Table 11 — Surplus or deficiency in general fund cash | 314 |
| Table 12 — Gain to cash from general bonds and loans | |
| Table 13 — Gain to cash from highway bonds and loans | |
| County finances of 1914: | |
| Table 14 — Net tax receipts, surplus or deficiency | 331 |
| | 336 |
| Table 16 — Tax commissioner's meetings. Advances and refunds 3 | 343 |
| Table 17 — Equalization commission. Tax blanks | 347 |
| Table 18 — County treasurer's expenditures for collection of taxes 5 | 352 |
| Table 19 — Advances, losses and deposits | 357 |
| Table 20 — Net cost of government, increase or decrease of indebtedness, | |
| net receipts or expenditures from contributions | 362 |
| Table 21 — Gross cost of general government, less revenues, and earn- | |
| ings | 368 |
| Table 22 — Earnings of county officers | 375 |
| Table 23 — Interest and earnings of county property | 381 |
| Table 24 — Fines and forfeits, premiums and accrued interest on | |
| teranama somasi i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | 387 |
| Table 25 — Earnings of penal institutions | |
| Table 20 Danings of chartests in the control of the | 397 |
| Table 27 — Gloss cost of improvements less meetic in reduction thereof. | 403 |
| Table 28 Constitution of buildings. | 409 |
| Table 20 Combitaction of inglinary | 414 |
| Table 30 — Sales of county property, insurance recoveries, premiums | 4.5 |
| on construction bonds | 421 |

[247]

| Table 31 — Indebtedness | PAGE |
|--|-------|
| | |
| Table 32 — Obligations incurred | |
| F | |
| Table 34 — Contributions | . 444 |
| | |
| Table 35 — Outstanding debt of 1914 and debt limit | |
| Table 36 — Character of outstanding debt of 1914 | |
| Table 37 — Increase or decrease in county indebtedness, 1909 to 1914 | |
| Table 38 — Character of increase or decrease | 469 |
| County treasurer's office: | |
| Table 39 — Salary, fees and expenses in detail | 477 |
| Coroners: | |
| Table 40 — Expenditures for coroners in detail | 485 |
| Expenditures for governmental purposes: | |
| Table 41 — Expenditures per capita and per \$1,000 valuation. Genera | |
| divisions of expenditures | 490 |
| Gross cost of general government: | |
| Table 42 — Cost of general government by functions | 499 |
| Table 43 — Legislative | |
| Table 44 — Administrative | |
| Table 45 — Elections | |
| Table 46 — General officers | 517 |
| Table 47 — Administrative buildings | |
| Table 48 — Administrative interest | |
| Table 49 — Judicial | |
| Table 50 — Judicial officers and court library | |
| Table 51 — Civil courts | |
| Table 52 — Regulative | |
| Table 53 — Defensive | |
| Table 54 — Protective. | |
| Table 55 — Educational | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | 577 |
| Table 60 — Charitable | |
| Table 61 — Improvident poor | |
| Table 62 — Defective poor | 591 |
| Table 63 — Dependent children | 597 |
| Table 64 — Soldiers and sailors | 601 |
| Table 65 — Highway maintenance | 605 |
| County debt service: | |
| Table 66 — Payments on bonded debt, all payments of interest. Percent- | |
| ages of total governmental expenditures. Percentages of | |
| outstanding bonded debt paid during year | 610 |

COUNTY GOVERNMENT

PART IV

Statistical Tables Relative to County Finance

TABLE 1

CLASSIFICATION, POPULATION, DENSITY OF POPULATION AND COMPARATIVE WEALTH

Classification:

To facilitate comparison of gross, net and per unit figures, the counties of the State have been divided into classes in accord with the population of each on the following basis:

Class 1 — Three counties (Erie, Monroe, Westchester) each with a population in excess of 250,000.

Class 2 — Four counties (Onondaga, Albany, Oneida, Rensselaer) each with a population of more than 120,000 and less than 250,000.

Class 3 — Fifteen counties (all except Suffolk and Nassau containing a large city population) each with a population of more than 65,000 and less than 120,000.

Class 4 — Twenty-two counties all of which, except Cortland (29,249), Tompkins (22,647), Warren (32,223), contain populations of from 35,000 to 65,000. The three counties named are added to this group for the reason that each contains within its boundaries a municipality with a city charter.

Class 5 — Thirteen counties each of which has a population of less than 35,000 and none of which contains a city.

The counties are here arranged alphabetically for reference to later tables and the class and class number shown.

The counties will be found arranged in classes in the order of their population in table 4 and succeeding tables.

The population by towns or cities is here given for purposes of information.

50.27%

40 7207

100%

Population of entire State:

The total population as here given is for the State outside of the city of New York. The following shows the population of the entire State:

| Population of towns outside New York city Population of cities exclusive of New York city Population of New York city | 2,341,402 2,005,329 4,766,882 |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| | 9,113,614 |

Percentages of population:

A computation will show the following facts in regard to the percentage of population:

Population of towns.....

Exclusive of New York city:

Population of cities

| Topulation of cities | 10.10/0 |
|--|---------|
| | 100% |
| Including New York city: Population of towns | 25.69% |
| Population of cities, including New York | 74.31% |

Density of population:

The population per square mile, obtained by dividing the total population by the area (see Table 4), is here given. To facilitate reference to Table 2, which arranges the counties in the order of density of their population, the relative number of the county in such table is added.

Comparative wealth:

The per capita equalized valuation obtained by dividing the total equalized valuation (see Table 4) by the total population is here given. To facilitate reference to Table 3, which arranges the counties in order of their per capita wealth, the relative number of the county in such table is added.

Density and comparative wealth for entire State:

This table only includes the population of the State outside of the city of New York. The following shows these facts for the entire State:

| Population per square mile outside New York city | 86 |
|--|---------|
| Population per square mile within New York city | 16,158 |
| Population per squre mile, entire State | 181 |
| Equalized valuation per capita outside New York city | \$805 |
| Equalized valuation per capita within New York city | \$1,654 |
| Equalized valuation per capita, entire State | \$1,249 |

Table Classification, Population, Density

| | CLASSIFICATION OF TABLES | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| COUNTIES | Class | Number | Population, 1910 | Population of towns | Population of cities |
| 1. Albany | II IV III III III | 2 15 12 15 14 | 173,666 41,412 78,809 65,919 67,106 | 33,630 41,412 30,366 43,096 32,438 | 140,036 48,443 22,823 34,668 |
| 6. Chautauqua. 7. Chemung. 8. Chenango. 9. Clinton. 10. Columbia. | III IV IV IV IV | 2 4 19 7 14 | 105,126 54,662 35,575 48,230 43,658 | 56,608 17,486 28,153 37,092 32,241 | 48,518 37,176 7,422 11,138 11,417 |
| 11. Cortland | IV IV III I V | 22 12 8 1 2 | 29,249 45,575 87,661 528,985 33,458 | 17,745 45,575 48,685 82,441 4 33,458 | 11,504 38,976 446,544 |
| 16. Franklin 17. Fulton 18. Genesee 19. Greene 20. Hamilton | IV IV IV V | 11 13 18 5 13 | 45,717 44,534 37,615 30,214 4,373 | 45,717 13,445 26,002 30,214 4,373 | 31,089 11,613 |
| 21. Herkimer 22. Jefferson 23. Lewis 24. Livingston 25. Madison | IV III V IV IV | 3 11 8 17 16 | 56,356 80,382 24,849 38,037 39,289 | 44,083 53,652 24,849 38,037 30,976 | 12,273 26,730 8,313 |
| 26. Monroe | I III III IJ | 2 2 9 4 3 | 283,212 57,567 83,930 92,036 154,157 | 65,063 26,300 83,930 31,626 59,241 | 218,149 31,267 60,410 94,916 |
| 31. Onondaga | II IV III V III | 1 5 1 3 13 | 200,298 $52,286$ $116,001$ $32,000$ $71,664$ | 63,049 32,923 63,319 32,000 37,823 | 137,249 19,363 52,682 |
| 36. Otsego. 37. Putnam. 38. Rensselaer 39. Rockland. 40. St. Lawrence. | IV V II IV III | 9 11 4 10 6 | 47,216 14,665 122,276 46,873 89,005 | 37,719 14,665 34,752 46,873 73,072 | 9,497 87,524 15,933 |
| 41. Saratoga 42. Schenectady 43. Schoharie 44. Schuyler 45. Seneca. | IV III V V V | $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 7 \\ 9 \\ 12 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 61,917 88,235 23,855 14,004 26,972 | 61,917 15,409 23,855 14,004 26,972 | 72,826 |

¹ For area, see table 4.

OF POPULATION AND COMPARATIVE WEALTH

| | DENSITY OF POPULATION | | Comparative Wealth | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|----------------------------|
| CITIES AND POPULATION | Popula- tion per square mile ¹ | Relative number in com- parative table (No. 2) | Equalized valuation per capita ² | Relative number in com- parative table (No. 3) | |
| { Albany, 100,253; Cohoes, 24,709; Water- | | | | | |
| Viliet, 15,074 Binghamton Olean, 14,748; Salamanca, 8,075 Auburn | 337 40 111 49 88 | 5 45 15 39 18 | \$765 608 651 591 715 | 16 37 28 41 20 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Jamestown, 31,297; Dunkirk, 17,221 Elmira Norwich. Plattsburg. Hudson. | 95 134 39 44 63 | 17 13 47 42 30 | 647 697 552 356 670 | 29 23 45 57 26 | 6 7 8 9 |
| Cortland | 60 29 | 32 53 | 626 518 | 33 50 | 11 12 |
| Poughkeepsie, 27,976; Beacon, 11,000 Buffalo, 423,705; Lackawanna, 14,549; Tonawanda, 8,290 | 108 494 | 16 | 814 836 | 15 13 | 13 |
| | 17 | 56 | 612 | 35 | 15 |
| Gloversville, 20,642; Johnstown, 10,447. Batavia. | 26 82 74 44 2 | 54 20 25 43 57 | 399 471 866 524 1,390 | 56 53 10 49 | 16 17 18 19 20 |
| Little Falls. Watertown. Oneida. | 32 43 19 57 58 | 50 44 55 36 35 | 739 635 540 844 587 | 19 31 46 12 43 | 21 22 23 24 25 |
| Rochester. Amsterdam | 415 163 286 | 3 11 6 | 1,011 596 1,901 | 6 38 1 | 26 27 28 |
| Niagara Falls, 30,445; Lockport 17,970; North Tonawanda, 11,995 | 165 127 | 10 14 | 9 7 9 593 | 8 39 | 29 |
| Syracuse | 246 82 | 7 19 | 964 820 | 9 14 | 31 32 |
| Port Jervis, 9,564 | 138 79 69 | 12 23 27 | 627 853 489 | 32 11 51 | 33 34 35 |
| Oneonta | 45 | 41 | 587 | 42 | 36 |
| Troy, 76,813; Rensselaer, 10,711 | 62 177 225 30 | 31 9 8 52 | 1,153 698 990 580 | 5 22 7 44 | 37 38 39 40 |
| Schenectady. | 71 399 35 64 58 | 26 4 48 46 29 | 534 765 532 642 693 | 47 18 48 30 24 | 41 42 43 44 |

² For valuation, see table 4.

Table Classification, Population, Density of

| | CLA | SSIFICATION OF | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------------------|--|------------------------|----------------------|--|
| COUNTIES | Class | Number | Population, 1910 | Population of towns | Population of cities | |
| 46. Steuben | III III V V IV | 10 3 1 7 20 | 83,362 96,138 33,808 25,624 33,647 | 33,808 | | |
| 51. Ulster 52. Warren 53. Washington 54. Wayne 55. Westchester | III IV IV IV | 5 21 8 6 | 91,769 32,223 47,778 50,179 | 50,179 | 15,243 | |
| 56. Wyoming | V | 4 10 | 283,055 31,880 18,642 | 31,880 18,642 | 139,689 | |
| State | 5 classes. | 57 counties | 4,346,731 | 2,341,402 | 2,005,329 | |

¹ For area, see table 4.

I POPULATION AND COMPARATIVE WEALTH — concluded

| | DENSITY OF POPULATION | | Comparative Wealth | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|----------------------------|
| CITIES AND POPULATION | Popula- tion per square mile 1 | Relative number in com- parative table (No. 2) | Equalized valuation per capita ² | Relative number in com- parative table (No. 3) | |
| Corning, 13,730; Hornell, 13,617 Ithaca | 58 80 31 47 66 | 33 22 51 40 28 | \$608 1,329 402 592 681 | 36 4 54 40 25 | 46 47 48 49 50 |
| Kingston. Glens Falls. Yonkers, 79,903; Mount Vernon, 30,919; New Rochelle, 28,867. | 76 33 56 80 | 24 49 37 21 | 400 622 482 662 | 55 34 52 27 | 51 52 53 54 55 |
| | 54 58 | 38 34 | 704 765 | 21 17 | 56 57 |
| 53 cities | 86 | 57 | \$805 | 57 | |

² For valuations, see Table 4.

TABLE 2

DENSITY OF POPULATION AND PER CAPITA COST OF GENERAL GOVERNMENT AND OF TOTAL GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURES

To permit of the study of the relation between the density of the population and per capita cost of the maintenance of general government, counties are listed in this table (1) in the order of the population per square mile, and (2) in the order of the cost of general government per capita.

Description of table:

For reference purposes classes and class numbers under which the counties appear in the later tables are given in the first two columns. The consecutive number and name of the county follow in both pages of these tables.

The population per square mile is shown on the first page of this table followed by the per capita cost of general government as computed in table 10. The total per capita expenditures follow.

On the second page of the table the per capita cost is placed first followed by the population per square mile.

The number of city supervisors and the number of town supervisors are shown in the 7th and 8th columns, of the second page.

Use of table:

The reader will observe that Monroe county is the third county in the State in the density of its population, having a population of 415 per square mile; that the per capita cost for general government of this county was \$2.58. By following down the fifth column on the second page headed "Cost of General Government Per Capita," he will observe that Monroe county is the 18th county in the State in the cost per capita of general government. For further information with regard to this county, from either table, he will refer to table 10—Class 1, No. 2, where he will find Monroe county listed below the county with the next highest gross population and above the county with the next lowest population; from which table and succeeding tables referred to therein, he may make a study of the finances of Monroe county.

Purpose of Tables 2 and 3

Board of supervisors responsible for per unit rates.

It will be seen from Table 10 and the tables referred to therein that these per capita rates have been determined from those net current expenditures of government entirely within the control of boards of supervisors.

Expenditures included:

The items included are expenditures classed in the report of treasurers as:

Legislative:

Boards of supervisors, and county publications.

Administrative:

Elections, administrative officers, maintenance of administration buildings, interest on tax loans and revenue or refunding bonds with the expense of issuing such bonds.

Judicial:

Judicial officers, civil courts and court libraries.

Regulative:

Special deputy excise commissioner, county sealer of weights and measures, regulative associations and quarantine.

Defensive:

Armories exclusive of the amount paid from State funds.

Protective:

Registration of land titles, protection of fish and game, bounties, county bacteriological laboratory and county sewers and drains.

Educational:

School superintendents and education of deaf mutes and blind.

Corrective:

Officers and courts engaged in prosecution of criminals, maintenance of or payments to penal institutions, officers and institutions engaged in reformatory work.

Charitable:

Superintendent of the poor, almshouse and temporary relief, hospitals, insane, epileptic, feeble-minded, supervision of relief of destitute children and expenditures for orphan asylums, relief, burial and headstones for soldiers and sailors.

Highway maintenance:

County superintendent of highways, maintenance of county bridges and roads, and maintenance of State and county highways exclusive of the amount paid from State funds.

Expenditures excluded:

The items of government expenditures excluded are those classified in the reports of county treasurers as:

Tax expense:

Assessment, equalization (by special commission), expenses for collection of taxes and advances and refunds of taxes.

Contributions:

State taxes, taxes for judicial and military districts and contributions to town funds.

Constructive:

New county buildings, construction of highways, including interest on bonds for either of these purposes and interest on temporary highway loans.

Liquidation of indebtedness:

All payments in liquidation of county indebtedness.

Total per unit expenditures:

The total expenditures of the county will include all of the items given above. This total amount is divided by the population and equalized valuation to determine the per capita (Table 2) and per \$1,000 valuation (Table 3) expenditures of the county.

Of the items excluded from the cost of general government, the tax expenses are for the collection of the tax revenues of the county, for advances to be subsequently repaid or refunds from tax revenues on account of error; contributions are direct payments from county

funds to the State and other municipal divisions pursuant to statute. Neither of these items are under the control of boards of supervisors.

The construction of county buildings or highways is under the control of boards of supervisors. It is excluded from the cost of general government for the reason that it is an extraordinary expenditure of a large amount incurred at long intervals. The cost of general government is intended to show the current expenses for the maintenance of the county government and it would be unfair, for comparative purposes, to include unusual and extraordinary expenses.

While the creation of debt obligations is under the control of the board of supervisors as a body, often the indebtedness which is paid in the current year was created by a board long antecedent to the present board. For the reasons given above, it would be unfair in a table for the purposes specified to include the payments of such debts.

Expenditures met by tax levies:

While the present board of supervisors is not solely responsible for the expenditures excluded from the cost of general government as specified above, the taxpayers of the county must meet those expenditures except as they may be met by the earnings of county officers, property or institutions, the incidential income from the transaction of the business of the county and district and State funds applicable to armories and highway maintenance.

"Per Capita Cost of General Government" and "Total Per Capita Expenditures:"

The difference between these two items represents, except for the incidential revenues noted above, the cost per capita for the expenditures excluded as noted above in determining the cost of general government. The total expenditures include the maintenance of armories and highways from district or State funds. The comparative unit rate for taxation therefor in the several counties would be somewhat less than the unit rate for total per capita expenditures here given in expenditures. The amount met from such State funds is shown in Table 10.

Table

Density of Population and Per Capita Cost of General Government and of Total Expenditures for County Purposes

Counties arranged in order of density of population

| Class | Class No. | Consecu- tive number | County | Population per square mile ² | Per capita cost of general government 3 | Total per capita expenditure 6 |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| I I III III | 3 1 2 7 2 | 1 2 3 4 5 | WestchesterErie | 585 494 415 399 337 | \$4 17 2 26 2 58 2 41 3 44 | \$11 56 4 94 5 11 7 69 8 19 |
| III IV II II | 9 1 10 4 4 | | Nassau Onondaga Rockland Rensselaer Niagara | 286 246 225 177 165 | 11 08 3 22 3 22 2 91 2 04 | 18 64 9 40 6 51 9 66 7 48 |
| IV III IV II III | 2 1 4 3 12 | 12 13 14 | Montgomery. Orange. Chemung. Oneida. Broome | 163 138 134 127 111 | 2 07 | 6 67 |
| III III III IV IV | 8 2 14 5 13 | 17 18 19 | Dutchess Chautauqua Cayuga Ontario Fulton | 108 95 88 82 82 | 1 21 1 82 2 63 | 6 30 |
| IV III V III IV | 6 3 3 5 18 | 22 23 24 | Wayne. Suffolk. Orleans Ulster. Genesee | 80 80 79 76 74 | 2 34 2 49 1 77 | 4 61 |
| IV III IV V IV | 1 13 20 6 14 | 27 28 29 | Seneca | 71 69 66 64 63 | 2 56 1 92 2 00 | 6 63 5 92 4 50 |
| V IV III V IV | 11 22 10 10 16 | 32 33 33 34 | SteubenYates | 60 | 1 64 1 63 1 70 | 4 97 4 07 2 79 |
| IV IV V III V | 17 8 4 15 | 37 38 39 | Washington | 56 54 49 | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 4 82 3 49 3 64 |
| IV IV V III IV | 111 | 42 43 44 | Clinton Greene. Jefferson | 44 | 1 93 2 85 3 28 | 4 80 6 18 5 12 |

¹ Cost of legislative, administrative, corrective, charitable, etc., branches of government, including highway maintenance, excludes State tax and other contributions, payable on indebtedness, payments for construction of new buildings and highways and construction interest.

² From Table 1.

³ From Table 10.

⁴ From Table 41.

PER CAPITA COST OF GENERAL GOVERNMENT AND OF EXPENDI-TURES FOR COUNTY PURPOSES CONTRASTED WITH DENSITY OF POPULATION

Counties arranged in order of per capita cost

| Class | Class | Consecu- | County | Cost of general govern- | Population | Numb Superv | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------------------------|---|---|---|-------------------------|---|
| Class | No. | No. | County | ment per capita | nent square | | Towns |
| III V I V II | 9 13 3 11 2 | 1 2 3 4 5 | Nassau. Hamilton. Westchester Putnam Albany. | ² \$11 08 ³ 8 71 4 17 3 53 3 44 | 286 2 585 62 337 | 19 28 | 3 9 19 6 10 |
| III II IV IV IV | 11 10 21 14 | 6 7 8 9 10 | Jefferson Onondaga Rockland Warren Columbia | 3 28 3 22 3 22 3 21 3 08 | 43 246 225 33 63 | 12 19 5 5 | 22 19 5 11 18 |
| II IV II V V | 3 16 4 5 2 | 11 12 13 14 15 | Oneida Madison Rensselaer Greene Essex | 3 03 2 93 2 91 2 85 2 83 | $\begin{array}{c} \cdot & 127 \\ 58 \\ 177 \\ 44 \\ 17 \end{array}$ | 20 4 19 | 28 15 15 14 18 |
| IV IV I III IV | 5 1 2 13 17 | 16 17 18 19 20 | Ontario . Saratoga . Monroe . Oswego . Livingston . | 2 63 2 61 2 58 2 56 2 50 | 82 71 415 69 57 | 5 22 11 | 16 20 19 21 17 |
| IV V I IV III | 4 3 1 18 7 | 21 22 23 24 25 | Chemung Orleans Erie Genesee Schenectady | 2 49 2 49 2 45 2 42 2 41 | 134 79 494 74 399 | 12 34 1 13 | 11 10 25 12 5 |
| IV III V V IV | 3 3 7 1 8 | 26 27 28 29 30 | Herkimer Suffolk Tioga Sullivan Washington | 2 35 2 34 2 32 2 32 2 31 | 32 80 47 31 56 | 2 | 20 10 10 15 17 |
| IV III IV IV IV | 9 12 11 2 19 | 31 32 33 34 35 | Otsego | 2 24 2 23 2 17 2 10 2 08 | 45 111 26 163 39 | 3 13 8 1 | $ \begin{array}{r} 24 \\ 16 \\ 19 \\ 10 \\ 20 \end{array} $ |
| III III III IV IV | $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 4 \\ 8 \\ 21 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | 36 37 38 39 40 | Orange Niagara Dutchess Warren Clinten | 2 07 2 04 2 00 2 00 1 93 | 138 165 108 33 44 | 14 26 7 5 2 | 20 12 20 11 14 |
| IV IV V III IV | 20 13 12 14 15 | 41 42 43 44 45 | Tompkins Fulton Schuyler Cayuga Allegany | 1 92 1 91 1 89 1 82 1 82 | 66 82 64 88 40 | 10 10 | 9 10 8 23 29 |

From Table 4.
 \$7.16 per capita for repairs and improvement of county roads.
 \$1.90 per capita for interest on refunding bonds.

Table

Density of Population and Per Capita Cost of General Government and of Total Expenditures for County Purposes — concluded

Counties arranged in order of density of population

| Class | Class No. | Consecu- tive number | County | Population per square mile 2 | Per capita cost of general government 3 | Total per capita expenditure 4 |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| V IV V IV IV | 12 19 9 21 3 | | Chenango Schoharie Warren | 40 39 35 33 32 | \$1 89 2 08 1 72 3 21 2 35 | \$5 68 5 56 4 00 7 27 7 38 |
| V III IV IV V | 1 6 12 11 8 | 51 52 53 54 55 | Sullivan. St. Lawrence. Delaware Franklin Lewis | 31 30 29 26 19 | 2 32 99 1 59 2 17 1 54 | 5 28 4 55 3 81 7 19 4 18 |
| V V | 2 13 | 56 57 | Essex | 17 2 | 2 83 8 71 | 6 11 23 31 |
| | | | State | 86 | \$2 64 | \$6 55 |

¹ Cost of legislative, administrative, corrective, charitable, etc., branches of government, including highway maintenance, excludes State tax and other contributions, payments on indebtedness, payments for construction of new buildings and highways and construction interest. ² From Table 1. ³ From Table 10. ⁴ From Table 41.

PER CAPITA COST OF GENERAL GOVERNMENT AND OF EXPENDI-TURES FOR COUNTY PURPOSES CONTRASTED WITH DENSITY OF POPULATION — concluded

Counties arranged in order of per capita cost

| Class | Class | | tive County | Cost of general govern- | Population per | Number of Supervisors 1 | |
|------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| | No. | No. | | ment square mile | | Cities | Towns |
| III V V | 5 9 10 | 46 47 48 | SchoharieYates | \$1 77 1 72 1 70 | | 13 | 20 16 9 |
| IV III | 22 10 | 49 50 | Steuben | 1 64 1 63 | 60 58 | 6 | $\frac{15}{32}$ |
| IV V III | 12 8 15 | 51 52 53 54 | Lewis | 1 61 1 59 1 54 1 48 | 54 29 19 49 | 9 | 16 19 18 33 |
| IV III III | 6 2 6 | 55 56 57 | | 1 37 1 21 99 | 80 95 30 | 4 4 | 15 26 32 |
| | | | State | \$2 64 | 86 | 142 | 295 |

From Table 4.
 \$7.16 per capita for repairs and improvement of county roads.
 \$1.90 per capita for interest on refunding bonds.



TABLE 3

PER CAPITA VALUATION, COST OF GENERAL GOVERNMENT PER \$1,000 VALUATION, AND TOTAL GOVERNMENT EXPENDITURES PER \$1,000 VALUATION

This table is given to permit a study of the relations existing in the comparative wealth of the different counties and the comparative cost of the maintenance of general government and total expenditures for all county purposes based upon a unit of \$1,000 valuation.

Description of table:

The arrangement of the table is of the same form as the arrangement of Table 2.

Purpose and comments:

For discussion of the purpose and for comments on this table see Table 2

Table

Per Capita Valuation and Cost of General Government and Total Expenditure Per \$1,000 Valuation

Counties arranged in order of per capita wealth

| Counties arranged in order of per capita wealth | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Class | Class No. | Consecu- tive- number | County | Per capita . valuation | Cost of general government per \$1,000 of valuation | Total expenditure per \$1,000 of valuation | | | |
| III V III V | 9 3 13 3 11 | 1 2 3 4 5 | Nassau. Westchester. Hamilton. Suffolk. Putnam. | \$1,901 1,464 1,390 1,329 1,153 | \$5 82 2 85 6 27 1 77 3 06 | \$9 80 7 89 16 76 5 10 7 85 | | | |
| I | 2 | 6 | Monroe | 1,011 | 2 55 | 5 05 | | | |
| IV | 10 | 7 | Rockland | 990 | 3 24 | 6 57 | | | |
| III | 4 | 8 | Niagara | 979 | 2 08 | 7 63 | | | |
| II | 1 | 9 | Onondaga | 964 | 3 34 | 9 50 | | | |
| IV | 18 | 10 | Genesee | 866 | 2 79 | 4 48 | | | |
| V | 3 | 11 | Orleans | 853 | 2 92 | 9 44 | | | |
| IV | 17 | 12 | Livingston. | 844 | 2 96 | 5 59 | | | |
| I | 1 | 13 | Erie | 836 | 2 93 | 5 90 | | | |
| IV | 5 | 14 | Ontario | 820 | 3 18 | 7 68 | | | |
| III | 8 | 15 | Dutchess. | 814 | 2 45 | 6 76 | | | |
| II | 2 | 16 | Albany. Yates. Schenectady. Herkimer. Cayuga. | 765 | 4 50 | 10 70 | | | |
| V | 10 | 17 | | 765 | 2 16 | 3 64 | | | |
| III | 7 | 18 | | 765 | 3 12 | 9 95 | | | |
| IV | 3 | 19 | | 739 | 3 13 | 9 98 | | | |
| III | 14 | 20 | | 715 | 2 54 | 7 85 | | | |
| V | 4 | 21 | Wyoming | 704 | 2 29 | 4 96 | | | |
| II | 4 | 22 | Rensselaer | 698 | 4 21 | 13 82 | | | |
| IV | 4 | 23 | Chemung | 697 | 3 58 | 7 72 | | | |
| V | 6 | 24 | Seneca | 693 | 2 88 | 6 49 | | | |
| IV | 20 | 25 | Tompkins | 681 | 2 81 | 8 86 | | | |
| IV | 14 | 26 | Columbia. | 670 | 4 59 | 10 34 | | | |
| IV | 6 | 27 | Wayne. | 662 | 2 07 | 4 78 | | | |
| III | 12 | 28 | Broome | 651 | 3 42 | 7 61 | | | |
| III | 2 | 29 | Chautauqua | 647 | 1 88 | 4 79 | | | |
| V | 12 | 30 | Schuyler | 642 | 2 95 | 8 83 | | | |
| III | 11 | 31 | Jefferson | 635 | 5 14 | 8 06 | | | |
| III | 1 | 32 | Orange | 627 | 3 30 | 11 00 | | | |
| IV | 22 | 33 | Cortland | 626 | 2 62 | 7 93 | | | |
| IV | 21 | 34 | Warren | 622 | 5 16 | 11 67 | | | |
| V | 2 | 35 | Essex | 612 | 2 67 | 9 97 | | | |
| III | 10 | 36 | Steuben | 608 | 2 69 | 6 69 | | | |
| IV | 15 | 37 | Allegany | 608 | 2 99 | 6 84 | | | |
| IV | 2 | 38 | Montgomery | 596 | 3 52 | 11 03 | | | |
| II | 3 | 39 | Oneida | 593 | 5 12 | 11 24 | | | |
| V | 7 | 40 | Tioga | 592 | 3 91 | 7 74 | | | |
| III IV IV III IV | 15 9 16 6 | 41 42 43 44 45 | Cattaraugus Otsego Madison St. Lawrence Chenango | 591 587 587 580 552 | 2 50 3 81 5 00 1 71 3 78 | 6 15 9 84 11 15 7 84 10 06 | | | |
| V | 8 | 46 | Lewis | 540 | 2 84 | 7 73 | | | |
| IV | 1 | 47 | Saratoga | 534 | 4 88 | 12 61 | | | |
| V | 9 | 48 | Schoharie | 532 | 3 23 | 7 51 | | | |
| V | 5 | 49 | Greene | 524 | 5 43 | 11 78 | | | |
| V | 12 | 50 | Delaware | 518 | 3 07 | 7 34 | | | |

3 COST OF GENERAL GOVERNMENT PER \$1,000 VALUATION AND PER CAPITA VALUATION

Counties arranged in order of cost of general government per \$1,000 valuation

| === | | Con- | | Cost of general | | Numb: Superv | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|--|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Class | Class No. | secutive num- ber | County | County govern- ment per \$1,000 of valuation | | From cities | From |
| V III V IV V | 13 9 1 11 5 | 1 2 3 4 5 | Hamilton Nassau Sullivan Franklin Greene | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | \$13 90 19 01 4 02 3 99 5 24 | | 9 3 15 19 14 |
| IV III IV III II | 7 13 21 11 3 | 6 7 8 9 10 | Clinton Oswego Warren Jefferson Oneida | 5 43 5 23 5 16 5 14 5 12 | 3 56 4 89 6 22 6 35 5 93 | 2 11 5 12 20 | 14 21 11 22 28 |
| IV IV IV IV II | 16 1 8 14 2 | 11 12 13 14 15 | Madison Saratoga Washington Columbia Albany | 5 00 4 88 4 79 4 59 4 50 | 5 87 5 34 4 82 6 70 7 65 | | 15 20 17 18 10 |
| III IV V IV | 5 4 13 7 9 | 16 17 18 19 20 | Ulster. Rensselaer Fulton Tioga Otsego | 4 38 4 21 4 05 3 91 3 81 | 4 00 6 98 4 71 5 92 5 87 | 13 19 10 | 20 15 10 10 24 |
| IV IV IV III II | 19 4 2 12 1 | 21 22 23 24 25 | Chenango Chemung. Montgomery Broome Onondaga | 3 78 3 58 3 52 3 42 3 34 | 5 52 6 97 5 96 6 51 9 64 | 1 12 8 13 19 | 20 11 10 16 19 |
| III V IV IV IV | 1 9 10 5 3 | 26 27 28 29 30 | Orange Schoharie Rockland Ontario Herkimer | 3 30 3 23 3 24 3 18 3 13 | 6 27 5 32 9 90 8 20 7 39 | 14 5 2 | 20 16 5 16 20 |
| III IV V IV IV | 7 12 11 15 17 | 31 32 33 34 35 | Schenectady Delaware Putnam Allegany Livingston | 3 12 3 07 3 06 2 99 2 96 | 7 65 5 18 11 53 6 08 8 44 | 13 | 5 19 6 29 17 |
| I V V I V | 1 3 6 3 8 | 36 37 38 39 40 | Erie Orleans Seneca Westchester Lewis | 2 93 2 92 2 88 2 85 2 84 | 8 36 8 53 6 93 14 64 5 40 | 34 | 25 10 10 19 18 |
| IV IV III V IV | 20 18 10 2 22 | 41 42 43 44 45 | Tompkins Genesee Steuben Essex Cortland | 2 81 2 79 2 69 2 67 2 62 | 6 81 8 66 6 08 6 12 6 26 | 5 1 6 6 | 9 12 32 18 15 |
| III III III V | 2 14 15 8 4 | 46 47 48 49 50 | Monroe Cayuga Cattaraugus Dutchess Wyoming | 2 55 2 54 2 50 2 45 2 29 | 10 11 7 15 5 91 8 14 7 04 | 22 10 9 7 | 19 23 33 20 16 |

^{1\$1.37} per \$1,000 interest on refunding bonds.
1\$3.72 per \$1,000 for repairs and improvement of county roads.

Table

PER CAPITA VALUATION AND COST OF GENERAL GOVERNMENT AND TOTAL EXPENDITURE PER \$1,000 VALUATION — concluded

Counties arranged in order of per capita wealth

| Class | Class No. | Consecu- tive number | County | Per capita valuation | Cost of general government per \$1,000 of valuation | Total expenditure per \$1,000 of valuation |
|-------|--------------|----------------------------|------------|-------------------------|--|---|
| Ш | 13 | 51 | Oswego | \$489 | \$5 23 | \$13 5 |
| IV | 8 | 52 | Washington | 482 | 4 79 | |
| ÎV | 13 | | Fulton | 471 | 4 05 | |
| v | 1 | 54 | Sullivan | 402 | 5 72 | |
| III | 5 | 55 | Ulster | 400 | 4 38 | 11 4 |
| IV | 11 | 56 | Franklin | 399 | 5 44 | 17 9 |
| IV | 7 | 57 | Clinton | 356 | 5 43 | 13 4 |
| | | | State | \$805 | \$3 28 | \$8 1 |

3 Cost of General Government Per \$1,000 Valuation and Per Capita Valuation — concluded

Counties arranged in order of cost of general government per \$1,000 valuation

| ~·· | Class | Consecu- | ass tive County | Cost of general govern- | Per capita | Number of Supervisors | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Class No. | | num- ber | County | ment per \$1,000 of valuation | valuation | From cities | From towns |
| V III IV V III | 10 4 6 12 2 | 51 52 53 54 55 | Yates Niagara Wayne Schuyler | \$2 1 2 0 2 0 1 8 1 8 | 8 9 79 7 6 62 9 6 42 | 26 | 9 12 15 8 26 |
| III | 3 6 | 56 57 | St. Lawrence | 1 7 1 7 | 7 1 13 29 5 80 | 4 | 10 32 |
| | | | State | \$3 2 | 8 \$8 05 | 142 | 295 |




TABLE 4—Board of Supervisors

Composition and Representation

Area for entire State:

This table shows the area only for that portion of the State outside of the city of New York. The area of the entire State is as follows:

| Area outside of New York | 50,031 square miles. |
|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Area New York city | 295 square miles. |
| | |
| Total | 50,325 square miles. |

Equalized valuation:

The equalized valuation used throughout these tables is that fixed by the State Board of Equalization in 1914. It is based upon the assessment of property for the year 1913, upon which assessment the taxes herein were levied. This Board estimates that the equalized value as herein contained is 85.81 per cent of the true value of the property of the State. To obtain the true value of the property of any county therefore, the amount herein given must be divided by 85.81 per cent.

Equalized valuation sufficient for comparative purpose:

These tables are intended for purposes of comparison and not for purposes of absolute determination. Nothing therefore would be gained by the computation required to show true values instead of equalized values.

Computation of tables not comparable with tax rates:

None of the figures in these tables are comparable with the tax rates in several counties for the reason that those rates are based upon the assessed valuation of the property, which according to the estimate of the State Board of Equalization varies materially from the equalized value in all counties — such estimate showing that the counties of Clinton and Warren are assessing at only 50 per cent of the true valuation of their property, while the counties of Bronx; Kings and New York are assessing at 91 per cent of their true value. The other counties assess at varying rates between these two extremes.

Tax rates not true basis for comparison of cost of government:

In view of the inequality of the rates of assessment to the true value of the property assessed, it is evident that the tax rates as fixed by the Boards of Supervisors are of little value for comparative purposes in indicating the amounts required for maintenance of the several county governments.

For any specific year the tax rates are made of still less value for such comparative purposes by reason of the fact that (1) the total amount raised by tax may include an amount to supply a deficiency from an insufficient levy of the preceding year, or (2) the rate of the current year may be insufficient to supply the funds required for the current year, or (3) through error or other cause the rate of the current year may be in excess of the rate required.

Equalized value of entire State:

This table shows the equalized value of that portion of the State outside of the city of New York. The total equalized value of the State is shown by the following:

| Equalized valuation outside of New York city Equalized valuation of New York city | . , , , |
|--|------------------|
| Total equalized valuation | \$11,385,137,127 |

A computation will show that the percentages of equalized valuations are as follows:

| Outside of New York city | |
|--------------------------|------|
| Total | 100% |

Population:

The population of the various counties is given in Table 1 and is here repeated for the purpose of facilitating the computations required in showing the average representation per supervisor.

Membership of the board:

It will be noted that while the population of the cities in the first four classes is 49.73 per cent of the total population of these classes, the city representation on boards of supervisors is but 32.41 per cent of the total number of members in boards of supervisors in these classes.

Average representation per supervisor:

The area in the county in square miles is divided by the number of supervisors to show the average number of square miles represented by each supervisor.

The equalized valuation of the county is divided by the number of supervisors to show the average amount of equalized valuation represented by each supervisor.

The total population of the county is divided by the number of supervisors to show the average population represented by each supervisor of the county.

The total city population is divided by the number of city supervisors to show the average population to each city supervisor.

The total town population is divided by the number of town supervisors to show the average population to each town supervisor.

There are added two columns showing the population of the largest town (exclusive of cities) and of the smallest town in the county.

Table 4-The Board

COMPOSITION AND

| | | | | COM | POSITION | MIND |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|-----------------------------|
| | | | Рори | LATION, 1910 | 0 • | |
| | Area, square miles ¹ | Equalized valuation, 1913 ² | Cities | Towns | Total | Num- ber of cities |
| Class I. Class II. Class III. Class III. Class IV. Class V. | 2,237 3,231 16,230 18,068 10,265 | \$1,143,586,249 503,006,792 1,021,982,395 624,069,574 205,994,568 | 804,382 459,725 509,105 232,117 | 290,870 190,672 788,038 757,478 314,344 | 1,095,252 650,397 1,297,143 989,595 314,344 | 7 8 22 16 |
| State Percentages | 50,031 | \$3,498,639,578 | 2,005,329 449.73 | 2,341,402 450.27 | 4,346,731 100 | 53 |
| COMMEN | | | | | | CLASS |
| COUNTY 1. Erie 2. Monroe 3. Westchester | 1,071 682 484 | \$442,704,449 286,436,133 414,445,667 | 446,544 218,149 139,689 | 82,441 65,063 143,366 | 528,985 283,212 283,055 | 3 1 3 |
| Class Percentages | 2,237 | \$1,143,586,249 | 804,382 73.44 | 290,870 26.56 | 1,095,252 100 | 7 |
| | | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga | 812 514 1,215 690 | \$193,117,271 132,947,413 91,538,591 85,403,517 | 137,249 140,036 94,916 87,524 | 63,049 33,630 59,241 34,752 | 200,298 173,666 154,157 122,276 | 1 3 2 2 |
| Class Percentages | 3,231 | \$503,006,792 | 459,725 70.68 | 190,672 29.32 | 650,397 100 | 8 |
| , | , | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Orange. 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk. 4. Niagara 5. Ulster. | 838 1,099 1,200 558 1,204 | \$72,698,306 68,074,381 127,800,707 90,169,715 37,123,157 | 52,682 48,518 60,410 25,908 | 63,319 56,608 96,138 31,626 65,861 | 116,001 105,126 96,138 92,036 91,769 | 3 2 3 1 |
| 6. St. Lawrence | 2,880 221 810 293 1,425 | 51,674,184 68,184,121 71,461,673 159,590,332 50,659,737 | 15,933 72,826 38,976 27,347 | 73,072 15,409 48,685 83,930 56,015 | 89,005 88,235 87,661 83,930 | 1 1 2 22 |
| 11. Jefferson | 1,868 706 1,038 756 1,334 | 51,067,566 51,351,766 35,095,438 48,024,011 39,007,301 | 26,730 48,443 33,841 34,668 22,823 | 37,823 32,438 | 71,664 67,106 | 1 1 2 1 2 |
| Class Percentages | 16,230 | \$1,021,982,395 | 509,105 39.25 | | | 22 |

Legislative manual.
 State Board of equalization. Equalization of 1914 of 1913 assessments.

REPRESENTATION

| M | EMBERSH | IP | | Average | REPRES | ENTATION | PER SU | PERVISOR | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| | | | | | | PC | PULATIO | N | | |
| From cities | From towns | Total | Square miles | Valuation | County aver- age | City aver- age | Town aver- age | Larg- est town | Small- est town | |
| 70 86 142 69 | 63 72 295 346 159 | 133 158 437 415 159 | 16 20 37 43 65 | \$8,286,856 3,143,790 2,338,632 1,503,782 1,295,563 | 7,936 4,060 2,968 2,384 1,977 | 10,725 5,224 3,585 3,364 | 4,617 2,648 2,670 2,189 1,977 | 23,193 8,335 44,297 14,370 9,066 | 725 563 343 178 143 | II III IV V |
| 367 4 32 . 40 | 935 467.60 | 1,302 100 | 36 | \$2,676,847 | 3,318 | 45,390 | 42,610 | 44,297 | 143 | State |
| | | ; | | | | | | | | |
| 29 22 19 | 25 19 19 | 54 41 38 | 18 16 13 | \$7,503,465 6,436,133 10,906,465 | 8,966 7,151 7,449 | 13,133 9,915 7,352 | 3,298 3,424 7,545 | 9,663 7,777 23,193 | 1,382 1,853 725 | |
| 70 54.35 | 45.65 | 133 100 | 16 | \$8,286,856 | 7,936 | 10,725 | 4,617 | 23,193 | 725 | |
| I | | | | | | | · · | | | |
| 19 28 20 19 | 19 10 28 15 | 38 38 48 34 | 21 13 26 15 | \$5,082,033 3,498,616 1,823,721 2,247,461 | 5,271 4,570 3,211 3,218 | 7,223 5,000 4,745 4,606 | 3,318 3,368 2,112 2,316 | 7,422 8,335 7,798 8,315 | 1 3077 | |
| 86 54.43 | 72 45.57 | 158 100 | 18 | \$3,143,790 | 4,060 | 5,224 | 2,648 | 8,335 | 563 | |
| III | | | 1 | ,, | (1 | | | , | , | .1 |
| 14 4 26 13 | 26 10 12 | 30 10 38 | 35 120 14 | 12,780,070 2,372,861 | $ \begin{array}{c c} 3,391 \\ 9,613 \\ 2,422 \end{array} $ | 9,703 | 2,177 9,613 2,635 | 7,141 7,309 18,346 4,956 9,632 | 1,064 417 | |
| 4 13 7 | 5 20 3 | 18 27 3 | 12 30 98 | 3,788,007 $2,646,728$ $53,196,777$ | $ \begin{array}{c c} 4,902 \\ 3,246 \\ 27,977 \end{array} $ | 5,602 | 3,082 2,434 27,977 | 8,725 5,406 3,813 44,297 8,554 | 684 827 17,831 | |
| 12 13 11 10 | 16 21 23 | 29 32 33 | 24 32 23 | 1,770,750 $1,096,732$ $1,455,270$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 2,239 \\ 2,033 \end{bmatrix}$ | 3,466 | 1,898 1,801 1,410 | 6,218 9,486 3,791 2,339 3,398 | 536 667 613 | |
| 142 32.42 | 295 | | | \$2,338,632 | | - | | 44,297 | 343 | |

U. S. Census of 1910. Class V excluded.

Table 4.— The Board

Composition and

| | | | Рорт | lation, 191 | O 3 | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|
| COUNTY | Area, square miles 1 | Equalized valuation, 1913 ² | Cities | Towns | Total | Num- ber of cities |
| | | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga 2. Montgomery 3. Herkimer 4. Chemung 5. Ontario | 862 351 1,745 406 640 | \$33,077,136 34,397,926 41,667,393 38,312,663 42,877,818 | 31,267 12,273 37,176 19,363 | 61,917 26,300 44,083 17,486 32,923 | 61,917 57,567 56,356 54,662 52,286 | 1 1 1 2 |
| 6. Wayne | 624 1,092 850 1,038 208 | 33,246,744 17,208,870 23,021,442 27,724,886 46,440,839 | 11,138 | 50,179 37,092 47,778 37,719 46,873 | 50,179 48,230 47,778 47,216 46,873 | i i |
| 11: Franklin 12. Delaware 13. Fulton 14. Columbia 15. Allegany | 1,718 1,580 544 688 1,033 | 18,270,917 23,620,771 20,986,374 29,258,244 25,208,465 | 31,089 11,417 | 45,717 45,575 13,445 32,241 41,412 | 45,717 45,575 44,534 43,658 41,412 | 2 1 |
| 16. Madison. 17. Livingston. 18. Genesee. 19. Chenango. 20. Tompkins. | 670 655 507 898 506 | 23,060,775 32,132,076 32,596,992 19,640,539 22,943,949 | 8,313 11,613 7,422 14,802 | 30,976 38,037 26,002 28,153 18,845 | 39,289 38,037 37,615 35,575 33,647 | 1 1 1 1 |
| 21. Warren | 968 485 | 20,054,833 18,319,922 | $15,243 \\ 11,504$ | 16,980 17,745 | $32,223 \\ 29,249$ | 1 1 |
| Class Percentages | 18,068 | \$624,069,574 | 232,117 23.45 | 757,478 76.55 | 989,595 100 | 16 |
| , | 1 | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan. 2. Essex. 3. Orleans 4. Wyoming. 5. Greene. | 1,082 1,926 405 590 686 | 27,305,972 | | 33,808 33,458 32,000 31,880 30,214 | 33,808 33,458 32,000 31,880 30,214 | |
| 6. Seneca 7. Tioga 8. Lewis 9. Schoharie 10. Yates | 420 542 1,288 675 320 | $18,712,291 \\ 15,179,815 \\ 13,421,541 \\ 12,709,167 \\ 14,262,547$ | | 26,972 25,624 24,849 23,855 18,642 | 26,972 25,624 24,849 23,855 18,642 | |
| 11. Putnam | 234 352 1,745 | 16,921,383 9,004,284 6,079,702 | | 14,665 14,004 4,373 | $ \begin{array}{c} 14,665 \\ 14,004 \\ 4,373 \end{array} $ | |
| Class | 10,265 | \$205,994,568 | | 314,344 | 314,344 | |

Representation — concluded

| M | EMBERSH | IIP | | AVERAGE F | REPRESEN | TATION I | PER SUPE | RVISOR | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | |] | P | OPULATIO | N | | | |
| From cities | From towns | Total | Square miles | Valuation | County aver- age | City aver- age | Town aver- age | Larg- est town | Small- est town | | |
| IV | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 2 12 5 | 20 10 20 11 16 | 20 18 22 23 21 | 43 19 74 17 30 | \$1,153,856 1,910,945 1,848,517 1,655,407 2,041,800 | 3,095 3,198 2,562 2,367 2,489 | 3,908 6,136 3,096 3,872 | 3,095 2,630 2,204 1,589 2,057 | $\begin{array}{c} 13,710 \\ 4,645 \\ 10,160 \\ 5,376 \\ 4,733 \end{array}$ | 520 900 178 476 559 |] 22 23 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 | |
| | 15 14 17 24 5 | 15 16 17 27 5 | 41 68 50 38 41 | 2,216,414 1,075,554 1,354,202 1,026,847 9,288,167 | 3,345 3,014 2,810 1,378 9,374 | 5,569 3,165 | 3,345 2,656 2,810 1,571 9,374 | 8,672 4,637 7,080 4,287 14,370 | 1,586 1,588 504 476 3,651 | 10 | |
| 10 5 | 19 19 10 18 29 | 19 19 20 23 29 | 90 83 27 29 35 | 961,627 1,243,199 2,098,637 1,625,458 869,257 | 2,406 2,419 2,226 1,898 1,428 | 3,108 2,283 | 2,406 2,419 1,344 1,791 1,428 | 10,154 5,191 2,511 4,114 5,663 | 675 912 441 720 458 | 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: | |
| 4 1 1 1 5 | 15 17 12 20 9 | 19 17 13 21 14 | 35 38 39 42 36 | 1,213,725 1,890,122 2,507,461 935,264 1,638,853 | 2,067 2,237 3,893 1,694 2,402 | 2,078 11,613 7,422 2,960 | 2,065 2,237 2,167 1,407 2,093 | 8,317 4,328 5,442 3,014 3,289 | 807 730 1,288 371 1,000 | 16 17 18 19 20 | |
| 5 6 | 11 15 | 16 21 | 60 23 | 1,253,427 872,377 | 2,013 1,389 | 3,048 1,917 | 1,543 1,183 | 2,667 3,891 | 805 475 | 2: | |
| 69 17.15 | 346 82.85 | 415 100 | 43 | \$1,503,782 | 2,384 | 3,364 | 2,189 | 14,370 | 178 | | |
| v | | | | | | | | , | | 1 | |
| | 15 18 10 16 14 | 15 18 10 16 14 | 72 107 49 37 49 | \$907,121 1,137,751 2,730,597 1,403,504 1,132,532 | 2,253 1,858 3,200 1,992 2,301 | | 2,253 1,853 3,200 1,992 2,301 | 5,402 6,754 6,455 5,360 9,066 | $545 \\ 434 \\ 1,335 \\ 615 \\ 331$ | 1 2 3 4 5 | |
| | 10 10 18 16 9 | 10 10 18 16 9 | 42 54 67 42 35 | 1,871,229 1,517,981 745,641 794,385 1,584,727 | 2,697 2,562 1,307 1,490 2,071 | | 2,697 2,562 1,307 1,490 2,071 | 7,407 6,431 3,875 3,579 6,088 | 900 846 409 616 861 | 6 7 8 9 10 | |
| | 6 8 9 | 6 8 9 | 39 38 193 | 2,820,239 1,125,535 675,522 | $2,444 \\ 1,750 \\ 485$ | | $\substack{2,444\\1,759\\485}$ | 5,345 $3,514$ 1.149 | 924 345 143 | 11 12 13 | |
| | 159 | 159 | 65 | \$1,295,563 | 1,977 | | 1,977 | 9,066 | 143 | | |

TABLE 5

Boards of Supervisors—Services of Members

Membership:

This information is here repeated from Table 4 for purposes of computation and information.

Number of days in session:

Where the report of the County Treasurer has shown the number of days during which the respective boards of supervisors were in session, such reports have here followed. In a considerable number of counties the reports of the county treasurers have failed to show this fact and the number of days has been determined by an examination of the proceedings of the several boards for the year 1913.

Number of days' service paid for:

Session work.— Except where salaried, the supervisors of this State are entitled to \$4.00 per day for attendance at sessions of their respective boards. The number of days for which supervisors have been paid for attendance for session work has been determined by dividing the amount reported by the county treasurers (see Table 7) as paid to supervisors for such services by 4.

COMMITTEE WORK.— The reports of the treasurers as tabulated in Table 7 show the amount paid supervisors for committee work. The amount so given has been divided by the rate per day (\$4.00 in all counties except one) to determine the number of days for which such supervisors were paid for committee work.

Tax commissioners' meetings.— The reports of the county treasurers show the amount paid supervisors for attendance at tax commissioners' meetings in their respective counties (see Table 16). This amount has been divided by the rate per day (\$4.00) to determine the number of days for which they were paid.

BOARD OF COUNTY CANVASSERS.— The reports of the county treasurers show the amount paid supervisors for services in attendance at the boards of county canvassers (amount not shown in these tables). The amount as given has been divided by the rate per day to determine the number of days for which the supervisors were paid for these services.

Salaried supervisors:

IVI

In the case of salaried supervisors, the total number of days the board was in session has been multiplied by the number of supervisors in the county and the product inserted in column for session work. There are no means of determining the number of days service given to committee work in counties where the salary covers this work.

Accuracy of days' service for which supervisors were paid:

In a number of county treasurers' reports it is apparent that the treasurer has included as a part of the compensation of the supervisors, the allowance for mileage. There are no means of determining the amount of this mileage. Hence in making the division above the total amount given has been used as a dividend. In some cases this undoubtedly results in showing a slight excess in the number of days service for which payments are made.

Table 5 - The Board

SERVICES OF

| | | | | | SERV | ICES OF |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | M | EMBERSHIP | 1 | Number | OF DAYS IN | N Session ² |
| | Cities | Towns | Total | Annual session | Regular sessions | Special sessions |
| Class I | 75 86 142 69 | 63 72 295 346 159 | 138 158 437 415 159 | 59 76 318 510 358 | 68 33 30 64 15 | 3 9 123 80 87 |
| State | 372 | 935 | 1,307 | 1,321 | 210 | 302 |
| | 1 | | | | | CLASS |
| COUNTY 1. Erie | 34 22 19 | 25 19 19 | 59 41 38 | 9 9 41 | 40 20 8 | 3 |
| Totals | 75 25 | 63 21 | 138 46 | 59 20 | 68 23 | 3 3 |
| | | | | <u>-</u> | | |
| 1. Onondaga | 19 | 191 | 38 | 11 | 19 | CLASS |
| 2. Albany 3. Oneida 4. Rensselaer | 28 20 19 | 10 28 15 | 38 48 34 | 26 30 9 | 10 11 | 8 |
| Totals | 86 21 | 72 18 | 158 39 | 76 19 | 33 11 | 9 4 |
| | | | (| , | | CLASS |
| 1. Orange. 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara 5. Ulster. | 14 4 26 13 | 20 26 10 12 20 | 34 30 10 38 33 | 22 6 14 14 18 | 1 6 · · · · 4 | 4 3 31 3 |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess. 9. Nassau. 10. Steuben. | 13 7 6 | 32 5 20 3 32 | 36 18 27 3 38 | 31 10 16 52 12 | 12 | $\begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 7 \\ 3 \\ 40 \\ 2 \end{array}$ |
| 11. Jefferson | 12 13 11 10 9 | 22 16 21 23 33 | 34 29 32 33 42 | 26 16 26 47 8 | | 3 11 3 2 |
| TotalsAverages | 142 11 | 295 20 | 437 29 | 318 21 | 30 4 | 123 9 |

From table 4.
 From reports of county treasurers and printed proceedings of boards.
 Amount reported as paid for compensation divided by rate per day. In many cases the report probably includes charges for mileage, hence the number of days may be slightly excessive.

MEMBERS

| | Number | of Days' Serv | ICE FOR WHICE | 4 Supervisors | WERE PAID | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Total | Session work ³ | Committee work ³ | Tax com- missioners' meeting 3 | Board of county canvassers ³ | Total | |
| 130 118 471 654 460 | 6,839 13,302 12,691 | 501 5,962 5,952 2,083 | 183 68 174 399 643 | 11 826 140 140 | 6,084 7,419 20,264 19,182 9,842 | III III IV V |
| 1,833 | 45,709 | 14,498 | 1,467 | 1,117 | 62,791 | State |
| I | 1 | | | | | , |
| 49 32 49 | 41,148 | | 183 | | 3,074 1,148 1,862 | 1 2 3 |
| 130 43 | | | 183 183 | | 6,084 2,028 | |
| II | | | | | | 1 |
| 23 34 40 21 | 41,292 41,920 | | 27 | * | 901 1,292 2,227 2,999 | $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3\\4 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| 118 29 | | 501 250 | 68 34 | 11 11 | 7,419 1,855 | |
| III | | | | | | 1 |
| 27 15 45 21 18 | 450 481 4798 | 374 338 | 53 | 124 326 232 | 2,032 824 943 1,124 2,176 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 42 29 19 92 15 | 4 522 4 523 382 | 611 | * | * | 1,513 522 523 993 1,354 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| · 29 29 29 49 12 | 1,536 | * 766 55 | 88 | * * * 79 | 986 2,387 1,694 1,670 1,523 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| 471 | 13,302 887 | 5,962 596 | 174 58 | 826 165 | 20,264 1,351 | |
| | | | | | | |

⁴ Annual salaries. Product of number of members multiplied by days in session. *Not reported.

Table 5 — The Board

SERVICES OF

| | | | | | DERV | TCES OF |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| | I | MEMBERSHIP | 1 | Nı | JMBER OF D | PAYS IN SES |
| COUNTY | Cities | Towns | Total | Annual session | Regular sessions | Special sessions |
| | | , | | 1 | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga 2. Montgomery 3. Herkimer 4. Chemung 5. Ontario | 8 2 12 5 | 20 10 20 11 16 | 20] 18 22] 23 21] | 35 21 21 30 18 | 5 5 19 | 12 5 5 4 |
| 6. Wayne | 2 | 15 14 17 24 5 | 15 16 17 27 5 | 35 26 12 17 16 | 3 | 5 5 18 |
| 11. Franklin 12. Delaware 13. Fulton 14. Columbia 15. Allegany | 10 5 | 19 19 10 18 29 | 19 19 20 23 29 | 26 15 43 9 14 | 2 | 4 1 9 |
| 16. Madison 17. Livingston 18. Genesee 19. Chenango 20. Tompkins | 1 1 1 5 | 15 17 12 20 9 | 19 17 13 21 14 | 24 28 33 18 27 | 12 | 4 3 2 |
| 21. Warren | 5 6 | 11 15 | 16 21 | 29 31 | 7 | $\frac{2}{1}$ |
| TotalsAverages | 69 | 346 | 415 19 | 528 23 | 64 7 | 80 5 |
| | | | | | | CLASS |
| 3. Orleans | | 15 18 10 16 14 | 15 18 10 16 14 | 24 10 41 11 46 | | 12 1 7 8 15 |
| 6. Seneca | | 10 10 18 16 9 | 10 10 18 16 9 | 46 26 33 42 24 | 6 | 15 2 .14 |
| 11. Putnam | | 6 8 9 | 6 8 9 | 13 26 16 | 9 | 2 6 4 |
| TotalsAverages | | 159 12 | 159 12 | 358 27 | 15 7 | 87 7 |

From table 4.
 From resorts of county treasurers and printed proceedings of boards.
 Amount reported as paid for compensation divided by rate per day. In many cases the report probably includes charges for mileage, hence the number of days may be slightly excessive.
 All sessions and committee work. In part salaried.

Members — concluded

| SION 2 | Number o | F DAYS' SERVI | CES FOR WHICH | H SUPERVISORS | WERE PAID | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| Total | Session work ³ | Committees work ³ | Tax com- missioners' meeting ³ | Board of county canvassers 3 | Total | |
| IV | | | | | | |
| 47 31 31 34 37 | 836 4 576 5 661 781 869 | 688 221 885 433 | 51 16 51 | 3 19 | 1,575 576 898 1,720 1,321 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 43 26 12 22 34 | 645 416 254 581 6170 | 180 127 107 210 445 | 36 81 | 8 19 22 | 833 543 416 894 615 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| 30 16 52 11 14 | 519 289 1,070 6 253 404 | 150 7439 805 | 18 | 32 * 19 | 719 728 1,894 253 555 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| 36 32 41 21 32 | 689 522 533 879 516 | 127 113 92 129 363 | 81 | 6 | 816 722 625 1,008 879 | 16 17 18 19 20 |
| 38 32 | 564 664 | 207 80 | 27 38 | 12 | 810 782 | 21 22 |
| 672 30 | 12,691 577 | 5,952 298 | 399 44 | 140 15 | 19,182 872 | |
| V | | | 0.7.11 | | | |
| 36 11 48 19 61 | 519 350 460 300 816 | 696 26 324 50 * | 254 * 10 * 182 | 18 | 1,469 394 794 350 998 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 61 32 35 56 25 | 816 350 633 911 214 | * 208 62 209 44 | 143 23 17 1 | * 114 | 959 581 712 1,235 258 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| 24 32 20 | 335 256 1,016 | 409 55 * | 13 | 8 * | $\begin{array}{c} 744 \\ 332 \\ 1,016 \end{array}$ | 11 12 13 |
| 460 | 6,976 536 | 2,083 208 | 643 80 | 140 47 | 9,842 757 | |

<sup>Includes regular and special sessions. In part salaried.
Salaried. Product of number of board by number of days in session.
Includes special sessions.
Not reported.</sup>

TABLE 6 - Board of Supervisors

SUPERVISION COUNTY FINANCES.

Total and per unit costs:

The supervision of the county finances and property is entrusted by statute to the board of supervisors. This work is performed by the members of this board or by officers or employees of the county directly under the control of this board. Various general or special statutes have created specific officers in certain counties whose duties consist solely in performing services elsewhere performed by members of the board or in aiding said board in the performance of its duty. (See Table 9.)

Description of table:

The amounts of columns 1, 2 and 3 are here repeated from preceding tables for the purpose of computation and information in this table. The cost of services of boards, expenses of the board and additional supervising officers are inserted from supporting tables 7, 8 and 9 respectively.

The average compensation per supervisor is determined by dividing the amount paid for services of members (column 4) by the number of supervisors (column 3).

The average cost of supervision per supervisor is obtained by dividing the total cost of supervision by the number of supervisors.

The per capita cost of supervision is obtained by dividing the total cost of supervision by the population (see Table 4) of the county; the cost per \$1,000 valuation by dividing the total cost of supervision by the equalized valuation (see Table 4) of the county.

Percentages of cost and extremes:

The percentages borne to the total cost of supervision by the several items composing this amount have been ascertained and inserted in the second summary table.

It will be noted that there is a wide variation in the relationship existing between the amounts of these several items in the various counties of the State. In some counties practically the whole of the detail work is performed by the members of the board. In others this work is performed by employees of the board or by specially designated officers.

Extremes are given in the second summary table for purposes of information.

Comments:

It is evident that the cost of supervision varies widely in the counties of this State. The excessively high cost of supervision may be (and in some counties undoubtedly is) due to extravagant and often illegal expenditures by the board. The excessively low cost of supervision may be (and in some counties undoubtedly is) due to the failure of the board to give sufficient time to finding out what the duties of such board are and to neglect to properly perform the functions of government imposed by law upon the board.

The late statutes of this State have largely increased the number and importance of the duties of this body. As a necessary result, this work has become complicated and many of the members of boards of supervisors have failed to familiarize themselves with the work required. Too often they are following the now antiquated and obsolete methods in use in and well adapted to the less complicated and simpler business procedure of this body twenty or thirty years ago.

Table 6 — The Board

THE SUPERVISION OF COUNTY FINANCES -

| | | Number of Supervisors 1 | | Familiand | | BOARD OF |
|------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| | Total | Average per county | Popula- tion, 1910 ² | Equalized valuation, 1913 ³ | Services of members 4 | Expenses of board ⁵ |
| Class I Class II | 133 158 437 415 159 1,302 | 44 39 29 19 12 7 23 | 1,095,252 650,397 1,297,143 989,595 314,344 4,346,731 | 503,006,792 1,021,982,395 624,069,574 | \$123,401 63 73,004 37 146,736 56 106,949 75 47,554 64 \$497,646 95 | 60,280 16 |

PERCENTAGES OF TOTAL

| | Вол | Board of Supervisors | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Services of members, per cent | Expenses of board, per cent | Total, per cent | Additional supervisory officers, per cent | | | |
| Class I. Class II. Class III. Class IV. Class IV. | 62.42 57.13 61.40 63.66 65.37 | 18.19 23.27 25.22 29.02 33.05 | 92.68 | 19.39 19.60 13.38 7.32 1.58 | | | |
| State | 11 61.80 | 11 24 . 69 | 11 86.49 | 11 13.51 | | | |

¹ From Table 5.
2 From Table 1.
3 From Table 4.
4 From Table 7.
5 From Table 8.
6 From Table 9.

TOTAL AND PER UNIT COSTS

| Supervisors | Additional | Total Average | | AVERAGE C | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|--|--|--|---|----------------|
| Total | supervising officers 6 | cost of supervision | compensa- tion per supervisor | Per supervisor | Per capita | Per \$1,000 valuation | |
| \$159,375 12 102,740 76 207,016 72 155,697 00 71,595 89 \$696,425 49 | \$38,319 46 25,043 90 31,967 66 12,290 88 1,146 00 \$108,767 90 | | \$934 83 462 05 337 32 254 64 299 09 8 \$380 75 | \$1,497 68 808 76 549 39 399 97 457 50 8 \$616 06 | \$0 18 20 18 17 23 9 \$0 19 | \$0 17 25 23 27 35 10 \$0 23 | III IV V |

COST AND EXTREMES

| Total cost of supervision, per cent | Highest compensa- tion per supervisor | Lowest compensa- tion per supervisor | Highest per capita cost | Lowest per capita cost | Highest cost per \$1,000 valuation | Lowest cost per \$1,000 valuation | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 100 00 | \$1,096 54 | \$573 54 | \$0 22 | \$0 16 | \$0 19 | \$0 15 | |
| 100 00 | 601 17 | 342 12 | 24 | 17 | 34 | 22 | |
| 100 00 | 6,400 37 | 154 88 | 43 | 08 | 35 | 13 | |
| 100 00 | 912 91 | 107 58 | 26 | 08 | 39 | 12 | |
| 100 00 | 732 28 | 129 34 | 1 59 | 10 | 1 14 | 14 | |
| 100 00 | \$6,400 37 | \$107 58 | | \$0 08 | | \$0 12 | |

⁷ Average for State, total number divided by 57.
⁸ Average for State; total cost divided by 57.
⁹ Total cost for State divided by total population.
¹⁰ Total cost for State divided by total equalized valuation.
¹¹ Cost for items, columns 5-8, divided by total cost of supervision column 9.

Table 6 — The Board

Cost of Supervision of County Finances —

| | Num- ber | | Equalized | Boar | D OF SUPERV | ISORS |
|--|----------------------------|---|---|--|--|---|
| COUNTY | of super- visors | Popula- tion | valuation 1913 | Services of members | Expenses of board | Total |
| · | | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Erie | 54 · 41 38 | 528,985 283,212 283,055 | \$442,704,449 286,436,133 414,445,667 | \$58,217 72 23,515 26 41,668 65 | \$9,898 93 12,470 87 13,603 69 | |
| Totals Averages | 133 44 | 1,095,252 365,084 | \$1,143,586,249 381,195,416 | \$123,401 63 41,133 88 | \$35,973 49 11,991 16 | \$159,375 12 53,125 04 |
| | | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga 2. Albany 3. Oneida 4. Rensselaer | 38 38 48 34 | | \$193,117,271 132,947,413 91,538,591 85,403,517 | \$22,867 24 21,217 79 16,421 91 12,497 43 | \$11,191 95 7,445 80 5,373 71 5,724 93 | \$34,059 19 28,663 59 21,795 62 |
| Totals Averages | 158 39 | 650,397 162,599 | \$503,006,792 125,751,698 | \$73,004 37 18,251 09 | \$29,736 39 7,434 09 | \$102,740 76 25,685 19 |
| ' | | | | 1 | | CLASS |
| 1. Orange | 34 30 10 38 33 | 116,001 105,126 96,138 92,036 91,769 | \$72,698,306 68,074,381 127,800,707 90,169,715 37,123,157 | \$11,229 03 4,646 40 15,718 31 12,563 27 10,081 19 | \$7,014 82 4,566 40 7,285 40 4,536 73 5,557 13 | \$18,243 85 9,212 80 23,003 71 17,100 00 |
| 6. St. Lawrence 7. Schenectady 8. Dutchess 9. Nassau 10. Steuben | 36 18 27 3 38 | \$9,005 \$8,235 \$7,661 83,930 83,362 | 51,674,184 68,184,121 71,461,673 159,590,332 50,659,737 | 8,006 78 9,316 69 5,332 31 19,201 11 10,929 21 | 1,524 45 4,755 61 5,854 44 4,959 47 3,630 29 | 14,072 30 11,186 75 24,160 58 |
| 11. Jefferson | 34 29 32 33 42 | 80,382 78,809 71,664 67,106 65,919 | 51,067,566 51,351,766 35,095,438 48,024,011 39,007,301 | 6,115 22 7,726 09 9,715 54 8,242 44 7,912 97 | 2,780 89 2,377 14 1,620 45 2,027 15 1,789 79 | 10,103 23 11,335 99 10,269 59 |
| Totals Averages | 437 29 | 1,297,143 86,476 | \$1,021,982,395 68,133,159 | \$146,736 56 9,782 44 | | \$207,016 72 13,801 12 |

TOTAL AND PER UNIT COSTS — concluded

| Additional | m 1 | Average | TOTAL COST OF SUPERVISION | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| supervising officers | Total cost of supervision | compensation per supervisor | Per supervisor | Per capita | Per \$1,000 valuation | |
| I | | | ' | | | 11 |
| \$17,166 20 13,878 62 7,274 64 | \$85,282 85 49,864 75 62,546 98 | 1,078 10 573 54 1,096 54 | 1,216 21 | \$0 16 17 22 | \$0 19 17 15 | $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| \$38,319 46 12,773 15 | \$197,694 58 65,898 19 | \$934 83 | \$1,497 68 | \$0 18 | \$0 17 | |
| II | | | | | | 1 |
| \$9,056 70 3,702 25 9,737 04 2,547 91 | \$43,115 89 32,365 84 31,532 66 20,770 27 | \$601 17 558 36 342 12 367 57 | \$1,134 62 851 73 656 93 610 31 | \$0 21 18 24 17 | \$0 22 24 34 24 | $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3\\4 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| \$25,043 90 6,260 97 | \$127,784 66 31,946 18 | \$462 05 | \$808 76 | \$0.20 | \$0 25 | |
| III | | | | | | |
| \$1,725 15 2,627 98 1,244 73 1,731 89 | \$19,969 00 9,212 80 25,631 69 18,344 73 17,370 21 | \$330 26 154 88 1,571 83 330 61 305 46 | \$587 32 307 09 2,563 16 482 75 526 37 | \$0 17 08 26 20 19 | \$0 27 13 20 20 47 | 2 13 4 25 |
| $\begin{array}{c} 500 & 00 \\ 6,415 & 71 \\ \hline \\ 12,139 & 45 \\ 2,575 & 22 \\ \end{array}$ | 10,031 23 20,488 01 11,186 75 36,300 03 17,134 72 | 222 41 517 59 197 49 6,400 37 290 24 | 278 64 1,082 66 414 32 12,100 01 453 54 | 11 23 12 43 21 | 19 30 15 22 34 | £6 7 8 9 |
| 658 88 1,200 00 1,148 65 | 8,896 11 10,762 11 12,535 99 11,418 24 9,702 76 | 173 97 266 41 303 61 249 77 197 82 | 261 65 371 11 391 75 346 01 242 57 | 11 14 17 17 17 | 15 21 35 24 25 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| \$31,967 66 2,906 15 | \$238,984 38 15,932 29 | \$337 32 | \$549 39 | \$0.18 | \$0 23 | |

Table 6 — The Board

Cost of Supervision of County

| | Num- ber of Popula- super- visors | Equalized valuation 1913 | Board of Supervisors | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| COUNTY | | | Services of members | Expenses of board | Total | | | |
| | CLASS | | | | | | | |
| 1. Saratoga 2. Montgomery 3. Herkimer 4. Chemung 5. Ontario | 20 18 22 23 21 | 61,917 57,567 56,356 54,662 52,286 | \$33,077,136 34,397,926 41,667,393 38,312,663 42,877,818 | \$7,646 17 9,083 32 5,126 60 8,208 39 5,996 22 | \$4,926 18 1,707 08 2,644 16 2,746 92 3,290 90 | $\begin{bmatrix} \$12,572 & 35 \\ 10,790 & 40 \\ 7,770 & 76 \\ 10,955 & 31 \end{bmatrix}$ | | |
| 6. Wayne | 15 16 17 27 5 | 50,179 48,230 47,778 47,216 46,873 | 33,246,744 17,208,870 23,021,442 27,724,886 46,440,839 | 3,858 33 2,997 86 2,590 68 5,615 23 4,564 57 | 2,044 23 2,646 33 1,075 67 2,588 91 2,073 60 | 5,902 56 5,644 19 3,666 35 8,204 14 6,638 17 | | |
| 11. Franklin | 19 19 20 23 29 | 45,717 45,575 44,534 43,658 41,412 | $\substack{18,270,917\\23,620,771\\20,986,374\\29,258,244\\25,208,465}$ | 3,105 33 3,837 33 8,955 89 7,483 90 3,119 78 | 2,531 11 987 85 2,887 00 3,715 78 1,940 63 | 5,636 44 4,825 18 11,842 89 11,199 68 5,060 41 | | |
| 16. Madison 17. Livingston 18. Genesee 19. Chenango 20. Tompkins | 19 17 13 21 14 | 39,289 38,037 37,615 35,575 33,647 | 23,060,775 32,132,076 32,596,992 19,640,539 22,943,949 | 4,846 75 3,137 37 2,654 00 3,567 72 3,522 38 | 1,878 80 1,454 04 1,535 93 1,138 48 1,379 04 | 6,725 55 4,591 41 4,189 93 4,706 20 4,901 42 | | |
| 21. Warren 22. Cortland | 16 21 | $\frac{32,223}{29,249}$ | 20,054,833 18,319,922 | 3,585 50 3,446 43 | $2,786\ 35\ 768\ 26$ | 6,371 85 4,214 69 | | |
| Totals Averages | 415 19 | 989,595 44,981 | \$624,069,574 28,366,799 | \$106,949 75 4,861 35 | \$48,747 25 2,215 78 | \$155,697 00 7,077 13 | | |
| 1 | | | | | | CLASS | | |
| 1. Sullivan | 15 18 10 16 14 | 33,808 33,458 32,000 31,880 30,214 | \$13,606,822 20,479,525 27,305,972 22,456,067 15,855,452 | \$5,536 04 3,042 88 4,136 33 2,069 50 4,616 03 | \$5,599 01 2,588 07 1,997 34 1,330 08 | \$11,135 05 5,630 95 6,133 67 3,399 58 5,616 03 | | |
| 6. Seneca | 10 9 19 16 9 | 26,972 25,624 24,849 23,855 18,642 | 18,712,291 $15,179,815$ $13,421,541$ $12,709,167$ $14,262,547$ | 4,736 85 2,877 86 3,945 53 4,983 18 1,444 40 | 2,205 37 908 20 1,185 40 1,909 82 481 81 | 6,942 22 3,786 06 5,130 93 6,893 00 1,926 21 | | |
| 11. Putnam | 6 8 9 | $14,665 \\ 14,004 \\ 4,373$ | 16,921,383 9,004,284 6,079,702 | 4,393 68 1,487 60 4,284 76 | $\substack{1,352 \ 88 \\ 807 \ 83 \\ 2,675 \ 44}$ | 5,746 56 2,295 43 6,960 20 | | |
| TotalsAverages | 159 12 | 314,344 24,180 | \$205,994,568 15,845,736 | \$47,554 64 3,658 05 | \$24,041 25 1,849 33 | \$71,595 89 5,507 33 | | |

FINANCES — TOTAL AND PER UNIT COSTS

| | m . 1 | Average | Total Cost of Supervision | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Additional supervising officers | Total cost of supervision | compensation per supervisor | Per supervisor | Per capita | Per \$1,000 of valuation | |
| IV | | , | I | 1 , | ' | 1 |
| \$504 02' 550 38 3,227 34 2,051 86 1,085 00 | \$13,076 37 11,340 78 10,998 10 13,007 17 10,372 12 | \$382 30 454 16 233 05 356 88 285 53 | \$753 81 630 04 499 91 591 23 493 91 | \$0 21 19 19 24 19 | \$0 39 33 26 33 24 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 746 28 | 5,902 56 6,390 47 3,666 35 8,204 14 8,268 49 | 257 22 187 36 152 39 207 97 912 91 | 393 50 399 40 215 66 303 85 1,653 69 | 12 14 08 17 17 | 17 37 16 29 18 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| 100 00 | 5,636 44 4,825 18 11,842 89 11,299 68 5,060 41 | 163 44 201 96 447 79 325 38 107 58 | 296 65 253 95 592 14 491 29 174 49 | 12 10 26 25 12 | 32 24 56 38 20 | 11 12 13 .14 15 |
| 773 10 | 7,498 65 4,591 41 4,189 93 4,706 20 4,901 42 | 255 09 184 55 165 87 155 12 251 59 | 394 66 270 08 261 20 204 62 350 10 | 19 12 11 14 14 | 32 14 12 26 21 | 16 17 18 19 20 |
| 1.392 58 230 00 | 7,764 43 4,444 69 | 224 09 164 11 | 485 27 211 65 | 20 11 | 38 24 | 21 22 |
| \$12,290 88 1,117 35 | \$167,987 88 7,635 81 | \$254 64 | \$399 97 | \$0 17 | \$0.27 | |
| V | | | | | , | 1 |
| \$146 00 1,000 00 | \$11,281 05 6,630 95 6,133 67 3,399 58 5,616 03 | \$369 06 143 78 413 63 129 34 398 25 | \$752 07 368 38 613 36 212 41 465 76 | 20 19 10 | \$0 82 32 22 15 41 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| | 6,942 22 3,786 06 5,130 93 6,893 00 1,926 21 | 473 68 319 76 207 66 311 32 160 04 | 694 22 420 67 270 05 430 81 231 60 | 25 15 21 29 11 | 37 25 28 54 14 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| | 5,746 56 2,295 43 6,960 20 | 732 28 185 95 476 08 | 957 76 286 93 773 35 | 39 16 1 59 | $\begin{array}{c} 34 \\ 25 \\ 1 \ 14 \end{array}$ | 11 12 13 |
| \$1,146 00 573 00 | \$72,741 89 5,595 53 | \$299 09 | \$457 50 | \$0 23 | \$0 35 | |



TABLE 7

SUPERVISOR'S COMPENSATION

Purpose of table:

This table shows the amount paid to supervisors for all services performed for the benefit of the county in the supervision of county finances.

In addition to their compensation for services in supervision of county finances, the supervisors receive compensation for attendance at the tax commissioners' meetings (table 16) and in some counties (with questionable legality) for their services as members of the board of county canvassers.

The annual salaries are fixed at varying rates by legislative enactment. Sometimes the salary covers all services, sometimes only a part of the services.

The per diem compensation is fixed by the Legislature at \$4 per day, except in Oswego county, where the rate for committee work is \$3 per day.

The amount for extending taxes is practically one cent for each tax extended.

The amount for copying rolls is practically one cent for each line copied.

In many cases the reports of county treasurers do not state separately the two last items.

Mileage in nearly all counties for attendance at sessions is fixed by law at eight cents per mile.

Regular and special sessions:

For distinction between regular and special sessions, see description of Table 5.

Table 7 —

Supervisors'

| | Number | Appual | | Per Diem | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | of super- visors | Total | salaries | Annual session | Regular | | |
| Class I. Class II. Class III. Class IV. Class V. | 133 158 437 415 159 | \$123,401 63 73,004 37 146,736 56 106,949 75 47,554 64 | \$98,516 52 50,894 83 41,300 00 20,627 32 | \$11,014 02 30,615 25 37,585 46 21,721 12 | All \$2,488 53 | | |
| State | 1,302 | \$497,646 95 | \$211,338 67 | \$100,935 85 | \$2,488 53 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1. Erie | 54 | \$58,217 72 | 1 842 200 001 | | CLASS | | |
| 2. Monroe | 41 38 | 23,515 26 41,668 65 | 20,483 18 34,833 34 | All All | sessions and sessions and | | |
| Totals | 133 44 | \$123,401 63 41,133 88 | | | | | |
| · · | | · | | | CLASS | | |
| 1. Onondaga 2. Albany 3. Oneida 4. Rensselaer | 38 38 48 34 | \$22,867 24 21,217 79 16,421 91 12,497 43 | \$19,000 00 19,894 83 12,000 00 | All All All 11,014 02 | sessions and sessions and sessions. | | |
| Totals | 158 39 | \$73,004 37 18,251 09 | \$50,894 83 16,964 94 | \$11,014 02 11,014 02 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1. Orange | 34 30 10 38 33 | \$11,229 03 4,646 40 15,718 31 12,563 27 10,081 19 | \$11,400 00 | \$2,920 00 1,800 00 1,924 00 All sess 3,860 00 | CLASS All All ions and com All | | |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess. 9. Nassau. 10. Steuben | 36 18 27 3 38 | 8,006 78 9,316 69 5,332 31 19,201 11 10,929 21 | 9,000 00 4,050 00 5,550 00 | 4,140 00 3 25 All 1,528 00 All | All sessions a sessions and All sessions. | | |
| 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus | 34 29 32 33 42 | 6,115 22 7,726 09 9,715 54 8,242 44 7,912 97 | 4,900 00 | All 4,552 00 6,144 00 3,744 00 | sessions and All All All | | |
| Totals | 437 29 | \$146,736 56 9,782 44 | \$41,300 00 6,888 33 | \$30,615 25 3,061 52 | | | |

¹ Includes copying rolls. ² Includes expenses.

Legislative

Compensation

| Compensation Special Sessions Committee work Extending taxes Copying rolls Mileage Mileage Sessions Mileage Sessions Mileage Sessions Se | |
|--|---|
| | |
| sessions. \$13,301 13 \$7,744 33 \$2,028 09 \$1,811 56 \$1,560 00 23,791 36 27,329 02 10,999 57 1,462 67 \$2,280 00 23,709 72 10,747 44 3,049 97 6,204 96 256 35 \$4,690 00 10,618 86 4,226 10 1,049 13 5,249 43 | I III III IV V |
| \$8,530 00 \$73,428 88 \$56,632 17 \$18,166 52 \$25,776 35 \$349 98 | State |
| *** \$13,301 13 | 1 2 3 |
| \$13,301 13 \$7,744 33 \$2,028 09 \$1,811 56 | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 1 2 3 4 |
| \$2,007 81 \$6,585 28 \$1,039 76 \$1,462 67 | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{3}{4}$ $\frac{4}{5}$ |
| 1,116 00 d committee work. 2 902 80 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| committee wo sessions. 21,816 38 544 23 338 45 332 54 215 86 2,299 44 554 57 461 53 88 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| \$1,560 00 \$23,791 36 \$27,329 02 \$10,999 57 \$11,047 73 \$93 63 780 00 2,162 85 2,102 23 1,222 17 789 12 93 63 | |

³ Automobile maintenance.
4 \$1,072, city assessors.

Table 7 —

Supervisors'

| | Number | | Annual | | PER DIEM |
|--|----------------------------|---|-------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| | of super- visors | Total | salaries | Annual session | Regular sessions |
| | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga 2. Montgomery. 3. Herkimer 4. Chemung 5. Ontario | 20 18 22 23 21 | \$7,646 17 9,083 32 5,126 60 8,208 39 5,996 22 | \$9,083 32 2,644 00 | \$3,344 00 All All 3,036 00 1,512 00 | sessions and sessions. |
| 6. Wayne | 15 16 17 27 5 | $\begin{array}{c} 3,858 \ 33 \\ 2,997 \ 86 \\ 2,590 \ 68 \\ 5,615 \ 23 \\ 4,564 \ 57 \end{array}$ | 2,000 00 | 2,100 00 1,664 00 1,016 00 1,800 00 | 180 00 |
| 11. Franklin 12. Delaware 13. Fulton 14. Columbia | 19 19 20 23 29 | 3,105 33 3,837 33 8,955 89 7,483 90 3,119 78 | 6,900 00 | 2,076 00 1,156 00 4,280 00 All 1,616 00 | services. |
| 16. Madison | 19 17 13 21 14 | $egin{array}{c} 4,846&75\ 3,137&37\ 2,654&00\ 3,567&72\ 3,522&38 \end{array}$ | | $\substack{1,824\ 00\\1,892\ 00\\2,092\ 00\\11,732\ 00\\2,069\ 46}$ | |
| 21. Warren | $\frac{16}{21}$ | 3,585 50 3,446 43 | | $\substack{1,784 & 00 \\ 2,592 & 00}$ | 344 00 |
| Totals | 415 19 | \$106,949 75 4,861 35 | \$20,627 32 5,156 83 | \$37,585 46 2,088 08 | \$2,488 53 829 51 |
| 11 | | , | | | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan 2. Essex. 3. Orleans. 4. Wyoming. 5. Greene. | 15 18 10 16 14 | \$5,536 04 3,042 88 4,136 33 2,069 50 4,616 03 | | 1,008 00 1,842 00 | |
| 6. Seneca. 7. Tioga. 8. Lewis 9. Schoharie. 10. Yates. | 10 9 19 16 9 | 4,736 85 2,877 86 3,945 53 4,983 18 1,444 40 | | 1,320 00 1,116 00 2,532 00 2,432 36 644 00 | |
| 11. Putnam 12. Schuyler 13. Hamilton | 6 8 9 | 4,393 68 1,487 60 4,284 76 | | $^{1,340\ 00}_{832\ 00}$ $^{3}4,058\ 76$ | |
| Totals | 159 12 | \$47,554 64 3,658 05 | | \$21,721 12 1,670 85 | |

^{*} Includes extension.

1 Includes special sessions.
2 Includes copying rolls.

Legislative

Compensation — concluded

| Compensation | 1 | Extending | Copying | | Not classi- |
|---------------------------|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| Special sessions | Committee work | taxes | rolls | Mileage | fied and sundries |
| ·V | | | ' | ' | |
| nileage. | \$2,752 76 | | | \$614 68 | |
| \$88 00 | 886 31 3,541 00 1,732 23 | 582 50 1,439 81 9 49 | 483 68 306 17 | 273 76 103 58 471 80 | \$256 35 |
| 300 00 | 560 00 498 00 530 62 | 259 70 2 644 82 359 83 | 263 75 331 51 | 194 88 191 04 352 72 | |
| 524 00 | 842 07 1,782 82 | ² 1,892 78 485 63 | 274 56 | 556 38 21 56 | |
| | $\begin{smallmatrix} 429 & 11 \\ 11,759 & 72 \\ 3,220 & 00 \end{smallmatrix}$ | 342 79 2 640 57 754 63 | 57 59 261 26 | 199 84 281 04 440 00 | |
| | 605 84 | 521 88 | | 583 90 376 06 | |
| 932 00 196 00 40 00 | 769 32 552 00 368 00 | | 330 32 | 536 24 357 60 154 00 | |
| | 339 00 1,452 92 | 21,291 06 | | 205 66 | |
| 128 00 72 00 | 828 00 320 00 | 122 52 *404 77 | 146 42 | 232 56 57 66 | |
| \$2,280 00 285 00 | \$23,709 72 1,185 48 | \$10,747 44 597 08 | \$3,049 97 304 99 | \$6,204 96 310 25 | \$256 35 256 35 |
| ٠. | ' | | | • | |
| \$720 00 394 00 | \$2,786 00 104 00 | 4 \$558 55 | | 978 33 | |
| 496 00 728 00 | 1,298 61 201 85 | 470.78 | \$408 78 242 92 | 116 16 286 02 516 34 | |
| 440 00 296 00 | 1,948 50 5 834 11 | 402 92 4 408 71 4 976 61 | | 409 12 223 04 | |
| 1,212 00 212 00 | 251 40 837 03 176 00 | | | 185 52 501 79 168 26 | |
| 192 00 | $\begin{array}{c} 1,735 \ 36 \\ 220 \ 00 \\ 226 \ 00 \end{array}$ | 148 01 3 202 52 | 20 58 | 1,149 73 41 08 | |
| \$4,690 00 521 11 | \$10,618 86 884 90 | \$4,226 10 422 61 | \$1,049 13 209 83 | \$5,249 43 437 45 | |

All sessions and other session work and mileage.
 Includes copying rolls.
 Includes expenses.

TABLE 8

Expenses of Board of Supervisors

Purpose of table:

This table shows by totals and distribution to items the expenses incident to the work of the board of supervisors. It does not include any part of the amount paid the members of such boards for their compensation.

Authorized and emergency employees:

The statutes provide for a county officer designated "the clerk of the board of supervisors." The board under Section 12, subdivision 5 of the County Law fixes the number and salaries of his assistants.

These employees are authorized in advance of their employment. But during the course of the year it may be found necessary in order to meet emergencies to temporarily employ additional help. Such employment is approved and ratified by the audit of claims presented by such employees. They are termed emergency employees.

Traveling expenses:

The County Law, section 23, provides that members of the board of supervisors in pratically all counties, are entitled to their actual and necessary traveling expenses while engaged in committee work.

Stationery, postage and office incidentals:

The item includes the usual office supplies for the work of the board, including the printing of blank forms for such work.

Telephone and telegraph:

Rentals and tolls for telephones and the cost of telegrams, including messenger service if any.

Furniture and fixtures for supervisors' chamber:

All boards maintain chambers. The item includes carpets, rugs, chairs, desks, curtains, etc., required to furnish such chambers.

Express statutory authority for last three items wanting:

There appears to be no express statutory authority for any of the last three items.

Probably the authority for these expenditures arises as an incident to the powers granted and duties imposed upon such boards by the statute in order to enable such board to properly exercise such powers, and perform such duties.

Special counsel:

The County Law authorizes the creation of the county office of county attorney. Where such office exists the expenditures therefor are given under a separate title (see Table 9). The expenses here included are for attorneys employed by the boards where no such office exists or for attorneys employed in addition to the regular force of the county attorney's office.

Table 8 — Expenses of Board

| | | EXPENSES | OF DOARD |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| | Total | Authorized clerks and assistants | Emergency employees |
| Class I | \$35,973 49 29,736 39 60,280 16 48,747 25 24,041 25 | \$18,175 06 17,554 25 23,195 46 23,491 84 9,916 62 | 75 00 |
| State | \$198,778 54 | \$92,333 23 | \$7,053 45 |
| COUNTY 1. Erie 2. Monroe 3. Westchester | \$9,898 93 12,470 87 13,603 69 | \$3,800 00 7,165 00 7,210 06 | 61 00 |
| Totals | \$35,973 49 11,991 16 | \$18,175 06 6,058 35 | |
| 1. Onondaga. 2. Albany. 3. Oneida. 4. Rensselaer. Totals. Averages. | \$11,191 95 7,445 80 5,373 71 5,724 93 \$29,736 39 7,434 09 | \$6,035 00 5,150 00 2,944 58 3,424 67 \$17,554 25 4,388 56 | \$75 00 |
| 1. Orange | \$7,014 82 4,566 40 7,285 40 4,536 73 5,557 13 | \$1,000 00 2,330 00 1,885 11 1,710 86 2,000 00 | CLASS \$422 46 400 00 620 23 |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady 8. Dutchess 9. Nassau 10. Steuben | 1,524 45 4,755 61 5,854 44 4,959 47 3,630 29 | 750 00 1,882 00 2,234 00 3,300 00 334 60 | 33 70 475 00 364 00 |
| 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus | 2,780 89 2,377 14 1,620 45 2,027 15 1,789 79 | $\begin{array}{c} 1,549 \ 10 \\ 1,708 \ 28 \\ 600 \ 00 \\ 898 \ 00 \\ 1,013 \ 51 \end{array}$ | 50 00 |
| Totals | \$60,280 16 4,018 68 | \$23,195 46 1,546 36 | |

¹ Audit of treasurer's accounts and installation of system of accounts.

Legislative

OF SUPERVISORS

| Traveling expenses for committee work | Stationery, postage and office incidentals | Telephone and telegraph | Furniture and fixtures for supervisors' chambers | Special counsel | Not classified and sundries | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| \$4,187 94 1,485 14 15,233 45 9,249 69 4,948 77 | \$5,358 10 7,130 42 6,820 16 8,475 21 1,580 36 | 562 54 1,067 51 | 571 84 2,289 89 | \$1,078 78 2,546 67 2,202 16 | | IIIIIIV V |
| \$35,104 99 | \$29,364 25 | \$3,103 28 | \$6,445 81 | \$9,733 09 | \$15,640 44 | State |
| \$1,622 16 207 40 2,358 38 | 777 55 3,661 88 | 199 44 275 77 | 97 60 | | \$956 65 13,571 48 | |
| \$4,187 94 1,395 98 | \$5,358 10 1,786 03 | \$620 51 206 84 | \$586 60 293 30 | | \$4,528 13 2,264 06 | |
| \$777 33 \$777 33 \$595 59 112 22 | \$4,283 92 1,744 20 610 00 492 30 | 120 00 | 74 17 66 07 | | \$1 54 21,276 88 | 1 2 3 4 |
| \$1,485 14 495 04 | \$7,130 42 1,782 60 | \$562 54 140 63 | \$571 84 190 61 | \$1,078 78 539 39 | \$1,278 42 639 21 | |
| \$4,058 50 1,318 80 1,795 05 1,690 58 | | | \$82 46 462 50 607 06 | \$52 50 1,302 52 | \$50 80 73 40 | $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3\\4 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| 1,838 00 283 97 35 51 | 381 85 222 87 | 295 21 | 342 04 | | 2,517 38 | 5 6 7 |
| 182 85 2,157 57 | 671 16 89 77 | 222 16 | 81 00 | 138 30 | 3.145 441 | 8 9 10 |
| 542 96 233 94 970 45 125 27 | 666 00 173 34 714 16 | 63 25 84 82 | 204 90 | 5 00 | 93 33 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| \$15,233 45 1,171 80 | \$6,820 16 568 35 | \$1,067 51 118 61 | \$2,289 89 286 24 | \$2,546 67 509 33 | \$6,656 63 1,109 44 | |
| | | | | | | 1 |

² "Miscellaneous." So reported. ³ Not analyzed.

Table 8 -

EXPENSES OF BOARD

| COUNTY. | Total | Authorized clerks and assistants | Emergency employees |
|--|--|--|------------------------|
| | | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga 2. Montgomery. 3. Herkimer 4. Chemung. 5. Ontario. | \$4,926 18 1,707 08 2,644 16 2,746 92 3,290 90 | \$1,200 00 1,093 33 1,037 76 800 00 2,043 30 | \$168 62 |
| 6. Wayne 7. Clinton. 8. Washington 9. Otsego 10. Rockland | 2,044 23 2,646 33 1,075 67 2,588 91 2,073 60 | 1,228 20 1,312 50 700 00 832 50 1,500 00 |) |
| 11. Franklin 12. Delaware 13. Fulton 14. Columbia 15. Allegany | 2,531 11 987 85 2,887 00 3,715 78 1,940 63 | 530 00 960 50 965 65 1,940 10 1,150 00 | |
| 16. Madison 17. Livingston 18. Genesee 19. Chenango 20. Tompkins | 1,878 80 1,454 04 1,535 93 1,138 48 1,379 04 | \$47 25 \$83 22 \$25 00 \$70 00 \$22 53 | |
| 21. Warren | 2,786 35 768 26 | 1,500 00 450 00 | |
| Totals | \$48,747 25 2,215 78 | \$23,491 84 1,067 81 | |
| 1. Sullivan. 2. Essex. 3. Orleans. | \$5,599 01 2,588 07 1,997 34 | \$300 00 1,527 00 823 00 | |
| 4. Wyoming. 5 Greene 3. | 1,330 08 1,000 00 | 1,000 00 1,000 00 | |
| 6. Seneca. 7. Tioga 8. Lewis. 9. Schoharie. 10. Yates. | 2,205 37 908 20 1,185 40 1,909 82 481 81 | 668 84 500 00 425 00 557 50 355 76 | 25 00 |
| 11. Putnam. 12. Schuyler. 13. Hamilton. | 1,352 88 807 83 2,675 44 | 992 88 400 00 1,366 64 | 25 00 |
| TotalsAverages | \$24,041 25 1,849 33 | \$9,916 62 762 82 | \$1,808 23 452 05 |

 ¹ Inspection of highway construction.
 ² Reported as "printing."

Legislative

OF SUPERVISORS — concluded

| Traveling expenses for committee work | Stationery, postage and office incidentals | Telephone and telegraph | Furniture and fixtures for supervisors chambers | Special counsel | Not classified and sundries | |
|---|--|----------------------------------|--|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| IV | ' | | ' | • | , , | ' |
| \$1,427 2 43 2 632 1 1,415 8 531 0 | 9 316 61 1 394 54 5 352 85 | 19 60 70 68 | 234 25 509 07 9 60 | | | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 250 6 515 4 | 3 485 40 99 16 7 21,180 14 | 1 05 | 23 83 5 50 | 223 74 | 333 00 27 89 | 6 7 8 9 |
| 284 8 | | | | | | 10 |
| 891 6 1,275 0 | | 5 75 75 45 145 03 30 00 | 1 68 119 25 102 50 | 400 00 185 48 | 10 00 548 55 15 40 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| 133 6 361 3 150 8 31 0 98 0 | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 33 85 30 86 19 45 | 10 00 | 290 48 | 4 00 184 25 60 00 | 16 17 18 19 20 |
| 496 2 140 5 | 0 150 27 | | 243 70 | | | 21 22 |
| \$9,249 6 513 8 | 9 \$8,475 21 7 385 23 | \$704 78 46 98 | \$1,930 88 128 72 | \$2,202 16 314 59 | \$2,510 01 251 00 | |
| V \$2,431 4 386 6 363 0 | 9 \$414 54 8 338 04 2 269 98 . 46 43 | \$74 85 8 84 | | | \$154 25 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 1,266 0 185 2 109 3 75 6 119 2 | 3 72 95 6 117 94 7 78 45 6 40 | | | | | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| 12 0 | . 11 50 170 83 53 30 | 25 00 | | 333 50 175 00 742 50 | 4 513 00 | 11 12 13 |
| \$4,948 7 549 8 | | \$147 94 24 66 | \$1,066 60 177 77 | 433 94 | \$667 25 333 62 | |

Other expenses included in audited bills paid not classified.
 Inspector of highways.



TABLE 9

Officers of Certain Counties Performing Duties Elsewhere Performed by the Board of Supervisors, — Authority for Offices

The county law provides for the creation of the offices of county attorney, county comptroller and county auditor.

Special statutes authorize the creation of the offices of purchasing agent and superintendent of buildings in certain counties. In other counties these offices seem to have been created without authority.

Work of these officers performed by members of the board or employees ... of the board in other counties:

In counties not having these separate offices, the work of all, except county attorney, is performed by members of the board of supervisors and the expense therefor is included under supervisors compensation (Table 7).

The expense for attorneys in such counties is given under "Special Counsel" in the distribution of "Expenses of Boards of Supervisors" (Table 8).

Table 9 — The Board of Supervisors

Officers of Certain Counties Performing Duties Elsewhere Performed by the Board of Supervisors or its Employees

| | Total | County | Pur- chasing agent | Superintendent of buildings | County comp- troller | County auditor |
|--|--|---|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Class I. Class II. Class III. Class IV. Class V. | \$38,319 46 25,043 90 31,967 66 12,290 88 1,146 00 | \$14,425 88 11,369 07 16,783 97 9,912 40 1,146 00 | \$4,951 02 5,346 92 2,984 50 2,278 48 | 2,914 06 1,500 80 100 00 | \$5,413 85 9,079 43 | 1,618 96 |
| State | \$108,767 90 | \$53,637 32 | | | | |
| COHAMA | | | , | 1 | | |
| COUNTY 1. Erie | \$17,166 20 | CLAS | | 1 | | (210 484 00 |
| 2. Monroe | 13,878 62 7,274 64 | 3,993 86 3,720 72 | \$4,951 02 | \$4,933 74 | | 3,553 92 |
| TotalsAverages | \$38,319 46 12,773 15 | \$14,425 88 4,808 63 | \$4,951 02 4,951 02 | | | \$14,008 82 7,004 41 |
| | ' | CLASS | 7 77 | 1 | | |
| 1. Onondaga | \$9,056 70 | | \$5,346 92 | 1 | | |
| 2. Albany | 3,702 25 | 3,702 25 | | | | |
| 3. Oneida | 9,737 04 $2,547 91$ | $\begin{array}{c cccc} 1,409 & 13 \\ 2,547 & 91 \end{array}$ | | \$2,914 06 | \$5,413 85 | |
| Totals | \$25,043 90 | \$11,369 07 | \$5,346 92 | \$2,914 06 | \$5,413 85 | |
| Averages | 6,260 97 | 2,842 76 | 5,346 92 | 2,914 06 | 5,413 85 | |
| | | CLASS | 111 | | | |
| 1. Orange | \$1.725 15 | | , 111 | ı | | , |
| 3. Suffolk | 2,627 98 | 2,627 98 | | | | |
| 4. Niagara 5. Ulster | 1,244 73 1,731 89 | 1,244 73 | | | | |
| 6. St. Lawrence | 500 00 | 500 00 | | | | |
| 7. Schenectady | 6,415 71 | 2,236 67 | \$1,359 28 | \$1,200 80 | | \$1,618 96 |
| 9. Nassau | 12,139 45 2,575 22 | 3,060 02 950 00 | 1,625 22 | | \$9,079 43 | |
| 12. Broome | 658 88 | 658 88 | 1,020 22 | | | |
| 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga | 1,200 00 1,148 65 | 1,200 00 848 65 | | 300 00 | | • • • • • • • • |
| Totals | \$31,967 66 | \$16,783 97 | \$2,984 50 | \$1,500 80 | \$9,079 43 | \$1,618 96 |
| Averages | 10,655 89 | 1,525 81 | 1,492 25 | 750 40 | 9,079 43 | |

Table 9 — The Board of Supervisors

Officers of Certain Counties Performing Duties Elsewhere Performed by the Board of Supervisors or its Employees concluded

| COUNTY | Total | County | Pur- chasing agent | Superintendent of buildings | County comp- troller | County | | |
|--|--|------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------|--|--|
| | | CLASS | SIV | | | • | | |
| 1. Saratoga | \$504 02 550 38 3,227 34 2,051 86 1,085 00 746 28 1,630 32 100 00 | 550 38 | \$2,278 48 | | | | | |
| 16. Madison. 21. Warren. 22. Cortland. | 773 10 1,392 58 230 00 | 773 10 1,392 58 230 00 | | | | | | |
| TotalsAverages | \$12,290 88 1,117 35 | | \$2,278 48 2,278 48 | \$100 00 100 00 | | | | |
| CLASS V | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Sullivan 2. Essex | \$146 00 1,000 00 | | | | | | | |
| TotalsAverages | \$1,146 00 573 00 | W=0 00 | | | | | | |

TABLE 10 - The Board of Supervisors

CHARACTER OF WORK — NET COST OF GENERAL GOVERNMENT Purpose of table:

This table is a comparative statement by totals and by units of the expenditures of the year 1914 for that part of county government solely ¹ under the control of the board of supervisors—the part for which that board determines the amount to be expended and the manner of expenditure; over the expenditure of which it extends its supervisory powers; and for which expenditures payment can be made only upon its authorization.

Net cost of general government:

This item is taken from Table 21 following. It includes all expenditures for maintenance including salaries, of legislative, administrative and judicial offices, caring for criminals and dependants, maintaining buildings and highways, interest on administrative loans, and all other expenditures required in the conduct of the general government of the county, less the revenues arising for the exercise of governmental functions (see Table 21).

The expenditures excluded from this item are:

- 1. Payments for construction of new buildings and highways, including interest on construction loans (see Table 27).
 - 2. Payments on indebtedness (see Table 31).
- 3. Contributions to the government of other municipal subdivisions and to the State (see Table 34).

Payments from district and State funds:

Armories are at present maintained by funds from the military district. The county treasurer is not only the custodian of these funds but through his control of purchases is responsible for the proper application thereof.

During a part of the year reported the armories were maintained at the expense of the county and all expenditures for the year are treated for convenience as a part of the cost of general government.

The portion paid from State funds is here deducted.

¹ With a few minor and unimportant exceptions.

State and county highways are maintained from funds supplied by villages (through contribution from village revenues derived from taxation), towns (through taxation) and the State (through contributions).

All expenditures are treated as a part of the cost of general government. The part paid from State funds is here deducted.

Net cost to county:

The amount remaining after these deductions is the amount which must be met from moneys raised by tax from the taxpayers of the county.

Per capita cost:

This amount is determined by dividing the total cost by the population. It shows the average cost to each inhabitant of the county of the general or administrative government of 1914.

Cost per \$1,000 valuation:

This amount is determined by dividing the total cost by the valuation of the county as fixed by the State Board of tax commissioners. These valuations are deemed by that board to be 85.80% of the true value of the property in each case. Hence to find the cost for \$1,000 of true valuation the amount given should be divided by 85.80%. For comparative purposes such computation would not increase the value of this table.

Fairness for comparative purposes:

The table fairly shows these comparative costs.

Effect of density of population and wealth on cost of government:

The population per square mile and the per capita valuation are shown to enable the reader to give such weight as he deems proper to these elements which evidently directly affect the cost of government.

Comments on per capita cost and cost per \$1,000 valuation:

For comments on these items see Table 3.

Table 10 - The Board

CHARACTER OF WORK - NET AND PER

EXCLUDES: TAX EXPENSES, CONTRIBUTIONS, CONSTRUCTION OF NEW BUILD

| | Net cost of general | PAID FROM | DISTRICT AND | STATE FUNDS | Net cost to |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | government 1 | Main- tenance of armories | Maintenance of highways | Total | counties |
| Class II Class III Class III Class IV Class V | \$3,856,811 12 2,814,128 75 4,402,492 95 3,332,570 55 994,589 12 | \$165,619 60 166,918 36 104,546 14 88,348 74 10,667 47 | \$478,295 57 574,722 44 1,102,567 92 966,713 57 243,285 30 | \$643,915 17 741,640 80 1,207,114 06 1,055,062 31 253,952 77 | \$3,212,895 95 2,072,487 95 3,195,378 89 2,277,508 24 740,636 35 |
| State | \$15,400,592 49 | \$536,100 31 | \$3,365,584 80 | \$3,901,685 11 | \$11,498,907 38 |
| COUNTIES | | ' | ' | , | CLASS |
| 1. Erie | \$1,612,958 76 889,391 28 1,354,461 08 | \$96,034 23 33,408 50 36,176 87 | \$216,712 36 124,386 35 137,196 86 | \$312,746 59 157,794 85 173,373 73 | \$1,300,212 17 731,596 43 1,181,087 35 |
| Totals | \$3,856,811 12 1,285,603 71 | \$165,619 60 55,206 53 | \$478,295 57 159,431 86 | \$643,915 17 214,638 39 | \$3,212,895 95 1,070,965 32 |
| | , | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga | \$843,696 73 843,275 42 633,696 73 493,459 87 | \$77,087 84 34,412 00 30,495 91 24,922 61 | \$120,893 66 210,430 09 7134,495 36 108,903 33 | \$197,981 50 244,842 09 164,991 27 133,825 94 | |
| Totals Averages | \$2,814,128 75 703,532 19 | \$166,918 36 46,729 59 | \$574,722 44 143,680 61 | \$741,640 80 185,410 20 | \$2,072,487 95 518,121 48 |
| ' | | | | ,, | CLASS |
| 1. Orange | \$420,529 72 147,934 57 291,434 39 238,469 38 264,316 96 | \$14,244 06 9,558 67 6,250 99 85,377 38 | \$165,829 89 10,265 22 65,878 34 44,110 22 96,227 35 | \$180,073 95 19,823 89 65,878 34 50,361 21 101,604 73 | |
| 6. St. Lawrence 7. Schenectady 8. Dutchess 9. Nassau 10. Steuben | 190,332 69 307,045 20 257,106 28 975,578 65 210,760 55 | 7,295 57 4,392 58 8,023 93 5,916 46 | 94,305 68 89,868 04 73,645 84 45,527 05 68,444 31 | 101,601 25 94,260 62 81,669 77 45,527 05 74,360 77 | 88,731 44 212,784 58 175,436 51 930,051 60 136,399 78 |
| 11. Jefferson | 282,898 89 265,945 09 233,180 29 185,011 25 131,949 04 | 8,357 13 19,275 83 | 147,239 50 70,717 23 49,517 41 53,913 72 27,078 12 | 155,596 63 89,993 06 49,517 41 62,600 17 34,245 21 | 127,302 26 175,952 03 183,662 88 122,411 08 97,703 83 |
| Totals Averages | \$4,402,492 95 293,499 53 | \$104,546 14 8,712 18 | \$1,102,567 92 73,504 53 | \$1,207,114 06 80,474 27 | \$3,195,378 89 213,025 26 |

From Table 21.
 From Table 1.
 Net cost divided by population.
 From Table 4.
 Net cost divided by equalized valuation.

of Supervisors

UNIT COSTS OF GENERAL GOVERNMENT -INGS AND HIGHWAYS, PAYMENTS ON DEBT AND CONSTRUCTION INTEREST

| | | | 1 | | | 1 | |
|---|-------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Popula | TION 2 | Per capita | EQUALIZED V. | ALUATION | Net cost per | Number | |
| Total | Per square mile | cost 3 | Total 4 | Per capita ² | \$1,000 valuation 5 | supervisors | |
| 1,095,252 650,397 1,297,143 989,595 314,344 | 489 200 80 55 31 | \$2 93 3 18 2 46 2 30 2 35 | \$1,143,586,249 503,006,792 1,021,982,395 624,069,574 205,994,568 | \$1,044 773 788 634 655 | \$2 81 4 12 3 12 3 65 3 60 | 6 44 6 39 6 29 6 19 6 12 | I III IV V |
| 4,346,731 | 86 | \$2 64 | \$3,498,639,578 | \$805 | \$3 28 | 23 | State |
| I | | | | 1 | | | |
| 528,985 283,212 283,055 | 494 415 585 | \$2 45 2 58 4 17 | \$442,704,449 286,436,133 414,445,667 | \$836 1011 1464 | \$2 93 2 55 2 85 | 54 41 38 | 1 2 3 |
| 1,095,252 365,084 | 489 | \$2 93 | \$1,143,586,249 381,195,416 | \$1,044 | \$2 81 | 133 44 | |
| II | | | | | | | |
| 290,298 173,666 154,157 122,276 | 246 337 127 177 | \$3 22 3 44 3 03 2 91 | \$193,117,271 132,947,413 91,538,591 85,403,517 | \$964 765 593 698 | \$3 34 4 50 5 12 4 21 | 38 38 48 34 | 2 |
| 650,397 162,599 | 200 | \$3 18 | \$503,006,792 125,751,698 | \$773 | \$4 12 | 158 39 | |
| III | | | 0 | | | | |
| 116,001 105,126 96,138 92,036 91,769 | 138 95 80 165 76 | \$2 07 1 21 2 34 2 04 1 77 | \$72,698,306 68,074,381 127,800,707 90,169,715 37,123,157 | \$627 647 1,329 979 400 | \$3 30 1 88 1 76 2 08 4 38 | 34 30 10 38 33 | 2 3 4 |
| 89,005 88,235 87,661 83,930 83,362 | 30 399 108 286 58 | 99 2 41 2 00 11 08 1 63 | 51,674,184 68,184,121 71,461,673 159,590,332 50,659,737 | 580 765 814 1,901 608 | 1 71 3 12 2 45 5 82 2 69 | 36 18 27 3 38 | 7 8 9 |
| 89,382 78,809 71,664 67,106 65,919 | 43 111 69 88 49 | 3 28 2 23 2 56 1 82 1 48 | 51,067,566 51,351,766 35,095,438 48,024,011 39,007,301 | 635 651 489 715 591 | 2 49 3 42 5 23 2 54 2 50 | 34 29 32 33 42 | 12 13 14 |
| 1,297,143 86,476 | 80 | \$2 46 | \$1,021,982,395 68,132,160 | \$788 | \$3 12 | 437 29 | |

<sup>Average for class.
Includes highway maintenance, towns and villages.
Includes payments from county funds.
\$704,708.71 "Repair and maintenance of county roads."</sup>

Table 10 - The Board

CHARACTER OF WORK - NET AND PER

EXCLUDES: TAX EXPENSES, CONTRIBUTIONS, CONSTRUCTION OF NEW BUILDINGS

| COLLYMY | STATE FUNDS | Net cost to | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| COUNTY | of general government 1 | Main- tenance of armories | Maintenance of highways | Total | county |
| | | | | · · | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga 2. Montgomery 3. Herkimer 4. Chemung 5. Ontario | \$269,065 77 195,743 67 252,240 95 171,158 47 183,657 17 | \$8,993 45) 10,085 17 7,398 00 7,944 94 8,077 22 | \$89,435 97 6 64,565 67 113,294 32 26,916 41 37,921 87 | \$98,429 42 74,650 84 120,692 32 34,861 35 45,999 09 | \$161,636 35 121,092 83 131,548 63 136,297 12 137,658 08 |
| 6. Wayne | 91,963 47 149,655 64 137,778 67 183,009 41 174,002 84 | 4,601 00 75,604 52 | 23,076 85 $56,162 38$ $622,759 04$ $71,621 03$ $23,188 50$ | $\begin{array}{c} 23,076 & 85 \\ 56,162 & 38 \\ 27,360 & 04 \\ 77,225 & 55 \\ 23,188 & 50 \end{array}$ | 68,886 62 93,493 26 110,418 63 105,783 86 150,814 34 |
| 11. Franklin | 160,151 09 97,051 73 156,013 10 178,492 42 102,380 81 | 2,557 10 6,382 20 10,202 69 5,157 75 | 58,208 71 17,949 29 660,649 40 38,955 92 26,789 08 | 60,765 81 24,331 49 70,852 09 44,113 67 26,789 08 | 99,385 28 72,720 24 85,161 01 134,378 75 75,591 73 |
| 16. Madison | 127,685 99 116,618 37 106,483 91 140,465 20 114,101 92 | | 12,128 80 21,332 45 15,229 94 66,187 33 49,415 69 | 12,128 80 21,332 45 15,229 94 66,187 33 49,415 69 | $\begin{array}{c} 115,557 & 10 \\ 95,285 & 92 \\ 91,253 & 97 \\ 74,277 & 87 \\ 64,686 & 23 \end{array}$ |
| 21. Warren | 151,988 40 81,861 64 | 11,344 70 | 37,112 26 33,812 66 | 48,456 96 33,812 66 | $\begin{array}{c} 103,531 \ 44 \\ 48,048 \ 98 \end{array}$ |
| Totals Averages | \$3,332,570 55 151,480 48 | \$88,348 74 7,362 39 | \$966,713 57 43,941 52 | \$1,055,062 31 47,957 38 | \$2,277,508 24 103,523 10 |
| ' | | , | | | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan | \$104,111 49 117,653 80 127,297 33 58,247 69 116,658 46 | \$5,603 83 5,063 64 | \$26,268 78 22,847 97 41,891 38 4,766 18 25,964 89 | 22,847 97 47,495 21 4,766 18 | \$77,842 71 94,805 83 79,802 12 53,481 51 85,629 93 |
| 6. Seneca | 65,378 88 77,805 79 69,567 47 49,987 67 34,799 11 | | 11,382 39 20,640 67 20,192 81 68,890 72 3,872 53 | 11,382 39 20,640 67 20,192 81 8,890 72 3,872 53 | 53,996 49 57,165 12 49,374 66 41,096 95 30,926 61 |
| 11. Putnam 12. Schuyler 13. Hamilton | $\begin{array}{c} 72,960 & 09 \\ 31,455 & 92 \\ 68,665 & 39 \end{array}$ | | 6 21,120 78 4,925 00 30,521 20 | $\begin{array}{c} 21,120 \ 78 \\ 4,925 \ 00 \\ 30,521 \ 20 \end{array}$ | 51,839 31 26,530 92 38,144 19 |
| Totals Averages | \$994,589 12 76,596 85 | \$10,667 47 5,333 73 | \$243,285 30 18,714 25 | \$253,952 77 19,530 21 | \$740,636 35 56,972 02 |

From Table 21.
 From Table 1.
 Net cost divided by population.
 From Table 4.

of Supervisors

Unit Costs of General Government AND HIGHWAYS, PAYMENTS ON DEBT AND CONSTRUCTION NTEREST - concluded

| Popula | Population ² Per capita | | EQUALIZED VA | LUATION | Net cost | Number | |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----|
| Total | Per square mile | cost 2 | Total 4 | Per capita ² | \$1,000 valuation 5 | of supervisors | |
| V | | | | | | | |
| 61,917 | 71 | \$2 61 | \$33,077,136 | \$534 | \$488 | 20 | 4 |
| 57,567 | 163 | 2 10 | 34,397,926 | 596 | 352 | 18 | |
| 56,356 | 32 | 2 33 | 41,667,393 | 739 | 313 | 22 | |
| 54,662 | 134 | 2 49 | 38,312,663 | 697 | 356 | 23 | |
| 52,286 | 82 | 2 63 | 42,877,818 | 820 | 318 | 21 | |
| 50,179 | 80 | 1 37 | 33,246,744 | 662 | 207 | 15 | 10 |
| 48,230 | 44 | 1 93 | 17,208,870 | 356 | 543 | 16 | |
| 47,778 | 56 | 2 31 | 23,021,442 | 482 | 479 | 17 | |
| 47,216 | 45 | 2 24 | 27,724,886 | 587 | 381 | 27 | |
| 46,873 | 225 | 3 22 | 46,440,839 | 990 | 324 | 5 | |
| 45,717 | 26 | 2 17 | 18,270,917 | 399 | 544 | 19 | 11 |
| 45,575 | 29 | 1 59 | 23,620,771 | 518 | 307 | 19 | 12 |
| 44,534 | 82 | 1 91 | 20,986,374 | 471 | 405 | 20 | 13 |
| 43,658 | 63 | 3 08 | 29,258,244 | 670 | 459 | 23 | 14 |
| 41,412 | 40 | 1 82 | 25,208,465 | 608 | 299 | 29 | 15 |
| 39,289 | 58 | 2 93 | 23,060,775 | 587 | 500 | 19 | 16 |
| 38,037 | 57 | 2 50 | 32,132,076 | 844 | 296 | 17 | 17 |
| 37,615 | 74 | 2 42 | 32,596,992 | 866 | 279 | 13 | 18 |
| 35,575 | 39 | 2 08 | 19,640,539 | 552 | 378 | 21 | 19 |
| 33,617 | 66 | 1 92 | 22,943,949 | 681 | 281 | 14 | 20 |
| 32,223 | 33 | 3 21 | 20,054,833 | 622 | 516 | 16 | 21 |
| 29,249 | 60 | 1 64 | 18,319,922 | 626 | 262 | 21 | 22 |
| 989,595 44,981 | 55 | \$2 30 | \$624,069,574 28,366,799 | \$634 | \$365 | 415 19 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 33,808 | 31 | \$2 32' | \$13,606,822 | \$402 | \$572' | 15 | 1 |
| 33,458 | 17 | 2 83 | 20,479,525 | 612 | 463 | 18 | 2 |
| 32,000 | 79 | 2 49 | 27,305,972 | 853 | 292 | 10 | 3 |
| 31,880 | 54 | 1 61 | 22,456,067 | 704 | 229 | 16 | 4 |
| 30,214 | 44 | 2 85 | 15,855,452 | 524 | 543 | 14 | 5 |
| 26,972 | 64 | 2 00 | 18,712,291 | 693 | 288 | 10 | 6 |
| 25,624 | 47 | 2 32 | 15,179,815 | 592 | 391 | 9 | 7 |
| 24,849 | 19 | 1 54 | 13,421,541 | 540 | 284 | 19 | 8 |
| 23,855 | 35 | 1 72 | 12,709,167 | 532 | 323 | 16 | 9 |
| 18,642 | 58 | 1 70 | 14,262,547 | 765 | 216 | 9 | 10 |
| 14,665 | 62 | 3 53 | 16,921,383 | 1,153 | 306 | 6 | 11 |
| 14,004 | 39 | 1 89 | 9,004,284 | 642 | 295 | 8 | 12 |
| 4,373 | 2 | 8 71 | 6,079,702 | 1,390 | 627 | 9 | 13 |
| 314,344 25,719 | 31 | \$2 35 | \$205,994,568 15,845,731 | \$655 | \$360 | 159 12 | |

Net cost divided by equalized valuation.
 Includes highway maintenance, towns and villages.
 Includes payments from county funds.

TABLE 11

CHARACTER OF THE WORK OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS—
RESULTS OF COUNTY FINANCING OF 1914 UPON TAX AND
REVENUE FUNDS

Cash balance of all funds:

The amounts inserted in this column show the increase or decrease in the cash of the county treasurer's office in transactions relative to county government for the fiscal year 1914 (see Table 14). The purpose of the computation of this table is to show the portion of this cash increase or decrease which is applicable only to specific purposes (col. 1–8) and the portion which has been added to or deducted from the general purpose funds of the county.

State highway fund:

Contributions are made by the State to the maintenance of the state and county highways within the county. The county treasurer merely acts as the depository, drafts being drawn upon the amounts deposited by the state highway commission. The moneys thus deposited with him are applicable only to the maintenance of such highways. The amounts inserted under this head are determined by finding the difference between the amount paid out for such maintenance during the year and the amount deposited with the county treasurer for such purpose.

Military district funds:

At the present time (see Table 10) the armories of the several counties are maintained by the military district. The moneys are collected by tax from the several counties composing the district and paid to the State and by the State deposited with the county treasurer. Payments for the maintenance of the armories are made by the county treasurers under the provisions of the military law.

The moneys so deposited are applicable only for such maintenance of armories and are in no part applicable to general county purposes.

The amounts inserted under this head are determined by finding the difference between the amount so deposited and the amount paid for the maintenance of armories.

County funds — cash balances:

Computations from preceding columns give the increase or decrease in cash for the year for funds raised within the county and applicable to county purposes.

Gain to cash from loans and building bonds:

Moneys derived from the sale of building bonds are applicable only to the purposes for which the bonds were authorized. They are not applicable to general county purposes. As explained in the description of Table 12, there should be no balance from tax loans. Any balance from such loans represents improper and illegal procedure.

Gain to cash from highway loans and bonds:

The moneys derived from highway loans are applicable only to the construction of county highways or to the payment of temporary loans issued for such construction.

The moneys derived from temporary loans for highways are applicable only to the construction of such county highways.

None of the moneys derived from the four last named sources are properly applicable to general purposes of the county.

Tax and revenue funds — cash balances:

Computations from the four preceding columns give the amount inserted in this head. These amounts show the results of the year's business upon the moneys of the county applicable to general purposes.

Comments:

It is evident that the boards of supervisors at their annual session cannot be expected to forsee with absolute accuracy the amount of money which will be required for the government of county for the succeeding year. Even with the best of foresight, some increase or decrease in the cash applicable to general purposes would be shown.

It may further happen that a county at the close of any fiscal year finds itself with a large cash balance and the board of supervisors may intentionally take this into consideration in making their tax levy. Such condition would result in showing a decrease in the cash balance from the year's transactions.

But after making all allowances for such conditions it must be evident that in some counties of the State the balance shown in the last column indicates a lack of care on the part of some boards of supervisors in making provision through its tax levy for the support of the government.

Temporary loan to supply deficiencies:

A large decrease in the cash balance for the year with no gain to cash from tax loans simply indicates that the board has applied a part of the cash remaining on hand at the end of the preceding year to the business of the current year.

But if a board fails to levy sufficient taxes for the expenses of the coming year, and the county thus has insufficient funds to carry it through the business of such year, resort is almost invariably made to illegal and unauthorized loans, the increase from which appears in Table 12.

Comments on specific counties:

The increase in cash in Monroe county appears to be due to the fact that the taxes collected from this county were in excess of the actual amount required.

| In Westchester county the cost of general govern- | | |
|--|-------------|----|
| ment was | \$1,354,461 | 08 |
| The net revenue from taxes | 1,074,154 | |
| Decrease in cash from this source The net excess of contributions by county over contributions received by county which should have | \$280,306 | 68 |
| been provided for by taxation | 135,371 | 09 |
| Total The county paid upon its bonded debt, which should | \$415,677 | 77 |
| have been provided for by the tax receipts | 82,230 | 00 |
| Total The county paid for interest on outstanding bonds | \$497,907 | 77 |
| and loans about | 240,000 | 00 |
| | \$737,907 | 77 |
| Net deficiency of general funds as shown by this table | 641,940 | |

The discrepancy appears to be due to the fact that a part of the expenses for general government (highway and armory maintenance) were paid from contributions, while it is evident that a large amount derived from the proceeds of the sale of bonds has been applied to the expenditures for current government.

Niagara, Schenectady, Nassau and Wyoming have borrowed large sums on loans classed as "tax loans" for purposes of constructing buildings or county roads, for which loans there seems to be no authority in law.

Hamilton county was obliged to make temporary tax loans for the maintenance of its government on account of the failure of the State to pay the taxes on State lands in this county.

Table 11 - The Board

CHARACTER OF WORK — RESULTS OF COUNTY FINANCING

| | | ANCES OF ALL | | GHWAY FUNDS BALANCES ² | MILITARY DISTRICT FUNDS. CASH BALANCES 3 | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|-------------|--|--|----------------|--|
| | Increased | Decreased | Increased | Decreased | Increased | De- creased | |
| Class I. Class III. Class III. Class IV. Class V. | 266,488 75 77,616 58 67,830 24 | 112,299 37 559,075 84 490,619 44 | \$16,772 33 | 224,275 26 430,494 02 | \$134,882 96 76,309 77 86,804 29 46,871 11 12,647 37 | | |
| Totals | \$651,372 09 | 814,248 90 | | \$1,294,811 84 1,259,887 30 | 357,515 50 | | |
| COUNTY | | | | | | CLASS | |
| 1. Erie | \$143,405 45 | \$2,659 72 209,058 15 | | \$90,118 51 30,530 63 37,696 86 | \$69,867 53 43,584 93 21,430 50 | | |
| Totals | \$143,405 45 | | | \$158,346 00 .158,346 00 | | | |
| | | | | | | CLASS | |
| 1. Onondaga 2. Albany 3. Oneida 4. Rensselaer | 203,530 92 | | | \$55,014 88 73,744 85 65,597 26 29,918 27 | \$25,209 21 29,032 00 14,443 97 7,624 59 | | |
| Net | 154,189 38 | \$112,299 37 | | \$224,275 26 224,275 26 | \$76,309 77 76,309 77 | | |
| | | | | | | CLASS | |
| 1. Orange 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara 5. Ulster | \$2,523 81 | 27,399 45 71,944 08 | | \$84,269 89 2,665 22 39,708 34 19,021 55 42,842 25 | \$11,029 68 5,465 89 4,412 49 3,669 12 | | |
| 6. St. Lawrence 7. Schenectady 8. Dutchess 9. Nassau 10. Steuben | 38,248 74 | | | 40,460 68 31,003 04 19,535 84 17,772 05 27,356 89 | 5,379 93 6,189 41 2,962 76 5,089 48 | | |
| 11. Jefferson | 22,102 14 13,913 00 | 54,934 82 28,151 16 | | 45,854 50 32,309 52 5,652 41 16,178 72 5,863 12 | 8,596 57 11,306 83 8,441 02 8,579 02 5,682 09 | | |
| Totals | \$77,616 58 | \$559,073 84 481,459 26 | | \$430,494 02 430,494 02 | \$86,804 29 86,804 29 | | |

¹ As shown by reports of county treasurers. See Table 14. Does not include special trust fund balances. See description of Table 41.

² Receipts from State for highway maintenance less expenditures therefrom.

³ Receipts from State treasurer for maintenance of armories less expenditures therefrom.

⁴ From Table 12.

of Supervisors

OF 1914 UPON THE TAX AND REVENUE FUNDS

| COUNTY FU BALA | | Gain to cash from tax loans and | Gain to cash from highway | | EVENUE FUNDS. BALANCES | |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Increased | Decreased | building bonds 4 | bonds and loans 5 | Increased 6 | Decreased 7 | |
| \$147,942 41 341,007 27 125,928 06 115,030 86 142,969 75 | \$192,791 79 38,852 40 263,697 59 212,641 61 76,772 06 | \$33,157 95 260,842 95 531,737 20 43,245 12 211,817 48 | 5,013 86 3,025 00 49,060 90 | \$146,686 58 86,514 21 51,936 90 50,186 65 10,739 85 | \$641,940 08 50,216 15 724,468 63 240,103 42 156,359 64 | III III IV V |
| \$872,878 35 88,122 90 | \$784,755 45 | \$1,080,800 70 1,080,800 70 | \$474,345 93 474,345 93 | | \$1,813,087 92 1,467,023 73 | |
| I | | | | | | |
| \$17,591 26 130,351 15 | \$192,791 79 | \$1,255 83 31,902 12 | \$417,246 17 | \$16,335 43 130,351 15 | \$641,940 08 | $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| \$147,942 41 | \$192,791 79 44,849 38 | \$33,157 95 33,157 95 | \$417,246 17 417,246 17 | \$146,686 58 | \$641,940 08 495,253 50 | |
| II | | | | | | |
| \$92,763 50 248,243 77 | \$5,233 17 33,619 23 | \$6,249 29 247,827 18 6,766 48 | \$5,013 86 | \$86,514 21 | \$4,597 27 5,233 17 40,385 71 | $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3\\4 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| \$341,007 27 302,154 87 | | \$260,842 95 260,842 95 | \$5,013 86 5,013 86 | \$86,514 21 36,298 06 | \$50,216 15 | |
| III | | • | | | · | • |
| ************ | \$5,996 64 | | | | \$5,996 64 | 1 |
| \$12,308 89 | 276 86 | \$1.975 22 | \$3,025 00 | \$4,308 67 | 276 86 | 3 |
| 5,792 08 | 57.335 02 | 49,918 00 | | 5,792 08 | 0 107,200 02 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 2,928 57 63,062 37 | 4,086 58 151,181 99 19,995 14 | 99.044 29 | | | 4,249 00 35,981 92 4,508 58 516,382 11 19,995 14 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| | 17,676 89 | | | | 17,676 89 | 11 |
| 19,313 53 21,512 70 1,009 92 | 7,148 47 | 5,000 00 | | 19,313 53 21,512 70 1,009 92 | 12,148 47 | 12 13 14 15 |
| \$125,928 06 | \$263,697 59 137,769 53 | \$531,737 20 531,737 20 | \$3,025 00 3,025 00 | | \$724,468 63 672,531 73 | |

<sup>From Table 13.
Surplus to tax and revenue funds from year's business.
Deficiency in tax and revenue receipts of the year.
\$143,752.77 expended from general funds for new buildings.</sup>

Table 11 - The Board

CHARACTER OF WORK — RESULTS OF COUNTY FINANCING

| | | ANCES OF ALL | STATE HICCASH | GHWAY FUNDS BALANCES ² | MILITARY DISTRICT FUNDS. CASH BALANCES 3 | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|----------------|--|
| | Increased | Decreased | Increased | Decreased | Increased | De- creased | |
| | 1 | | | | • | CLASS | |
| 1. Saratoga 2. Montgomery 3. Herkimer 4. Chemung 5. Ontario | | 88,236 60 66,774 28 20,749 97 | | \$40,955 97 36,405 87 73,504 32 14,133 88 11,036 87 | 4,875 61 1,335 65 | | |
| 6. Wayne 7. Clinton 8. Washington 9. Otsego 10. Rockland | | 14,328 01 40,537 73 | | 4,116 85 38,127 38 18,344 04 38,716 03 | 5,012 68 | | |
| 11. Franklin | 9,294 54 2,696 39 | 71,911 39 2,313 99 16,911 51 | | 25,922 08 4,725 51 1,214 40 13,390 99 5,491 79 | 2,948 04 1,684 16 971 95 3,924 67 | | |
| 16. Madison | 4,773 16 | 3 444 10 | | | | | |
| 21. Warren 22. Cortland | | 26,958 24 14,758 84 | | 19,052 26 7,107 44 | 3,107 89 | | |
| Totals | | \$490,619 44 422,789 20 | | \$388,821 89 372,049 56 | \$46,871 11 46,871 11 | | |
| | | | | | | CLASS | |
| 1. Sullivan | | 3.222 24 | \$12,287 03 | 28,361 38 | | | |
| 6. Seneca | 5,535 48 | 5,943 57 16,997 76 | 4,167 19 1,697 99 | 670 72 | | | |
| 11. Putnam | 1,966 39 2,925 85 | 13,361 19 | | $\begin{array}{c} 5,020 \ 78 \\ 2,505 \ 00 \\ 28,312 \ 20 \end{array}$ | | | |
| Totals | \$96,031 07 4,122 60 | \$91,908 47 | \$18,152 21 | \$92,874 67 74,722 46 | \$12,647 37 12,647 37 | | |

As shown by reports of county treasurers. See Table 14.
 Receipts from State for highway maintenance less expenditures therefrom.
 Receipts from State treasurer for maintenance of armories less expenditures therefrom.
 From Table 12.

of Supervisors

OF 1914 UPON THE TAX AND REVENUE FUNDS — concluded

| COUNTY FUNDS, CASH BALANCES | | Gain to cash from tax loans and | Gain to cash from highway | | EVENUE FUNDS. BALANCES | |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Increased | Decreased | building bonds 4 | bonds and loans ⁵ | Increased 6 | Decreased 7 | |
| IV | | | | , | | 1 |
| \$28,999 33 5,394 39 | \$56,706 34 | \$907 64 | \$49,060 90 | | \$20,969 21 856,706 34 | 1 2 |
| 0,394 39 | $\begin{array}{c} 13,767 \ 88 \\ 26,953 \ 45 \end{array}$ | 2,808 79 | | \$5,394 39 | 16,576 67 26,953 45 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 15,671 11 | 10,432 97 996 65 6,640 22 15,057 15 | | | | 10,432 97 996 65 6,640 22 15,057 15 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| 12,335 89 | $2,071 54 \\ 7.445 19$ | | | 12,335 89 | 48,937 35 5,755 35 7,445 19 | 11 12 13 14 |
| 37,815 51 803 35 5,823 10 | | 35,844 88 | | 1,970 63 803 35 5,823 10 | | 15 16 17 18 19 20 |
| | 11,013 87 7,651 40 | | | | 11,013 87 7,651 40 | 21 22 |
| \$115 ,030 86 | \$212,641 61 97,610 75 | | 49,060 90 | \$50,186 65 | \$240,103 42 189,916 77 | |
| V | | | | | | |
| | \$15,509 27 17,498 32 14,259 11 | | | | \$6,503 60 15,509 27 17,498 32 5,459 23 14,259 11 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 82,748 48 4,132 10 6,206 20 2,136 36 | | 87,967 48 9,850 00 | | \$4,132 10 2,136 36 | 5,219 00 21,164 95 3,643 80 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| 4,471 39 31,238 05 | | 90,000 00 | | | 0 040 44 | 11 12 13 |
| \$142,969 75 66,197 69 | \$76,772 06 | | | \$10,739 85 | \$156,359 64 145,619 79 | |

From Table 13.

<sup>From Table 13.
Surplus to tax and revenue funds from year's business.
Deficiency in tax and revenue receipts of the year.
\$56,940.01 expended for construction of buildings from general funds.</sup>



TABLE 12

GAIN TO CASH FROM TEMPORARY TAX LOANS — UNEXPENDED CASH FROM PROCEEDS OF BUILDING BONDS

Authority to make temporary loans for general purposes (tax loans):

Counties are prohibited by section 5 of the General Municipal Law from borrowing money "on temporary loan, except in anticipation of the taxes of the current year and for purposes for which such taxes are levied."

Taxes are levied during November or December, but the collectors do not make payment to the county treasurer until subsequent to February 1st. The statute permits temporary tax loans during the intervening period.

The same section provides that "such loans shall always be made payable within eight months."

The gains to cash from tax loans shown by this table indicate the issue of wholly unauthorized loans — a practice until recently quite universal in counties of the State.

Purpose of table:

This portion of the table shows the gain to cash from these unauthorized loans.

Proceeds of building bonds not available for general county purposes:

Where bonds are issued for the construction of buildings, the moneys derived therefrom must be kept intact for the purpose of their issue. Such moneys are not available for general county purposes.

Purpose of table:

The purpose of this portion of the table is to show the amount of cash derived during the year from bond issues and held by the treasurers of the several counties indicated for such specific purposes.

Table

RECEIPTS FROM TEMPORARY TAX LOANS IN EXCESS OF LOANS

| | Total gain to cash | | Negotiated |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----|-----------------------------|
| | | | |
| | | | CLASS |
| 1. Erie | \$1,255 31,902 | | \$34,111 97 1,023,623 83 |
| Totals | \$33,157 | 95 | \$1,057,735 80 |
| | | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga | \$6,249 | 29 | \$350,000 00 |
| 2. Albany | 247,827 | 18 | 167,959 00 |
| 4. Rensselaer | 6,766 | 48 | 200,295 36 |
| Totals | \$260,842 | 95 | \$718,254 36 |
| | | | CLASS |
| 3. Suffolk | \$4,975 | 221 | l |
| 4. Niagara | 49,918 | 00 | \$53,500 15 |
| 6. St. Lawrence | 7,177 99,044 | 57 | 180,030 00 |
| 8. Dutchess | | 00 | 22,801 48 |
| 9. Nassau | | 12 | 213,365 06 |
| 12. Broome | 5,000 | 00 | 5,000 00 |
| Totals | \$531,737 | 20 | \$474,696 69 |
| | | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga | \$907 | 641 | OHILO |
| 4. Chemung | 2,808 | 79 | |
| 13. Fulton | 3,683 35,844 | | \$3,000 00 |
| To. Madison | 33,011 | 00 | |
| Totals | \$43,245 | 12 | \$3,000 00 |
| | | | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan | \$14,000 | 001 | \$34,000 00 |
| 4. Wyoming | 10,000 | 00 | 10,000 00 |
| 6. Seneca 9. Schoharie | 87,967 9,850 | | |
| 13. Hamilton | 90,000 | | 90,000 00 |
| Totals | \$211,817 | 48 | \$134,000 00 |
| State | \$1,080,800 | 70 | \$2,387,686 85 |

¹ Tax deficiency bonds.

12 PAID — UNEXPENDED CASH FROM PROCEEDS OF BUILDING BONDS

| TEMPORARY TA | x Loans | | Building Bonds | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---|
| | | | | | |
| Paid | Gain to eash | Issued | New buildings paid for | Gain to cash | |
| I | | | | | |
| \$32,856 14 1,006,510 00 | \$1,255 83 17,113 83 | 1 \$82,772 06 | 1 \$67,983 77 | 1 \$14,788 29 | 1 3 |
| \$1,039,366 14 | \$18,369 66 | \$82,772 06 | \$67,983 77 | \$14,788 29 | |
| PI | | | | | |
| \$343,750 71 112,500 00 193,528 88 | \$6,249 29 55,459 00 6,766 48 | \$300,000 00 | \$107,631 82 | \$192,368 18 | $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| \$649,779 59 | \$68,474 77 | \$300,000 00 | \$107,631 82 | \$192,368 18 | |
| III | 1 | | • | ' | |
| \$3,582 15 | \$49,918 00 | \$50,000 00 | \$45,024 78 23,822 43 | \$4,975 22 7,177 57 | 3 4 6 |
| 147,446 16 22,379 48 | 422 00 | 130,000 00 | 63,539 55 | 66,460 45 | 4 6 7 8 9 |
| 69,528 49 | 143,836 57 5,000 00 | 275,000 00 | 53,636 45 | 221,363 55 | 12 |
| \$242,936 28 | \$231,760 41 | \$486,000 00 | \$186,023 21 | \$299,976 79 | |
| IV | | | | | |
| | | \$30,000 00 12,495 00 | \$29,092 36 9,686 21 | \$907 64 2,808 79 | 1 4 |
| | \$3,000 00 | 15,000 00 | 14,316 19 | 683 81 | 13 |
| | | 75,000 00 | 39,155 12 | 35,844 88 | 16 |
| | \$3,000 00 | \$132,495 00 | \$92,249 88 | \$40,245 12 | |
| v | | | | | |
| \$20,000 00 | | |] | | 1 |
| | 10,000 00 | \$102,000 00 | \$14,032 52 | \$87,967 48 | 6 |
| | 90,000 00 | 30,000 00 | 20,150 00 | 9,850 00 | 9 13 |
| \$20,000 00 | \$114,000 00 | \$132,000 00 | \$34,182 52 | \$97,817 48 | |
| \$1,952,082 01 | \$435,604 84 | \$1,133,267 06 | \$488,071 20 | \$645,195 86 | |




TABLE 13

RECEIPTS FROM HIGHWAY BONDS AND LOANS IN EXCESS OF LOANS
PAID AND EXPENDITURES FOR HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION

Construction of county highways:

Where county highways (not county roads, see Highway Law) are in process of construction the county treasurer is authorized to borrow on temporary loans to meet drafts of the State Highway Commission.

These loans are paid either from the proceeds of highway bonds or from taxes.

The expense of construction may be paid from the proceeds of either these loans or from highway bonds.

Purpose of table:

Any surplus from either source in the treasurer's hands is applicable only to such construction.

In the four counties named in this table there was such surplus.


Table

RECEIPTS FROM HIGHWAY BONDS AND LOANS IN EXCESS OF

| | Net gain to cash | Bonds issued |
|----------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 3. Westchester | \$417,246 17 | CLASS \$507,985 00 |
| 2. Albany | \$5,013 86 | CLASS \$89,750 0 ⁰ |
| 3. Suffolk | \$3,025 00 | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga | | CLASS \$50,000 00 \$647,735 00 |

13 LOANS PAID AND EXPENDITURES FOR HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION

|] | RECEIPTS | | Expenditures | | | | |
|-----|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|---|--|
| n | Loans regotiated | Total | Loans paid | Highway construction | Total | | |
| I | \$31,409 00 | \$539,394 00 | \$16,415 00 | \$105,732 83 | \$122,147 83 | 3 | |
| II | \$5,150 13 | \$95,900 13 | | \$90,886 27 | \$90,886 27 | 2 | |
| III | \$9,625 00 | \$9,625 00 | | \$6,600 00 | \$6,600 00 | 3 | |
| IV | \$28,469 13 | \$7 8,469 13 | \$18,000 00 | \$11,408 23 | \$29,408 23 | 1 | |
| | \$74,653 26 | \$723,388 26 | \$34,415 00 | \$214,627 33 | \$249,042 33 | | |



NET RESULTS OF COUNTY FINANCING, 1914 — PAYMENT OF EXPENDITURES FROM TAX RECEIPTS

So far as the expenses of county government are met by the county, the moneys therefor must be ultimately derived from taxation. It is the purpose of this table to show the net amount available for county purposes from the taxes of the fiscal year 1914, and the difference between this amount and the total expenditures of the year.

Net receipts from taxes:

The amount here entered is the gross receipts from taxes less the expenditures incurred in the collection thereof. (See Table 15.)

Refunds and reimbursements — advances and losses:

During the course of the year's business, amounts, usually small, will be received by the treasurer on account of errors of preceding years and from deposits subject to subsequent reimbursement to the depositor.

On the other hand the county treasurer will be called upon to pay, under certain general or specific statutes certain amounts which are later to be repaid to the county funds.

Such refunds and reimbursements are added to the receipts from taxes, and the advances and losses are deducted from the receipts from taxes to show the amount available for county purposes.

Deficiency or surplus:

The last column of this table shows the total amount of expenditures met from county funds as per Table 20.

As shown in the description of Table 11, the amount raised by taxes will never be exactly the amount required for the maintenance of county government. If it is less than the amount required to meet the expenditures the deficiency must be met from the surplus cash in the hands of the treasurer at the beginning of the fiscal year. If it is greater than the amount required to meet the expenditures, the excess will be added to such surplus at the beginning of the year. Columns 5 and 6 show the amounts of such deficiencies or surpluses.

Table 14 - Net results

THE PAYMENT OF EXPENDITURES

| | Net receipts from taxes ¹ | Refunds and reimbursements |
|--|--|----------------------------|
| | | |
| | | Add |
| Class I Class II Class III Class III Class IV Class V | \$4,294,795 46 3,261,197 00 4,346,638 92 3,362,474 51 1,024,622 51 | \$3,129 17 |
| State | \$16,289,728 40 | 4 \$12,124 33 |
| COUNTY | | CL + CC |
| 1. Erie | \$1,976,589 49 | CLASS |
| 2. Monroe 3. Westchester. | 1,244,051 57 1,074,154 40 | \$5,280 57 813 94 |
| Totals | \$4,294,795 46 | \$6,094 51 |
| | | |
| 1.0 | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga 2. Albany 3. Oneida | \$1,233,533 44 782,924 79 712,775 38 | \$439 03 191 84 |
| 4. Rensselaer | 531,963 39 | 2,498 30 |
| Totals | \$3,261,197 00 | \$3,129 17 |
| | | CLASS |
| 1. Orange. 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk. 4. Niagara 5. Ulster. | \$392,014 05 229,302 72 371,827 20 436,963 95 298,931 10 | \$37 45 81 |
| 6. St. Lawrence | 166,996 37 | |
| 7. Schenectady | 293,672 70 | 83 37 |
| 8. Dutchess 9. Nassau 10. Steuben | 268,880 12 538,765 84 215,981 49 | 2,916 95 8 85 |
| 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga | 221,777 98 254,531 27 304,567 73 207,446 23 | 22 44 285 15 15 |
| 15. Cattaraugus | 144,980 17 | 85 16 |
| Totals | \$4,346,638 92 | \$3,440 33 |

¹ From Table 15. ² From Table 19.

of County Financing, 1914

FROM TAX RECEIPTS

| 3,381,670 87 422,911 95 3,804,582 82 1,021,794 21 \$16,301,852 73 \$4814,369 65 \$17,116,222 38 | I II III IV V ate |
|---|--|
| \$12,226 43 \$4,282,569 03 \$68,312 42 | II III IV V |
| 3,264,326 17 | II III IV V |
| I | ate |
| · | |
| · . | |
| \$18,320 94 \$\$1,958,268 55 \$2,659 72 \$1,249,332 14 \$1,074,968 34 \$209,058 15 \$1,249,332 14 \$1,249,332 14 \$1,074,968 34 \$209,058 15 \$1,249,326 49 | $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{array}$ |
| \$18,320 94 \$4,282,569 03 \$211,717 87 \$143,405 45 \$4,350,881 45 | |
| | |
| II \$1,233,533 44 \$62,957 83 \$1,170,575 61 | 1 |
| 783,363 82 203,530 92 579,832 90 | 1 2 3 |
| 712,967 22 \$56,386 46 | 4 |
| | |
| III | |
| | 1 |
| \$2.382 [6][369.445 04] 27.399 45[| 3 |
| 436,963,95 298,931,10 33,381,05 3508,908,03 332,312,15 | 4 5 |
| | |
| $egin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | 6 7 |
| 271,797 07 20,657 66 2292,454 73 | 8 |
| 13 33 215,968 16 42,262 55 | 10 |
| | 11 |
| 254,816 42 28,151 16 | 12 13 |
| 239 32 207,206 91 13,913 00 193,293 91 145,065 33 828 89 144,236 44 | 14 15 |
| \$2,709 40 \$4,347,369 85 \$559,073 84 \$77,616 58 \$4,828,827 11 | 10 |
| 27,011,000 00 0000,010 01 011,000 00 01,000,001 11 | |

³ See Table 11. ⁴ Net amount.

Table 14 - Net results

THE PAYMENT OF EXPENDITURES

| COUNTY | Net receipts from taxes ¹ | Refunds and reimbursements |
|--------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| | | Add |
| | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga. 2. Montgomery. | \$203,480 01 206,317 83 | \$655 17 |
| 3. Herkimer. 4. Chemung. | 203,537 09 188,801 75 | |
| 5. Ontario. | 192,796 83 | |
| 6. Wayne | 131,869 52 121,364 64 | 96.70 |
| 8. Washington | 158,921 00 164,858 17 | |
| 9. Otsego | 210,186 43 | |
| 11. Franklin | 136,763 21 | 29 80 |
| 12. Delaware | 121,447 31 155,348 69 | 23 25 181 86 |
| 14. Columbia | 211,964 54 | |
| 15. Allegany | 106,819 07 | |
| 16. Madison | 165,933 77 144,687 59 | 34 50 480 00 |
| 18. Genesee. 19. Chenango | 89,194 03 108,866 75 | 6 16,850 59 76 65 |
| 20. Tompkins. | 103,385 26 | |
| 21. Warren | 146,849 09 | 42 45 |
| 22. Cortland | 89,081 93 | |
| Totals | \$3,362,474 51 | \$19,691 56 |
| | | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan. 2. Essex. | \$108,413 47 127,109 21 | |
| 3. Orleans | 115,913 53 | \$14 70 |
| 4. Wyoming | 83,190 47 125,155 59 | 1,027 50 203 00 |
| 6. Seneca | 80,666 79 | |
| 7. Tioga | 93,952 28 49,280 31 | |
| 9. Schoharie 10. Yates. | 53,126 57 42,704 49 | |
| | 94,404 63 | |
| 11. Putnam. 12. Schuyler. | 41,274 11 | |
| 13. Hamilton | 9,431 06 | |
| Totals | \$1,024,622 51 | \$1,294 30 |

¹ From Table 15. ² From Table 19. ³ See Table 11.

of County Financing, 1914

FROM TAX RECEIPTS — concluded

| Advances and losses ² | Available for county purposes | Deficiency met from cash balance at beginning of the year ³ | Surplus added to cash balance at beginning of the year ³ | Net expenditure as per Table 20 | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Deduct | | Add | Deduct | | |
| IV | | | | | |
| \$88 64 | \$203,391 37 | \$6,915 13 | | \$210,306 50 | 1 |
| | 206,973 00 203,537 09 | 88,236 60 66,774 28 | | 295,209 60 270,311 37 | 2 3 4 5 |
| | 189,136 97 | 20,843 40 | | 209,980 37 | 4 |
| | 192,828 34 | 31,991 68 | | 224,820 02 | 5 |
| 24 25 | 131,845 27 | | \$11,554 26 | 120,291 01 | 6 |
| 238 24 | $\begin{array}{c} 121,461 \ 34 \\ 158,682 \ 76 \end{array}$ | 48,460 35 14,328 01 | | 169,921 69 173,010 77 | 7 8 |
| 200 21 | 164,858 17 | 40,537 73 | | 205,395 90 | 8 |
| | 210,186 43 | | 1,715 78 | 208,470 65 | 10 |
| | 136,793 01 | 71,911 39 | | 208,704 40 | 11 |
| | $\begin{array}{c} 121,470 & 56 \\ 155,530 & 55 \end{array}$ | 2,313 99 | 9,294 54 | 112,176 02 157,844 54 | 12 13 |
| | 211,964 54 | 16,911 61 | | 228,876 15 | 14 |
| 144 07 | 106,675 00 | | 2,696 39 | 103,978 61 | 15 |
| | 165,968 27 145,167 59 | 2 444 10 | 437,796 71 | 37,796 71 148,611 69 | 16 17 |
| | 106,044 62 | 3,444 10 | 4,773 16 | 101,044 62 | 18 |
| | 108,943 40 | 33,420 49 2,936 95 | | 142,363 89 107,122 07 | 19 20 |
| *********** | 104,185 12 | , | | | |
| | 146,891 54 89,135 93 | 26,958 24 14,758 84 | | 173,849 78 103,894 77 | $\frac{21}{22}$ |
| \$495 20 | \$3,381,670 87 | | | \$3,804,582 82 | |
| | | | | | |
| V | | | | | |
| | \$108,413 47 127,109 21 | \$2,947 38 . 3,222 24 . | | \$111,360 85 130,331 45 | 1 2 |
| | 115,928 23 | 5 40, 163 55. | | 115,928 23 | 3 |
| | 84,217 97 125,358 59 | 9,272 68 | \$4,192 91 | 80,025 06 134,631 27 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| | | 1 | | | |
| | 80,715 89 93,952 28 | 5,943 57 | 77,576 09 | 3,139 80 99,895 85 | 6 7 8 |
| | 49,280 31 | 16,997 76. | | 66.278 0711 | 8 |
| | 53,126 57 42,704 49 | | 5,535 48 3,834 35 | 47,591 09 38,870 14 | 10 |
| | 94,404 63 | 13,361 19 | | 107,765 82 | 11 |
| | 41,274 11 | | 1,966 39 | 39,307 72 | 12 |
| | 9,431 06 | | 2,925 85 | 6,505 21 | 13 |
| | | | | \$1,021,794 21 | |

⁴ Error in computation of report, \$103.28. ⁵ Error in computation of report, \$10.00. ⁶ "Checks Supt. of Poor \$1.834.76; cash \$14,422.96; cash \$592.87." Probably amounts not previously entered on treasurer's books.

Results of County Financing, 1914 — Net Receipts from Taxes

Taxes of the current year:

The amounts included under this title are the amounts received by the treasurer from the county taxes levied in 1913.

It will be seen from Table 20 that the net expenditures for general government in the several counties of the State during the fiscal year of 1914 were \$15,045,440.32. This table shows that the gross receipts from the taxes of the current year amounted to \$16,664,138.81. The receipts from taxes would indicate that practically \$1,500,000 was raised by tax to be applied to the cost of county improvements.

Taxes of prior years:

Under the procedure for the collection of taxes as given in the Tax Law, it becomes the duty of the county treasurer to collect the taxes returned to him as unpaid by the collectors of the several towns. The amount included in this title is the amount so collected.

Returned school taxes:

Under the provisions of the Education Law the county treasurer is compelled to pay to the collector or treasurer of the several school districts, from county funds, the amount of the taxes uncollected from the taxpayers of the school district. The county is reimbursed either by direct payment from such delinquent taxpayers to the county treasurer (the amount of which is shown in this column) or by the levy of such unpaid taxes upon the property of the delinquent taxpayers. The amount received from taxes of the current year includes the amounts so relevied in 1913.

Tax expenditures:

Most of the expenditures for the collection of taxes are met by funds collected from the taxpayers through fees, or by payments from town funds. The expenditures included under this head are those met from county funds.

Tax commissioners' meetings:

The tax commissioners are required by law to hold biennial meetings in each county for purposes of information and instruction. The compensation of the supervisors and assessors attending such meetings is by law made a county charge.

Equalization commission:

Usually the equalization of the assessments of the various towns is made by the board of supervisors, but the Tax Law provides for the creation of a special commission of equalization in any county. Some counties have availed themselves of this provision.

There has been included under this title, certain extraordinary expenses arising through appeals and other procedure in determining the proper equalization of the assessments of 1913.

Tax blanks, etc.:

The statute requires that the county shall furnish the collectors blank forms of receipts for payments by taxpayers, and in many counties assessment rolls and other tax b'anks are furnished at the expense of the county.

County treasurers' expenses in the collection of returned taxes:

In his procedure in the collection of returned taxes the county treasurer will incur expenses for descriptions, advertising, etc. This amount in part will be met by fees collected from the delinquent taxpayers included in this table in the amounts received from taxes of prior years.

It will be seen that the total receipts of county treasurers from taxes of prior years aggregated \$151,257.68, while the expenses of the treasurers in making such collections aggregate \$94,717.59.

Returned school taxes:

As stated above, the county treasurer is obliged to pay from county funds to school collectors or treasurers the uncollected school taxes of the district. The amount so paid is included in this column.

Taxes refunded:

The Tax Law and the County Law provide for the refund by the county of taxes erroneously levied. The amounts so refunded are included in this column.

Table 15 - Results of

NET RECEIPTS

| | | | | TIET I | ECEII IS |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| | | Tax Ri | CCEIPTS | | |
| | Taxes of the current year | Taxes of prior years | Returned school taxes collected | Total | Tax commis- sioners' meetings 1 |
| Class I | \$4,334,781 15 3,311,444 18 4,558,084 15 3,438,756 39 1,021,072 94 | \$46,276 56 17,500 65 38,218 69 14,911 71 34,350 07 | \$2,025 44 2,479 70 26,536 90 6,758 12 2,223 52 | \$4,383,083 15 3,331,424 53 4,622,839 74 3,460,426 22 1,057,646 53 | \$1,317 64 1,312 90 3,171 26 6,175 00 2,600 66 |
| State | \$16,664,138 81 | \$151,257 68 | \$40,023 68 | \$16,855,420 17 | \$14,577 46 |
| COUNTY | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Erie | \$1,992,162 75 1,263,494 03 1,079,124 37 | \$45,4~4 06 822 50 | \$1,702 74 322 70 | \$2,039,319 55 1,264,639 23 1,079,124 37 | \$734 88 338 56 244 20 |
| Totals Averages | \$4,334,781 15 1,444,927 05 | \$46,276 56 23,138 28 | \$2,025 44 1,012 72 | \$4,383,083 15 1,461,027 72 | \$1,317 64 439 21 |
| | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga | \$1,246,158 59 812,573 10 719,010 25 533,702 24 | \$2,759 66 4,203 61 954 75 9,582 63 | \$47 81 374 04 2,057 85 | \$1,248,966 06 817,150 75 719,965 00 545,342 72 | \$431 90 881 00 |
| Totals | \$3,311,444 18 827,861 04 | \$17,500 65 4,375 15 | \$2,479 70 826 56 | \$3,331,424 53 832,856 13 | \$1,312 90 656 45 |
| | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Orange | \$394,481 04 233,404 45 404,382 36 437,237 73 303,525 64 | \$1,109 82 29,067 27 3,880 54 | \$947 72 704 19 5,767 30 283 65 2,522 49 | \$395,428 76 235,218 46 439,216 93 441,401 92 306,048 13 | \$246 56 611 60 |
| 6. St. Lawrence 7. Schenectady 8. Dutchess 9. Nassau 10. Steuben | 170,768 14 301,071 22 272,201 74 676,754 08 219,531 90 | $\begin{array}{r} 445 \ 23 \\ 171 \ 54 \\ 898 \ 16 \\ 1,649 \ 22 \\ 429 \ 54 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 200 \ 44 \\ 1,699 \ 72 \\ 259 \ 30 \\ 12,732 \ 84 \\ 348 \ 90 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 171,413 & 81 \\ 302,942 & 48 \\ 273,359 & 20 \\ 691,136 & 14 \\ 220,310 & 34 \end{array}$ | 418 12 17 12 1,259 14 |
| 11. Jefferson | 223,141 17 257,707 85 306,717 70 210,001 94 147,157 19 | 209 38 3 80 354 19 | 396 41 73 68 534 19 66 07 | 223,537 58 257,990 91 307,251 89 210,071 81 147,511 38 | 6 08 355 59 257 05 |
| Totals Averages | \$4,558,084 15 303,872 28 | \$38,218 69 3,474 42 | | \$4,622,839 74 308,189 31 | \$3,171 26 396 40 |

¹ From Table 16. ² From Table 17.

County Financing, 1914

FROM TAXES

| | | _ | | - | | - | | - | | | |
|---|---|------------------|--|-----|---|---------------------------------|---|-------------|--|--|------------------------|
| TAX EXPENS | DITURES | | | | | | | | | | |
| Equali- zation commis- sion ² | Tax blanks | 2 | County treasurer's expenses in collection of returned taxes 3 | | Returne school taxes advance | | Taxes refunded | | Total | Net receipts from taxes | |
| \$3,292 56 1,056 92 765 84 21,155 74 1,025 62 | \$1,992 711 3,864 3,569 1,562 | $00 \\ 94 \\ 57$ | \$16,764 4 28,454 1 37,633 8 9,100 5 2,764 6 | 6 | \$51,876 20,444 224,048 49,162 24,069 | $\frac{54}{39}$ $\frac{42}{42}$ | 6,716 5 8,788 4 | 1 5 1 | \$88,287 69 70,227 53 276,200 82 97,951 71 33,024 02 | 3,261,197 00 4,346,638 92 3,362,474 51 | I III IV V |
| \$27,296 68 | \$11,700 | 64 | \$94,717 5 | 9 | \$369,601 | 88 | \$47,797 5 | 2 | \$565,691 77 | \$16,289,728 40 | State |
| I . | | | | | | | | | | | |
| \$3,292 56 | \$1,258 \$734 | | \$11,590 70 5,173 6 | 5 | \$41,295 10,581 | 83 | \$7,851 3 1,201 0 3,991 1 | 6 | | \$1,976,589 49 1,244,051 57 1,074,154 40 | 1 2 3 |
| \$3,292 56 3,292 56 | \$1,992 996 | | \$16,766 4 8,382 20 | | \$51,876 25,938 | | \$13,043 5 4,347 8 | 5 | \$88,287 69 29,429 23 | \$4,294,795 46 1,431,598 49 | |
| II | | | | , | | | | , | | 14 | 1 |
| \$156 92 900 00 | \$180 531 | 00 | \$5,193 7, 17,773 8 1,168 5 4,318 0 | 9 | \$8,811 1,526 3,981 6,125 | 49 36 | 14,745 5 1,001 8 | 84 | \$15,432 62 34,225 96 7,189 62 13,379 33 | \$1,233,533 44 782,924 79 712,775 38 531,963 39 | 2 3 |
| \$1,056 92 528 46 | \$711 355 | | \$28,454 1 7,113 5 | | \$20,444 5,111 | | \$18,248 0 4,562 0 | | \$70,227 53 | \$3,261,197 00 | |
| III | | | (| | | | | | | .1 |) [|
| \$7 65 84 | $110 \\ 1,417 \\ 464$ | 68 36 75 | \$2 57 2,299 04 15,142 40 2,439 30 | 1 | \$2,842 3,120 48,901 856 6,501 | $\frac{32}{67} \\ 45$ | 385 70 1,162 40 430 9 | 6 | \$3,414 71 5,915 74 67,389 73 4,437 97 7,117 03 | 229,302 72 371,827 20 436,963 95 | 2 3 |
| | | | 801 83 391 89 582 00 12,641 83 974 80 | 902 | 3,592 6,893 3,377 137,619 1,573 | 72 86 86 | 23 43 1,984 17 101 10 1,604 50 521 00 | 7 0 | 4,417 03 9,269 78 4,479 08 152,370 30 4,328 85 | 29 ,672 70 268,880 12 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| | 71 220 720 | 00 | 554 7 | 8 | 1,502 2,074 2,654 1,333 | $\frac{19}{26}$ $\frac{13}{13}$ | 123 98 29 90 17 4 | 8 0 3 | 1,759 60 3,459 64 2,684 16 2,625 58 | 254,531 27 304,567 73 207,446 23 | 11 12 13 14 |
| \$765 81 | \$3.864 | | 967 6- \$37,633 8 | 4 | 1,203 | 72 | \$6.716.5 | | 2,531 21 | 144,980 17 \$4,346,638 92 | 15 |
| 765 84 ====== | 429 | | | Î | 14,936 | 55 == | 479 7 | 5 | 18,413 39 | 289,775 93 | |

³ From Table 18.

Table 15 — Results of

NET RECEIPTS

| | | Tax Ri | CEIPTS | | |
|----------------|--|--------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | | 177 10 | CEIFIS | | |
| COUNTY | Taxes of the current year | Taxes of prior years | Returned school taxes collected | Total | Tax commis- sioners' meetings 1 |
| | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga | \$207,512 03 209,462 89 231,731 13 192,953 34 195,156 17 | \$323 91 391 24 | \$107 83 60 79 178 63 | \$207,943 77 209,523 68 231,909 76 193,344 58 195,189 06 | \$196 00 554 40 24 90 453 94 |
| 6. Wayne | 133,035 16 122,395 87 160,813 98 165,660 58 213,835 12 | | 85 14 | $\begin{array}{c} 133,054 & 45 \\ 124,321 & 44 \\ 161,163 & 32 \\ 165,745 & 72 \\ 225,660 & 67 \end{array}$ | 53 76 345 08 580 08 771 06 42 56 |
| 11. Franklin | 144,575 09 123,237 07 155,738 63 214,789 33 107,908 35 | 2,913 92 88 00 | 74 80 183 07 787 98 244 77 | 144,649 89 123,420 14 158,652 55 215,665 31 108,153 12 | 533 84 428 78 348 24 6 64 |
| 16. Madison | 168,648 55 145,619 28 89,504 94 110,202 41 104,978 91 | 171 72 | 82 66 661 74 130 34 68 28 | 168,831 52 146,842 06 89,635 28 110,374 13 105,328 34 | 316 34 377 64 79 96 448 20 |
| 21. Warren | 151,575 55 89,422 01 | | 20 17 | 151,575 55 89,442 18 | 602 58 11 00 |
| Totals | \$3,438,756 39 2156,307 10 | \$14,911 71 1,355 61 | \$6,758 12 375 45 | \$3,460,426 22 157,292 10 | \$6,175 00 325 00 |
| 1 | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan | 149 499 08 | \$109 26 700 41 | \$635 34 92 52 244 32 454 42 | \$112,490 10 142,520 60 116,832 75 83,739 25 129,873 32 | \$451 66 702 98 24 24 435 20 |
| 6. Seneca | 80,666 79 94,567 71 51,764 76 53,920 70 42,704 49 | 104 71 | 90 56 161 77 | 80,666 79 94,658 27 52,031 24 53,920 70 42,704 49 | 367 32 458 68 |
| 11. Putnam | 95,639 13 42,200 81 423,612 20 | 173 87 14 00 5 33,247 82 | 527 29 17 30 | 96,340 29 42,232 11 9,635 62 | 160 58 |
| TotalsAverages | \$1,021,072 94 78,544 61 | \$34,350 07 5,725 01 | \$2,223 52 277 94 | \$1,057,646 53 81,357 42 | \$2,600 66 288 74 |

¹ From Table 16. ² From Table 17. ³ From Table 18.

County Financing, 1914

FROM TAXES — concluded

| | - | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|----------------------------|
| TAX EXPEN | DITURES | | | | | | |
| Equalization commission 2 | Tax blanks ² | County treasurer's expenses in collection of returned taxes 3 | Returned school taxes advanced | Taxes refunded | Total | Net receipts from taxes | |
| IV | | | 1 | 1 | | . 1 | |
| \$18,196 19 | \$111 50 204 42 175 00 | \$2 34 | \$3,000 36 498 93 8,664 06 2,481 35 172 36 | \$1,351 90 2,306 50 780 68 26 61 189 44 | \$4,463 76 3,205 85 28,372 67 4,542 83 2,392 23 | 188,801 75 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| | 336 35 | 105 37 878 21 63 05 39 01 2,744 98 | 579 50 1,718 95 418 69 21 51 11,927 73 | 844 15 55 97 | 1,184 93 2,956 50 2,242 32 887 55 15,474 24 | 131,869 52 121,364 64 158,921 00 164,858 17 210,186 43 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| | | | 6,888 41 1,539 59 1,623 57 1,403 04 792 41 | $\begin{array}{c} 4 & 46 \\ 1,332 & 05 \\ 228 & 38 \\ 200 & 20 \end{array}$ | 7,886 68 1,972 83 3,303 86 3,700 77 1,334 05 | 136,763 21 121,447 31 155,348 69 211,964 54 106,819 07 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| 1,269 00 | 336 00 268 50 60 00 | 305 21 | | 49 10 34 38 18 67 250 20 22 07 | 2,897 75 2,154 47 441 25 1,507 38 1,943 08 | 144,687 59 89.194 03 | 16 17 18 19 20 |
| | 163 50 237 35 | | 3,922 13 111 90 | 38 25 | $\substack{4,726\ 46\\360\ 25}$ | 146,849 09 | 21 22 |
| \$21,155 74 5,288 93 | \$3,569 57 274 58 | | \$49,162 42 2,234 64 | \$8,788 41 399 47 | \$97,951 71 4,452 35 | \$3,362,474 51 152,839 75 | |
| v | | | | | | | |
| | \$348 40 318 65 332 33 | \$401 16 489 63 171 40 131 38 | \$2,793 11 13,900 13 389 33 370 28 3,191 90 | \$83 30 173 32 7 10 34 25 | \$4,077 63 15,411 39 919 22 548 78 4,717 73 | 127 109 211 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 100 62 | 240 00 46 00 | 366 00 636 98 | | 1 | 705 99 2,750 93 794 13 | 80,666 79 93,952 28 49,280 31 53,126 57 42,704 49 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| | 180 10 97 00 | 337 50 26 00 204 56 | 1,131 50 382 77 | 286 76 291 65 | 1,935 66 958 00 204 56 | 94,404 63 41,274 11 9,431 06 | 11 12 13 |
| \$1,025 62 512 81 | \$1,562 48 223 21 | | | \$1,001 00 111 22 | \$33,024 02 | \$1,024,622 51 | |

⁴ Excess of payments to collectors over amount received on taxes. Due to taxes on non-resident and State lands returned to the State Comptroller and not paid during current year.

⁵ Taxes of prior year paid by State Comptroller.

⁶ Expenses of appeals.



TAX EXPENSES — TAX COMMISSIONERS' MEETINGS

The purpose of this table is to show the various items comprising and included in the cost of these meetings.

Table 16 — Tax Expenses

TAX COMMISSIONERS' MEETINGS

| Total Supervisors Assessors Not classified and sundries | | | | <u> </u> | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Compensation Mileage Compensation Mileage Sundries | | Total | SUPER | visors | Asse | ssors | classified |
| Class III. | | | | Mileage | | Mileage | |
| COUNTY CLASS I 1. Erie | Class III. Class III. Class IV. | $\begin{array}{c} 1,312 \ 90 \\ 3,171 \ 26 \\ 6,175 \ 00 \end{array}$ | 262 64 699 59 635 32 | 183 18 404 94 | 661 26 1,489 40 3,681 48 | 799 09 1,438 86 | \$14 40 |
| COUNTY 1. Erie | State | \$14,577 46 | \$2,680 63 | \$778 38 | | | |
| 2. Monroe. 338 56 244 20 244 20 | COUNTY | | | CLAS | ' | | |
| CLASS II 1. Onondaga. \$431 90 1 \$98 64 1 \$333 26 2. Albany | 2. Monroe | 338 56 | \$734 88 244 20 | | 1 \$338 56 | | |
| CLASS II 1. Onondaga. \$431 90 | Totals | \$1,317 64 | \$979 08 | | \$338 56 | | |
| 1. Onondaga. \$431 90 | 11 | ,, | | | | | |
| 3. Oneida. 881 00 164 00 \$95 00 328 00 \$294 00 Totals. \$1,312 90 \$262 64 \$95 00 \$661 26 \$294 00 CLASS III 1. Orange. 2. Chautauqua. 3. Suffolk. 4. Niagara. \$246 56 5. Ulster. 611 60 \$212 00 1399 60 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 418 12 1418 12 | | | 1 \$98 64 | | 1 \$333 26 | | |
| Totals \$1,312 90 \$262 64 \$95 00 \$661 26 \$294 00 CLASS III 1. Orange. 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk. 4. Niagara \$246 56 5. Ulster. 611 60 \$212 00 1399 60 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 4. Dutchess. 418 12 1418 12 | 3. Oneida | 881 00 | | \$95 00 | 328 00 | \$294 00 | |
| CLASS III 1. Orange 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara | | | \$262 64 | \$95 00 | \$661 26 | | |
| 1. Orange 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara \$246.56 1\$212.00 1399.60 6. St. Lawrence 7. Schenectady 8. Dutchess 418.12 1418.12 | 11 | | | | 1 | | |
| 5. Sunois. 4. Niagara \$246 56 1\$246 56 1399 60 5. Ulster. 611 60 \$212 00 1399 60 6. St. Lawrence | 1. Orange | | | | | | |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess. 418 12. 1418 12. | o. Sunoik | 1 | | | 1 | | |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess. 418 12. 1418 12. | 4. Niagara | \$246 56 611 60 | \$212 00 | | 1 \$246 56 1 399 60 | | |
| 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess. 418 12 1418 12 | | | | | | | |
| 8. Dutchess | 7. Schenectady | | | | | 1 | |
| 10 Caraltar 17 12 | 8. Dutchess | 418 12 | | | 1 418 12 | | |
| 10. steuben | 10. Steuben | 1,259 14 | 132 00 | \$183 18 | 408 00 | \$535 96 | |
| 11. Jefferson 6 08 12. Broome 355 59 13. Oswego 6 08 | 12. Broome | 355 59 | | | | | |
| 14. Cayuga | 14. Cavuga | | | | | | |
| Totals | | | | | | | |

¹ Mileage included.

Table 16 — Tax Expenses

Tax Commissioners' Meetings — concluded

| COUNTY | Total | Superv | visors | Asses | ssors | Not classified |
|--|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| COUNTY | Total | Compen- sation | Mileage | Compensation | Mileage | and sundries |
| , | | | CLAS | S IV | | |
| 1. Saratoga 2. Montgomery 3. Herkimer 4. Chemung 5. Ontario | \$196 00 554 40 24 90 453 94 | \$64 00 12 00 76 00 | \$46 48 12 90 49 70 | \$128 00 240 00 197 92 | \$68 00 203 92 130 32 | |
| 6. Wayne | 53 76 345 08 580 08 771 06 42 56 | 32 00 76 00 88 00 | 21 76 78 40 102 80 | 1 345 08 200 00 284 00 28 00 | | \$4 40 |
| 11. Franklin 12. Delaware 13. Fulton 14. Columbia 15. Allegany | 533 84 428 78 348 24 6 64 | 1 139 32 76 00 | 37 20 | 1 394 52 428 78 128 00 6 64 | 99 04 | 8 00 |
| 16. Madison 17. Livingston 18. Genesee 19. Chenango 20. Tompkins | 316 34 377 64 79 96 - 448 20 | 24 00 | | 316 34 176 00 60 00 448 20 | | 2 00 |
| 21. Warren | 602 58 11 00 | 48 00 | 35 36 | 292 00 8 00 | 227 22 3 00 | |
| Totals | \$6,175 00 | \$635 32 | \$404 94 | \$3,681 48 | \$1,438 86 | |
| | | | CLAS | ss v | | |
| 1. Sullivan | \$451 66 702 98 24 24 435 20 | | \$74 72 | \$264 00 234 00 24 24 435 20 | 317 26 | \$5 00 |
| 6. Seneca | 367 32 458 68 | | | 192 00 | 175 32 | 458 68 |
| 11. Putnam | 160 58 | 32 00 | 20 54 | 80 00 | 28 04 | |
| Totals | \$2,600 66 | \$104 00 | \$95 26 | \$1,229 44 | \$708 28 | \$463 68 |

¹ Mileage included.



EQUALIZATION COMMISSION — TAX BLANKS

The purpose of this table is to show the various items included in the gross expenditures under these heads in Table 15.

Table 17 —

Tax

| | Total | Collectors' receipts |
|--|--|--|
| Class I. Class II. Class III. Class IV. Class V. | \$1,992 65 711 00 3,864 94 3,569 57 1,562 48 | \$734 65 711 00 1,299 73 721 57 663 90 |
| State | \$11,700 64 | \$4,130 85 |
| COUNTY | , | CLASS |
| 1. Erie | \$1,258 00 | |
| 2. Monroe 3. Westchester | \$734 65 | \$734 65 |
| Totals | \$1,992 65 996 32 | \$734 65 734 65 |
| 11 | | CLASS |
| 2. Albany | \$180 00 | \$180 00 |
| 3. Oneida. 4. Rensselaer. | 531 00 | 531 00 |
| Totals. Averages. | \$711 00 355 50 | \$711 00 355 50 |
| | | CLASS |
| 1. Orange 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara 5. Ulster. | $\begin{array}{c} \$369 \ 75 \\ 110 \ 68 \\ 1,417 \ 36 \\ 464 \ 75 \\ 4 \ 10 \\ \end{array}$ | \$190 75 110 68 94 50 69 25 |
| 6. St. Lawrence | | |
| 8. Dutchess. 9. Nassau. | 487 00 | 487 00 |
| 10. Steuben | 71 05 220 00 | 71 05 |
| 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus | 720 25 | 276 50 |
| Totals | \$3,864 94 429 44 | \$1,299 73 185 67 |

Tax Expenses

BLANKS

EQUALIZATION COMMISSION

| Tax rolls | Not classified and sundries | | Total | Compensa- tion of members | Traveling expenses | Not classified and sundries | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------|---|--|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| \$1,258 00 1,242 35 2,730 50 898 58 | \$1,322 86 117 50 | , a 16 | \$3,292 56 1,056 92 765 84 21,155 74 1,025 62 | \$1,807 20 1,035 00 236 00 1,559 00 993 00 | \$1,485 36 21 92 528 34 190 55 32 62 | \$1 50 19,406 19 | I III IV V |
| \$6,129 43 | \$1,440 36 | l i | \$27,296 68 | \$5,630 20 | \$2,258 79 | \$19,407 69 | State |
| \$1,258 00 \$1,258 00 \$1,258 00 1,258 00 | | | \$3,292 56 \$3,292 56 3,292,56 | \$1,807 20 | \$1,485 36 | | 1 2 3 |
| | | | \$156 92 900 00 \$1,056 92 528 46 | | \$21 92 | | 1 2 3 4 |
| III | | | | | | | |
| 395 50 | \$1,322 86 | | \$765 84 | \$236 00 | \$ 528 34 | \$1 50 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| | | | | | | | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| 220 00 | | | | | | | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| \$1,242 35 248 47 | \$1,322 86 1,322 86 | | \$765 84 765 84 | \$236 00 236 00 | \$528 34 528 34 | \$1 50 1 50 | |

Table 17 -

TAX BLANKS -

| COUNTY | Total | Collectors' receipts |
|------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga. | \$111 5 | \$111 50 |
| 2. Montgomery | 204 4 175 0 | |
| 4. Chemung. 5. Ontario. | 986 6 | 87 50 |
| 6. Wayne | | |
| 8. Washington | 336 3 | |
| 9. Otsego | 357 (| 77 50 |
| 11. Franklin | 271 5 | 0 |
| 12. Delaware | | |
| 14. Columbia | | |
| 15. Allegany | 61 7 | |
| 16. Madison | 336 0 268 5 | |
| 18. Genesee | | |
| 20. Tompkins. | 60 0 | |
| 21. Warren | 163 5 | |
| 22. Cortland | 237 3 | |
| Totals | \$3,569 5 274 5 | 7 \$721 57 72 15 |
| I | | GI AGG |
| 1. Sullivan | \$348.4 | CLASS 01 \$348 40 |
| 2. Essex | 318 6 | 150 00 |
| 3. Orleans | 332 3 | 64 50 |
| 5. Greene | | |
| 6. Seneca | | |
| 7. Tioga. 8. Lewis. | 240 (| |
| 9. Schoharie | 46 (| 0 46 00 |
| 11. Putnam. | 180 1 | 0 |
| 12. Schuyler 13. Hamilton | 97 (| |
| | Ø1 500 A | 0 0000 00 |
| Totals | \$1,562 4 223 2 | |
| | 1 | |

¹ Error in footing of report.

Tax Expenses

concluded

Equalization Commission — concluded

| Tax rolls | Not classified and sundries | | Total | Compensa- tion of members | Traveling expenses | Not classified and sundries | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| IV | | ľ | | | | | • |
| \$153 25 175 00 899 14 | | | \$18,196 19 190 55 | | \$190 55 | \$ 18,196 19 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 114 20 | | | 190 33 | | φ190 30 | | 6 7 8 9 |
| 279 56 | | | | | | | 10 |
| 271 50 | | | 1,500 00 | \$1,500 00 | | | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| 336 00 236 00 | | | 1,269 00 | | | 1,210 00 | 16 17 18 19 20 |
| 97 00 168 85 | 17 50 | | 1,209 00 | 59 00 | | 1,210 00 | 21 22 |
| \$2,730 50 273 05 | \$117 50 58 75 | | \$21,155 74 5,288 93 | | \$190 55 190 55 | | |
| v | | | | | | | |
| \$168 65 267 83 | | | \$925 00 | \$925 00 | | | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 240 00 | | | 100 62 | 68 00 | \$32 62 | | 6 7 8 9 |
| 180 10 42 00 | | | | | | | 11 12 13 |
| \$898 58 179 71 | | | \$1,025 62 512 81 | \$993 00 496 50 | \$32 62 32 62 | | |

COUNTY TREASURERS' EXPENSES IN THE COLLECTION OF RETURN
TAXES

Collectors fees:

The statute requires that the county treasurer shall pay from the county treasury to collectors returning uncollected taxes 2 per cent of the amount so returned. In most cases this payment is made by credit to the collector's account and not by cash. Such credits do not appear in this column.

The county is reimbursed for this expenditure by the 5 per cent penalty added to such returned taxes and collected from the delinquent taxpayer.

Surveys and descriptions:

The statutes permit the supervisor of the town to have a survey and description made of real estate for tax sale purposes. The cost of such work is added to the taxes for which the property is sold.

Treasurers' expenses:

The Tax Law permits the State Comptroller, in the case of tax sales by the State, to add to the taxes for which the property is sold the expenses of the sale, including the clerical work in preparing for the sale and the auctioneer's services. In some counties this statute, by virtue of the section of the Tax Law making the provisions relative to State tax sales applicable to county tax sales, has been construed to authorize the county treasurer to incur such expenses as a charge upon the county. The amounts are added to the taxes for which the property is sold.

Tax sale advertising:

This expense is required by the Tax Law in counties holding county tax sales (non-forest preserve counties and certain forest preserve counties).

Redemption advertisement:

This expense is required in all counties of the State except Westchester county, which operates under a special tax law. Perfecting county's title acquired from tax sales:

When property is bought at a tax sale, and a tax deed is taken therefor, the Tax Law requires certain notices to be served on the occupants, mortgagees, etc.

Where the county takes such deed, the expenses of serving such notices are a charge against the county.

12

Table 18 — Tax Expenses

COUNTY TREASURER'S EXPENSES IN COLLECTION OF RETURNED Taxes

| | | Surveys | | TAX | SALE | Redemp- | Perfecting county's |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| | Total | and descrip- tions | and lector's lector's | | Adver- tising | tion adver- tising | title acquired from tax sale |
| Class I | 28,454 16 | \$736 00 336 15 12 00 204 56 | \$3,467 03 206 39 3,050 07 1,231 41 983 72 | \$560 50 1,320 00 2,741 32 253 12 292 38 | \$12,736 88 17,247 02 28,593 16 5,129 06 900 40 | \$8,944 75 1,879 06 2,469 66 383 55 | \$1,034 08 5 32 |
| State | \$94,717 59 | \$1,288 71 | \$8,938 62 | \$5,167 32 | \$64,606 52 | \$13,677 02 | \$1,039 40 |
| COUNTY | | | CLASS | I | | | |
| 1. Erie | \$11,590 76 5,173 65 | | \$\$10 76 2,656 27 | \$560 50 | \$10,219 50 2,517 38 | | |
| Totals | \$16,764 41 8,382 20 | | \$3,467 03 1,733 51 | \$560 50 560 50 | 6,368 94 | | |
| | , | | CLASS I | | | | |
| 1. Onondaga 2. Albany 3. Oneida 4. Rensselaer | 17,773 89 1,168 50 | 236 00 | \$206 39 | \$1,070 00 | \$3,657 00 10,478 50 498 50 2,613 02 | \$1,036 75 6,019 00 434 00 1,455 00 | |
| Totals Averages | \$28,454 16 7,113 54 | \$736 00 368 00 | 206 39 | \$1,320 00 670 00 | \$17,247 02 4,311 78 | \$8,944 75 2,236 19 | |
| 1 | , | | CLASS II | rı | | | |
| 1. Orange 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara 5. Ulster | \$2 57 2,299 04 15,142 40 2,439 30 | \$76 00 64 50 | \$2 57 192 33 2,677 40 | \$1,047 00 133 00 | \$1,280 42 | \$730 40 | \$19 89 883 30 |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess 9. Nassau 10. Steuben | 391 89 582 00 12,641 82 | | | 10 00 | 498 43 170 63 448 00 11,355 50 842 80 | 303 40 211 26 124 00 132 00 | 10 00 60 00 |
| 11. Jefferson | 149 90 685 88 554 77 967 64 | | 177 77 | 325 00 | 105 00 685 88 | 378 00 | 60 89 |
| Totals | \$37,633 84 | | | | \$28,593 16 | \$1,879 06 | |

Table 18 — Tax Expenses

COUNTY TREASURER'S EXPENSES IN COLLECTION OF RETURNED Taxes — concluded

| | | Surveys | | TAX | SALE | Redemp- | Perfecting county's |
|---|--|--------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| COUNTY | Total | and descrip- tions | p- lector's | Treas- urer's expenses | Adver- tising | tion adver- tising | title acquired from tax sale |
| CLASS IV | | | | | | | |
| 1. Saratoga* 2. Montgomery† 3. Herkimer 4. Chemung 5. Ontario | | | | \$2 34 | \$834 15 393 98 | \$1,175 82 | |
| 6. Wayne 7. Clinton 8. Washington 9. Otsego 10. Rockland | 105 37 878 21 63 05 39 01 2,744 98 | | \$15 37 60 28 63 05 39 01 793 10 | | 90 00 731 15 1,209 38 | | |
| 11. Franklin* 12. Delaware† 13. Fulton* 14. Columbia 15. Allegany | 569 35 | | | 130 00 | 358 00 215 15 | | |
| 16. Madison 17. Livingston 18. Genesee† 19. Chenango 20. Tompkins | 305 21 | | | | 819 00 211 74 91 00 175 51 | 135 00 | |
| 21. Warren* 22. Cortland† | | | | | | | |
| Totals | | | | | \$5,129 06 | | |
| | | | CLASS | v · | | | |
| 1. Sullivan 2. Essex 3. Orleans† 4. Wyoming 5. Greene | | | 1 489 63 | | \$171 40 | | |
| 6. Seneca† 7. Tioga 8. Lewis 9. Schoharie† 10. Yates† | 366 00 636 98 | | | 74 00 | 270 00 292 50 | 251 55 | |
| 11. Putnam | 337 50 26 00 204 56 | \$204 56 | | 87 00 | 166 50 | 84 00 26 00 | |
| Totals | \$2,764 61 | \$204 56 | \$983 72 | \$292 38 | \$900 40 | \$383 55 | |

Mileage allowed collectors at settlement, \$141.60.
 "Retained by Comptroller on accepted taxes."
 *Forest preserve.
 None reported.



RESULTS OF COUNTY FINANCING, 1914 — ADVANCES, LOSSES AND REFUNDS

This table shows in detail the receipts from refunds and reimbursements and the expenditures for advances and losses included under these headings in Table 14.

Table 19 - Results of

Advances, Losses

| | RECEIPTS | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| | Advances repaid | Losses reimbursed | Total | |
| Class I. Class II Class III Class IV Class V | \$740 34 1,689 61 3,779 59 10,536 46 | \$9,193 76 1,450 87 5,043 19 18,736 02 1,294 30 | \$9,934 10 3,140 48 8,822 78 29,272 48 1,294 30 | |
| State | \$16,746 00 | \$35,718 14 | \$52,464 14 | |
| COUNTY | | | CLASS | |
| 1. Erie 2. Monroe 3. Westchester | \$740 34 | \$2,855 94 4,540 23 1,797 59 | \$2,855 94 5,280 57 1,797 59 | |
| Totals | \$740 34 | \$9,193 76 | \$9,934 10 | |
| 1. Onondaga* | |] | CLASS | |
| 2. Albany | 3 \$1,689 61 | \$439 03 191 84 820 00 | \$439 03 191 84 2,509 61 | |
| Totals | \$1,689 61 | \$1,450 87 | \$3,140 48 | |
| - | | | CLASS | |
| 1. Orange | | \$37 45 81 | \$37 45 81 | |
| 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara 5. Ulster*. | \$296 25 2,000 15 | 2,442 79 | 2,739 04 2,000 15 | |
| 6. St. Lawrence | | 83 37 | 83 37 | |
| 8. Dutchess 9. Nassau 10. Steuben | 4 967 19 505 00 | 1,949 76 103 46 | 2,916 95 608 46 | |
| 11. Jefferson | 11 00 | 22 44 281 15 80 | 22 44 292 15 80 | |
| 15. Cattaraugus | | 121 16 | 121 16 | |
| Totals | \$3,779 59 | \$5,043 19 | \$8,822 78 | |

See Table 14.
 Includes \$21,041.38 " returned taxes respread."
 Deposits: Penalty and interest on taxes, city of Troy * None reported.

County Financing, 1914

AND REFUNDS

| | Expenditures | 5 | Ex | CESS OF 1 | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|--------------|------------------------------|
| Advances | Losses | Total | Receipts | Expenditures | |
| \$21,166 38 6,943 08 9,911 90 | \$994 15 11 31 1,148 77 164 22 | \$22,160 53 11 31 8,091 85 10,076 12 | \$3,129 17 730 93 19,196 36 1,294 30 | \$12,226 43 | I II III IV V |
| \$38,021 36 | \$2,318 45 | \$40,339 81 | *\$12,124 33 | | State |
| I 2 \$21,166 38 | \$10 50 983 65 \$994 15 | 983 65 | \$5,280 57 813 94 \$6,094 51 | \$18,320 94 | 1 2 3 |
| | | | \$439 03 | | 1 2 3 |
| | \$11 31 | \$11 31 | 191 84 2,498 30 | | 3 4 |
| | \$11 31 | \$11 31 | \$3,129 17 | | |
| III | | | 0 | | 1 |
| \$4,860 69 2,000 15 | \$260 51 | \$5,121 20 2,000 15 | 81 | \$2,382 16 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 74 59 | 599 61 | 74 59 599 61 | 83 37 2,916 95 8 85 | 74 59 | 6 7 8 9 |
| 7 00 65 | 13 33 | 13 33 7 00 65 239 32 | 22 44 285 15 15 | 13 33 | 10 11 · 12 13 14 |
| \$6,943 08 | 36 00 | \$8,091 85 | \$5 16 \$3,440 33 | | 15 |

⁴ Surplus from tax sales. ⁵ Expenditures not identified. ⁶ Net amount.

Table 19 - Results

Advances, Losses

| COUNTY | RECEIPTS | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| COUNTY | Advances repaid | Losses reimbursed | Total | | |
| · · | ' | | CLASS | | |
| 1. Saratoga. 2. Montgomery. | | \$93 65 655 17 | | | |
| 3. Herkimer* 4. Chemung 5 Ontario | \$9,337 50 | 403 22 31 51 | 9,740 72 31 51 | | |
| 6. Wayne 7. Clinton 8. Washington | | 18 50 96 70 | 18 50 96 70 | | |
| 9. Otsego* f0. Rockland* | | | | | |
| 11. Franklin. 12. Delaware. 13. Fulton. 14. Columbia*. | | 30 90 23 25 181 86 | 30 90 23 25 181 86 | | |
| 15. Allegany | | 30 00 | 30 00 | | |
| 16. Madison. 17. Livingston. 18. Genesee 19. Chenango. 20. Tompkins | ³ 500 00 ¹ | 34 50 4 16,850 59 76 65 100 90 | $\begin{array}{c} 34 & 50 \\ 500 & 00 \\ 16,850 & 59 \\ 76 & 65 \\ 799 & 86 \end{array}$ | | |
| 21. Warren | | 54 62 54 00 | 54 62 54 00 | | |
| Totals | \$10,536 46 | \$18,736 02 | . \$29,272 48 | | |
| | | | CLASS | | |
| 1. Sullivan*. 2. Essex*. | | | | | |
| 3. Orleans. 4. Wyoming. 5. Greene. | | \$14 70 1,027 50 203 00 | \$14 70 1,027 50 203 00 | | |
| 6. Seneca | | 49 10 | 49 10 | | |
| 8. Lewis*. 9. Schoharie*. 10. Yates*. | | | | | |
| 11. Putnam*. 12. Schuyler*. | | | | | |
| 13. Hamilton* | | | | | |
| Totals | | \$1,294 30 | \$1,294 30 | | |

See Table 14.
 Admitted taxes paid treasurer and by him paid to Comptroller.
 None reported.

of County Financing, 1914

AND REFUNDS — concluded

| | Expenditures | | Ex | CESS OF 1 | |
|---|--------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Advances | Losses | Total . | Receipts | Expenditures | |
| IV | | | | | |
| \$182 29 | | \$182 29 | \$655 17 | \$88 64 | 1 2 |
| 9,405 50 | | 9,405 50 | 335 22 31 51 | | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| | \$42 75 | 42 75 | 96 70 | 24 25 | 6 |
| ² 150 04 | 88 20 | 238 24 | 90 70 | 238 24 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| | 1 10 | 1 10 | 90.80 | | |
| | 1 10 | 1 10 | 29 80 23 25 181 86 | | 11 12 13 |
| 174 07 | | 174 07 | | 144 07 | 14 15 |
| | 20 00 | | 34 50 480 00 16,850 59 76 65 | | 16 17 18 |
| | | | 76 65 799 86 | | 19 20 |
| | 12 17 | 12 17 | 42 45 54 00 | | 21 22 |
| \$9,911 90 | \$164 22 | \$10,076 12 | \$19,691 56 | \$ 495 20 | |
| v | | | | | |
| • | | | \$14 70 1,027 50 203 00 | | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| | | | | | 1 |
| | | | 49 10 | | 6 7 8 9 |
| | | | | | 9 |
| | | | | | 11 12 |
| | | | | | 13 |
| | | | \$1,294 30 | | |

Towns district school superintendent's salary.
Cash in bank not previously taken up in records.

NET RESULTS COUNTY FINANCING, 1914 — EXPENDITURES, LOANS AND CONTRIBUTIONS

Aside from the revenues from taxes as given in Table 14, the county meets its expenditures from (1) bonds or loans issued, and (2) contributions made to such expenditures by the State and various municipal subdivisions. The purpose of this table is to show the amount which must be met from tax funds.

Net expenditures for general government:

The items included under general government are stated in Table 10. The amounts under this title are net, *i. e.:* the gross amounts expended in each county for such items less the revenues and returns as shown in Table 21.

County improvements:

The amounts entered in this column are the gross amounts expended for county buildings and highways less the income from sales of county property, insurance recoveries, and premiums on construction bonds as shown in Table 27.

Columns 1 and 2 are added to show the net expenditures for governmental purposes.

County indebtedness:

A large proportion of the amount required for county improvements is met by the issue of county bonds. These bonds must of course be ultimately paid from taxes. This column, taken from Table 31, shows the difference between the expenditures in payment of bonds and loans and the amount received from bonds and loans issued.

In case the amount paid exceeds the amount received, the difference is added to the general governmental expenditures to show the total amount met from contributions and taxes. In case the amount received exceeds the amount paid, the difference is subtracted from the expenditures for county government for the same purpose.

Contributions:

The nature of these receipts and expenditures is shown in Table 34, from which the amounts included in this column are taken. If the amount paid exceeds the amount received, the difference is added to the amount of the sixth column to show the net expenditures met from other county funds; if the amount received exceeds the amount expended, the difference is subtracted for the same purpose.

Tables 20 and 14 balance. The last column of Table 20 is the same is the last column of Table 14 and checks the accuracy of the reports as submitted by the several county treasurers.

Table 20 - Net Results

EXPENDITURES, LOANS

| | NET EXP | ENDITURES | Net expenditures | County |
|---|--|---|--|---------------------------|
| | General government 1 | | | Net reduction |
| Class I Class II Class II Class IV Class IV Class V | \$3,505,811 12 2,813,688 79 4,402,492 95 3,328,858 24 994,589 12 | \$983,958 30 963,488 04 1,305,851 35 711,821 18 247,283 19 | 3,777,176 83 5,708,344 30 4,040,679 42 | |
| State | \$15,045,440 22 | \$4,212,402 06 | \$19,257,842 28 | |
| COLINAN | | 1 | | CLASS |
| COUNTY 1. Erie | \$1,612,958 76 889,391 28 1,003,461 08 | | | \$115,956 42 51,203 80 |
| Totals | \$3,505,811 12 | \$983,958 30 | \$4,489,769 42 | \$167,160 22 |
| 1. Onondaga 2. Albany 3. Oneida 4. Rensselaer Totals. | \$843,696 73 843,275 42 633,256 77 493,459 87 \$2,813,688 79 | \$366,043 01 220,367 34 98,994 29 278,083 40 \$963,488 04 | 1,063,642 76 732,224 06 771,543 27 | 102,633 60 |
| 1. Orange | \$420,529 72 147,934 57 291,434 39 238,469 38 264,316 96 190,332 69 307,045 20 257,106 28 | 47,152 18 63,843 38 333,842 72 55,505 52 132,320 68 101,767 33 | 195,086 75 355,277 77 572,312 10 319,822 48 322,653 37 408,812 53 | 46,000 00 |
| 9. Nassau 10. Steuben 11. Jefferson | 975,578 65 210,760 55 282,898 89 | 154,873 70 45,029 21 | 1,130,452 35 255,789 76 | 15,194 77 |
| 12. Broome. 13. Oswego. 14. Cayuga. 15. Cattaraugus. | 265,945 09 233,180 29 185,011 25 131,949 04 | 6,746 45 122,536 08 91,223 45 | 272,691 54 355,716 37 276,234 70 | 27,500 00 |
| Totals | \$4,402,492 95 | \$1,305,851 35 | \$5,708,344 30 | \$162,428 69 |

¹ From Table 21. ² From Table 27. ³ From Table 31.

of County Financing, 1914

AND CONTRIBUTIONS

| INDEBTEDNESS 3 | Amount | Conti | RIBUTIONS 4 | Net | |
|--|--|--|---|--|----------------------------|
| Net increase | met from contributions and taxes | Excess of expenditures | Excess of receipts | expenditures from other county funds 5 | |
| Deduct \$364,511 73 392,303 28 636,753 11 171,295 88 | 5,071,591 19 4,085,166 51 | **Add | Deduct \$274,736 76 242,764 08 280,583 69 48,782 22 | \$4,350,881 45 3,110,136 79 4,828,827 11 3,804,582 82 1,021,794 21 | III IV V |
| 6\$1,520,376 91 | \$17,737,465 37 | | 6 \$621,242 99 | \$17,116,222 38 | State |
| I | | | | | J |
| \$531,671 95 | \$1,909,955 00 1,066,647 29 1,148,655 40 | \$50,973 27 39,279 40 135,371 09 | 1: | \$1,960,928 27 1,105,926 69 1,284,026 49 | 1 2 3 |
| \$531,671 95 | \$4,125,257 69 | \$225,623 76 | | \$4,350,881 45 | |
| II | | | | | 1 |
| \$376,109 13 137,578 46 | 687,533 63 834,884 66 | | \$57,914 84 107,700 73 65,530 98 43,590 21 | \$1,170,575 61 579,832 90 769,353 68 590,374 60 | 34 |
| \$513,687 59 | \$3,384,873 55 | | \$274,736 76 | \$3,110,136 79 | |
| | | | | | 1 |
| \$33,349 75 44,898 00 | \$507,683 27 207,086 75 351,928 02 527,414 10 365,822 48 | \$19,692 97 44,916 47 | \$36,394 92 18,506 07 33,510 33 | \$471,288 35 226,779 72 396,844 49 508,908 03 332,312 15 | 3 |
| 80,862 15 138,366 23 423,127 05 | 304,241 74 707,325 30 | 403 43 | 14,938 97 11,787 01 | 199,073 96 255,507 33 292,454 73 707,728 73 258,230 71 | 6 7 8 9 |
| 40,685 34 67,893 28 | 300,191 54 315,031 03 | | $\begin{array}{c} 71,912 \ 59 \\ 17,223 \ 96 \\ 32,565 \ 29 \\ 15,047 \ 51 \\ 419 \ 22 \end{array}$ | 276,735 24 282,967 58 282,465 74 193,293 91 144,236 44 | 11 12 13 14 14 |
| \$799,181 80 | \$5,071,591 19 | \$65,012 87 | \$307,776 95 | \$4,828,827 11 | |

⁴ From Table 34. ⁵ See Table 14. Net amount.

Table 20 - Net Results

EXPENDITURES, LOANS

| | 1 | | | |
|--|--|---|--|----------------------------------|
| | NET EXP | ENDITURES | Net expenditures | County |
| COUNTY | General government 1 | County improvements 2 | for county government | Net reduction |
| | | | | Add CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga | \$260,065 77 195,743 67 252,240 95 167,446 16 183,657 17 | \$46,714 59 91,371 87 35,839 36 37,093 28 11,871 15 | \$306,780 36 287,115 54 288,080 31 204,539 44 195,528 32 | |
| 6. Wayne. 7. Clinton. 8. Washington. 9. Otsego. 10. Rockland. | 91,963 47 149,655 64 137,778 67 183,009 41 174,002 84 | 14,120 66 13,724 61 28,672 66 17,654 39 9,919 48 | 106,084 13 163,380 25 166,451 33 200,663 80 183,922 32 | 11,000 00 531 94 24,357 62 |
| 11. Franklin 12. Delaware. 13. Fulton 14. Columbia 15. Allegany. | 160,151 09 97,051 73 156,013 10 178,492 42 102,380 81 | 93,406 43 16,624 08 61,979 62 46,737 90 22,001 21 | 253,557 52 113,675 81 217,992 72 225,230 32 124,382 02 | 6,354 70 10,277 78 |
| 16. Madison 17. Livingston 18. Genesee 19. Chenango 20. Tompkins | 127,685 90 116,618 37 106,483 91 140,465 20 114,101 92 | 59,816 37 13,163 30 64 55 11,807 44 35,621 64 | 187,502 27 129,781 67 106,479 36 152,272 64 149,723 56 | 14,949 66 |
| 21. Warren | 151,988 40 81,861 64 | 29,041 32 14,644 37 | 181,029 72 96,506 01 | 10,000 00 12,655 54 |
| Totals | \$3,328,858 24 | \$711,821 18 | \$4,040,679 42 | \$217,204 01 |
| 1 | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan. 2. Essex. 3. Orleans. 4. Wyoming. 5. Greene. | \$104,111 49 117,653 80 127,297 33 58,247 69 116,658 46 | \$13,820 55 48,878 88 11,686 98 16,295 00 37,011 46 | 166,532 68 138,984 31 74,542 69 | \$27,111 88 |
| 6. Seneca. 7. Tioga. 8. Lewis. 9. Schoharie. 10. Yates. | 65,378 88 77,805 79 69,567 47 49,987 67 34,799 14 | 21,766 53 1,280 57 8,977 58 22,390 11 | 87,145 41 79,086 36 78,545 05 72,377 78 34,799 14 | 16,370 41 3,446 20 |
| 11. Putnam | 72,960 09 31,455 92 68,665 39 | 32,457 23 10,908 84 21,809 46 | 105,417 32 42,364 76 90,474 85 | 4,000 00 |
| Totals | \$994,589 12 | \$247,283 19 | \$1,241,872 31 | \$60,928 49 |

¹ From Table 21. ² From Table 27. ³ From Table 31. ⁴ From Table 34.

of County Financing, 1914

AND CONTRIBUTIONS — concluded

| INDEBTEDNESS 3 | Amount | Contr | IBUTIONS 4 | Net | |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|
| Net increase | met from contributions and taxes | Excess of expenditures | Excess of receipts | expenditures from other county funds 5 | |
| IV Deduct | | Add | Deduct | | |
| \$67,469 13 | 304,596 21 296,191 15 202,044 44 | \$7,935 93 | 9,386 61 25,879 78 | 295, 209 60 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| | 106,084 13 174,380 25 166,983 27 225,021 42 226,922 32 | 6.027.50 | 4,458 56 19,625 52 18,451 67 | 120,291 01 169,921 69 173,010 77 205,395 90 208,470 65 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| 20,252 79 8,000 00 14,500 00 | 233,304 73 120,030 51 209,992 72 235,508 10 109,882 02 | | 24,600 33 7,854 49 52,148 18 6,632 05 5,903 41 | 157,844 54 228,876 15 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| 60,000 00 | 127,502 27 140,504 06 106,479 36 167,222 30 164,748 56 | 669 39 8,107 63 | 5,207 90 24,858 41 57,626 49 | 128,171 56 148,611 69 101,271 46 142,363 89 107,122 07 | 16 17 18 19 20 |
| | 191,029 7 2 109,161 55 | | 17,179 94 5,266 78 | 173,849 78 103,894 77 | 21 22 |
| \$172,716 92 | \$4,085,166 51 | \$36,947 33 | \$317,531 02 | \$3,804,582 82 | |
| v | | | | | |
| \$8,000 00 14,674 25 6,000 00 | 151,858 43 166,096 19 | 11,482 37 | \$21,526 98 10,004 31 29,038 65 | 80.025 06 | 2 |
| 93,313 06 | 95,456 77 81,991 25 | 4,439 08 | 3,700 09 | 3,139 80 99,895 85 66,278 07 47,591 09 38,870 14 | 10 |
| 5,399 62 83,837 44 | 109,417 32 36,965 14 6,637 41 | 2,342 58 | 1,651 50 132 20 | 107,765 82 39,307 72 6,505 21 | 11 12 13 |
| \$232,224 37 | \$1,070,576 43 | \$33,071 29 | \$81,853 51 | \$1,021,794 21 | |

See Table 14.
Receipts from sales of property more than cost of improvement.
Increase in debt in excess of cost of county government.

Results of County Financing, 1914 — Cost of General Government

The items included in the cost of general government are stated in Table 10, and the amounts expended for such several items are shown in Tables 42 et seq.

For the purpose of showing the net cost of general government there are here indicated the revenues and returns of the county from the earnings of its officers and property.

Earnings of county officers:

In the early statutes of the State most of the county officers were compensated for their services by fees collected from the persons in behalf of whom services were performed. As the practice became more common of compensating such officers by salaries instead of fees, either by statutes providing for such salaries or by construction of the courts, the fees so received were required to be paid into the county treasury for the use and benefit of the county. Practically the whole of the amounts here entered arise from such fees.

Interest and earnings of county property:

The County Law requires that the county treasurer shall deposit county funds in banks at the agreed rate of interest. In some counties there are minor receipts from rentals and other earnings of property owned by the county. (See Table 23.)

Fines and forfeits:

Under this title are entered the amounts received by the county from these sources.

Earnings of penal institutions:

Counties having penitentiaries are permitted by law to contract with other counties for the maintenance of prisoners sentenced to such penitentiaries. Occasionally the county finds it necessary during the repair of its own jail to temporarily transfer its prisoners to the jail in an adjoining county. The expenses of the maintenance of such prisoners is paid by the county making such transfer to the county to which the transfer is made.

In most penitentiaries and in some jails, prisoners are given employment, and products of the labor are sold by the county. The amounts received are included in this column.

Minor amounts are occasionally received by such institutions from other sources and are included herein.

Premiums or accrued interest on refunding bonds:

When bonds maturing are met by the proceeds of new bonds issued (refunding bonds) the premium received on such new bonds should be converted into a sinking fund for the redemption of such bonds. But this procedure is rarely or never followed in the counties of this State. The moneys received from such premiums are usually applied to the general governmental purposes. They are therefore here included as a revenue to general government.

It is probable that this column is inaccurate in many counties for the reason that county treasurers in making their reports have failed to distinguish between bonds issued for construction and bonds issued for refunding purposes.

Earnings of charitable institutions:

Many of the almshouses of the State have farms connected with them. The products of the farm are sold for the benefit of the county. Frequently payments are made to the county for the maintenance of inmates of its charitable institutions. The amounts so received with other minor receipts are included in this column.

The total refunds and receipts are deducted from the gross cost of general government to show the net cost of general government.

Table 21 - Results of

COST OF GENERAL

| COST OF GENERAL | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | | |] | Revenues and | | |
| | Total cost of general government 1 | Earnings of county officers ² | Interest and earnings of county property 3 | Fines and forfeits ⁴ | | |
| Class I | \$3,850,112 18 2,980,127 05 4,765,727 70 3,522,577 55 1,062,138 03 | \$126,218 93 82,709 98 221,205 40 115,462 83 35,139 17 | \$71,786 58 28,930 72 66,648 50 34,205 40 5,361 50 | \$8,147 75 5,568 00 18,507 59 8,250 62 4,673 77 | | |
| State | \$16,180,682 51 | \$580,736 31 | \$206,932 70 | \$45,147 73 | | |
| COUNTY | | | | CLASS | | |
| 1. Erie | \$1,777,135 83 1,008,792 95 1,064,183 40 | \$57,563 04 55,658 04 12,997 85 | \$44,707 82 20,322 94 6,755 82 | \$3,141 00 1,713 75 3,293 00 | | |
| TotalsAverages | \$3,850,112 18 1,283,370 72 | \$126,218 93 42,072 97 | \$71,786 58 23,928 86 | \$8,147 75 2,715 91 | | |
| | | | | CLASS | | |
| 1. Onondaga | \$955,604 19 854,500 14 664,141 26 505,881 46 | \$62,638 91 1,148 46 15,438 84 3,483 77 | \$10,804 35 6,721 58 6,578 35 4,826 44 | \$1,650 00 1,106 00 2,801 00 11 00 | | |
| Totals | \$2,980,127 05 745,031 76 | \$82,709 98 20,677 49 | \$28,930 72 7,232 68 | \$5,568 00 1,392 00 | | |
| · | | | | CLASS | | |
| 1. Orange 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara 5. Ulster | \$445,855 21 180,186 42 312,748 59 264,145 71 285,127 40 | \$13,301 62 23,272 90 6,003 01 13,381 45 17,376 03 | \$837 73 2,135 20 7,956 13 4,638 02 156 73 | \$300 00 1,370 60 300 00 5,429 00 200 00 | | |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess. 9. Nassau. 10. Steuben. | 211,678 55 332,637 81 266,461 27 1,047,225 07 229,062 14 | 13,942 22 15,300 43 2,479 44 36,958 90 14,379 02 | 1,203 13 2,982 40 3,643 97 32,838 25 737 01 | 1,890 00 907 00 365 00 1,956 87 1,193 12 | | |
| 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus | 296,005 71 289,695 16 254,552 65 203,185 36 147,160 65 | 9,622 18 14,614 83 14,164 58 14,805 87 12,102 92 | 2,653 64 2,804 01 2,333 45 1,271 77 457 06 | 280 00 1,411 00 1,000 00 | | |
| Totals | \$4,765,727 70 317,715 18 | \$221,205 40 14,747 03 | \$66,648 50 4,443 23 | | | |

¹ See Tables 41 and 42. ² From Table 22. ³ From Table 23. ⁴ From Table 24.

County Financing, 1914

GOVERNMENT

| RETURNS FROM (| GENERAL GOVERN | MENT | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| Earnings of penal institutions ⁵ | Premiums and accrued interest on refunding bonds ⁶ | Earnings of charitable institutions ⁷ | Total | Net cost of general government | |
| \$66,225 30 24,834 66 3,553 41 153 18 | | \$35,879 98 24,111 57 47,804 42 34,182 44 20,632 07 | \$344,301 06 166,438 26 363,234 75 193,719 31 67,548 91 | \$3,505,811 12 2,813,688 79 4,402,492 95 3,328,858 24 994,589 12 | I III IV V |
| \$94,766 55 | \$45,048 52 | \$162,610 48 | \$1,135,242 29 | \$15,045,440 22 | State |
| I | | • | | | |
| \$36,600 91 29,624 39 | \$36,042 52 | \$22,164 30 12,082 55 1,633 13 | \$164,177 07 119,401 67 60,722 32 | 889,391 28 | 1 2 3 |
| \$66,225 30 33,112 65 | | \$35,879 98 11,959 99 | \$344,301 06 114,767 02 | \$3,505,811 12 1,168,603 70 | |
| II | | | | | 1 |
| \$24,579 92 254 74 | \$283 33 | \$12,234 28 1,965 35 5,811 56 4,100 38 | \$111,907 46 11,224 72 30,884 49 12,421 59 | \$843,696 73 843,275 42 633,256 77 493 459 87 | $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3\\4 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| \$24,834 66 12,417 33 | | \$24,111 57 6,027 89 | \$166,438 26 41,609 56 | \$2,813,688 79 703,422 19 | |
| III | ' | (| | (| 1 |
| \$1,364 27 | \$98.00 93.75 | \$9,521 87 5,375 15 6,961 31 2,227 86 3,077 68 | \$25,325 49 32,251 85 21,314 20 25,676 33 20,810 44 | \$420,529 72 147,934 57 291,434 39 238,469 38 264,316 96 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 651 51 131 00 264 00 166 32 | 4,734 61 97 40 | 3,659 00 $1,537 17$ $2,866 58$ $31 00$ $1,826 12$ | 21,345 86 25,592 61 9,354 99 71,646 42 18,301 59 | 190,332 69 307,045 20 257,106 28 975,578 65 210,760 55 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| 56 00 920 31 | 491 67 | 6,051 23 2,051 35 1,096 47 1,521 63 | 13,106 82 23,750 07 21,372 36 18,174 11 15,211 61 | 282,898 89 265,945 09 233,180 29 185,011 25 131,949 04 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| \$3,533 41 507 63 | \$5,515 43 1,103 09 | \$47,804 42 3,414 60 | \$363,234 75 24,215 65 | \$4,402,492 95 293,499 53 | |

⁵ From Table 25. ⁶ From Table 24. ⁷ From Table 26.

Table 21 - Results of

COST OF GENERAL

| | | | | REVENUES AND |
|---|--|--|---|---------------------------------|
| COUNTY | Total cost of general government 1 | Earnings of county officers ² | Interest and earnings of county property ³ | Fines and forfeits 4 |
| | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga | \$275,224 88 213,016 21 264,745 17 173,690 96 199,993 77 | \$10,566 53 8,835 76 7,673 80 2,002 74 10,215 76 | 2,029 18 | \$1,000 00 1,563 00 50 00 |
| 6. Wayne. 7. Clinton. 8. Washington. 9. Otsego. 10. Rockland. | 106,955 36 157,214 04 146,863 99 184,676 10 179,631 90 | 11,296 26 5,544 83 5,579 76 1,185 40 76 78 | 3,053 86 1,594 30 1,155 88 386 29 4,008 20 | 267 62 95 00 |
| 11. Franklin | 171,882 96 103,837 14 163,893 38 183,677 80 111,828 68 | 5,284 96 4,514 07 4,996 04 2,482 49 5,259 48 | 1,635 58 206 02 1,797 73 1,293 61 313 51 | 100 00 550 00 |
| 16. Madison | 137,013 09 124,511 53 116,792 34 144,651 26 122,350 35 | 7,594 48 6,575 45 6,333 20 787 08 7,392 86 | 114 09 | 185 00 100 00 |
| 21. Warren | 154,832 95 85,293 69 | 691 63 573 47 | 905 83 77 65 | |
| TotalsAverages | \$3,522,577 55 160,117 16 | \$115,462 83 5,248 31 | \$34,205 40 1,554 79 | |
| , | 1 | | 1 | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan 2. Essex 3. Orleans 4. Wyoming 5. Greene | \$111,022 58 121,675 78 138,735 46 71,319 21 120,488 50 | \$5,578 57 72 46 5,936 90 5,572 64 2,827 04 | \$157 88 1,276 10 495 25 674 37 500 00 | \$300 00 |
| 6. Seneca. 7. Tioga. 8. Lewis. 9. Schoharie. 10. Yates. | 72,589 14 79,176 04 75,209 02 51,311 09 39,681 87 | 4,814 35 856 56 2,863 84 908 44 2,352 09 | 788 52 150 00 819 35 261 32 | 1,000 00 |
| 11. Putnam | 79,171 19 33,092 76 68,665 39 | 1,812 30 1,543 98 | 145 85 92 86 | |
| Totals | \$1,062,138 03 81,702 92 | \$35,139 17 2,928 26 | \$5,361 50 446 79 | |


¹ See Tables 41 and 42. ² From Table 22. ³ From Table 23. ⁴ From Table 24.

County Financing, 1914

GOVERNMENT — concluded

| | 1 | 1 | | Net cost of | |
|---|--|--|--|--|----------------------------------|
| Earnings of penal institutions ⁵ | Premiums and accrued interest on refunding bonds 6 | Earnings of charitable institutions ⁷ | Total | general government | |
| IV | | | | | |
| | | \$155 50 5,407 60 826 05 | \$15,159 11 17,272 54 12,504 22 | \$260,065 77 195,743 67 252,240 95 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| \$31 78 | | 2,274 54 | 6,244 80 16,336 60 | 252,240 95 167,446 16 183,657 17 | 4 5 |
| | | 391 77 419 27 2,082 06 | 14,991 89 7,558 40 9,085 32 1,666 69 | 91 963 47 149,655 64 137,778 67 183,009 41 | 6 7 8 9 |
| | 131 51 | 1,544 08 2,396 33 1,965 32 405 00 1,409 28 | 5,629 06 11,731 87 6,785 41 7,880 28 5,185 38 | 174,002 84 160,151 09 97,051 73 156,013 10 178,492 42 | 10 11 12 13 14 |
| 120 00 | | 3,874 88 643 05 1,292 64 2,659 50 3,183 49 799 06 | 9,327 19 7,893 16 10,308 43 4,186 06 8,248 43 | 102,380 81 127,685 90 116,618 37 106,483 91 140,465 20 114,101 92 | 15 16 17 18 19 20 |
| | | 1,247 09 1,205 93 | 2,844 55 3,432 05 | 151,988 40 81,861 64 | 21 22 |
| \$153 18 51 06 | \$1,464 84 732 42 | \$34,182 44 1,709 11 | \$193,719 31 8,805 42 | \$3,328,858 24 151,311 73 | |
| v | | | | [| |
| | | \$874 64 1,642 65 4,505 98 5,784 51 | \$6,911 09 4,021 98 11,438 13 13,071 52 3,830 04 | \$104,111 49 117,653 80 127,297 33 58,247 69 116,658 46 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| | 8 \$1,607 39 135 01 | 63 69 958 36 279 97 2,269 32 | 7,210 26 1,370 25 5,641 55 1,323 42 4,882 73 | 65,378 88 77,805 79 69,567 47 49,987 67 34,799 14 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| | | 4,252 95 | 6,211 10 1,636 84 | 72,960 09 31,455 92 68,665 39 | 11 12 13 |
| | \$1,742 40 871 20 | \$20,632 07 2,292 45 | \$67,548 91 5,629 07 | \$994,589 12 76,506 85 | |

<sup>From Table 25.
From Table 24.
From Table 26.
Interest on building bonds.</sup>



REVENUES AND RETURNS FROM GENERAL GOVERNMENT—EARNINGS OF COUNTY OFFICES

Sale of election publications:

The Election Law provides for the sale by the county of certain publications by the election commissioners. The amount included in this column is the amount reported by the county treasurer as received from this source.

Fees and commissions to county officers:

This portion of this table analyzes the fees reported by county treasurers as received from the several county officers. The total fees received are added to the total amount from sales to give in column 1 the total amount received from earnings of county offices.

Table 22 - Revenues and

EARNINGS OF

| | | 112 | TIMINGS OF |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| | | | |
| | Total ¹ | Sales of elections publications | County treasurer |
| Class I Class II Class III Class III Class IV Class V | \$126,218 93 82,709 98 221,205 40 115,462 83 35,139 17 | \$57 22 108 80 28 10 65 68 | \$15,628 34 20,915 39 40,024 28 22,816 79 6,206 96 |
| State | \$580,736 31 | \$259 80 | \$105,591 76 |
| COUNTY | | | CLASS |
| 1. Erie | \$57,563 04 55,658 04 12,997 85 | | \$4,502 85 8,299 55 2,825 94 |
| Totals | \$126,218 93 42,072 64 | \$57 22 57 22 | \$15,628 34 5,209 44 |
| 1. Onondaga. 2. Albany. 3. Oneida. 4. Rensselaer. | \$62,638 91 1,148 46 15,438 84 3,483 77 | \$104 00 4 80 | CLASS \$14,807 96 1,044 46 2,891 97 2,171 00 |
| Totals | \$82,709 98 20,677 49 | | \$20,915 39 5,228 84 |
| 1. Orange. 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk. 4. Niagara 5. Ulster. | \$13,301 62 23,272 90 6,003 01 13,381 45 17,376 03 | \$26 65 1 45 | CLASS \$635 51 4,621 67 5,737 86 1,147 73 451 32 |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess. 9. Nassau. 10. Steuben. | 13,942 22 15,300 43 2,479 44 36,458 90 14,379 02 | | 1,874 84 596 19 2,366 19 10,727 85 2,974 23 |
| 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus | 9,622 18 14,614 83 14,164 58 14,805 87 12,102 92 | | 2,609 90 3,864 67 2,351 62 64 70 |
| Totals | \$221,205 40 14,747 03 | | \$40,024 28 2,858 88 |

 $^{^{1}}$ See table 21. 2 Costs and expenses of litigation recovered.

Returns from General Government

COUNTY OFFICES

| | FEES AND COM | MISSIONS OF CO | OUNTY OFFICERS | 3 | | |
|---|--|----------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| COUNT | Y CLERK | | | | | |
| Court fees | Registration fees | Surrogate | Sheriff | Other officers | Total | |
| \$12,547 51 2,086 10 4,301 31 2,393 50 521 06 | \$86,770 63 57,842 11 155,465 25 85,820 88 27,912 80 | 1.541 55 | \$8,957 09 1,757 58 9,864 02 3,251 09 325 40 | \$585 04 9,980 89 417 08 | \$126,161 71 82,601 18 221,177 30 115,397 15 35,139 17 | I III IV V |
| \$21,849 48 | \$413,811 67 | \$4,085 41 | \$24,155 18 | \$10,983 01 | \$580,476 51 | State |
| I · | | | | | | |
| \$2,375 60 10,171 91 | \$43,200 34 43,570 29 | \$260 50 1,412 60 | \$8,957 09 | \$585 04 | \$57,505 82 55,658 04 12,997 85 | 1 2 3 |
| \$12,547 51 6,273 85 | \$86,770 63 43,385 31 | \$1,673 10 836 55 | \$8,957 09 8,957 09 | \$585 04 585 04 | \$126,161 71 42,053 90 | |
| II | | (| | | | 1 |
| \$1,399 91 | | | \$444 81 | | \$62,638 91 | 1 |
| 686 19 | 11,855 88 | | 1,312 77 | | 1,044 46 15,434 04 3,483 77 | 3 4 |
| \$2,086 10 1,043 05 | \$57,842 11 28,921 05 | | \$1,757 58 878 79 | | \$82,601 18 20,650 29 | |
| ĮĮ. | | | | | | .1 |
| \$650 72 | \$12,515 06 16,521 50 | \$534 51 | | | \$13,301 62 23,272 90 | 1 1 |
| | 11,774 52 8,010 98 | 261 75 | 238 50 196 00 137 75 | 2 \$8,775 98 | 5,976 36 13,380 00 17,376 03 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 242 43 | 10,281 59 | 165 25 | | \$1,204 91 | 13,942 22 | |
| | 14,704 24 | | 113 25 | | 15,300 43 2,479 44 | 6 7 8 |
| 1,483 29 1,257 61 | 21,269 78 9,888 78 | 580 04 | $2,39794 \\ 25840$ | | 36,458 90 14,379 02 | 10 |
| 252 15 276 34 138 77 | 9,622 18 9,787 67 8,704 23 11,628 62 10,756 10 | | 1,965 11 1,319 34 825 63 1,143 35 | | 9,622 18 $14,614$ 83 $14,164$ 58 $14,805$ 87 $12,102$ 92 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| \$4,301 31 614 47 | \$155,465 25 11,958 86 | \$1,541 55 385 39 | | \$9,980 89 4,990 49 | \$221,177 30 14,745 15 | 13 |
| | | | | | | 1 |

[‡]Sundry fees of county clerk.

Table 22 - Revenues and Returns

EARNINGS OF COUNTY

| | | LARNINGS | OF COUNTY |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| COUNTY | Total ¹ | Sales of elections publications | County treasurer |
| 1. Saratoga | \$10,566 53 8,835 76 7,673 80 2,002 74 10,215 76 | \$0 95 | CLASS \$3,493 32 4,805 51 8 32 1,993 29 1,921 23 |
| 6. Wayne. 7. Clinton. 8. Washington. 9. Otsego. 10. Rockland. | 11,296 26 5,544 83 5,579 76 1,185 40 76 78 | 58 43 | 1,854 39 1,228 21 1,088 05 1,126 97 76 78 |
| 11. Franklin 12. Delaware 13. Fulton 14. Columbia 15. Allegany | 5,284 96 4,514 07 4,996 04 2,482 49 5,259 48 | 4 30 2 00 | 1,236 82 464 28 1,913 16 |
| 16. Madison 17. Livingston 18. Genesee 19. Chenango 20. Tompkins | | | 31 67 224 87 787 08 83 22 |
| 21. Warren | 691 63 573 47 | | 479 62 |
| TotalsAverages | \$115,462 83 5,248 31 | \$65 68 16 42 | \$22,816 79 1,267 59 |
| | | | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan 2. Essex 3. Orleans 4. Wyoming 5. Greene | \$5,578 57 72 46 5,936 90 5,572 64 2,827 04 | | \$1,015 07 72 46 1,461 50 594 87 435 72 |
| 6. Seneca. 7. Tioga. 8. Lewis. 9. Schoharie. 10. Yates. | 4,814 35 856 56 2,863 84 908 44 2,352 09 | | 793 72 739 78 165 12 434 93 |
| 11. Putnam | 1,812 30 1,543 98 | | 330 18 163 61 |
| TotalsAverages | \$35,139 17 2,928 26 | | \$6,206 96 564 26 |


¹ See Table 21.

from General Government

Offices — concluded

| | TEES AND COM | MISSIONS OF CO | UNTY OFFICERS | | | |
|----------------------------|--|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--|------------------|
| COUNTY | CLERK | | | | | |
| Court fees | Registration fees | Surrogate | Sheriff | Other officers | Total | |
| IV | | | | | 1 | |
| \$243 74 | 3,425 34 7,251 63 | 362 90 | \$520 46 604 97 9 45 | ³ \$50 00 | \$10,566 53 8,835 76 7,672 85 2,002 74 | |
| 010.10 | 8,294 53 | | | | 10,215 76 | i |
| 313 12 186 70 122 61 | 9,010 02 3,807 94 3,757 07 | | 321 98 612 03 | | $\begin{array}{c} 11,296 \ 26 \\ 5,544 \ 83 \\ 5,579 \ 76 \\ 1,126 \ 97 \\ \hline 76 \ 78 \end{array}$ | 1 |
| 112 93 219 92 445 83 | 3,649 10 3,827 87 2,637 05 2,482 49 5,152 13 | | | | 5,280 66 4,512 07 4,996 04 2,482 49 5,259 48 | 1 1 1 1 |
| 387 76 102 42 142 13 | 6,951 42 6,376 76 5,730 20 7,170 89 | | 255 30 64 60 218 00 | 18 00 | 7,594 48 6,575 45 6,333 20 787 08 7,392 86 | 1 1 1 2 |
| 110 04 | | 73 85 | | 349 08 | 691 63 573 47 | 2 2 |
| \$2,393 50 217 59 | \$85,820 88 5,363 80 | \$697 81 116 30 | \$3,251 09 295 55 | \$417 08 139 02 | \$115,397 15 5,245 32 | |
| | | | | | | 1 |
| | \$4,563 50 24,429 20 24,977 77 2,391 32 | | \$46 20 | | $\begin{array}{r} \$5,578 \ 57 \\ 72 \ 46 \\ 5,936 \ 90 \\ 5,572 \ 64 \\ 2,827 \ 04 \\ \end{array}$ | |
| \$521 06 | 3,757 23 2,121 49 473 51 2,336 29 | \$116 78 56 17 | 263 40 | | 4,814 35 856 56 2,863 84 908 44 2,352 09 | 1 |
| | 1,482 12 1,380 37 | | | | 1,812 30 1,543 98 | 1 1 1 |
| \$521 06 521 06 | \$27,912 80 2,791 28 | \$172 95 86 47 | \$325 40 108 46 | | \$35,139 17 2,928 26 | |

All fees.
 County clerk. Expenses of clerical work in mortgage tax matters allowed by State.



REVENUES AND RETURNS OF GENERAL GOVERNMENT — INTEREST
AND EARNINGS OF COUNTY PROPERTY

The purpose of this table is to show the sources of receipts of this nature. The "earnings of county property" does not include earnings of penal institutions (see Table 25) nor earnings of charitable institutions (see Table 26).

Table 23 — Revenues and Returns

INTEREST AND EARNINGS

| | | Interes | T FROM DEPOSITS |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Total ¹ | Deposits general funds | Deposits highway funds |
| Class I. Class II. Class III. Class IV. Class V. | \$71,786 58 28,930 72 66,648 50 34,205 40 5,361 50 | \$54,035 92 22,754 91 23,249 48 21,932 83 2,786 23 | \$1,941 33 360 68 9,092 90 4,529 78 887 16 |
| State | \$206,932 70 | \$124,759 37 | \$16,811 85 |
| COUNTY | | | CLASS |
| 1. Erie | \$44,707 82 20,322 94 6,755 82 | \$31,101 88 18,674 26 4,259 78 | |
| Totals | \$71,786 58 23,928 86 | \$54,035 92 18,011 97 | |
| | | | ${ m CLAS}_{ m S}$ |
| 1. Onondaga. 2. Albany 3. Oneida. 4. Rensselaer | \$10,804 35 6,721 58 6,578 35 4,826 44 | \$7,129 15 5,887 61 5,685 15 4,053 00 | \$121 57 |
| Totals | \$28,930 72 7,232 68 | \$22,754 91 5,688 72 | \$360 68 |
| 1 | | | CLASS |
| 1. Orange 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara 5. Ulster | \$837 73 2,135 20 7,956 13 4,638 02 156 73 | \$1,386 41 3,603 30 156 73 | \$440 32 775 05 |
| 6. St. Lawrence 7. Schenectady 8. Dutchess 9. Nassau 10. Steuben | 1,203 13 2,982 40 3,643 97 32,838 25 737 01 | 988 77 1,057 19 3,197 59 4,862 23 606 09 | 6,226 94 |
| 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus | 2,653 64 2,804 01 2,333 45 1,271 77 457 06 | 2,653 64 2,680 66 513 40 1,192 00 351 47 | 844 98 |
| Totals | \$66,648 50 4,443 23 | \$23,249 48 1,788 42 | \$9,092 90 1,818 58 |

¹ See table 21. ² Rental of steam roller.

from General Government

OF COUNTY PROPERTY

| ND RETURNE | D TAXES | | Earnings o | F PROPERTY | | |
|---|--|--|------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------|
| Returned taxes | Total | Rentals | Products sold | Other property earnings | Total | |
| \$14,229 99 1,214 61 32,989 16 6,100 83 966 19 | \$70,207 24 24,330 20 65,331 54 32,563 44 4,639 58 | \$1,471 21 4,600 52 1,103 86 1,593 60 721 92 | | \$213 10 48 36 | \$1,579 34 4,600 52 1,316 96 1,641 96 721 92 | II |
| \$55,500 78 | \$197,072 00 | \$9,491 11 | \$108 13 | \$261 46 | \$9,860 70 | Stat |
| | | | | | | |
| \$13,506 94 723 05 | \$44,608 82 19,397 31 6,201 11 | 2 817 50 | | | \$99 00 925 63 554 71 | |
| \$14,229 99 7,114 99 | \$70,207 24 23,402 41 | \$1,471 21 490 40 | | | \$1,579 34 526 44 | |
| ſ | | | | | | |
| \$680 28 534 33 | \$7,129 15 6,009 18 6,365 43 4,826 44 | \$3,675 20 712 40 212 92 | | | \$3,675 20 712 40 212 92 | |
| \$1,214 61 607 30 | \$24,330 20 6,082 55 | \$4,600 52 1,533 50 | | | \$4,600 52 1,533 50 | |
| I | | | | | | , |
| \$837 73 308 47 7,943 03 255 16 | \$837 73 2,135 20 7,943 03 4,633 51 156 73 | | | | \$13 10 4 51 | |
| $\begin{array}{c} 12 & 84 \\ 776 & 06 \\ 303 & 76 \\ 21,286 & 34 \\ 100 & 92 \end{array}$ | 1,001 61 2,638 86 3,501 35 32,375 51 707 01 | 1 52 343 54 142 62 462 74 30 00 | | | 201 52 343 54 142 62 462 74 30 00 | 1 |
| 123 35 935 91 105 59 | 2,653 64 2,804 01 2,294 29 1,192 00 457 06 | 39 16 79 77 | | | 39 16 79 77 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| \$32,989 16 2,749 10 | \$65,331 54 4,355 44 | \$1,103 86 137 98 | | \$213 10 106 55 | \$1,316 96 146 33 | |

^{3 &}quot; Keep of team at jail."

Table 23 - Revenues and Returns

INTEREST AND EARNINGS OF

| | | Interes | ET FROM DEPOSITS |
|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| COUNTY | Total ¹ | Deposits general funds | Deposits highway funds |
| · | | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga | \$3,103 75 | \$995 42 | \$1,988 80 |
| 2. Montgomery | 2,029 18 2,441 37 | 1,542 50 2,376 61 | 373 84 |
| 4. Chemung | 4,192 06 3,814 52 | 599 74 3,698 91 | |
| | | | |
| 6. Wayne | 3,053 86 1,594 30 | 3,040 05 973 40 | |
| 8. Washington 9. Otsego | 1,155 88 386 29 | 562 18 323 05 | 493 37 |
| 10. Rockland | 4,008 20 | 1,874 13 | |
| 11. Franklin | 1,635 58 206 02 | 943 76 | 691 82 |
| 12. Delaware | 1,797 73 | 159 10 1,708 24 | 46 92 |
| 14. Columbia | 1,293 61 313 51 | 967 44 313 51 | 22 60 |
| 16. Madison | 869 66 | 503 97 | 361 29 |
| 17. Livingston | 25 07 | | |
| 18. Genesee | 1,130 73 114 09 | 1,130 73 | |
| 20. Tompkins | 56 51 | | |
| 21. Warren | 905 83 77 65 | 220 09 | 551 14 |
| | \$34,205 40 | \$21,932 83 | 24 500 70 |
| Totals | 1,554 79 | 1,774 07 | \$4,529 78 566 22 |
| 1 | | | |
| 1 Culting | 0127 001 | , | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan. 2. Essex. | \$157 88 1,276 10 | \$361 65 | \$792 43 |
| 3. Orleans | 495 25 674 37 | 612 33 | 94 73 |
| 5. Greene | 500 00 | | |
| 6. Seneca. | 788 52 | 788 52 | |
| 7. Tioga | 150 00 819 35 | 669 55 | |
| 9. Schoharie 10. Yates | 261 32 | 261 32 | |
| 11. Putnam | 145 85 | | |
| 12. Schuyler | 92 86 | 92 86 | |
| 13. Hamilton | | | |
| Totals | \$5,361 50 487 40 | \$2,786 23 464 37 | \$887 16 443 58 |
| | 10, 10 | 201 01 | 110 00 |

¹ See table 21. ² Assessment books \$19.70, sheriff \$24.06.

from General Government

COUNTY PROPERTY — concluded

| AND RETURNED | TAXES | | Earnings of | PROPERTY | |
|--|---|--|------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Returned taxes | Total | Rentals | Products sold | Other property earnings | Total |
| IV | | • | ı | , | |
| \$59 53 47 84 3,032 59 59 11 | \$3,043 75 1,964 18 2,376 61 3,632 33 3,758 02 | \$60 00 65 00 21 00 559 73 56 50 | | | \$60 00 65 00 64 76 559 73 56 50 |
| $ \begin{array}{r} 13 & 81 \\ 138 & 74 \\ 44 & 52 \\ 63 & 24 \\ 2,054 & 07 \end{array} $ | 3,053 86 1,112 14 1,100 07 386 29 3,928 20 | 482 16 55 81 80 00 | | | 482 16 55 81 |
| 10 49 303 57 | $\begin{array}{c} 1,635 \ 58 \\ 206 \ 02 \\ 1,718 \ 73 \\ 1,293 \ 61 \\ 313 \ 51 \end{array}$ | 79 00 | | | 79 00 |
| 25 07 56 51 | $\begin{array}{c} 865 \ 26 \\ 25 \ 07 \\ 1,130 \ 73 \\ 114 \ 09 \\ 56 \ 51 \end{array}$ | 4 40 | | | 4 40 |
| 77 65 | 771 23 77 65 | 130 00 | | 4 60 | 134 60 |
| \$6,100 83 433 41 | \$32,563 44 1,479 83 | \$1,593 60 144 87 | | \$48 36 24 18 | \$1,641 96 149 26 |
| v | | 1 | | — ; | |
| \$157 88 122 02 349 14 61 50 | $\begin{array}{c} 1,276 & 10 \\ 443 & 87 \end{array}$ | \$51 38 54 500 00 | | | \$51 38 54 500 00 |
| | 788 52 799 35 | 150 00 | | | 150 00 |
| 129 80 | 799 35 | 20 00 | | | 20 00 |
| 145 85 | 145 85 92 86 | | | | |
| \$966 19 161 03 | \$4,639 58 515 50 | \$721 92 144 38 | | | \$721 92 144 38 |

^{*\$3,086.39} interest on "Special trust fund deposits."



REVENUES AND RETURNS OF GENERAL GOVERNMENT — FINES AND FORFEITS — PREMIUMS AND ACCRUED INTEREST ON REFUNDING AND REVENUE BONDS

Premiums and accrued interest on refunding bonds:

This table shows the sources from which such funds were derived.

Fines:

The amounts shown in this table do not include the fines received from liquor tax cases or other fines directed by law to be applied to specific purposes not included in the items covered by the title of general government.

Forfeits:

This item includes (1.) the cash deposits in lieu of bail entered by the county treasurer originally as a special trust fund and transferred to his general accounts upon receipt of the order of the court declaring the bail forfeited, (2.) recoveries from bondsmen in criminal actions and (3.) forfeited deposits of bidders on contracts.

Table 24 — Revenues and

PREMIUMS AND ACCRUED

| tori-rep | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| | | | Accrt | UED INTEREST |
| | Total | A C | CRUED INTERE | st |
| | | General | Highway | Total |
| Class I Class II. Class III. Class IV. Class V | \$36,042 52 283 33 5,515 43 1,464 84 1,742 40 | \$1,501 18 283 33 4,925 76 631 50 1,742 40 | \$304 43 589 67 833 34 | \$1,805 61 283 33 5,515 43 1,464 84 1,742 40 |
| State | \$45,048 52 | \$9,084 17 | \$1,727 44 | \$10,811 61 |
| COUNTY | | 1 | | CLASS |
| 2. Monroe | \$36,042 52 | \$1,501 18 | \$304 43 | \$1,805 61 |
| TotalsAverages | \$36,042 52 36,042 52 | \$1,501 18 1,501 18 | . \$304 43 304 43 | \$1,805 61 1,805 61 |
| · · | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga. 2. Albany. 3. Oneida. 4. Rensselaer. | \$283 33 | \$283 33 | | \$283 33 |
| Totals | \$283 33 283 33 | \$283 33 283 33 | | \$283 33 283 33 |
| | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Orange 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara 5. Ulster | \$98 00 93 75 | \$93.75 | \$98 00 | \$98 00 93 75 |
| 6. St. Lawrence. | | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | |
| 7. Schenectady | 4,734 61 | 4,734 61 | | 4,734 61 |
| 9. Nassau | 97 40 | 97 40 | | 97 40 |
| 11. Jefferson. 12. Broome. 13. Oswego. 14. Cayuga. 15. Cattaraugus. | 491 67 | | 491 67 | 491 67 |
| TotalsAverages | \$5,515 43 1,103 09 | \$4,925 76 1,641 92 | \$589 67 294 83 | \$5,515 43 1,103 09 |

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Character of bonds not shown. May be construction bonds. $^{\rm 2}$ Contract deposit.

Returns from General Government

INTEREST — FINES AND FORFEITS

| Donwers | | | | 7 | | |
|----------------------------|----------|----------------------------|--|---|--|------------------------------------|
| AND PREMIUMS | | | Fin | ES AND FORFE | TS. | |
| | PREMIUMS | | | | | |
| General | Highway | Total | Total | Fines | Forfeits | |
| \$34,236 91 \$34,236 91 | | \$34,236 91 \$34,236 91 | \$8,147 75 5,568 00 18,507 59 8,250 62 4,673 77 \$45,147 73 | \$7,132 75 4,968 00 14,669 72 6,350 62 4,673 77 \$37,794 86 | \$1,015 00 600 00 3,837 87 1,900 00 \$7,352 87 | III III IV V |
| | | | | 501,104 00 | 61,002 01 | State |
| I 1 \$34,236 91 | | \$34,236 91 | \$3,141 00 1,713 75 3,293 00 | \$2,126 00 1,713 75 3,293 00 | \$1,015 00 | 2 3 |
| \$34,236.91 | | \$34,236 91 | \$8,147 75 2,715 91 | \$7,132 75 2,377 58 | \$1,015 00 1,015 00 | |
| II | • | | ` | | , | , |
| | | | \$1,650 00 1,106 00 2,801 00 11 00 | \$1,650 00 756 00 2,551 00 11 00 | \$350 00 250 00 | 3 4 |
| | | | \$5,568 00 1,392 00 | \$4,968 00 1,242 00 | \$600 00 300 00 | |
| III | | | | | | |
| | | | \$300 00 1,370 60 300 00 5,429 00 200 00 | \$300 00 1,370 60 300 00 5,429 00 200 00 | | 34455 |
| | | | 1,890 00 907 00 365 00 1,956 87 1,193 12 | $\begin{array}{c} 1,090 \ 00 \\ 860 \ 00 \\ 165 \ 00 \\ 166 \ 00 \\ 1,193 \ 12 \end{array}$ | \$800 00 2 47 00 200 00 8 1,790 87 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| | | | 775 00 280 00 1,411 00 1,000 00 1,130 00 | 75 00 280 00 1,111 00 1,000 00 1,130 00 | 700 00 300 00 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| | | | \$18,507 59 1,233 84 | \$14,669 72 977 98 | \$3,837 87 639 64 | |

³ Licenses and permits.

Table 24 — Revenues and Returns

PREMIUMS AND ACCRUED INTEREST —

| | | | Accr | UED INTEREST |
|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| COUNTY | Total | AC | CRUED INTER | EST |
| | | General | Highway | Total |
| | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga | \$1,333 33 | \$199 99 | \$833 34 | \$1,333 33 |
| 3. Herkimer | | | | |
| 4. Chemung | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 6. Wayne 7. Clinton | | | | |
| 8. Washington | | | | |
| 10. Rockland | | | | |
| 11. Franklin | | | | |
| 12. Delaware | 131 51 | 191 71 | | 101 71 |
| 13. Fulton | 131 31 | 131 51 | | 131 51 |
| 15. Allegany | | | | |
| 16. Madison | | | | |
| 17. Livingston | | | | |
| 19. Chenango | | | | |
| 20. Tompkins | | | | |
| 21. Warren | | | | <i>.</i> |
| | | | | |
| Totals | \$1,464 84 732 42 | \$631 50 315 75 | \$833 34 833 34 | \$1,464 84 732 42 |
| | ,, | (| | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan | [| [| | |
| 2. Essex. 3. Orleans. | li | | | |
| 4. Wyoming. 5. Greene. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 6. Seneca 7. Tioga | \$1,607 39 | \$1,607 39 | | \$1,607 39 |
| 8. Lewis | | | | |
| 9. Schoharie | 135 01 | 135 01 | | 135 01 |
| 11. Putnam | | | | |
| 12. Schuyler | | | | |
| 13. Hamilton | | | | |
| Totals | \$1,742 40 | \$1,742 40 | | \$1,742 40 |
| Averages | 871 20 | 871 20 | | 871 20 |


¹ Cash bail.

from General Government

FINES AND FORFEITS — concluded

| General Highway Total Total Fines Forfeits | Total Fines Forfeits | AND PREMIUM | s | | Fini | es and Fore | EITS |
|--|--|-------------|----------|-------|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| \$1,000 00 \$1,000 00 \\ 1,563 00 \$1,563 00 \\ 50 00 \$50 00 \$50 00 \\ 250 00 \$250 00 \\ 267 62 \$67 62 \$67 62 \$1\$200 00 \\ 95 00 \$95 00 \$2415 00 \\ 2,415 00 \$2,415 00 \\ 100 00 \$50 00 \$200 00 \\ 100 00 \$100 00 \\ 550 00 \$185 00 \\ 100 00 \$100 00 \\ 155 00 \$185 00 \\ 100 00 \$100 00 \\ 155 00 \$185 00 \\ 100 00 \$100 00 \\ 155 00 \$185 00 \\ 100 00 \$100 00 \\ 155 00 \$100 00 \\ | S1,000 00 | | PREMIUMS | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | General | Highway | Total | Total | Fines | Forfeits |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | \$300 00 | IV | ; | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | 50 00 | 1,563 (| 00 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | \$300 00 | | | | 250 00 | 250 (| 00 |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 100 00 | | | | 267 62 95 00 | 67 (95 (| 1 \$200 00 |
| 100 00 100 00 | 100 00 | | | | 100 00 550 00 | 100 (350 (| 2 200 00 |
| 185 00 185 00 100 00 100 00 11,500 00 11,500 00 | V \[\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc | | | | : | • | |
| | \$8,250 62 \$6,350 62 \$1,900 00 633 33 V \$300 00 \$300 00 \$300 00 \$1,030 77 \$1,030 77 \$500 00 \$500 00 \$1,040 00 \$503 00 \$1,040 00 \$503 00 \$1,040 00 | | | | 185 00 100 00 | 185 | 00 |
| \$8,250 62 \$6,350 62 \$1,900 00 687 55 529 21 633 33 | V \$399 00 \$300 | | | | 1,575 00 | 75 (| 1 1,500 00 |
| | \$309 00 \$300 00 \$1,030 77 \$1,030 77 \$1,030 77 \$1,030 77 \$1,030 77 \$1,030 77 \$1,030 77 \$1,030 77 \$1,030 77 \$1,040 00 | | | | \$8,250 62 687 55 | \$6,350 529 | \$1,900 00 633 33 |
| | 1,000 00 1,000 00 | | | | 1,039 77 500 00 1,040 00 | 1,030 (500 (1,040 (| 77 |
| 1,039 77 1,030 77 500 00 50 1,040 00 1, | \$1.672.77 \$1.672.77 | | | | | | |
| 1,039 77 1,030 77 1,000 77 1,000 77 1,000 77 1,000 70 1,0 | \$1.673.77 \$1.679.77 | | | | | | |
| 1,030 77 1,0 | ************************************** | | | | \$1,673 77 | \$4,673 | 77 |

² Collected from bondsmen.



Refunds and Returns from General Government — Earnings of Penal Institutions

This table shows the amounts earned by jails and by penitentiaries, so far as reported by county treasurers.

Table 25 — Revenues and Returns from General Government

EARNINGS OF PENAL INSTITUTIONS

| | 12A N | NINGS U | r I ENAI | INSIIIC | TIONS | | |
|--|--|------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Total 1 | EARN | ings of Pri | SONERS | MAINTEN | ANCE OF PR | ISONERS |
| | Total 1 | Jails | Peniten- tiaries | Total | Jails | Peniten- tiaries | Total |
| Class I | \$66,225 30 24,834 66 3,553 41 153 18 | \$1.223 43 | \$47,697 14 5,380 69 | 33 18 | \$254 74 2,329 98 120 00 | \$18,528 16 19,199 23 | 19,453 97 2,329 98 120 00 |
| State | | | | \$54,334 44 | | | |
| COUNTY | | | CLASS I | | | | |
| 1. Erie | 29,624 39 | | 11,096 23 | \$36,600 91 11,096,23 | | \$18,528 16 | \$18,528 16 |
| Totals | \$66,225 30 | | \$47,697 14 23,848 57 | | | \$18,528 16 | \$18,528 16 18,528 16 |
| | | | CLASS II | | | · | |
| 1. Onondaga | | 1 | | | | | |
| Totals | | | | | \$254 74 | \$19,199 23 19,199 23 | \$19,453 97 |
| J | | | CLASS III | | (| | |
| 1. Orange | | \$15 94 | | | | | |
| 2. Chautauqua | | | | | | | |
| 6. St. Lawrence 7. Schenectady 8. Dutchess | | | | 49 86 | 131 00 | | 601 65 131 00 |
| 9. Nassau 10. Steuben | 264 00 166 32 | 15 00 | | | 249 00 | | 249 00 |
| 13. Oswego | 56 00 920 31 | 920 31 | | | | | |
| Totals | \$3,553 41 507 63 | | | \$1,223 43 203 90 | | | \$2,329 98 582 49 |

¹ See table 21


Table 25 — Revenues and Returns from General Government

Earnings of Penal Institutions — concluded

| CONTINUE | <i>m</i> . 11 | EARN | ings of Pri | SONERS | MAINTE | NANCE OF P | RISONERS |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| COUNTY | Total 1 | Jails | Peniten- tiaries | Total | Jails | Peniten- tiaries | Total |
| | | _ | LASS IV | | | | |
| 1. Saratoga | | | | | | | |
| 6. Wayne | | | | | | | |
| 11. Franklin | | | | | | | |
| 16. Madison | | 1 40 | | 1 40 | | | |
| 21. Warren | | | | | | | |
| Totals Averages | \$153 18 51 06 | \$33 18 16 59 | | \$33 18 16 59 | \$120 00 120 00 | | \$120 00 120 00 |

CLASS V None reported

¹ See table 21.



Refunds and Returns from General Government — Earnings of Charitable Institutions

This table shows the amounts received by the county for the care of poor persons, dependent children and tuberculosis patients and the amounts received from the sale of products of institutions caring for these three classes of dependents.

It will be seen from Table 61 that the cost of the maintenance of the almshouse farms of the State aggregates \$98,901.05, while by this table the receipts from products amount to \$91,030.21. This would seem to indicate that these farms are more than self-supporting.

Table 26 — Revenues and

EARNINGS OF

| | | | LAI | TO SOMIM |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| | | ALMSHOUSI | E AND ALMSHO | USE FARM |
| | Total 1 | For care of dependents | From sales of products | Total |
| Class I | \$35,879 98 24,111 57 47,804 42 34,182 44 20,632 07 | \$24,245 09 16,565 41 15,004 42 5,048 69 906 04 | \$6,321 50 7,524 73 30,295 96 27,422 70 19,465 32 | \$30,566 59 24,090 14 45,300 38 32,471 39 20,371 36 |
| State | \$162,610 48 | \$61,769 65 | \$91,030 21 | \$ 152,799 86 |
| COUNTY | | 1 | | OI AGG |
| 1. Erie | \$22,164 30 12,082 55 1,633 13 | \$19,917 73 4,063 86 263 50 | 2,705 30 | CLASS \$22,164 30 6,769 16 1,633 13 |
| TotalsAverages | \$35,879 98 11,959 99 | \$24,245 09 8,081 69 | \$6,321 50 2,107 16 | \$30,566 59 10,188 86 |
| | | · | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga 2. Albany 3. Oneida 4. Rensselaer | \$12,234 28 1,965 35 5,811 56 4,100 38 | \$9.915 20 1,965 35 4,062 08 622 78 | \$2,319 08 1,749 48 3,456 17 | \$12,234 28 1,965 35 5,811 56 4,078 95 |
| Totals | \$24,111 57 6,027 89 | \$16,565 41 4,141 60 | \$7,524 73 2,841 57 | \$24,090 14 6,272 48 |
| | | | , | CLASS |
| 1. Orange 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara 5. Ulster. | $ \begin{vmatrix} \$9,521 & 87 \\ 5,375 & 15 \\ 6,961 & 31 \\ 2,227 & 86 \\ 3,077 & 68 \end{vmatrix} $ | \$3,041 14 156 57 1,315 88 | \$4,649 89 5,375 15 6,804 74 911 98 3,077 68 | \$7,691 03 5,375 15 6,961 31 2,227 86 3,077 68 |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess 9. Nassau. 10. Steuben. | $\begin{bmatrix} 3,659&00\\1,537&17\\2,866&58\\31&00\\1,826&12 \end{bmatrix}$ | 307 48 1,537 17 2,303 88 31 00 1,826 12 | 3,351 52 562 70 | 3,659 00 1,537 17 2,866 58 31 00 1,826 12 |
| 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus | 6,051 23 2,051 35 1,096 47 1,521 63 | 4,321 05 164 13 | 1,357 98 1,750 35 1,096 47 1,357 50 | 5,679 03 1,750 35 1,096 47 1,521 63 |
| TotalsAverages | \$47,804 42 3,414 60 | \$15,004 42 1,500 44 | \$30,295 96 2,754 18 | \$45,300 38 3,235 74 |

¹ See table 21,

Returns from General Government

CHARITABLE INSTITUTIONS

| ORPHANAGE : | AND DEPENDE | NT CHILDREN | Tuberculosi | S HOSPITAL A | ND PATIENTS | |
|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|---|------------------------|---|---|
| For care of dependents | From sales of products | Total | For care of patients | From sales of products | Total | |
| \$5 00 95 50 | | \$5 00 95 50 | \$5,056 94 21 43 2,198 04 1,615 55 260 71 | 301 00 | \$5 313 39 21 43 2,499 04 1,615 55 260 71 | III III IV V |
| \$100 50 | | \$100 50 | \$9,152 67 | \$557 45 | \$9,710 12 | State |
| I | | | | |)———— | 1 |
| | | | | | \$5,313 39 | $\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{vmatrix}$ |
| | | | \$5,056 94 5,056 94 | \$256 45 256 45 | \$5,313 39 5,313 39 | |
| 11 | | | | | | 1 2 3 |
| | | | \$21 43 | | \$21 43 | 4 |
| | | | \$21 43 21 43 | | \$21 43 21 43 | |
| [II \$5 00] | | \$5 00l | \$1,825 84 | | \$1 825 8411 | 1 |
| | | | | | | 2 3 4 |
| | | | | | | 4 5 |
| | | | | | | 6 7 8 |
| | | | | | | 9 10 |
| | | | 372 20 | \$301 00 | 372 20 301 00 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| \$5 00 5 00 | | \$5 00 5 00 | \$2,198 04 1,099 02 | \$301 00 301 00 | \$2 499 C4 833 01 | |

Table 26 — Revenues and

EARNINGS OF CHARITABLE

| | | ALMSHOUSE | AND ALMSHO | USE FARM |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| COUNTY | Total 1 | For care of dependents | From sales of products | Total |
| | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga | \$155 50 5,407 60 826 05 | \$155 50 167 98 | \$5,071 74 562 57 | \$155 50 5,071 74 730 55 |
| 4. Chemung | 2,274 54 | 212 85 | 1,441 49 | 1,654 34 |
| 6. Wayne. 7. Clinton. 8. Washington. | 391 77 419 27 2,082 06 | 122 00 924 68 | 391 77 297 27 1,157 38 | 391 77 419 27 2,082 06 |
| 9. Otsego | 1,544 08 | 1,338 54 | 205 54 | 1,544 08 |
| 11. Franklin 12. Delaware 13. Fulton 14. Columbia 15. Allegany | 2,396 33 1,965 32 405 00 1,409 28 3,874 88 | 125 00 | 2,396 33 1,965 32 1,409 28 3,874 88 | 2,396 33 1,965 32 125 00 1,409 28 3,874 88 |
| 16. Madison | 643 05 1,292 64 2,659 50 3,183 49 799 06 | 555 59 400 45 600 92 419 57 | 643 05 737 05 2,259 05 2,582 57 | 643 05 1,292 64 2,659 50 3,183 49 419 57 |
| 21. Warren | 1,247 09 1,205 93 | 13 00 12 61 | 1,234 09 1,193 32 | $\substack{1,247 & 09 \\ 1,205 & 93}$ |
| Totals | \$34,182 44 1,709 12 | \$5,048 69 338 36 | \$27,422 70 1,613 10 | \$32,471 39 1,910 08 |
| | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan. 2. Essex. 3. Orleans. 4. Wyoming. 5. Greene. | \$874 64 1,642 65 4,505 98 5,784 51 | \$316 00 267 00 | \$874 64 1,326 65 4,245 27 25,517 51 | \$874 64 1,642 65 4,245 27 5,784 51 |
| 6. Seneca. 7. Tioga. 8. Lewis. 9. Schoharie. 10. Yates. 11. Putnam | 63 69 958 36 279 97 2,269 32 4,252 95 | 63 69 259 35 | 958 36 279 97 2,009 97 4,252 95 | 63 69 958 36 279 97 2,269 32 4,252 95 |
| 12. Schuyler | | | | |
| Totals | \$20,632 07 2,292 45 | \$906 04 226 51 | \$19,465 32 2,433 16 | \$20,371 36 2,263 48 |

¹ See table 21.

Returns from General Government

Institutions — concluded

| \$95 50 \$95 50 \$335 86 \$335 86 \$05 20 620 20 | Patients Products Potal Patients Products Potal Patients Products Potal Patients Products Potal Patients Products Potal Patients Products Potal Patients Products Potal Patients Products Potal Patients Products Potal Patients Products Potal Patients Products Potal Patients Products Potal Patients Patients Products Potal Patients Products Potal Patients | ORPHANAGE | AND DEPENDE | ENT CHILDREN | Tuberculosi | s Hospital ani | PATIENTS . |
|---|---|---------------------------|-------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| \$95 50 \$95 50 \$335 86 \$335 86 \$335 86 620 20 620 20 620 20 620 00 | \$95 50 \$95 50 \$335 86 | For care of dependents | | Total | For care of patients | | Total |
| \$95 50. \$95 50. 620 20 620 20 620 20 620 20 | \$95 50 \$95 50 \$280 00 280 00 280 00 379 49 3 | V | | | | | |
| 620 20 620 20 280 00 280 00 | \$250 00 280 00 \$280 00 280 00 \$379 49 379 49 \$95 50 \$95 50 \$1,615 55 \$1,615 55 403 58 \$1,615 55 403 88 | | | \$95 50 | \$335 86 | | \$ 335 86 |
| 280 00 280 00 | \$95.50 \$95.50 \$1.615.55 \$1,615.55 \$1,615.55 \$403.88 | | 1 | | 620 20 | | 620 20 |
| 280 00 280 00 | \$95.50 \$95.50 \$1,615.55 \$1,615.55 \$1,615.55 \$403.88 | | | | | | |
| 280 00 280 00 | \$95.50 \$95.50 \$1,615.55 \$1,615.55 \$1,615.55 \$403.88 | | | | | | |
| | \$9.5 50 \$95 50 \$1.615 55 \$1,615 55 \$95 50 \$95 50 \$0 \$95 50 \$403 88 | | | | | | |
| | \$95.50 \$95.50 \$1,615.55 \$1,615.55 \$1,615.55 \$403.88 | | | | 280 00 | | 280 00 |
| | \$95 50 \$95 50 \$1,615 55 \$1,615 55 403 88 | | | | | | |
| 370.40 370.40 | \$9.5 50 | | | | 370 40 | | 370 40 |
| | \$95 50 | | | | | | |
| \$ 95 50 \$ 95 50 \$ 1,615 55 | | \$95 50 | | \$ 95 50 | \$1,615 55 | | \$1,615 55 |
| | | | | | \$260 71 | | \$260 71 |
| | \$260 71 \$260 71 | | | | | | |
| | \$260 71 \$260 71 | | | | | | |
| | \$260 71 \$260 71 | | | | | | |
| \$260.71 \$260.71 | \$260 71 \$260 71 | | | | | | |
| | \$260 71 \$260 71 | | | | \$260 71 260 71 | | \$260 71 260 71 |

^{2 \$\$73.72} not classified in report.



NET RESULTS OF COUNTY FINANCING — NET COST OF IMPROVEMENTS

Tables 27 to 30 show the amounts expended for improving county property, including highways.

Interest on construction bonds and loans:

The interest paid on bonds and loans issued for construction purposes is included in these tables as part of the cost of improvements. (See Tables 28 and 29.)

An attempt has been made in other tables to show the cost of the current government of the county. The expense for this interest is of extraordinary nature and should not be included in comparative tables for current totals. It seemed best to include it here.

Expense of issue of construction bonds:

The expenses incident to the issue of bonds for construction of buildings or highways have been included as part of the cost of construction.

Income in reduction of cost:

In many of the counties sales of county property (usually minor amounts) are made during the year. The amount received from such sales is deducted from the amount expended for construction. The result shows the net increase in the value of the county property, based upon the cost value for the improvements of the current year.

For the same reason, moneys received on account of recoveries through insurance are treated in like manner.

The premiums received from the sale of construction bonds probably should be converted into a sinking fund for the payment of the bonds. No county does this. Most counties apply the amount directly to construction.

It is not a revenue or earning of the county. It is treated in this table as an amount in reduction of the cost of improvements.

Table 27 - Net Results of

NET COST OF

| | | | 101 0051 01 |
|-----------------|---|--|--|
| | | Improvements | |
| | Construction of buildings ¹ | Construction of highways ² | Total |
| Class I | \$259,089 99 355,773 80 470,669 87 188,215 66 63,239 21 | \$726,430 76 617,361 69 849,483 35 558,500 26 188;376 24 | \$985,520 75 973,135 49 1,320,153 22 746,715 92 251,615 45 |
| State | \$1,336,988 53 | \$2,940,152 30 | \$4,277,140 83 |
| COUNTY | | | CLASS |
| 1. Erie | \$55,052 53' 16,400 84 187,636 62' | \$126,287 29 109,651 37 490,492 10 | \$181,339 82 126,052 21 678,128 72 |
| Totals | \$259,089 99 | \$726,430 76 | \$985,520 75 |
| 11 | | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga | \$148,438 901 | \$217,604 11 | \$366,043 02 |
| 2. Albany | 107,631 82 | 118.382 52 | 226,014 32 |
| 3. Oneida | 42,602 95 | 56,803 94 | 99,406 89 |
| 4. Rensselaer | 57,100 13 | 224,571 12 | 281,671 25 |
| Totals | \$355,773 80 | \$617,361 69 | \$973,135 49 |
| II. | | | CLASS |
| 1. Orange | \$2,362 50 | \$61,864 05 | \$64,226 55 |
| 2. Chautauqua | 7.809 38 | 39,342 80 | 47,152 18 |
| 3. Suffolk | 53,605 88 | 11,680 00 | 65,285 88 |
| 4. Niagara | 168,752 77 | 165,091 95 | 333,844 72 |
| 5. Ulster | 16,756 50 | 38,965 02 | 55,721 52 |
| 6. St. Lawrence | 29,625 97 | 103,202 49 | 132,828 46 |
| 7. Schenectady | 94,044 55 | 11,510 03 | 105,554 58 |
| 8. Dutchess | 7,253 75 | 32,079 79 | 39,333 54 |
| 9. Nassau | 68,765 40 | 90,535 80 | 159,301 20 |
| 10. Steuben | 3,711 92 | 41,367 29 | 45,079 21 |
| 11. Jefferson | 5,599 79 | 32,705 68 | 38,305 47 |
| 12. Broome | | 7,011 06 | 7,011 06 |
| 13. Oswego | 5,600 00 | 119,773 78 | 125,373 78 |
| 14. Cayuga | $\begin{array}{c} 2,611 & 70 \\ 4,169 & 76 \end{array}$ | 88,786 75 5,566 86 | 91,398 45 9,736 62 |
| Totals | \$470,669 87 | \$849,483 35 | \$1,320,153 22 |
| | | | |

¹ From table 28. ² From table 29.

County Financing, 1914

Improvements

| Sale of county property Insurance recoveries Premiums on construction bonds Total Net cost of county improvements | |
|---|---|
| Sale of county property Insurance recoveries Premiums on construction bonds Total of county improvements \$561 45 | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | |
| 262 13 1,130 63 2,939 50 4,332 26 247,283 19 | I III IV V |
| \$14,430 37 \$20,212 45 \$30,095 95 \$64,738 77 \$4,212,402 06 Sta | ate |
| \$300 00 \$300 00 \$261 45 \$1,001 00 \$561 45 \$1,001 00 \$1,562 45 \$983,958 30 | 1 2 3 |
| II \$366,043 02 | 1 |
| \$360,043 02 \$5,647 00 \$412 60 6 30 \$1,740 00 1,841 55 3,587 85 278,083 40 | 2 3 4 |
| \$418 90 \$1,740 00 \$7,488 55 \$9,647 45 \$963,488 03 | |
| III | |
| \$210 00 \$1,232 50 \$1,442 50 63,843 38 2 00 216 00 216 00 255,505 52 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \end{array}$ |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| \$1,228 87 \$927 00 \$12,146 00 \$14,301 87 \$1,305,851 35 | |

From table 30.

Table 27 — Net Results

NET COST OF

| | | | Improvements | | | | |
|-------------------|--|--|--------------|---|----------------|---|--|
| | COUNTY | Construction of buildings ¹ | | Construction of highways ² | | Total | |
| | | | | | | CLASS | |
| 3 | Saratoga. Montgomery. Herkimer Chemung | \$29,842 61,715 9,965 | 01 | \$20,576 29,656 35,864 27,477 | 86 | \$50,418 59 91,371 87 35,864 36 37,443 28 | |
| | Ontario | 4,952 | | 6,927 | 25 | 11,879 25 | |
| 7 8 9 | Wayne Clinton Washington Otsego Rockland | 4,722 2,132 900 2,824 | 04 | 14,173 9,095 26,746 16,754 9,520 | 95 37 39 | 14,173 30 13,818 11 28,878 41 17,654 39 12,344 48 | |
| 12. 13. 14. | Franklin. Delaware Fulton Columbia Allegany. | 15,318 8,375 | | 103,840 16,637 46,939 38,362 22,001 | 58 63 90 | 103,840 62 16,637 58 62,258 17 46,737 90 22,001 21 | |
| 17. | Madison Livingston Genesee | 46,588 | 17 | $29,568 \\ 13,169$ | | 76,156 51 13,169 30 | |
| 19. | Chenango | 880 | | 11,807 35,694 | 44 61 | 11,807 44 36,575 46 | |
| 21. 22. | Warren Cortland | | | 29,041 14,644 | | 29,041 32 14,644 37 | |
| | Totals | \$188,215 | 66 | \$558,500 | 26 | \$746,715 92 | |
| | | | | | | CLASS | |
| 2. 3. 4. | Sullivan Essex Orleans Wyoming Greene | \$6,546 11,223 800 7,520 | 00 | \$8,404 37,744 10,887 16,295 29,491 | 63 73 00 | \$14,951 18 48,967 63 11,687 73 16,295 00 37,011 46 | |
| 6. 7. | Seneca | 14,032 1,052 | 52 | 9,774 231 | 01 60 | 23,806 53 1,283 60 | |
| 9. | LewisSchoharieYates | 20,150 | | 8,715 2,941 | | 9,115 68 23,091 11 | |
| 12. | PutnamSchuylerHamilton | 1,515 | 00 | 31,092 10,988 21,809 | 84 | 32,607 23 10,988 84 21,809 46 | |
| | Totals | \$63,239 | 21 | \$188,376 | 24 | \$251,615 45 | |


¹ From table 28. ² From table 29.

of County Financing, 1914

Improvements — concluded

| | Income in Re | EDUCTION OF COST 8 | | Net cost | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Sale of county property | Insurance recoveries | Premiums on construction bonds | Total | of county improvements | |
| IV | | | | | |
| | | \$3,704 00 | ł | \$46,714 59 91.371 87 | 1 2 |
| \$25 00 300 00 8 10 | | 50 00 | 25 00 350 00 8 10 | 91,371 87 35,839 36 37,093 28 11,871 15 | 4 |
| 52 64 57 50 205 75 | \$36 00 2,425 00 | | 52 64 93 50 205 75 | 14,120 66 13,724 61 28,672 66 | 6 2 8 9 |
| | 2,425 00 | | 2,425 00 | 17,654 39 9,919 48 | 10 |
| 10,434 19 | | 232 50 | 10,434 19 13 50 278 55 | 93,406 43 16,624 08 61,979 62 46,737 90 22,001 21 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| 105 74 | 13,700 00 | 2,534 40 | 16,340 14 | 59,816 37 | 16 |
| | | | 6 00 4 55 | 13,163 30 4 4 55 | 17 18 |
| 700 00 | 253 82 | | 953 82 | 11,807 44 35,621 64 | 19 20 |
| | | | | 29,041 32 14,644 37 | 21 22 |
| \$ 11,959 02 | \$16,414 82 | \$6,520 90 | \$34,894 74 | \$7 11,821 18 | |
| v | · | | | · | |
| \$88 75 75 | \$1,130 63 | | \$1,130 63 88 75 75 | \$13,820 55 48,878 88 11,686 98 16,295 00 37,011 46 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 3 03 | | \$2,040 00 118 50 701 00 | 2,040 00 3 03 138 10 701 00 | 21,766 53 1,280 57 8,977 58 22,390 11 | 6 7 8 9 |
| | | | | 22,390 11 | 10 |
| 150 00 | | 80 00 | 150 00 80 00 | 32,457 23 10,908 84 21,809 46 | 11 12 13 |
| \$262 13 | \$1,130 63 | \$2,939 50 | \$4,332 26 | \$247,283 19 | |

<sup>From table 30.
Receipts from property more than cost of improvements.</sup>



Improvements, Construction of Building — Net Results of County Financing, 1914

This table shows the expenditures for the construction of various buildings. Frequently the county clerk's office is located in the courthouse. Occasionally other buildings are used for two or more of the purposes shown in the headings.

Table 28 —

Construction

| | | | | Con |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| | Total | Court- houses | County elerks' buildings | Jails |
| Class I. Class II. Class III. Class IV. Class IV. Class IV. Totals. | \$259,089 99 355,773 80 470,669 87 188,215 66 63,239 21 \$1,336,988 53 | \$142,746 95 156,096 60 20,150 00 \$318,993 55 | \$35,199 78 14,032 52 \$49,232 30 | \$7,163 96 11,089 10 51,848 66 \$70,101 72 |
| 1 | \$1,000,000 00 | \$013,550 00 | \$10,202 00 | \$70,101 72 |
| COUNTY | 1 000 000 001 | 1 | | CLASS |
| 1. Erie. 2. Monroe. 3. Westchester. | \$55,052 53 16,400 84 187,636 62 | | | \$2,138 12 5,025 84 |
| Totals | \$259,089 99 86,363 33 | | | \$7,163 96 3,581 98 |
| | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga | \$148,438 90 107,631 82 42,602 95 57,100 13 | | | |
| TotalsAverages | \$355,773 80 88,693 45 | \$142,746 95 71,373 47 | | |
| | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Orange. 2. Chautauqua. 3. Suffolk. 4. Niagara. 5. Ulster. | \$2,362 50 7,809 38 53,605 88 168,752 77 16,756 50 | \$59,137 41 | \$35,199 78 | \$5,716 88 |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess. 9. Nassau. 10. Steuben. | 29,625 97 94,044 55 7,253 75 68,765 40 3,711 92 | 43,322 74 53,636 45 | | 3,422 43 |
| 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus | 5,599 79 5,600 00 2,611 70 4,169 76 | | | 1,949 79 |
| Totals | \$470,669 87 33,619 27 | \$156,096 60 52,032 20 | \$35,199 78 35,199 78 | \$11,089 10 3,696 36 |

¹ Construction at county farm (not almshouse farm), \$38,060.24. ² \$153,500 interest on Bronx valley sewer bonds. ³ Infirmary.

OF BUILDINGS

| STRUCTION | | | | Interest | Expenses | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|----------------------------------|--|
| Almshouses | Tuberculosis hospitals | Other buildings | Total | on building bonds | of issue of building bonds | |
| \$27,706 95 44,111 21 8,793 00 | \$102,910 85 30,041 81 59,146 75 | \$38,130 84 9,086 00 129,401 62 182 16 | \$45,294 20 254,743 80 389,535 86 155,288 78 42,975 52 | \$213,795 79 101,030 00 80,455 21 32,708 15 20,263 69 | \$678 80 218 73 | II III IV V |
| \$80,611 16 | \$192,099 41 | \$176,800 62 | \$887,838 16 | \$448,252 84 | \$897 53 | State |
| [| | | | | | 1 |
| | | 1 \$38,130 24 | \$40,268 36 5,025 84 | \$14,784 17 11,375 00 2 187,636 62 | | $\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{vmatrix}$ |
| | | \$38,130 24 38,130 24 | \$45,294 20 22,647 10 | \$213,795 79 71,265 26 | | The same of the sa |
| II | | | | | | |
| | \$92,238 90 10,671 95 | 3 \$9,086 00 | \$92,238 90 107,631 82 19,757 95 35,115 13 | \$56,290 00 22,845 00 21,985 00 | | 1 2 3 4 |
| | \$102,910 85 51,455 42 | \$9,086 00 9,086 00 | \$254,743 80 63,685 95 | \$101,030 00 33,676 66 | | |
| III | | | | | | |
| | \$9,825 00 | 3 \$109,615 36 4 16,756 50 | \$5,716 88 45,024 78 168,752 77 16,756 50 | \$2,362 50 2,092 50 8,437 50 | \$143 60 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| \$20,400 00 | 20,216 81 | | 23,822 43 63,539 55 53,636 45 | 5,803 54 30,505 00 7,253 75 14,593 75 | 535 20 | 6 7 8 9 |
| 2,010 20 | | | 2,545 25 | 1,166 67 | | 10 |
| 2,159 00 2,611 70 | 300 00 | 5 2,729 76 | 1,949 79 2,150 00 2,611 70 3,029 76 | 3,650 00 3,450 00 1,140 00 | | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| \$27,706 95 6,926 74 | \$30,341 81 10,113 93 | \$129,101 62 43,133 87 | \$389,535 86 32,461 32 | \$80,455 21 7,314 11 | \$678 80 339 40 | |

⁴Purchase of Romeyn property. ⁵Remodeling old jail for supervisors.

Table 28 —

Construction of

| COUNTY | Total | Court- houses | County clerks' buildings | Jails |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga | \$29,842 36 61,715 01 | | | \$51,848 66 |
| 3. Herkimer. 4. Chemung. 5. Ontario | 9,965 48 4,952 00 | | | |
| 6. Wayne | 4,722 16 2,132 04 | | | |
| 8. Washington | 900 00 2,824 05 | | | |
| 11. Franklin | | | | |
| 13. Fulton. 14. Columbia. 15. Allegany. | 15,318 54 8,375 00 | | | |
| 16. Madison | 46,588 17 | | | |
| 18. Genesee | | | | |
| 20. Tompkins. | 880 85 | | | |
| 22. Cortland | \$188,215 66 | | | \$51,848 66 |
| Averages | 15,684 63 | | | 51,848 66 |
| 1. Sullivan | \$6,546 69 | 1 | 1 | CLASS |
| 2. Essex | 11,223 00 800 00 | | | |
| 4. Wyoming | 7,520 00 | | | |
| 6. Seneca | 14,032 52 1,052 00 | | \$14,032 52 | |
| 8. Lewis | 400 00 20,150 00 | \$20,150 00 | | |
| 11. Putnam | 1,515 00 | | | |
| 13. Hamilton | 0.00.005 | | 211 202 | |
| Totals | \$63,239 21 7,026 57 | \$20,150 00 20,150 00 | | |

Buildings — concluded

| Constructio | N | | | Interest | Expenses | |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Almshouses | Tuberculosis hospitals | Other buildings | Total | on building bonds | of issue of building bonds | |
| IV | | | | | | 1 |
| | \$29,092 36 5,091 35 | | \$29,092 36 56,940 01 | \$750 00 4,775 00 | | 1 2 3 4 |
| • | 9,965 48 | | 9,965 48 | | | 3 4 5 |
| \$2,132 04 | | \$182 16 | 182 16 2,132 04 | 4,540 00 | | 7 8 |
| | | | | 900 00 | | 10 |
| | 14,316 19 | | 14,316 19 | 1,000 00 8,375 00 | \$2 35 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| 39,155 12 | | | 39,155 12 | | 216 38 | 16 17 18 |
| | 681 37 | | 681 37 | 199 48 | | 20 21 22 |
| \$44,111 21 14,703 73 | \$59,146 75 11,829 35 | \$182 16 182 16 | \$155,288 78 17,254 30 | \$32.708.15 | | 22 |
| v | | | | | | and the same of th |
| \$8,793 00 | | | \$8,793 00 | 2,430 00 800 00 | | 1 2 3 |
| | | | 14,032 52 | 7,520 00 | | (|
| | | | 20,150 00 | 400 00 | | 10 |
| | | | | 1,515 00 | | 1: |
| \$8,793 00 8,793 00 | | | \$42,975 52 14,325 14 | \$20,263 69 2,894 81 | | |

Improvements — Construction of Highways

County highways:

Under this heading are included expenditures for highways constructed at the joint expense of the State and the county, or the State, county and town. The share of the county or the share of the county and town is paid by the county treasurer upon draft of the Department of Highways.

Rights of way:

The Highway Law requires the county to purchase the rights of way for State highways as well as county highways. The expenditures shown are the amount paid during this fiscal year for such rights of way.

County roads:

The expenditures here shown are for the construction of roads at the sole expense of the county or of the county and the town within which the highway is situated, under section 108 of the Highway Law.

Other roads or bridges:

This item includes expenditures under special acts for the purchase or construction of bridges and special county roads.

State sinking fund:

The expenditures included under this item are for payments into the State treasury on account of roads constructed under chapter 469 of the Laws of 1906, from the \$50,000,000 State bonds issued thereunder. The amounts are included as a cost of construction, although the roads were constructed some years ago, for the reason that the county under the statute is practically paying for these roads by installments. The amounts here shown are the installments for the current year.

These amounts include the amount paid to the State for application to the sinking fund for the retirement of these bonds and the amount paid to the State to be applied to the payment of interest on bonds issued for the construction of roads within the county.

Interest on highway indebtedness:

The procedure in the application of the moneys derived from the sale of bonds or loans for purposes of highway construction is described in Tables 11 and 13. All interest paid on such indebtedness is here entered.

Table 29 —

Construction

| | | | Constru | UCTION | , |
|---|---|--|---|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Total | County highways | Rights of way | County roads | Other roads or bridges |
| Class I Class II Class III Class IV Class IV | \$726,430 76 617,361 69 849,483 35 558,500 26 188,376 24 | \$150,173 2 232,506 20 474,970 98 301,364 45 79,433 14 | \$56,043 70 7,131 40 48,103 62 48,205 15 54,070 53 | 137,419 67 114,470 84 | \$371,344 09 191,258 93 |
| State | \$2,940,152 30 | \$1,238,448 02 | \$213,554 40 | \$398,357 82 | \$562,603 02 |
| COUNTY | | • | • | • | CLASS |
| 1. Erie | \$126,287 29 109,651 37 490,492 10 | \$74,708 12 43,694 09 31,771 04 | \$1,426 00 | \$64,531 28 | 1 \$371,344 09 |
| TotalsAverages | \$726,430 76 242,143 58 | \$150,173 25 50,057 75 | \$56,043 70 28,021 85 | | \$371,344 09 371,344 09 |
| | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga | \$217,604 11 118,382 52 56,803 94 224,571 12 | \$80,184 44 27,308 01 51,849 36 73,164 39 | | | 2 \$61,902 26 3 129,356 67 |
| TotalsAverages | \$617,361 69 154,345 42 | \$232,506 20 58,126 55 | \$7,131 40 2,377 13 | \$137,419 67 137,419 67 | \$191,258 93 95,629 46 |
| | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Orange 2. Chautauqua. 3. Suffolk. 4. Niagara. 5. Ulster. | \$61,864 05 39,342 80 11,680 00 165,091 95 38,965 02 | \$26,181 01 34,923 58 6,600 00 94,772 12 26,383 44 | 1,743 97 | \$214 22 68,575 86 | |
| 6. St. Lawrence | 103,202 49 11,510 03 32,079 79 90,535 80 41,367 29 | 87,317 65 2,109 86 16,000 00 2,024 87 35,961 01 | 723 69 819 02 12,227 18 | | |
| 11. Jefferson. 12. Broome. 13. Oswego. 14. Cayuga. 15. Cattaraugus. | $\begin{array}{c} 32,705 \ 68 \\ 7,011 \ 06 \\ 119,773 \ 78 \\ 88,786 \ 75 \\ 5,566 \ 86 \end{array}$ | 6,786 06 90,219 34 44,364 52 1,327 52 | $\substack{1,167\ 00\\225\ 00\\13,020\ 48\\730\ 00\\1,082\ 80}$ | | |
| Totals | \$849,483 35 56,632 22 | \$474,970 98 33,926 50 | \$48,103 62 4,008 63 | \$114,470 84 28,617 71 | |

 $^{^1}$ County bridges, \$20,344.09; Bronx Parkway, \$351,000. 2 Cohoes-Lansingburgh toll bridge.

OF HIGHWAYS

| | State | INTEREST ON | HIGHWAY IN | NDEBTEDNESS | Expenses of |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| Total | sinking fund and interest | Bonds | Loans | Total | issue of highway bonds |
| \$642,092 32 568,316 20 637,545 44 421,480 63 143,528 67 | \$58,708 98 29,211 06 20,917 07 | \$83,789 80 47,095 00 146,715 93 100,720 73 19,853 72 | \$301 10 1,543 18 6,383 00 7,020 39 3,902 03 | 48,638 18 153,098 93 | \$247 54 407 31 130 00 67 45 174 75 |
| \$2,412,963 26 | \$108,837 11 | \$398,175 18 | \$19,149 70 | \$417,324 88 | \$1,027 05 State |
| ī | 1 | | | 1 | 1 |
| \$74,708 12; | | \$51,579 17 | | \$51,579 17 | 1 |
| 109,651 37 457,732 83 | | 32,210 63 | \$301 10 | 32,511 73 | \$247 54 |
| \$642,092 32 214,030 77 | | \$83,789 80 41,894 90 | | | \$247 54 247 54 |
| II | . ' | | • | , | , |
| \$217,604 11 90,886 27 54,957 76 204,868 06 | | \$27,326 25 500 00 19,268 75 | \$1,346 18 197 00 | \$27,326 25 1,846 18 19,465 75 | \$170 00 2 237 31 4 |
| \$568,316 20 142,079 05 | | \$47,095 00 15,698 33 | \$1,543 18 771 59 | \$48,638 18 12,159 54 | \$407 31 203 65 |
| ш | , , | | | | , |
| \$33,958 66 35,137 80 6,600 00 165,091 95 | | \$25,375 00 4,205 00 4,950 00 | | 4,205 00 4,950 00 | \$130 00 3 4 |
| 33,202 52 | | 5,762 50 | | 5,762 50 | |
| 88,041 34 2,928 88 28,227 18 2,024 87 39,717 29 | \$8,886 15 8,581 15 | 6,275 00 488,510 93 1,650 00 | 3,852 61 | 3,852 61 88,510 93 1,650 00 | 6 7 8 9 |
| 1,167 00 7,011 06 | 26,438 68 | 5,100 00 | | 5,100 00 | 11 12 |
| 103,239 82 88,786 75 2,410 32 | 11,646 46 3,156 54 | 4,887 50 | | 4,887 50 | 12 13 14 15 |
| \$637,545 44 42,503 03 | \$58,708 98 11,741 79 | \$146,715 93 16,301 77 | \$6,383 00 3,196 50 | | \$130 00 130 00 |

Stillwater, 12th street, Union and Mechanicville bridges.
 Includes interest on Nassau county's share of Queens county bonds.

Table 29 —

Construction of

| | | | Constru | CTION | |
|---|--|--|---|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| COUNTY | Total | County highways | Rights of way | County roads | Other roads or bridges |
| | | 1 | | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga | \$20,576 23 29,656 86 35,864 26 27,477 80 6,927 25 | \$9,717 70 20,540 72 14,560 69 18,552 27 | \$1,690 53 456 50 5,240 94 3,393 98 | \$60 37 | |
| 6. Wayne | 14,173 30 9,095 95 26,746 37 16,754 39 9,520 43 | 12,578 05 25,747 77 9,216 14 7,210 16 | 402 00 355 00 2,676 00 400 00 | | |
| 11. Franklin. 12. Delaware. 13. Fulton. 14. Columbia. 15. Allegany. | 103,840 62 16,637 58 46,939 63 38,362 90 22,001 21 | 34,241 78 5,070 63 12,300 00 30,422 22 20,100 00 | $\begin{array}{c} 155 & 00 \\ 11,566 & 95 \\ 70 & 00 \\ 4,222 & 79 \\ 597 & 05 \end{array}$ | 41,742 03 30,019 63 89 00 | |
| 16. Madison 17. Livingston 18. Genesee 19. Chenango 20. Tompkins | 29,568 34 13,169 30 11,807 44 35,694 61 | 29,210 72 5,776 82 23,075 00 | 1,021 50 300 00 15 00 | | |
| 21. Warren | 29,041 32 14,644 37 | 11,200 00 11,843 78 | 12,841 32 2,800 59 | | |
| Totals Averages | \$558,500 26 26,595 25 | \$301,364 45 15,859 70 | \$48,205 15 2,780 06 | \$71,911 03 17,977 75 | |
| 1. Sullivan | \$8,404 49 37,744 63 10,887 73 16,295 00 29,491 46 | \$30,900 00 4,780 23 13,100 00 10,505 42 | | | |
| 6. Seneca. 7. Tioga. 8. Lewis. 9. Schoharie. 10. Yates. | 9,774 01 231 60 8,715 68 2,941 11 | 3,400 00 5,252 77 | 206 60 | \$25 00 | |
| 11. Putnam | 31,092 23 10,988 84 21,809 46 | 1,943 32 6,751 40 2,800 00 | | | |
| TotalsAverages | \$188,376 24 15,698 02 | \$79,433 14 8,825 90 | | | |

Highways — concluded

| | | State | Interest of | HIGHWAY IN | DEBTEDNESS | Expenses | |
|-------|---|---|---|------------------------|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Total | sinking fund and interest | Bonds | Loans | Total | issue of highway bonds | |
| v | | | [| | | [| l- |
| ••• | \$11,408 23 20,997 22 19,862 00 21,946 25 | | \$8,250 00 8,555 00 15,060 00 5,531 55 6,910 30 | 104 64 942 36 | \$9,168 00 8,659 64 16,002 36 5,531 55 6,910 30 | \$16 95 | |
| | 12,578 05 402 00 26,102 77 11,892 14 7,610 16 | \$1,595 25 3,648 95 1,971 50 | 5,045 00 643 60 2,400 00 | 490 75 | 5,045 00 643 60 2,890 75 1,910 27 | | 1 |
| | 76,138 81 16,637 58 42,389 63 34,734 01 20,697 05 | | 4.550 00 | 1,248 89 | 24,485 00 4,550 00 3,628 89 455 37 | | 1 1 1 1 1 |
| •• | 29,210 72 1,021 50 6,076 82 23,090 00 | 357 62 10,248 58 4,780 51 2,543 05 | 1.891 62 | 950 11 | 1,891 62 950 11 10,018 66 | 7 60 | 1 1 1 1 2 |
| | 24,041 32 14,644 37 | 2,343 03 | 5,000 00 | 1 | 5,000 00 | 42 50 | 2 2 |
| _ | \$421,480 63 21,074 03 | \$29,211 06 3,245 67 | \$100,720 73 7,194 33 | \$7,020 39 877 54 | \$107,741 12 6,337 71 | \$67 45 22 48 | |
| V | \$7,607 21 | | U \$707.99 | 1 | 1 2707 291 | 11 1 | F |
| | 34,186 00 6,425 23 13,100 00 20,664 01 | \$3,076 44 | 3,195 00 | | 482 19 4,462 50 3,195 00 3,540 00 | | |
| | $\begin{array}{r} 3,400 \ 00 \\ 231 \ 60 \\ 5,252 \ 77 \\ 2,941 \ 11 \end{array}$ | 2,334 96 | 2,312 19 1,101 15 | | | \$26 80 | 1 |
| ••• | 21,882 84 6,998 40 20,839 50 | 5,186 44 | 570 60 | | 3,875 00 3,990 44 | 147 95 | |
| | \$143,528 67 11,960 72 | \$20,917 07 3,486 17 | \$19,853 72 2,481 71 | \$3,902 03 1,951 01 | | | |



IMPROVEMENTS — INCOME IN REDUCTION OF COST

In view of the fact that the cost of construction of highways is by the Tax Law made a charge upon property purchased with pension money, while such property is exempt from taxation for general purposes, it has seemed best to separate the income from highway sources or from the income from general sources. This table shows the amount received from each source.

Table 30 —

Income in Reduction of Cost of Improvements — County Struction

| | | SA | LE OF PROPER | TY |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------|---|
| | Total | General property | Highway property | Total |
| Class I | \$1,562 45 9,647 45 14,301 87 34,894 74 4,332 26 | \$311 00 418 90 1,172 62 799 83 262 13 | \$250 45 56 25 11,159 19 | \$561 45 418 90 1,228 87 11,959 02 262 13 |
| State | \$64,738 77 | \$2,964 48 | \$11,465 89 | \$14,430 37 |
| COUNTY | | 9999 99 | | CLASS |
| 1. Erie | \$300 00 | \$300 00 | | \$300 00 |
| 3. Westchester | 1,262 45 | 11 00 | \$250 45 | 261 45 |
| Totals | \$1,562 45 781 22 | \$311 00 155 50 | \$250 45 250 45 | \$561 45 280 72 |
| 1.0 | | 1 | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga 2. Albany 3. Oneida 4. Rensselaer | \$5,647 00 412 60 3,587 85 | \$412 60 6 30 | | \$412 60 6 30 |
| Totals | \$9,647 45 3,215 82 | \$418 90 209 45 | | \$418 90 209 45 |
| 1 Overes | 1 1 | 1 | | CLASS |
| 1. Orange 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara 5. Ulster. | \$1,442 50 2 00 216 00 | \$210 00 2 00 216 00 | | \$210 00 2 00 216 00 |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady 8. Dutchess 9. Nassau 10. Steuben | 507 78 3,787 25 5 00 4,427 50 50 00 | 223 48 | \$6 25 50 00 | 223 48 6 25 5 00 |
| 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus | 556 53 264 61 2,837 70 175 00 30 00 | 56 53 50 91 203 70 175 00 30 00 | | 56 53 50 91 203 70 175 00 30 00 |
| Totals | \$14,301 87 1,100 14 | \$1,172 62 117 26 | \$56 25 28 12 | \$1,228 87 102 40 |

PROPERTY SOLD; INSURANCE RECOVERIES; PREMIUMS ON CON-Bonds

| Inst | TRANCE RECO | VERED | PREMIUMS | ON CONSTRUCTI | ON BONDS | |
|---|---------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| General property | Highway property | Total | Building bonds | Highway bonds | Total | |
| \$1,740 00 927 00 16,414 82 1,130 63 | | \$1,740 00 927 00 16,414 82 1,130 63 | \$5,647 00 11,475 00 4,205 90 2,741 00 | \$1,001 00 1,841 55 671 00 2,315 00 198 50 | \$1,001 00 7,488 55 12,146 00 6,520 90 2,939 50 | I II III IV V |
| \$20,212 45 | | \$20,212 45 | \$24,068 90 | \$6,027 05 | \$30,095 95 | State |
| | | | | | | 1 |
| I | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | $\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$ |
| | | | | \$1,001 00 | \$1,001 00 | 3 |
| | | | | \$1,001 00 1,001 00 | \$1,001 00 1,001 00 | |
| *** | | | | | | (|
| II | , | | 1 | 1 | | 1 1 |
| | | | \$5,647 00 | | \$5,647 00 | 1 2 3 4 |
| \$1,740 00 | | \$1,740 00 | | \$1,841 55 | 1,841 55 | 4 |
| \$1,740 00 1,740 00 | | \$1,740 00 1,740 00 | \$5,647 00 5,647 00 | | \$7,488 55 3,744 27 | |
| III | | | | | | - The second sec |
| | | | | | | 1 2 |
| | | | \$1,232 50 | | \$1,232 50 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| | | | | | | 5 |
| \$213 30 | | \$213 30 | 3,781 00 | \$71 00 | $\begin{array}{c} 71 & 00 \\ 3,781 & 00 \end{array}$ | 6 7 8 9 |
| | | | 4,427 50 | | 4,427 50 | 8 |
| | | | | | | 10 |
| 500 00 213 70 | | 500 00 213 70 | 2,034 00 | 600 00 | 2,634 00 | 11 12 13 |
| | | | 2,004 00 | | 2,004 00 | 14 |
| | | | | | | 15 |
| \$927 00 309 00 | | \$927 00 309 00 | \$11,475 00 2,868 75 | \$671 00 335 50 | \$12,146 00 2,429 20 | |
| | | | | | | |

Table 30 -

Income in Reduction of Cost of Improvements — County Struction

| | .1 | 1 | | |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | SA | LE OF PROPER | RTY |
| COUNTY | Total | General property | Highway property | Total |
| | | 1 | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga | \$3,704 00 | | [| |
| 2. Mongomery. 3. Herkimer. 4. Chemung. 5. Ontario. | 25 00 350 00 8 10 | \$300 00 8 10 | \$25 00 | \$25 00 300 00 8 10 |
| 6. Wayne | 52 64 93 50 205 75 | 52 64 57 50 205 75 | | 52 64 57 50 205 75 |
| 9. Otsego | 2,425 00 | | | |
| 11. Franklin | 10,434 19 13 50 278 55 | 13 50 46 05 | 10,434 19 | 10,434 19 13 50 46 05 |
| 15. Allegany | | | | |
| 16. Madison | 16,340 14 6 00 4 55 | 105 74 6 00 4 55 | | 105 74 6 00 4 55 |
| 19. Chenango | 953 82 | | 700 00 | 700 00 |
| 21. Warren | | | | |
| 22, Cortland | | | | |
| TotalsAverages | \$34,894 74 2,326 31 | \$799 83 79 98 | \$11,159 19 3,719 73 | \$11,959 02 843 00 |
| · | , | | , | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan 2. Essex 3. Orleans 4. Wyoming. | \$1,130 63 88 75 75 | | | \$88 75 75 |
| 5. Greene | | | | |
| 6. Seneca. 7. Tioga 8. Lewis. | 2,040 00 3 03 138 10 | | | 3 03 19 60 |
| 9. Schoharie | 701 00 | | | |
| 11. Putnam 12. Schuyler 13. Hamilton | 150 00 80 00 | 150 00 | | 150 00 |
| Totals | \$4,332 26 481 36 | \$262 13 65 53 | | \$262 13 65 53 |
| | | 1 | | |

PROPERTY SOLD; INSURANCE RECOVERIES; PREMIUMS ON CON-Bonds — concluded

| Insu | RANCE RECOVE | RED | PREMIUMS (| ON CONSTRUCTIO | n Bonds |
|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| General property | Highway property | Total | Building bonds | Highway bonds | Total |
| 7 | | | | | |
| | | | | \$2,315 00 | \$3,704 00 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | 50 00 | | 50 00 |
| | | | | | |
| \$36 00 | | \$36 00 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2,425 00 | | 2,425 00 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | 232 50 | | 232 50 |
| | | | 232 50 | | 202 90 |
| | | | | | |
| 13,700 00 | | 13,700 00 | 2,534 40 | | 2,534 00 |
| | | | | | |
| | | 253 82 | | | |
| 253 82 | | 253 82 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| \$16,414 8 | 2 | \$16,414 82 4,103 70 | \$4,205 90 | \$2,315 00 2,315 00 | |
| 4,103 70 | | 4,103 70 | 1,051 47 | 2,313 00 | 1,000 22 |
| 7 | • | | | | |
| | 3 | \$1,130 63 | 1 | .1 | 1 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | \$2,040 00 | | \$2,040 00 |
| | | | | \$118 50 | 118 50 |
| | | | 701 00 |) | 701 00 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | 80 00 | 80 00 |
| | | | | 80 00 | 80 00 |
| | - | | | | 22.002.73 |
| \$1,130 6 | | \$1,130 63 1,130 63 | \$2,741 00 1,370 50 | \$198 50 99 25 | |
| 1,130 6 | 0 | 1,100 00 | 1,310 30 | 35 20 | 134 01 |



RESULTS OF COUNTY FINANCING, 1914 — INCREASE OR REDUCTION OF COUNTY DEBT

This table shows the gross increase or reduction of the county debt during the fiscal year 1914, and the total amount of indebtedness created and paid during the same period with a distribution to the various forms in which such indebtedness exists.

Table 31 — The

RESULTS OF COUNTY FINANCING, 1914 —

| Class I Class II. Class III. Class III. Class IV. Class V. State. Excesses. COUNTY 1. Erie. 2. Monroe. 3. Westchester. Totals. Averages. 1. Onondaga. 2. Albany. 3. Oneida. 3. Class IV. Class V. | Bonds retired | Temporary loans paid | Other indebtedness | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| Class II | | | | |
| Class II | | | paid | Total |
| COUNTY 1. Erie | \$238,713 77 248,750 00 213,729 52 219,779 84 75,938 48 | \$1,055,781 14 790,537 56 771,504 95 458,296 37 129,097 24 | \$93,272 36 575 23 4,442 88 167 16 | \$1,387,767 27 1,039,287 56 985,809 70 682,519 09 205,202 88 |
| 1. Erie | \$996,911 61 | \$3,205,217 26 | \$98,457 63 69,323 73 | \$4,300,586 50 |
| 1. Erie | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga | \$58,500 00 50,000 00 130,213 77 | \$32,856 14 1,022,925 00 | \$85,325 25 2,372 94 5,574 17 | \$176,681 39 52,372 94 1,158,712 94 |
| 1. Onondaga | \$238,713 77 | \$1,055,781 14 | \$93,272 36 | \$1,387,767 27 |
| 2. Albany | 79,571 26 | 527,890 57 | 31,090 78 | 462,589 09 |
| 4. Rensselaer | \$25,000 00 74,250 00 82,000 00 67,500 00 \$248,750 00 | \$343,750 71 112,500 00 99,282 97 235,003 88 \$790,537 56 | | CLASS \$368,750 71 186,750 00 181,282 97 302,503 88 \$1,039,287 56 |
| Averages | 62,187 50 | 197,634 39 | | 259,821 89 |
| | | ==== | | CLASS \$215,424 00 |
| 1. Orange. 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk. 4. Niagara 5. Ulster. | \$12,000 00 33,000 00 20,000 00 5,020 00 37,000 00 | \$203,424 00 72,000 00 3,582 15 9,000 00 | \$25 00 | 33,000 00 92,025 00 8,602 15 46,000 00 |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess. 9. Nassau. 10. Steuben. | 10,000 00 24,000 00 8,500 00 4,209 52 15,000 00 | 147,446 16 102,355 09 69,528 49 | 137 85 217 61 194 77 | 10,137 85 171,663 77 110,855 09 73,738 01 15,194 77 |
| 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus | 15,000 00 27,000 00 3,000 00 | 13,000 00 37,500 00 31,562 34 37,106 72 45,000 00 | | 28,000 00 37,500 00 58,562 34 37,106 72 48,000 00 |
| Totals | \$213,729 52 | \$771,504 95 | \$575 23 | \$985,809 70 |
| Averages | 16,440 73 | 64,208 74 | 143 81 | 65,720 65 |
| 5. Ulster 6. St. Lawrence 7. Schenectady 8. Dutchess 9. Nassau 10. Steuben 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus | 37,000 00 10,000 00 24,000 00 8,500 00 4,209 52 15,000 00 | 147,446 16 102,355 09 69,528 49 13,000 00 37,500 00 31,562 34 37,106 72 | 217 61 194 77 | 46,000 10,137 171,663 110,855 73,738 15,194 28,000 37,500 58,562 37,106 |

¹ From Table 33. ² From Table 32.

Board of Supervisors

INCREASE OR REDUCTION OF COUNTY DEBT

| | Indebtedness | INCURRED 2 | | | | of Year's | |
|--|---|--|---|----------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|
| Bonds issued | Temporary loans negotiated | Other indebted- ness incurred | Total | | Net reduction | Net increase | |
| \$635,352 06 473,750 00 622,000 00 182,495 00 159,165 34 | \$1,089,144 80 957,840 84 999,813 06 454,984 69 217,333 42 | 749 75 | \$1,752,279 (1,431,590 8 1,622,562 8 638,032 (376,498 7 | 84 81 00 | \$44,487 09 | \$364,511 73 392,303 28 636,753 11 171,295 88 | II III IV V |
| \$2,072,762 40 1,075,850 79 | \$3,719,116 81 513,899 55 | | \$5,820,963 | 41 | \$44,487 09 | \$1,564,864 00 1,520,376 91 | State |
| I | | | | | | | |
| \$635,352 06 | \$34,111 97 1,055,032 83 | \$26,613 00 1,169 14 | \$60,724 9 1,169 1 1,690,384 8 | 14 | \$115,956 42 51,203 80 | \$531,671 95 | $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| \$635,352 06 | \$1,089,144 80 | \$27,782 14 | \$1,752,279 | 00 | 364,511 73 | | |
| 635,352 06 | 544,572 40 | 13,891 07 | 584,093 (| 02 | \$531,671 95 | \$531,671 95 | |
| \$389,750 00 \$4,000 00 \$473,750 00 236,875 00 | \$350,000 00 173,109 13 78,649 37 356,082 34 \$957,840 84 239,460 21 | | \$350,000 (562,859 1 78,649 2 440,082 3 \$1,431,590 8 357,897 7 | 13 37 34 84 | \$18,750 71 102,633 60 | \$376,109 13 137,578 46 \$513,687 59 | 1 2 3 4 |
| \$16,000 00 50,000 00 | \$192,497 00 5,000 00 44,625 00 53,500 15 | | \$192,497 0 21,000 0 95,374 7 53,500 1 | 100 | \$22,927 00 12,000 00 | \$3,349 75 44,898 00 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 91,000 00 130,000 00 275,000 00 | 180,030 00 103,048 17 221,865 06 | | 91,000 (310,030 (103,048 1 496,865 (| 00 17 | 7,806 92 15,194 77 | 80,862 15 138,366 23 423,127 05 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| 60,000 00 | 10,000 00 39,247 68 105,000 00 45,000 00 | | 10,000 0 99,247 6 105,000 0 45,000 0 | 68 | 28,000 00 27,500 00 3,000 00 | 40,685 34 67,893 28 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| \$622,000 00 | \$999,813 06 | \$749 75 | \$1,622,562 8 | | 636,753 11 | | |
| 103,666 67 | 90,892 10 | 749 75 | 135,213 5 | 57 | \$799,181 80 | \$799,181 80 | |

³ See Table 20.

Table 31 — The RESULTS OF COUNTY FINANCING, 1914 —

| | RESULTS | OF COUNT | Y FINANCII | NG, 1914 — |
|---|---|--|-------------------------------|--|
| | | Indebtedness 1 | PAID 1 | |
| COUNTY | Bonds retired | Temporary loans paid | Other indebtedness paid | Total |
| | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga | \$20,000 00 35,508 65 12,000 00 10,000 00 30,000 00 | \$31,101 87 5,995 40 36,793 23 24,850 00 47,437 87 | | \$51,101 87 41,504 05 48,793 23 34,850 00 77,437 87 |
| 6. Wayne | 11,000 00 5,000 00 17,000 00 | 29,000 00 31,279 71 39,345 75 46,000 00 | \$172 98 | 40,000 00 31,279 71 44,518 73 63,000 00 |
| 11. Franklin | 10,000 00 27,000 00 | 25,848 20 28,354 70 13,700 00 15,000 00 | 3,449 01 | 29,297 21 28,354 70 10,000 00 40,700 00 15,000 00 |
| 16. Madison 17. Livingston 18. Genesee. 19. Chenango 20. Tompkins | 10,000 00 10,271 19 12,000 00 | 10,000 00 20,726 48 5,300 00 | 451 20 25 00 | 20,000 00 10,722 39 20,726 48 17,325 00 |
| 21. Warren | 10,000 00 | $20,000\ 00$ $27,563\ 16$ | 344 69 | 30,000 00 27,907 85 |
| Totals | \$219,779 84 | \$458,296 37 | \$4,442 88 | \$682,519 09 |
| Averages | 15,698 56 | 25,460 91 | 888 58 | 34,125 95 |
| | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan | \$6,000 00 2,000 00 27,000 00 4,000 00 10,000 00 | \$21,240 22 53,841 21 | | \$27,240 22 2,000 00 80,841 21 4,000 00 10,000 00 |
| 6. Seneca | 8,686 94 3,000 00 5,251 54 | 18,365 81 9,000 00 | \$4 60 | 8,686 94 21,370 41 5,251 54 9,600 00 |
| 11. Putnam | 4,000 00 | 26,650 00 | 162 56 | $\begin{array}{c} 4,000 \ 00 \\ 26,650 \ 00 \\ 6,162 \ 56 \end{array}$ |
| Totals | \$75,938 48 | \$129,097 24 | \$167 16 | \$205,202 88 |
| Averages | 7,593 84 | 25,819 44 | 83 58 | 13,680 19 |

¹ From Table 33. ² From Table 32.

Board of Supervisors

INCREASE OR REDUCTION OF COUNTY DEBT — concluded

| | Indebtedness | Incurred 2 | | | of Year's | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| Bonds issued | Temporary loans negotiated | Other indebted- ness incurred | Total | Net reduction | Net increase | |
| [V | | 1 | | | | |
| \$80,000 00 | \$38,571 00 24,023 38 40,682 39 24,850 00 44,700 00 | | \$118,571 00 24,023 38 40,682 39 37,345 00 44,700 00 | \$17,480 67 8,110 84 32,737 87 | \$67,469 13 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| | 29,000 00 30,747 77 20,161 11 20.000 00 | | 29,000 00 30,747 77 20,161 11 20,000 00 | 11,000 00 531 94 24,357 62 43,000 00 | | 10 |
| 15,000 00 | $\begin{array}{c} 49,550 & 00 \\ 22,000 & 00 \\ 3,000 & 00 \\ 30,422 & 22 \\ 29,500 & 00 \end{array}$ | | 49,550 00 22,000 00 18,000 00 30,422 22 29,500 00 | 6,354 70 | 8,000 00 | 11 12 13 14 14 |
| 75,000 00 | 5,000 00 5,776 82 2,300 00 | | 80,000 00 5,776 82 2,300 00 | 10,722 39 14,949 66 15,025 00 | 60,000 00 | 10 12 13 19 20 |
| | 20,000 00 14,700 00 | \$552 31 | 20,000 00 15,252 31 | 10,000 00 12,655 54 | | 2: |
| \$ 182,495 00 | \$454,984 69 | \$552 31 | \$638,032 00 | | 44,487 09 | |
| 45,623 75 | 23,946 56 | 552 31 | 33,580 63 | \$217,204 01 | \$217,204 01 | |
| | | | 1 | 11 | | 1 |
| | \$35,240 22 16,674 25 53,729 33 10,000 00 | | \$35,240 22 16,674 25 53,729 33 10,000 00 | \$27,111 88 | 14,674 25 | 3 4 5 |
| \$102,000 00 1,805 34 30,000 00 | 5,000 00 | | 102,000 00 5,000 00 1,805 34 30,000 00 | $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | 3 |
| 25,360 00 | 6,689 62 90,000 00 | | 32,049 62 90,000 00 | 4,000 00 | 5,399 62 83,837 44 | 11 |
| \$ 159,165 34 | \$217,333 42 | | \$376,498 76 | 171,295 88 | | |
| 39,791 33 | 31,047 63 | | 37,649 87 | \$232,224 37 | \$232,224 37 | |

See Table 20.



COUNTY OBLIGATIONS INCURRED

Purpose of table:

Tables 32 and 33 are designed to show the nature of the bonds and temporary loans issued and current expenses incurred and the amounts paid on outstanding county indebtedness under each of these classes.

Comments:

For comments on this table, see Table 33.

Table 32 — Bonds, Temporary Loans and

| | | | Bonds | Issued | |
|--------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | Total | Refunding and revenue bonds | Building bonds | Highway bonds | Total |
| Class I | \$1,752,279 00 1,431,590 84 1,622,562 81 638,032 00 376,498 76 | \$82,772 06 | \$300,000 00 486,000 00 132,495 00 132,000 00 | $\begin{bmatrix} 173,750 & 00 \\ 136,000 & 00 \\ 50,000 & 00 \end{bmatrix}$ | \$635,352 06 473,750 00 622,000 00 182,495 00 159,165 34 |
| State | \$5,820,963 41 | \$82,772 06 | \$1,050,495 00 | \$939,495 34 | \$2,072,762 40 |
| COUNTY | 1 | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Erie | \$60,724 97 1,169 14 1,690,384 89 | \$82,772 06 | | \$552,580 00 | \$635,352 06 |
| Totals Averages | \$1,752,279 00 584,093 00 | \$82,772 06 82,772 06 | | \$552,580 00 552,580 00 | \$635,352 06 635,352 06 |
| J | | | | , | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga | \$350,000 00 562,859 13 78,649 37 440,082 34 | | \$300,000 00 | \$89,750 00 84,000 00 | \$389,750 00 84,000 00 |
| Totals | \$1,431,590 84 357,897 71 | | \$300,000 00 300,000 00 | \$173,750 00 86,875 00 | \$473,750 00 236,775 00 |
| | | | , | • | CLASS |
| 1. Orange | \$192,497 00 21,000 00 95,374 75 53,500 15 | | | \$16,000 00 | \$16,000 00 50,000 00 |
| 6. St. Lawrence | 91,000 00 310,030 00 103,048 17 496,865 06 | | 31,000 00 130,000 00 275,000 00 |) | 130,000 00 275,000 00 |
| 10. Steuben | 10,000 00 99,247 68 | | | 60,000 00 | 60,000 00 |
| Totals | \$1,622,562 81 135,213 57 | | \$486,000 00 121,500 00 | \$136,000 00 45,333 33 | \$622,000 00 103,666 67 |

Obligations Incurred

UNPAID CURRENT EXPENSES

| TEMPORA | RY LOANS NE | GOTIATED | CURRE | NT EXPENSES | UNPAID | |
|--|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Tax loans | Highway loans | Total | Warrants and certificates outstanding | Other indebtedness reported | Total | |
| \$1,057,735 80 746,054 36 771,683 03 210,328 03 192,729 33 | \$31,409 00 211,786 48 228,130 03 244,656 66 24,604 09 | \$1,089,144 80 957,840 84 999,813 06 454,984 69 217,333 42 | \$27,782 14 749 75 552 31 | | \$27,782 14 | III III IV V |
| \$2,978,530 55 =================================== | \$740,586 26 | \$3,719,116 81 | \$29,084 20 | | \$29,084 20 | State |
| \$34,111 97 1,023,623 83 \$1,057,735 80 528,867 90 | \$31,409 00 \$31,409 00 31,409 00 | | \$26,613 00 1,169 14 | | \$26,613 00 1,169 14 \$27,782 14 13,891 07 | |
| II | | | | | | |
| \$350,000 00 167,959 00 26,800 00 201,295 36 | \$5,150 13 51,849 37 154,786 98 | \$350,000 00 173,109 13 78,649 37 356,082 34 | | | | |
| \$746,054 36 186,513 59 | \$211,786 48 70,595 49 | \$957,840 84 239,460 21 | | | | |
| III | | | | | | |
| \$163,424 00 35,000 00 53,500 15 | 5,000 00 9,625 00 | \$192,497 00 5,000 00 44,625 00 53,500 15 | \$749 75 | | \$749 75 | |
| 180,030 00 22,801 48 213,365 06 | | 180,030 00 103,048 17 221,865 06 | | | | 1 |
| 5,000 00 23,562 34 30,000 00 45,000 00 | 5,000 00 15,685 34 75,000 00 | 10,000 00 39,247 68 105,000 00 45,000 00 | | | | 1 1 1 1 1 |
| | | | \$749 75 | . 1 | \$749 75 | 1 |

Table 32 -

BONDS, TEMPORARY LOANS AND

| | | | Bonds | Issued | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Total | Refunding and revenue bonds | Building bonds | Highway bonds | Total |
| 1. Saratoga | \$118,571 00 | | | \$50,000 00 | CLASS \$80,000 00 |
| 2. Montgomery 3. Herkimer 4. Chemung 5. Ontario | 24,023 38 40,682 39 37,345 00 44,700 00 | | 12,495 00 | | 12,495 00 |
| 6. Wayne | 29,000 00 30,747 77 20,161 11 20,000 00 | | | | |
| 11, Franklin | 49,550 00 22,000 00 18,000 00 30,422 22 29,500 00 | | 15,000 00 | | 15,000 00 |
| 16. Madison | 5,776 82 2,300 00 | | 75,000 00 | | 75,000 00 |
| 21. Warren | $\begin{array}{c} 20,000 & 00 \\ 15,252 & 31 \end{array}$ | | | | |
| Totals Averages | \$638,032 00 33,528 00 | | \$132,495 00 33,123 75 | \$50,000 00 50,000 00 | \$182,495 00 45,623 75 |
| 1. Sullivan | \$35,240 22 16,674 25 53,729 33 10,000 00 | | | | |
| 6. Seneca | 102,000 00 5,000 00 1,805 34 30,000 00 | | | \$1,805 34 | \$102,000 00 1,805 34 30,000 00 |
| 11. Putnam 12. Schuyler 13. Hamilton | 32,049 62 90,000 00 | | | 25,360 00 | 25,360 00 |
| Totals Averages | \$376,498 76 37,649 87 | | \$132,000 00 66,000 00 | \$27,165 34 13,582 67 | \$159,165 34 39,791 33 |

¹ For tuberculosis hospital, maintenance of institution.

Obligations Incurred

Unpaid Current Expenses — concluded

| TEMPORA | RY LOANS NE | GOTIATED | Curre | NT EXPENSES | UNPAID | |
|---|-------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|----|
| Tax loans | Highway loans | Total | Warrants and certificates outstanding | Other indebtedness reported | Total | |
| IV | | | | | | |
| \$10,101 87 3,482 66 33,882 39 44,700 00 | 24.850 00 | \$38,571 00 24,023 38 40,682 39 24,850 00 44,700 00 | | | | |
| 29,000 00 5,000 00 12,161 11 20,000 00 | 25,747 77 8,000 00 | 29,000 00 30,747 77 20,161 11 20,000 09 | | | | |
| 7,000 00 3,000 00 | | 49,550 00 22,000 00 3,000 00 30,422 22 29,500 00 | | | | |
| 5,000 00 | | 5,000 00 | 1 | | | |
| 12,300 00 | 5,776 82 | 5,776 82 2,300 00 | | | | |
| 20,000 00 14,700 00 | | 20,000 00 14,700 00 | \$552 31 | | \$552 31 | |
| \$210,328 03 13,145 50 | | \$454,984 69 23,946 56 | \$552 31 552 31 | | \$552 31 552 31 | |
| v | | | | | | .1 |
| \$34,000 00 53,729 33 10,000 00 | 16,674 25 | \$35,240 22 16,674 25 53,729 33 10,000 00 | | | | |
| 5,000 00 | | 5,000 00 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 90,000 00 | 6,689 62 | 6,689 62 90,000 00 | | | | |
| \$192,729 33 38,545 86 | \$24,604 09 8,201 36 | \$217,333 42 31,047 63 | | | | |

COUNTY OBLIGATIONS PAID

Refunding bonds:

There has been a practice in the past in many counties of the State, of issuing new bonds (refunding bonds) for the purpose of raising funds to pay the principal upon bonds as they mature. The statutes of the State permit this practice.

It will be noted from Table 32 that the only county reported as having issued such bonds during the last fiscal year was the county of Westchester; but it will be seen from this table (Table 33) that the counties paid \$292,971.94 on such bonds during the year. It is evident that there is a marked improvement in the financial policies of the counties in this respect.

Revenue bonds:

Revenue bonds have been classed with refunding bonds for the reason that they are of the same nature. They are issued for the purpose of supplying deficiencies caused by preceding tax levies. Generally such bonds have been issued for the purpose of paying temporary loans illegally made to supply deficiencies in tax levies, and often running back several years. Table 36 shows the amount of such bonds outstanding in 1914.

Expenses of prior years:

Few of the counties, it will be seen, report the expenses of prior years paid during the current. The failure to so report is due to defects in the county system of business procedure, which can be eliminated only as the county officers become better acquainted with the principles of municipal accounting.

| Comparison from Tables 32 and 33: Tables 32 and 33 show: Refunding and revenue bonds retired Refunding and revenue bonds issued. | | | |
|--|---|-----------|---------|
| Excess of payments | | \$210,199 | 88 |
| Building bonds issued | | | |
| Excess of amount issued | | 678,995 | 00 |
| Highway bonds issued | , | | |
| Excess of amount issued | | 625,460 | 67 |
| Temporary tax loans negotiated Temporary tax loans paid | | | |
| Excess of amount negotiated | | 222,566 | 97 |
| Highway loans negotiated | | | |
| Excess of amount negotiated | : | 291,332 | 58 — |
| Net increase in indebtedness bonds and notes | | | 34 |

Table 33 — Bonds, Temporary Loans,

| | | | Bonds R | ETIRED | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| | Total | Refunding and revenue bonds | Building bonds | Highway bonds | Total |
| Class I | \$1,387,767 27 1,039,287 56 985,809 70 682,519 09 205,202 88 | \$93,983 77 94,250 00 47,229 52 51,508 65 6,000 00 | \$115,000 00 \$2,000 00 97,500 00 46,000 00 31,000 00 | \$29,730 00 72,500 00 69,000 00 122,271 19 38,938 48 | \$238,713 77 248,750 00 213,729 52 219,779 84 75,938 48 |
| State | \$4,300,586 50 | \$292,971 94 | \$371,500 00 | \$332,439 67 | \$996,911 61 |
| COUNTY | 1 | | | 1 | CLASS |
| 1. Erie | \$176,681 39 52,372 94 1,158,712 94 | \$93,983 77 | \$55,000 00 50,000 00 10,000 00 | \$3,500 00 26,230 00 | \$58,500 00 50,000 00 130,213 77 |
| Totals | \$1,387,767 27 462,572 52 | \$93,983 77 93,983 77 | \$115,000 00 38,333 33 | \$29,730 00 14,865 00 | \$238,713 77 79,571 26 |
| | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga | \$368,750 71 186,750 00 181,282 97 302,503 88 | \$41,250 00 30,000 00 23,000 00 | \$25,000 00 32,000 00 25,000 00 | \$33,000 00 20,000 00 19,500 00 | \$25,000 00 74,250 00 82,000 00 67,500 00 |
| TotalsAverages | \$1,039,287 56 259,821 89 | \$94,250 00 31,416 66 | \$82,000 00 27,333 33 | \$72,500 00 24,166 66 | \$248,750 00 62,187 50 |
| 1. Orange | \$215,424 00 33,000 00 | | \$5,000 00 13,000 00 | \$7,000 00 20,000 00 | CLASS \$12,000 00 33,000 00 |
| 3. Suffolk | 92,025 00 8,602 15 46,000 00 | 1\$5,020 00 28,000 00 | 15,000 00 | 7,000 00 | 20,000 00 5,020 00 37,000 00 |
| 6. St. Lawrence 7. Schenectady 8. Dutchess 9. Nassau 10. Steuben | $\begin{array}{c} 10,137 & 85 \\ 171,663 & 77 \\ 110,855 & 09 \\ 73,738 & 01 \\ 15,194 & 77 \end{array}$ | 10,000 00 4,209 52 | 14,000 00 8,500 00 5,000 00 | 10,000 00 | $\begin{array}{c} 10,000 \ 00 \\ 24,000 \ 00 \\ 8,500 \ 00 \\ 4,209 \ 52 \\ 15,000 \ 00 \end{array}$ |
| 11. Jefferson | 28,000 00 37,500 00 58,562 34 37,106 72 48,000 00 | | 10,000 00 22,000 00 3,000 00 | 5,000 00 5,000 00 | 15,000 00 27,000 00 3,000 00 |
| Totals Averages | \$985,809 70 65,720 65 | \$47,229 52 11,807 38 | \$97,590 00 9,759 00 | \$69,000 00 8,625 00 | \$213,729 52 16,440 73 |

¹ Town liability, special law.

Obligations Paid

CURRENT EXPENSES OF PRIOR YEARS

| Темі | PORARY LOANS | PAID | EXPEN | NSES OF PRIOR | YEARS | |
|--|---|--|---|---------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Tax loans | Highway loans | Total | Warrants and certificates of audit | Other items | Total | |
| \$1,039,366 14 717,925 77 632,029 34 262,885 31 103,757 02 | \$16,415 00 72,611 79 139,475 61 195,411 06 25,340 22 | \$1,055,781 14 790,537 56 771,504 95 458,296 37 129,097 24 | \$58,800 39 4,269 90 167 16 | \$34,471 97 575 23 172 98 | \$93,272 36 575 23 4,442 88 167 16 | III IIV V |
| \$2,755,963 58 | \$449,253 68 | \$3,205,217 26 | \$63,237 45 | \$35,220 18 | \$98,457 63 | State |
| \$32,856 14 1,006,510 00 | \$16,415 00 | \$32,856 14 1,022,925 00 | \$50,853 28 2,372 94 5,574 17 | \$34,471 97 | \$85,325 25 2,372 94 5,574 17 | 1 2 3 |
| \$1,039,366 14 519,683 07 | \$16,415 00 16,415 00 | \$1,055,781 14 527,890 57 | \$58,800 39 19,583 56 | \$34,471 97 34,471 97 | \$93,272 36 31,074 22 | |
| II | | | | | | i |
| \$343,750 71 112,500 00 68,146 18 193,528 88 | 1\$31,136 79 41,475 00 | \$343,750 71 112,500 00 99,282 97 235,003 88 | | | | 1 2 3 4 |
| \$717,925 77 179,481 94 | \$72,611 79 36,305 89 | \$790,537 56 197,634 39 | | | | |
| III | | 2000 404 001 | | | | |
| \$203,424 00 72,000 00 3,582 15 | \$9,000 00 | \$203,424 00 | | \$25 00 | \$25 00 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 147,446 16 22,379 48 69,528 49 | 79,975 61 | 147,446 16 102,355 09 69,528 49 | | 137 85 217 61 194 77 | 137 85 217 61 194 77 | 6 7 8 9 |
| 31,562 34 37,106 72 45,000 00 | 13,000 00 37,500 00 | 13,000 00 37,500 00 31,562 34 37,106 72 45,000 00 | | | 194 17 | 10 11 12 13 14 15 |
| \$632,029 34 70,225 48 | \$139,475 61 34,868 90 | \$771,504 95 64,208 74 | | \$575 23 143 81 | \$575 23 143 81 | |

Table 33 — Bonds, Temporary Loans, Current

| | | | Bonds F | RETIRED | |
|----------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|
| COUNTY | Total | Refunding and revenue bonds | Building bonds | Highway bonds | Total |
| | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga | \$51,101 87 41,504 05 48,793 23 34,850 00 77,437 87 | \$15,508 65 | | 12,000 00 | \$20,000 00 35,508 65 12,000 00 10,000 00 30,000 00 |
| 6. Wayne | 40,000 00 31,279 71 44,518 73 63,000 00 | | 5,000 00 | | 11,000 00 5,000 00 17,000 00 |
| 11. Franklin | 29,297 21 28,354 70 10,000 00 40,700 00 15,000 00 | 17,000 00 | 10,000 00 10,000 00 | | 10,000 00 27,000 00 |
| 16. Madison | 20,000 00 10,722 39 20,726 48 17,325 00 | | 10,000 00 | 10,271 19 11,000 00 | 10,000 00 10,271 19 12,000 00 |
| 21. Warren | 30,000 00 27,907 85 | | | 10,000 00 | 10,000 00 |
| TotalsAverages | \$682,519 09 34,125 95 | \$51,508 65 12,877 16 | | \$122,271 19 13,585 68 | \$219,779 84 15,698 56 |
| 1 | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan | \$27,240 22 2,000 00 80,841 21 4,000 00 10,000 00 | | \$5,000 00 2,000 00 5,000 00 10,000 00 | | \$6,000 00 2,000 00 27,000 00 |
| 6. Seneca | 8,686 94 21,370 41 5,251 54 9,000 00 | | 3,000 00 4,000 00 | | 8,686 94 3,000 00 5,251 54 |
| 11. Putnam | 4,000 00 26,650 00 6,162 56 | | 2,000 00 | 2,000 00 | 4,000 00 |
| Totals | \$205,202 88 17,100 24 | | | | |

¹ Judgment.

Obligations Paid

EXPENSES OF PRIOR YEARS — concluded

| Темя | PORARY LOANS | Paid | Expen | ses of Prior | YEARS | |
|---|--|--|---|--------------------|----------------------|---|
| Tax loans | Highway loans | Total · | Warrants and certificates of audit | Other items | Total | |
| ı V | 1 | | | | | (|
| \$13,101 87 3,482 66 36,793 23 44,700 00 | \$18,000 00 2,512 74 24,850 00 2,737 87 | \$31,101 87 5,995 40 36,793 23 24,850 00 47,437 87 | | | | |
| 29,000 00 5,000 00 18,845 75 24,000 00 | 26,279 71 20,500 00 22,000 00 | 29,000 00 31,279 71 39,345 75 46,000 00 | | 1 \$172 98 | \$172 98 | |
| 28,354 70 | 25,848 20 | 25,848 20 28,354 70 | \$3,449 01 | | 3,449 01 | |
| 10,000 00 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 10,000 00 | 451 20 | | 451 20 | |
| 5,300 00 | | 20,726 48 5,300 00 20,000 00 | 25 00 | | 25 00 | |
| \$262,885 31 20,221 94 | 3,256 06 \$195,411 06 16,284 25 | \$458,296 37 25,460 90 | \$4,269 90 1,067 47 | \$172 98 172 98 | \$4,442 88 888 57 | |
| V | | | | | | 1 |
| \$20,000 00 53,841 21 | \$1,240 22 | \$21,240 22 53,841 21 | | | | |
| 18,365 81 | | 18,365 81 | \$4 60 | | \$4 60 | |
| 2,550 00 | 24,100 00 | 26,650 00 | 162 56 | | 162 56 | |
| \$103,757 02 20,751 40 | \$25,340 22 12,670 11 | \$129,097 24 32,274 31 | \$167 16 83 58 | | \$167 16 83 58 | |

TABLE 34

Results of County Financing, 1914 — Receipts and Expenditures on Account of Contributions

Contributions by the county:

Under the statutes of the State the various counties are required to contribute to the expenses of the State government (State tax); to the expenses of the maintenance of armories of the military district within which the county is situated (armory tax); and to the expense for stenographers, etc., of the courts of the judicial districts within which the county is situated (stenographer's tax).

Various statutes permit or require that the county as a whole may or shall contribute to unusual expenses of towns; the money for this contribution is raised by tax upon the county, paid to the county treasurer by the collector and by him paid over to the supervisor of the town.

Contributions to the county:

On the other hand, the county receives, outside of the tax levy made by its board of supervisors, contributions from the State for the maintenance of State and county highways and Indian reservation highways, and from the military district for the maintenance of armories within the county. These moneys are paid direct to the county treasurer by the State Treasurer.

Cities and villages are required to contribute to the county treasurer amounts determined pursuant to statute by the State Comptroller for the maintenance of State and county highways within such cities or villages.

In the transactions relative to the construction of highways, the collection of returned taxes and other matters, the towns frequently become indebted to the county. The amount of such indebtedness may be levied by the board of supervisors upon the town, in which case it would be paid by the collector of the town to the county treasurer and be included in these tables under the head of "Receipts from taxes". But often the town liquidates its indebtedness to the county by the payment of moneys from surplus funds of the town in the hands of the supervisor or from the proceeds of town bonds. In this case the amount so paid is considered a contribution by the town.

In many counties also a practice has arisen of applying the share of the town in the mortgage taxes and bank taxes held by the county treasurer to the reduction of the amount of county taxes levied on the town, or of reducing such levy by direct payments from town funds (usually arising from sources other than taxes). Such applications and payments are treated as contributions.

Table 34 — The Results of County Financing, 1914 — Receipt

| | | | | ···· | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | | Contributed | BY COUNTY | TO EXPENSES OF | 7 |
| | Towns | Judicial districts | Military districts | State | Total |
| Class I | \$3,350 00 13,980 31 15,439 60 12,276 97 | \$89,234 38 35,628 22 80,362 60 33,638 07 10,841 07 | \$303,384 51 136,081 06 263,485 10 161,937 55 54,817 55 | \$606,443 41 277,612 24 543,126 55 356,175 80 113,992 99 | \$999,062 30 452,671 52 900,954 56 567,191 02 191,928 58 |
| StateExcesses | \$45,046 88 | \$249,704 34 249,704 34 | | \$1,897,350 99 | \$3,111,807 98 |
| COUNTY 1. Erie | | \$24,950 71 12,431 82 51,851 85 | \$126,316 74 77,477 61 99,590 16 | \$243,835 48 149,558 94 213,048 99 | CLASS \$395,102 93 239,468 37 364,491 00 |
| Totals | | \$89,234 38 | \$303,384 51 | \$606,443 41 | \$999,062 30 |
| Averages | | 29,778 12 | 101,128 17 | 202,144 47 | 333,020 76 |
| 1. Onondaga | \$1,700 00 | \$14,946 65 8,703 22 6,319 94 5,658 41 | \$55,834 48 35,433 20 22,457 19 22,356 19 | \$106,702 25 75,042 72 48,041 73 47,825 54 | CLASS \$177,483 38 120,879 14 76,818 86 77,490 14 |
| Totals | \$3,350 00 | \$35,628 22 8,907 05 | \$136,081 06 34,020 26 | \$277,612 24 69,403 06 | \$452,671 52 113,167 88 |
| Averages | 1,675 00 | 8,907 03 | 34,020 20 | 05,405 00 | 113,107 66 |
| 1. Orange 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara 5. Ulster. | 1,096 60 1,424 97 | \$15,091 31 3,746 91 11,583 71 4,598.98 1,963 47 | \$17,358 65 19,074 92 30,490 12 24,078 23 8,701 14 | \$36,763 24 36,453 10 66,682 07 46,479 43 18,613 89 | |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess. 9. Nassau. 10. Steuben. | 1,571 41 50 00 | 2,350 17 3,226 29 8,633 76 12,934 63 2,365 41 | 13,480 18 18,074 91 17,140 75 37,982 35 14,736 12 | 28,837 61 38,280 23 36,301 74 80,441 53 28,161 42 | 62,126 25 131,358 51 |
| 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus | 7,929 95 | 3,875 33 3,280 40 2,661 88 2,171 68 1,878 67 | 13,967 67 13,416 92 10,622 27 13,876 40 10,484 47 | 29,880 44 28,702 24 20,504 66 26,786 29 20,238 66 | 53,329 51 33,788 81 42,834 37 |
| Totals | \$13,980 31 | \$80,362 60 | \$263,485 10 | \$543,126 55 | \$900,954 56 |
| Averages | 2,330 05 | 5,357 51 | 17,565 67 | 36,208 44 | 60,063 64 |

¹ See Table 20.

Board of Supervisors

AND EXPENDITURES ON ACCOUNT OF CONTRIBUTIONS

| Contribute | ED TO COUNT | OF ¹ | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--------------------------|--|---|
| Cities and villages | Towns | Military districts | State | Total | Expenditures | Receipts | |
| \$4,701 08 2,253 00 17,380 21 11,231 38 4,342 28 | \$98,899 14 126,558 73 145,795 40 91,386 48 42,134 16 | 199,536 80 130,319 12 | 354,768 37 | \$773,438 54 727,408 28 1,143,718 64 2 847,774 71 240,710 80 | | \$274,736 76 242,764 08 280,583 69 | III III IV V |
| \$39,907 95 39,907 95 | \$504,773 91 459,727 03 | \$897,701 50 | \$2,289,067 61 391,716 62 | \$3,733,050 97 621,242 99 | \$225,623 76 | \$846,866 75 621,242 99 | State |
| I | • | | | | | |) |
| \$2,480 36 694 67 1,526 05 | \$28,412 65 70,486 49 | \$165,901 76 77,193 43 57,607 37 | \$175,747 54 93,888 22 99,500 00 | \$344,129 66 200,188 97 229,119 91 | 39,279 40 | | $\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{vmatrix}$ |
| \$4,701 08 | \$98,899 14 | \$300,702 56 | \$369,135 76 | \$773,438 54 | | 225,623 76 | |
| 1,233 69 | 49,449 57 | 100,234 18 | 123,045 25 | 257,812 84 | \$225,623 76 | \$225,623 76 | |
| П | | | | | | | l |
| \$1,584 47 241 59 426 94 | | \$102,297 05 64,044 00 44,939 88 32,547 25 | \$71,000 97 136,485 24 68,298 10 78,984 06 | 228,579 87 142,349 84 | | \$57,914 84 107,700 73 65,530 98 | 1 2 3 |
| | \$126,558 73 | | \$354,768 37 | \$727,408 28 | 274,736 76 | 43,590 21 | . * |
| 751 00 | | 60,957 04 | 88,692 09 | 181,852 07 | \$274,736 76 | \$274 736 76 | |
| | | | | | | ==== | |
| \$681 76 2,449 07 145 95 1,202 45 357 33 | \$15,353 91 38,948 45 51,226 78 | \$25,273 74 15,024 56 10,663 48 9,046 50 | \$81,560 00 7,851 02 26,170 00 30,570 00 53,385 00 | \$107,515 50 40,678 56 65,264 40 93,662 71 62,788 83 | \$19,692 97 44,916 47 | \$36,394 92 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 3,078 05 130 60 1,896 97 | 19,358 08 283 90 7,139 34 5,923 41 | 12,675 50 15,240 90 10,766 95 11,005 94 | $\begin{array}{c} 53,845 \ 00 \\ 58,865 \ 00 \\ 54,110 \ 00 \\ 130,955 \ 08 \\ 41,087 \ 42 \end{array}$ | 88,956 63 74,520 40 73,913 26 130,955 08 58,016 77 | 403 43 | 42,717 26 14,938 97 11,787 01 12,753 82 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| 1,297 33 4,258 04 1,581 41 301 25 | 1,563 10 4,544 04 1,300 00 154 39 | 16,953 70 30,582 66 13,687 02 17,265 47 11,350 38 | $\begin{array}{c} 101,385\ 00 \\ 38,407\ 71 \\ 43,865\ 00 \\ 37,735\ 00 \\ 21,215\ 00 \end{array}$ | 119,636 03 70,553 47 66,354 10 57,881 88 33,021 02 | | 71,912 59 17,223 96 32,565 29 15,047 51 419 22 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| \$17,380 21 | \$145,795 40 | \$199,536 80 | \$781,006 23 | \$1,143,718 64 | 242,764 08 | | |
| 1,448 35 | 13,254 12 | 15,348 98 | 52,067 08 | 76,247 91 | \$307,776 95 | \$307,776 95 | |
| , | | | | | | 1 | |

² Gifts, \$1,600, included in total, not in analysis.

Table 34 — The Results of County Financing, 1914 — Receipts and

| | | Contributer | BY COUNTY | TO EXPENSES O | F |
|--|----------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| COUNTY | Towns | Judicial districts | Military districts | State | Total |
| | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga | \$2,692 34 2,450 00 547 40 | \$1,416 19 1,499 41 2,541 64 2,176 99 1,853 38 | \$8,677 60 9,179 05 11,395 39 10,720 72 12,115 02 | \$23,135 84 19,636 39 24,377 67 20,487 81 23,329 85 | \$35,921 97 30,314 85 38,314 70 35,835 52 37,845 65 |
| 6. Wayne | | $\begin{array}{c} 1,445 \ 67 \\ 502 \ 85 \\ 1,074 \ 07 \\ 1,711 \ 58 \\ 4,576 \ 94 \end{array}$ | 9,603 57 4,580 86 | 18,538 18 9,799 67 19,805 02 16,069 77 21,655 94 | 33,767 94 14,883 38 20,879 09 25,293 20 34,732 16 |
| 11. Franklin 12. Delaware 13. Fulton 14. Columbia 15. Allegany | 2,717 49 | 654 03 1,104 98 848 07 1,820 94 1,275 20 | 4,809 77 6,001 46 5,539 31 7,868 77 7,105 06 | 10,289 28 12,838 64 11,850 05 16,833 34 13,715 20 | 15,940 75 22,662 57 18,617 83 28,015 37 22,095 46 |
| 16. Madison | | 1,482 98 1,487 01 1,783 52 1,162 69 1,433 40 | 6,719 60 9,477 35 9,299 81 5,885 51 6,725 55 | 12,971 23 18,111 65 17,772 32 11,247 45 12,982 48 | $\begin{array}{c} 21,173 & 81 \\ 29,076 & 01 \\ 28,855 & 65 \\ 19,087 & 11 \\ 21,141 & 43 \end{array}$ |
| 21. Warren | | 598 38 1,188 15 | 4,767 61 $5,454$ 41 | 10,199 10 10,528 92 | 15,565 09 17,171 48 |
| Totals | \$15,439 60 | \$33,638 07 | \$161,937 55 | \$356,175 80 | \$567,191 02 |
| Averages | 1,715 51 | 1,529 00 | 7,711 31 | 16,189 80 | 25,781 41 |
| , | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan | \$7,649 45 3,862 89 69 37 | \$654 29 1,267 76 1,168 78 862 17 | \$3,346 28 4,965 19 7,092 82 6,167 82 4,347 62 | \$10,353 48 10,621 76 13,554 71 11,906 05 9,300 67 | \$21,349 21 |
| 6. Seneca. 7. Tioga. 8. Lewis. 9. Schoharie. 10. Yates. | 695 26 | 870 62 990 80 883 55 764 28 604 05 | 5,299 71 4,544 85 3,386 37 3,381 76 4,001 16 | 10,230 31 8,773 17 7,173 92 7,162 15 7,724 19 | 16,400 64 15,004 08 11,443 84 11,308 19 12,329 40 |
| | | 2,051 60 472 68 250 49 | 4,214 14 2,532 43 1,537 40 | 9,015 12 4,888 55 3,288 91 | 15,280 86 7,893 66 5,076 80 |
| Totals | \$ 12,276 97 | \$10,841 07 | \$54,817 55 | \$ 113,992 99 | \$191,928 58 |
| Averages | 3,069 24 | 774 36 | 3,654 50 | 7 ,599 53 | 12,795 23 |

See table 20.

Board of Supervisors

Expenditures on Account of Contributions — concluded

| Contribute | р то County | (Exclusive | OF COUNTY TA | AXES) BY | Excess | OF 1 | 1 |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|--|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Cities and villages | Towns | Military districts | State | Total | Expenditures | Receipts | |
| *** | 1 | , | , | , | | | |
| 1,851 28 | 13,819 55 | 14,604 80 | 39,790 00 13,294 79 | 39.701 46 | \$7,935 93 | 9.386.61 | 3 4 |
| 330 96 | | 14,075 86 | 26,885 00 | 41,291 82 | | 3,446 17 | 5 |
| 1,306 94 823 41 | 503 36 5,450 00 | 9,613 18 10,423 04 | $\begin{array}{c} 18,960\ 00 \\ 18,035\ 00 \\ 4,415\ 00 \\ 32,905\ 00 \\ 47,460\ 83 \end{array}$ | $ \begin{array}{r} 19,341 & 94 \\ 14,851 & 59 \\ 44,918 & 72 \end{array} $ | 6,027 50 | 4.458 - 56 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| 156 37 | 6,249 31 4,512 93 | 5,505 14 8,066 36 11,174 64 9,082 42 | $\begin{array}{c} 28,786 \ 63 \\ 21,655 \ 00 \\ 59,435 \ 00 \\ 25,565 \ 00 \\ 22,660 \ 00 \end{array}$ | 30,517 06 $70,766 01$ $34,647 42$ | | $\begin{array}{c} 24,600 & 33 \\ 7,854 & 49 \\ 52,148 & 18 \\ 6,632 & 05 \\ 5,903 & 41 \end{array}$ | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| 660 58 402 40 | 13,883 55 6,209 94 | | $\begin{array}{c} 14,927 \ 48 \\ 17,085 \ 00 \\ 20,180 \ 00 \\ 37,075 \ 00 \\ 47,268 \ 00 \end{array}$ | | 669 39 8,107 63 | 5,207 90 24,858 41 57,626 49 | 16 17 18 19 20 |
| 232 44 283 26 | | 14,452 59 | $\begin{array}{c} 18,060 \ 00 \\ 22,155 \ 00 \end{array}$ | | | $\begin{array}{r} 17,179 & 94 \\ 5,266 & 78 \end{array}$ | 21 22 |
| | | \$ 130,319 12 | | \$847,774 71 | 280,583 69 | | |
| 701 96 | | 10,859 93 | 27,878 88 | 38,535 21 | \$317,531 02 | \$317,531 02 | |
| | | | | | | | 1 |
| V | E 1 00 = 10 | | e1 = 00 = 001 | 210 020 101 | 81 490 011 | | . 1 |
| \$293 79 | 2.33943 | | \$15,825 00 35,135 00 13,530 00 | \$19,920 40 37,768 22 35,782 49 | \$1,428 81 | \$21,526 98 | 2 |
| | $10,952 61 \\ 1,485 28$ | \$11,299 88 | 6.275 001 | $\begin{array}{c} 35,782 & 49 \\ 7,760 & 28 \end{array}$ | 11,482 37 | 10,004 31 | 2 3 4 5 |
| 664 63 | 6,938 89 | 12,014 96 | 24,000 00 | | | 1 | |
| 883 19 | | | $6,210 00 \\ 10,565 00$ | $\begin{array}{c} 7,093 & 19 \\ 10,565 & 00 \end{array}$ | 9,307 45 | | 6 7 8 |
| 907 56 | 1,889 46 | | 24,360 00 8,220 00 | 27,157 02 15,094 88 | 9,307 45 4,439 08 | 15,713 18 | 8 9 |
| 779 92 | 1,907 96 | | 5,570 52 | 8,258 40 | 4,071 00 | 3,780 09 | 10 |
| 332 36 480 83 | 2,650 25 | | 16,600 00 2,420 00 2,209 00 | $\begin{array}{c} 16,932 \ 36 \\ 5,551 \ 08 \\ 5,209 \ 00 \end{array}$ | 2,342 58 | | |
| \$4,342 28 | \$42,134 16 | \$23,314 84 | \$170,919 52 | \$240,710 80 | 48,782 22 | | |
| 620 32 | 4,213 41 | 11,657 42 | 11,374 63 | 16,047 38 | \$81,853 51 | \$81,853 51 | |
| | | | | | | | |

² Gifts, \$1,600, not in analysis, included in total.



TABLE 35

The County Debt Limit of 1914, the County Indebtedness and the Debts of Subordinate Municipalities

The Constitution prescribes a debt limit to counties of 10 per cent of the assessed valuation. This table shows the assessed valuation of 1914, the gross amount of debt permitted under the limitation and the net outstanding debt of each county.

Debts of subordinate municipalities within the county:

The debts of the towns, cities, villages, school districts and other municipal subdivisions of the county have been determined from reports of clerks of boards of supervisors to this Department and other data available, and the total amount thereof is given in column 6. This is added to the net debt of the county for the purpose of showing in column 7 the total public debt for which the taxpayers of the county and of the municipal subdivisions of the county are liable.

Percentages of debt to assessed valuation:

The percentage borne by the net county debt and by the total public debt to the assessed valuation of the county in 1914 is shown. It will be seen that the net county debt is but a small fraction of the debt permitted by the Constitution.

Percentages of debt to equalized valuation of 1913:

In preceding tables the equalized valuation of 1913 has been used as the basis of all comparisons. For the purpose of completing such comparative tables, the percentages of the county debt and of the total public debt to such equalized valuation of 1913 have been computed.

Under the estimate of the State Board of Equalization the equalized valuations are 85.80 per cent of the true value of the property of each county. To find the percentages of such debts to the true valuation the rates here given must be divided by .8580.

Table 35 — County Debt Limit, 1914 — County Indebtedness — Assessed and Equalized (State

| Assessed valuation of real property, 1914 Debt limit 10 per cent of assessed valuation Gross debt outstanding Gross debt outstanding Sinking funds Net debt not provided for by sinking funds |
|---|
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ |
| 99 004 209 019 2200 120 201 20 201 017 261 22 272 210 00 220 015 615 |
| State |
| COUNTY 1. Erie |
| Totals |
| CLA |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |
| Totals \$482,517,945 \$48,251,795 00 \$5,228,649 37 \$49,219 09 \$5,179,430 |
| |
| CLA 1. Orange \$53,978,477 \$5,397,848 00 \$954,200 00 \$954,200 2. Chautauqua 61,185,310 6,118,531 09 94,000 00 94,000 3. Suffolk 93,087,792 9,308,779 00 334,625 00 334,625 4. Niagara 75,606,856 7,560,686 00 50,000 00 50,000 5. Ulster 32,904,296 3,290,430 00 604,000 604,000 |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 45,787,133 4,578,713 09 170,090 00 170,000 7. Schenectady. 64,953,519 6,495,352 00 881,000 00 881,000 8. Dutchess. 66,017,346 6,601,735 00 231,053 61 231,053 9. Nassau. 105,222,041 10,522,204 00 2,817,223 255 2,817,223 10. Steuben. 43,480,842 4,348,084 00 50,000 00 50,000 |
| 11. Jefferson 46,354,589 4,635,459 00 210,030 00 \$10,000 00 293,000 12. Broome 48,417,733 4,841,773 00 10,000 00 10,000 00 10,000 |
| 13. Oswego. 33,517,989 3,351,799 00 384,422 80 384,422 14. Cayuga. 41,391,540 4,139,154 00 27,000 00 3,000 24,000 15. Cattaraugus. 35,174,263 3,517,426 00 27,000 00 3,000 00 24,000 |

Debts of Subordinate Municipalities - Percentages of Debt to Equalization) Valuation

| Debts of subordinate municipalities within county and municipalities of total county and municipalities within county and county and municipalities within county and county a | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|-----------|
| 1 | subordinate municipalities | debt of county and | centage of net county debt to assessed valua- | centage of total public debt assesse valua- | valuation | centage of net county debt to cqual- ized valua- | centage of total public debt to equal- ized valua- | |
| S36,365,847 00 | 29,911,822 58 33,280,323 16 16,949,288 33 | 35,091,252 86 40,084,848 12 20,254,920 92 | .42 1.06 .80 .63 | 8.59 7.27 4.73 3.85 | 503,006,792 1,021,982,395 624,069,574 | .39 1.03 .66 .53 | $6.97 \\ 3.92 \\ 3.24$ | III IV |
| \$36,365,847 00 | \$171,228,836 55 | \$192,174,481 69 | . 68 | 6.21 | \$3,498,639,578 | . 60 | 5.49 | State |
| \$36,365,847 00 | | | | | | | | 1 |
| 19 394 908 96 34 644 908 96 97 23 286 436 133 08 6.86 8.21 3 \$87,175,344 22 \$91,630,980 73 42 8.59 \$1,143,586,249 .39 8.01 \$10,968,693 00 \$12,484,473 91 .86 6.82 \$193,117,271 .78 6.46 1 \$9,889,708 84 11,444,208 84 1.15 8.45 132,947,413 1.16 8.61 2 \$3,646,148 00 4,696,797 37 1.29 5.77 91,538,591 1.14 5.13 3 \$5,407,272 74 6,465,772 74 1.28 7.78 85,403,517 1.23 7.57 4 \$29,911,822 58 \$35,091,252 86 1.06 7.27 \$503,006,792 1.03 6.97 \$11 \$2,507,051 53 \$3,461,251 53 1.77 6.41 \$72,698,306 1.31 4.76 1 \$3,010,992 99 3,104,992 99 .15 5.07 68,074,381 .13 4.56 2 \$490,882 00 825,457 00 .36 .88 127,800,707 .26 .64 3 \$1,728,009 25 2,332,009 25 1.83 7.08 37,123,157 1.62 6.28 5 \$1,112,279 00 1,282,279 00 .37 2.80 51,674,184 .33 2.48 6,072,777 02 6,953,777 02 1.35 1.07 68,184,121 1.29 10.19 7 \$2,199,734 00 2,430,787 00 .35 3.68 71,461,673 .32 3.40 8 3,799,851 85 6,617,075 40 2.67 6.28 159,590,332 1.76 4.14 9 744,025 00 794,025 00 .11 1.82 50,659,737 .10 1.57 10 \$1,974,642 95 2,174,642 95 .43 4.69 51,067,566 .39 4.25 11 1,624,499 60 1,634,499 60 .02 3.37 51,351,766 .02 3.18 12 1,676,797 96 2,061,297 60 1.44 6.15 35,095,438 1.09 5.87 13 1,209,626 18 1,233,626 18 .06 3.50 39,007,301 .06 3.16 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 | _ | 697 040 947 00 | 20 | 0.21 | E 2449 704 440 | 231 | 9 571 | 1 |
| S87,175,344 22 S91,630,980 73 | 19,394,908 96 | 19,644,908 96 | . 09 | 7.23 | 286,436,133 | . 08 | 6.86 | 2 |
| III \$10,968,693 00 | 31,414,588 26 | 34,045,224 77 | . 68 | | | | | 3 |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | \$87,175,344 22 | \$91,630,980 73 | .42 | 8.59 | \$1,143,586,249 | .39 | 8.01 | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 1.7 | | | | | | ' | ' |
| 11 | | \$12.484.473.91 | . 861 | 6.82 | \$193,117,271 | . 781 | 6.46 | 1 1 |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 9,889,708 84 | 11,444,208 84 | 1.15 | 8.45 | 132,947,413 | 1.16 | 8.61 | 2 3 |
| III \$2,507,051 53 \$3,461,251 53 1.77 6.41 \$72,698,306 1.31 4.76 1 3,010,992 99 3,104,992 99 1.5 5.07 68,074,381 1.3 4.56 2 490,882 00 825,457 00 .36 .88 127,800,707 26 64 3 5,085,703 83 5,135,703 83 .06 6.79 90,169,715 .05 5.69 4 1,728,009 25 2,332,009 25 1.83 7.08 37,123,157 1.62 6.28 5 1,112,279 00 1,282,279 00 .37 2.80 51,674,184 .33 2.48 6 6,072,777 02 6,953,777 02 1.35 10.70 68,184,121 1.29 10.19 7 2,199,734 00 2,430,787 00 .35 3.68 71,461,673 .32 3.40 8 3,799,851 85 6,617,075 40 2.67 6.28 159,590,332 1.76 4.14 9 744,025 00 794,025 00 1.1 1.82 50,659,737 .10 1.57 10 1,974,642 95 2,174,642 95 43 4.69 51,067,566 .39 4.25 11 1,624,499 60 1,634,499 60 .02 3.37 51,351,766 .02 3.18 12 1,676,797 96 2,061,220 76 1.14 6.15 35,095,438 1.09 5.87 13 43,500 00 43,500 00 10 48,024,011 .09 14 1,209,626 18 1,233,626 18 .06 3.50 39,007,301 .06 3.16 15 | 5,407,272 74 | | 1.29 | | | | | 4 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | \$29,911,822 58 | \$35,091,252 86 | 1.06 | 7.27 | \$503,006,792 | 1.03 | 6.97 | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | \$2,507,051 53 3,010,992 99 | | | | | | 4 56 | 1 2 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 490,832 00 | 825,457 00 | .36 | .88 | 127,800,707 | . 26 | . 64 | 3 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | | 5 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 1 112 279 00 | 1 282 279 00 | 37 | 2 80 | 51,674,184 | . 33 | 2.48 | 6 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 6,072,777 02 | 6,953,777 02 | 1.35 | 10.70 | | 1.29 | | 7 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | 1.82 | 50,659,737 | .10 | 1.57 | 10 |
| 1,676,797 96 2,061,220 76 1.14 6.15 35,095,438 1.09 5.87 13 43,500 00 43,500 00 10 48,024,011 9 14 1,209,626 18 1,233,626 18 3,50 39,007,301 15 | | | | | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | | 13 |
| | 43,500 00 | 43,500 00 | | .10 | 48,024,011 | | .09 | 14 |
| \$33,280,323 16 \$40,084,848 12 .80 4.73 \$1,021,982,393 .06 3.92 | | | | | | | | 13 |
| | \$33,280,323 16 | \$40,084,848 12 | . 80 | 4.73 | \$1,021,982,395 | .00, | 3.92 | |

Table 35 — County Debt Limit, 1914 — County Indebtedness — Assessed and Equalized (State

| | | and the second | C | OUNTY DEBT | r |
|--|--|---|---|------------------|--|
| COUNTY | Assessed valuation of real property, 1914 | Debt limit 10 per cent of assessed valuation | Gross debt outstanding | Sinking funds | Net debt not pro- vided for by sinking funds |
| | | | 1 | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga 2. Montgomery. 3. Herkimer 4. Chemung 5. Ontario | \$28,178,487 28,958,239 34,877,231 36,310,084 35,792,695 | \$2,817,849 00 2,895,824 00 3,487,723 00 3,631,008 00 3,579,269 00 | \$150,000 00 354,540 72 469,800 00 117,165 00 | | \$150,000 00 354,540 72 469,800 00 117,165 00 |
| 6. Wayne | 32,654,979 10,018,344 20,462,596 24,125,960 33,302,272 | 3,265,498 00 1,001,834 00 2,046,260 00 2,412,596 00 3,330,227 00 | 216,000 00 21,747 77 75,000 00 381,000 00 | | 216,000 00 21,747 77 75,000 00 381,000 00 |
| 11. Franklin | 13,050,904 15,738,848 16,426,924 26,561,393 21,229,483 | 1,305,090 00 1,573,885 00 1,642,692 00 2,656,139 00 2,122,948 00 | 533,000 00 22,000 09 120,000 00 344,100 00 | | 533,000 00 22,000 00 120,000 00 344,100 00 |
| 16. Madison | 21,234,613 27,688,674 28,040,502 16,472,864 20,643,137 | 2,123,461 00 2,768,867 00 2,804,050 00 1,647,286 00 2,064,314 00 | 250,000 00 33,502 28 5,776 82 112,000 00 | | 250,000 00 33,502 28 |
| 21. Warren | 16,012,611 17,012,221 | $\substack{1,601,261\ 00\\1,701,222\ 00}$ | 110,000 00 | \$10,000 00 | 100,000 00 |
| Tetals | \$524,793,061 | \$52,479,303 00 | \$3,315,632 59 | \$10,000 00 | \$3,305,632 59 |
| · · | 1 | 1 | 1 | | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan 2. Essex 3. Orleans 4. Wyoming 5. Greene | \$7,119,881 14,851,302 28,159,490 19,795,811 12,737,908 | \$711,988 00 1,485,130 00 2,815,949 00 1,979,581 00 1,273,791 00 | \$173,431 75 54,000 00 89,350 00 78,000 00 280,500 00 | | \$173,431 75 54,000 00 89,350 00 78,000 00 280,500 00 |
| 6. Seneca | $17,078,374 \\ 13,860,333 \\ 10,971,699 \\ 11,724,504 \\ 11,740,016$ | $\begin{array}{c} 1,707,837&00\\ 1,386,033&00\\ 1,097,170&00\\ 1,172,450&00\\ 1,174,002&00 \end{array}$ | 142,223 35 24,000 00 26,555 70 39,000 00 | | 142,223 35 24,000 00 26,555 70 39,000 00 |
| 11. Putnam 12. Schuyler 13. Hamilton | 13,713,821 6,765,080 4,916,732 | $\substack{1,371,382\ 00\\676,508\ 00\\491,673\ 00}$ | 117,000 00 25,360 00 151,000 00 | | $\begin{array}{c} 117,000 \ 00 \\ 25,360 \ 00 \\ 151,000 \ 00 \end{array}$ |
| Totals | \$173,434,951 | \$17,343,494 00 | \$1,200,420 80 | | \$1,200,420 80 |

Debts of Subordinate Municipalities - Percentage of Debt to Equalization) Valuation — concluded

| 285,001 66 | Debts of subordinate municipalities within county | Total public debt of county and municipalities | Percentage of net county debt to assessed valuation | Per- centage of total public debt to assessed valua- tion | Equalized valuation of 1913 | Per- centage of net county debt to equal- ized valua- tion | Per- centage of total public debt to equal- ized valua- tion | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| \$1,717,851 00 | IV | | C/ | 61 | | 07 | 07 | |
| 516,625 09 732,625 00 2.15 7.31 17,208,870 1.25 4.25 388,059 00 409,806 77 10 2.00 23,021,442 .09 1.78 624,691 63 699,691 63 .31 2.90 27,724,886 .27 2.52 312,816 70 693,816 70 1.14 2.08 46,440,839 .82 1.49 848,200 09 1,381,200 00 4.08 10.58 18,270,917 2.91 7.56 315,300 03 337,300 00 .14 2.14 23,620,771 .09 1.43 1,117,609 17 1,237,609 17 .73 7.53 20,986,374 .57 5.89 98,192 22 442,922 22 1.29 1.66 29,258,244 1.17 1.51 419,892 00 419,892 00 1.97 25,208,465 1.66 867,440 94 1,117,449 94 1.17 5.26 23,660,75 1.08 4.84 1,678,663 00 471,372 82 .03 2.87 19,640,539 | \$1,717,851 00 1,892,561 91 1,805,886 54 1,281,793 06 | 2,247,102 63 2,275,686 54 1,398,958 06 | .53 1.22 1.35 .32 | 6.63 7.75 6.52 3.85 | 34,397,926 41,667,393 38,312,663 | $\begin{array}{c} .45 \\ 1.03 \\ 1.12 \end{array}$ | 5.64 6.53 5.46 3.65 | 1 3 4 5 |
| 315,300 00 | 516,625 00 388,059 00 624,691 63 | 732,625 00 409,806 77 699,691 63 | 2.15 .10 .31 | $7.31 \\ 2.00 \\ 2.90$ | 17,208,870 $23,021,442$ $27,724,886$ | .09 .27 | $\begin{array}{r} 4.25 \\ 1.78 \\ 2.52 \end{array}$ | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| 388,066 00 | 315,300 00 1,117,609 17 98,192 22 | $\begin{array}{r} 337,300 \ 00 \\ 1,237,609 \ 17 \\ 442,292 \ 22 \end{array}$ | .14 .73 1.29 | $ \begin{array}{r} 2.14 \\ 7.53 \\ 1.66 \end{array} $ | 23,620,771 $20,986,374$ $29,258,244$ | .09 .57 1.17 | 1.43 5.89 1.51 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 388,066 00 7,065 50 468,596 00 | $\begin{array}{r} 421,568 \ 28 \\ 7,065 \ 50 \\ 474,372 \ 82 \end{array}$ | .03 | 1.52 .02 2.87 | 32,132,076 32,596,992 19,640,539 | .10 | 1.31 .02 2.41 | 16 17 18 19 20 |
| V \$726,293 75 \$899,725 50 | | | | | | l. | | 21 22 |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | \$16,949,288 33 | \$20,251,920 92 | . 63 | 3.85 | \$624,069,574 | . 53 | 3.24 | |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | V | 1 | 1 | , | | | | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 542,706 00 $22,005 34$ $499,114 25$ | 596,706 00 111,355 34 | .36 | 4.01 .39 2.91 | 20,479,525 27,305,972 22,456,067 | .26 .32 .34 | 2.91 .41 2.57 | $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| $191,250 \ 00$ $216,610 \ 00$ 37 $3,20$ $9,004,284$.28 2.40 | 453,520 00 264,325 83 131,945 00 | 477,529 00 290,881 53 170,945 09 | .17 .24 .33 | $ \begin{array}{r} 3.44 \\ 2.65 \\ 1.45 \end{array} $ | 15,179,815 13,421,541 12,709,167 | .15 .20 .30 | 3.14 2.16 1.34 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| | 191,250 00 | 216,610 00 | .37 | 3.20 | 9,004,284 | .28 | 2.40 | 11 12 13 |
| \$3,912,058 26 \$5,112,479 06 .69 2.94 \$205,994,568 .58 2.48 | \$3,912,058 26 | \$5,112,479 06 | .69 | 2.94 | \$205,994,568 | . 58 | 2.48 | |



TABLE 36

CHARACTER OF OUTSTANDING COUNTY DEBT OF 1914

This table is given for the purpose of showing the nature of the total indebtedness of the county outstanding at the close of the fiscal year 1914.

Table 36 — Outstanding

CHARACTER OF

| | | | Оυтѕ | TANDING BONDS | | |
|--|--|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Total debt | Refunding prior bonds matured | Revenue deficiencies | Construction of buildings | | |
| Class I. Class II. Class III. Class IIV. Class IV. | \$4,455,636 51 5,228,649 37 6,817,524 96 3,315,632 59 1,208,420 80 | \$10,000 00 628,000 00 454,000 00 558,000 00 151,000 00 | 8,000 00 | \$1,334,675 00 3,154,750 00 2,168,109 34 1,153,000 00 630,360 00 | | |
| State | \$21,025,864 23 | \$1,801,000 00 | \$526,242 00 | \$8,440,894 34 | | |
| COUNTY | | | | CLASS | | |
| 1. Erie | \$1,575,000 00 250,000 00 2,630,636 51 | \$10,000 00 | \$518,242 00 | \$320,000 00 250,000 00 764,675 00 | | |
| Totals | \$4,455,636 51 | \$10,000 00 | \$518,242 00 | \$1,334,675 00 | | |
| 1 | | | | CLASS | | |
| 1. Onondaga. 2. Albany. 3. Oneida. 4. Rensselaer. | \$1,565,000 00 1,554,500 00 1,050,649 37 1,058,500 00 | | | \$1,415,000 00 563,750 00 621,000 06 555,000 00 | | |
| Totals | \$5,228,649 37 | \$628,000 00 | | \$3,154,750 00 | | |
| 1. Orange | \$954,200 00 94,000 00 334,625 00 | | | CLASS \$55,000 00 26,000 00 205,000 00 | | |
| 4. Niagara | 50,000 00 604,000 00 | | | 25,000 00 153,000 00 | | |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady 8. Dutchess 9. Nassau. 10. Steuben 9. Steuben | 170,000 00 881,000 00 231,053 61 2,817,223 55 50,000 00 | 150,000 00 | | 656,000 00 211,500 00 617,609 34 20,000_00 | | |
| 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus | 210,000 00 10,000 00 384,422 <u>5</u> 80 27,000 00 | | \$8,000 00 | 85,000 00 87,000 00 27,000 00 | | |
| Totals | \$6,817,524 96 | \$454,000 00 | \$8,000 00 | | | |
| ' | | | | | | |

 $^{^1}$ New indices, \$25,000; bridges \$122,000; sewers, \$6,500; Bronx Parkway, \$487,000, 2 Toll bridges.

County Debt of 1914

Indebtedness

| Issued for | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Construction of highways | Other purposes | Total | Temporary loans | Current expenses unpaid | |
| \$1,875,469 51 1,189,500 00 3,566,171 95 1,369,457 38 418,060 80 | \$640,500 00 56,750 00 76,000 00 17,100 00 | \$4,378,886 5: 5,029,000 00 6,272,281 29 3,097,557 38 1,199,420 80 | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | \$209 90 | III III IV V |
| \$8,418,659 64 | \$790,350 00 | \$19,977,145 98 | \$1,048,508 35 | \$209 90 | State |
| I | | | -11 | | J |
| \$1,255,000 00 620,469 51 | 1 \$640,599 00 | \$1,575,000 00 250,000 00 2,553,886 51 | | | 1 2 3 |
| \$1,875,469 51 | \$640,500 00 | \$4,378,886 51 | \$76,750 00 | | |
| II | | | 11===== | | • |
| \$752,000 00 20,000 00 417,500 00 \$1,189,500 00 | \$56,750 00 \$56,750 00 | \$1,415,000 00 1,554,500 00 1,001,000 00 1,058,500 00 \$5,029,000 00 | 49,649 37 | | 1 2 3 4 |
| | | \$730,000 00 94,000 00 310,000 00 50,000 00 604,000 00 | 24,625 00 | | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 170,000 00 | | $\begin{array}{r} 170,000 \ 00 \\ 806,000 \ 00 \\ 211,500 \ 00 \\ 2,625,358 \ 49 \\ 50,000 \ 00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 75,000 & 00 \\ 211,418 & 67 \end{bmatrix}$ | | 6 7 8 9 |
| 125,000 00 213,422 80 | 3 \$76,000 00 | 210,000 00 384,422 80 27,000 00 | 10,000 00 | | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| \$3,566,171 95 | \$76,000 00 | \$6,272,281 29 | \$545,243 67 | | |

³ Bridges.

Table 36 — Outstanding

CHARACTER OF

| | | | | Outs | STANDING BONDS |
|----------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | COUNTY Total debt | | Refunding prior bonds matured | Revenue deficiencies | Construction of buildings |
| | | 11 | | | CLASS |
| 2. Mor | togatgomery | 354,540 72 | \$50,000 00 | | \$95,000 00 |
| 4. Cher | mung | 469,800 00 117,165 00 | | | 20,000 00 |
| 6. Way 7. Clin | rneton | 216,000 00 | 48,000 00 | | 60,030 00 |
| 8. Was 9. Otse | hingtongokland | $\begin{array}{r} 21,747\ 77\\ 75,000\ 00\\ 381,000\ 00 \end{array}$ | 351 000 00 | | 15,000 00 |
| 11. Fran | ıklin | 533,000 00 | 33,000 00 | | 500,000 00 |
| 13. Fult 14. Colu | wareonmbiagany | 22,000 00 120,000 00 344,100 00 | 76,000 00 | | 15,000 00 195,000 00 |
| 16. Mad | lison | 250,000 00 | | | 250,000 00 |
| 18. Gene | ngston | 33,502 28 | | | |
| 20. Tom | nangopkins | 5,776 82 112,000 00 | | | 3,000 00 |
| | renland | 110,000 00 | | | |
| Tot | als | \$3,315,632 59 | \$558,000 00 | | \$1,153,000 00 |
| | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Sulli 2. Esse | vanx | \$173,431 75 54,000 00 | | | \$154,000 00 54,000 00 |
| 3. Orle: | ans | 89,350 00 78,000 00 | | | 15,000 00 |
| 5. Gree | ne | 288,500 00 | | | 188,000 00 |
| 6. Sene | caa | 142,223 35 24,000 00 | | | $\begin{array}{c} 102,000 & 00 \\ 24,000 & 00 \end{array}$ |
| 8. Lewi | sharie | 26,555 70 39,000 00 | | | 4,000 00 30,000 00 |
| 10. Yate | es | | | | |
| 11. Putr 12. Schu | ıamyler | 117,000 00 25,360 00 | | | $34,000 00 \\ 25,360 00$ |
| 13. Ham | ilton | 151,000 00 | \$151,000 00 | | 25,000 00 |
| Tota | als | \$1,208,420 80 | \$151,000 00 | | \$630,360 00 |

County Debt of 1914

Indebtedness — concluded

| | | 1 | - | T | Current | |
|---|-------------------|---|--|--------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Construction of highways | Other purposes | Total | The second secon | Temporary loans | expenses unpaid | |
| V | | | -11 | | 1 | |
| 189,000 00 | | \$150,000 0 334,000 0 372,000 0 117,165 0 | 0 0 | \$20,540 72 97,800 00 | | |
| 100,000 00 | | 216,000 0 75,000 0 351,000 0 | ó | 21,747 77 | | |
| 105,000 00 56,000 00 | \$17,100 00 | 533,000 0 120,000 0 344,100 0 | 0 | 22,000 00 | | |
| 33,292 38 | | 250,000 0 33,292 3 | | 5,776 82 | \$209 90 | |
| 90,000 00 | | 90,000 0 | 0 | 20,000 00 | | |
| \$1,369,457 38 | \$17,100 00 | \$3,097,557 3 | 8 = | \$217,865 31 | \$209 90 | |
| \$19,431 75 74,350 00 78,000 00 100,500 00 | | \$173,431 7 54,000 0 89,350 0 78,000 0 288,500 0 | 0 | | | |
| 40,223 35 22,555 70 | | 142,223 3 24,000 0 26,555 7 30,000 0 | 0 0 | \$9,000 00 | | |
| 83,000 00 | | $\begin{array}{c} 117,000 & 0 \\ 25,360 & 0 \\ 151,000 & 0 \end{array}$ |) . | | | |
| \$418,060 80 | | \$1,199,420 8 | 5 | \$9,000 00 | | |



TABLE 37

Comparative Statement of County Indebtedness 1909-1914

For the purpose of showing the trend of county financing upon the county indebtedness, a comparison is here made of the indebtedness as reported in 1909 and the indebtedness as reported in 1914.

Comments:

It will be seen from the summary table that the building bonds of the counties during the five years have increased 14.02 per cent; that the highway bonds of the counties have more than doubled (increase 114.88 per cent) during the same period, while there has been a decrease in the temporary loans and bonds for purposes other than the construction of buildings and highways.

At the rate of increase here shown the county indebtedness would be doubled in twenty years.

Table 37 — Comparative Statement

| | | Ini | DEBTEDNESS OF | 1909 | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | Building bonds | Highway bonds | Other bonds | Temporary loans | Total, 1909 | Total, 1914 |
| Class I Class II Class III Class IV Class IV | \$1,966,000 00 2,963,000 00 1,398,500 00 693,000 00 382,500 00 | 746,000 00 | \$699,855,55 775,000,00 1,604,406,08 589,600,00 20,000,00 | 146,399 80 449,705 77 188,297 30 | \$3,952,208 63 4,630,399 80 4,609,611 85 2,689,358 18 568,920 00 | \$4,455,636 51 5,228,649 37 6,817,524 96 3,315,632 59 1,208,420 80 |
| State Excesses 1 Percentages 2 | | | 3 571,269 63 | 3 392,157 70 | \$16,450,498 46 | \$21,025,864 23 44,575,365 77 27.81% |
| COUNTY | | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Erie | \$627,500 00 550,000 00 788,500 00 | \$697,500 00 | \$699,855 55 | \$588,853 08 | \$1,325,000 00 550,000 00 2,077,208 63 | \$1,575,000 00 250,000 00 2,630,636 51 |
| Totals | \$1,966,000 00 | \$697,500 00 | \$699,855 55 | \$588,853 08 | \$3,952,208 63 | \$4,455,636 51 |
| l | , | | | | | |
| 1. Onondaga 2. Albany | \$1,540,000 00 235,000 00 | \$481,000 00 | (\$325,000 00 48,000 00 | 1 | \$1,553,000 00 1,089,000 00 | CLASS \$1,565,000 00 1,554,500 00 |
| 3. Oneida | 775,000 00 | 140,000 00 | 150,000 00 152,000 00 | | 1,065,000 00 | 1,050,649 37 |
| 4. Rensselaer | 413,000 00 | 125,000 00 | | 133,399 80 | 923,399 80 | 1,058,500 00 |
| Totals | \$2,963,000 00 | \$746,000 00 | \$775,000 00 | \$146,399 80 | \$1,630,399 80 | \$5,228,649 37 |
| ı | | | | | | |
| 1. Orange | \$20,000 00 | \$500,000,00 | | \$139,200 00 | \$659,200 00] | CLASS \$954,200 00 |
| 2. Chautauqua. | 104,000 00 | | | 38,755 05 | 142,755 05 137,050 00 | 94,000 00 334,625 00 |
| 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara | | | \$416,000 00 | 62,050 00 | | 50,000 00 |
| 5. Ulster | 169,000 00 | | | | 1 | 604,000 00 |
| 6. St. Lawrence 7. Schenectady. | 35,000 00 188,000 00 | | 200,000 00 | | $35,000 00 \\ 388,000 00$ | 170,000 00 881,000 00 |
| 8. Dutchess 9. Nassau | 245,500 00 | 285 000 00 | 970,406 08 | 74,635 52 50,000 00 | 320,135 52 1,555,406 08 | $\begin{array}{c} 231,053 & 61 \\ 2,817,223 & 55 \end{array}$ |
| 10. Steuben | 55,000 00 | 205,000 00 | 370, 100 00 | | 55,000 00 | 50,000 00 |
| 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego | 85,000 00 | 140,000 00 11,000 00 | 18,000 00 | 42,500 00 | $\begin{array}{c} 270,000 & 00 \\ 42,500 & 00 \\ 114,000 & 00 \end{array}$ | 210,000 00 10,000 00 384,422 80 |
| 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus . | 42,000 00 | | | 22,000 00 10,000 00 | 22,000 00 52,000 00 | 27,000 00 |
| Totals | \$1,398,500 00 | | \$1,604,406 08 | \$449,705 77 | \$4,609,611 85 | \$6,817,524 96 |

¹Increase or decrease during period, ³ Decrease during period,

of County Indebtedness, 1909-1914

| I | NDEBTEDNESS OF | 1914 | | For I | PERIOD | |
|--|--|----------------|--|--|------------------------|---|
| Building bonds | Highway bonds | Other bonds | Temporary loans | Net increase | Net decrease | |
| \$1,334,675 00 3,154,750 00 2,168,109 34 1,153,000 00 630,360 00 | 3,566,171 95 | 575,100 00 | 199,649 37 545,243 67 218,075 21 | \$503,427 88 598,249 57 2,207,913 11 626,274 41 639,500 80 | | I II IV V |
| \$8,440,894 34 41,037,894 34 14.02% | \$8,418,659 64 44,500,898 76 114.88% | \$3,117,592 00 | \$1,048,718 25 | \$4,575,365 77 | | |
| ī | | | | | | |
| \$320,000 00 250,000 00 764,675 00 | \$1,255,000 00 620,469 51 | \$1,168,742 00 | \$76,750 00 | \$250,000 00 553,427 88 | \$300,000 00 | $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| \$1,334,675 00 | \$1,875,469 51 | \$1,168,742 00 | \$76,750 00 | \$803,427 88 | \$300,000 00 | |
| | | | | | | 1 |
| II | | | | | | |
| \$1,415,000 00 563,750 00 | \$752,000 00 | \$182,000 00 | \$150,000 00 | \$12,000 00 465,500 00 | | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 621,000 00 | 20,000 00 | 56,750 00 | 49,649 37 | | 14,350 63 | 3 |
| 555,000 00 | 417,500 00 | | | 135,100 20 | | 4 |
| | | | | | | |
| \$3,154,750 00 | \$1,189,500 00 | \$684,750 00 | \$199,649 37 | \$612,600 20 | \$14,350 63 | |
| III | | | ' | | | |
| \$55,000 001 | \$675,000 001 | | \$224,200 00 | \$295,000 00 | | 1 |
| 26,000 00 205,000 00 | 68,000 00 105,000 00 | | 24,625 00 | 197,575 00 | \$48,755 05 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 25,000 00 | 25,000 00 | 2004 000 00 | | 50,000 00 | | 4 |
| 153,000 00 | 147,000 00 | \$304,000 00 | | | 212,565 20 | 5 |
| 656,000 00 | 170,000 00 | 150,000 00 | 75,000 00 | 135,000 00 493,000 00 | | 6 7 8 |
| 211,500 00 | | | 19,353 61 | | 89,081 91 | 8 |
| 617,609 34 20,000 00 | 2,007,749 15 30,000 00 | | 191,865 06 | 1,261,817 47 | 5,000 00 | 9 |
| 85,000 00 | 125,000 00 | | | | 60,000 00 | 11 |
| 87,000 00 | 213,422 80 | ∫ 8,000 00 | 10,000 00 | 270,422 80 | 32,500 00 | 12 |
| 01,000 00 | 210,722 00 | 76,000 00 | } | 210,422 80 | | |
| 27,000 00 | | | | | 22,000 00 25,000 00 | 14 15 |
| \$2,168,109 34 | \$3,566,171 95 | \$538,000 00 | \$545,243 67 | \$2,702,815 27 | \$494,902 16 | |
| | | | | | | |

Increase or decrease divided by outstanding debt in 1909,
 Increase during period,

Table 37 — Comparative Statement of

| | | Lun | EBTEDNESS OF | 1000 | | |
|---|--------------------------|--|----------------|----------------------|--|--|
| COUNTY | |) | l | | • | |
| | Building bonds | Highway bonds | Other bonds | Temporary loans | Total, 1909 | Total, 1914 |
| | | | | | 1 | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga 2. Montgomery | \$49,000 00 11,000 00 | \$100,000 00 100,000 00 | | | \$149,000 00 111,000 00 | \$150,000 0 354,540 7 |
| 3. Herkimer 4. Chemung 5. Ontario | 5,000 00 106,000 00 | 300,000 00 130,460 88 141,000 00 | | \$11,700 00 | 311,700 00 135,460 68 247,000 00 | 469,800 0 117,165 0 |
| 6. Wayne 7. Clinton 8. Washington | 62,000 00 | 137,000 00 | \$36,000 00 | 20,620 25 | 252,000 00 20,620 25 | 216,000 0 21,747 7 |
| 9. Otsego 0. Rockland | | 77,000 00 | 422,000 00 | 60,000 00 | 60,000 00 499,000 00 | 75,000 0 381,000 0 |
| 1. Franklin 2. Delaware | | | | 37 924 12 | 37,924 12 | 533,000 0 22,000 0 120,000 0 |
| 3. Fulton 4. Columbia 5. Allegany | 215,000 00 | | 196,600 00 | | 351,600 00 | 344,100 0 |
| 6. Madison 7. Livingston 8. Genesee | 215,000 00 | | | 21,008 45 | 236,008 45 | 250,000 0 33,502 2 |
| 9. Chenango 0. Tompkins | | 65,000 00 | | 18,014 48 | 18,044 48 65,000 00 | 5,776 8 112,000 0 |
| 1. Warren 2. Cortland | | $\substack{142,000 \ 00 \\ 26,000 \ 00}$ | 25,000 00 | 2,000 00 | 167,000 00 28,000 00 | 110,000 0 |
| Totals | \$693,000 00 | \$1,218,460 88 | \$589,600 00 | \$188,297 30 | \$2,639,358 18 | \$3,315,632 5 |
| | | | | | | CLAS |
| I. Sullivan 2. Essex 3. Orleans | \$150,000 00 | | | \$13,120 00 | | \$173,431 7 54,000 0 |
| 3. Orleans | | | | | 126,800 00 | 89,350 0 78,000 0 |
| 5. Greene | | | | | 178,000 00 | 288,500 0 |
| 6. Seneca | 500 00 | | | | 500 00 | 142,223 3 24,000 0 |
| 7. Tioga | | | | 8,000 00 7,500 00 | 8,000 00 7,500 00 | 26,555 7 39,000 0 |
| 1. Putnam 2. Schuyler | | 12,000 00 | | | 35,000 00 | $\begin{array}{c} 117,000 \\ 25,360 \end{array}$ |
| 3. Hamilton | | | \$20,000 00 | 30,000 00 | 50,000 00 | 151,000 0 |
| Totals | \$382,500 00 | \$98,800 00 | \$20,000 00 | \$67,620 00 | \$568,920 00 | \$1,208,420 8 |

County Indebtedness, 1909-1914 — concluded

| Ini | DEBTEDNESS OF 1 | 914 | | For I | ERIOD | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Building bonds | Highway bonds | Other bonds | Temporary loans | Net increase | Net decrease | |
| IV | | | | | | |
| \$95,000 00 | | \$50,000 00 | \$20,540 72 97,800 00 | \$1,000 00 243,540 72 158,100 00 | | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 20,000 00 | 97,165 00 | | | | \$18,295 88 247,000 00 | 5 |
| 60,000 00 | 108,000 00 | 48,000 00 | 91 747 77 | 1 107 50 | 36,000 00 | 6 7 8 9 |
| 15,000 00 | 60,000 00 | 351,000 00 | 21,747 77 30,000 00 | 1,127 52 15,000 00 | 118,000 00 | 8 9 10 |
| 500,000 00 . | | 33,000 00 | 22,000 00 | 533,000 00 | 15,924 12 | 11 12 |
| 15,000 00 195,000 00 | 105,000 00 56,000 00 | 93.100 00 | | 120,000 00 | 7,500 00 | 13 14 15 |
| 250,000 00 | 33,292 38 | | 209 90 | 13,991 55 33,502 28 | | 16 |
| 3,000 00 | | | 5,776 82 | | 12,267 66 | 18 19 |
| 3,009 00 | 109,000 00 90,000 00 | | 20,000 00 | 47,000 00 | 57,000 00 | 20 21 |
| \$1,153,000 00 | \$1,369,457 38 | \$575,100 00 | \$218,075 21 | \$1,166,262 07 | 28,000 00 \$539,987 66 | 22 |
| V | | | | | | ĺ |
| \$154,000 00 54,000 00 | | | | \$10,311 75 54,000 00 | | 1 2 |
| 15,000 00 | 78,000 00 | | | 78,000 00 110,500 00 | \$37,450 00 | 2 3 4 5 |
| 102,000 00 24,000 00 | | | | 141,723 35 24,000 00 | | 6 7 8 |
| 4,000 00 30,000 00 | | | \$9,000 00 | 18,555 70 31,500 00 | | 8 9 10 |
| 34,000 00 25,360 00 | 83,000 00 | | | 82,000 00 25,360 00 | | 11 |
| 20,000 00 . | | \$151,000 00 | | 101,000 06 | | 12 13 |
| \$630,360 00 | \$418,060 80 | \$151,000 00 | \$9,000 00 | \$676,950 80 | \$37,450 00 | |



TABLE 38

CHARACTER OF INCREASE IN COUNTY DEBT

In order to show in detail the character of the increase in the county debt, computations have been made showing in this table the gross amount of increase or decrease in the building, highway and refunding bonds of the several counties, the revenue bonds and temporary loans (both debts created for payment of current expenditures) and other bonds issued under special acts for extraordinary purposes.

Percentage of increase or decrease:

The percentage of such increase or decrease to the outstanding debt of 1909 for each specific purpose has been computed for each class and for the State. It may be computed for any county from the gross amounts given in this table and in Table 37.

Table 38 — Character of

| | Building Bonds | | Highway | Bonds | REVENUE BONDS AND TEMPORARY LOANS | |
|---|--|--------------|--|----------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | Increase | Decrease | Increase | Decrease | Increase | Decrease |
| Class I. Class II. Class III. Class III. Class IV. Class V. | \$191,759 00 769,609 34 460,000 00 | \$631,325 00 | $\begin{bmatrix} 443,590&00\\ 2,409,171&95\\ 150,996&50 \end{bmatrix}$ | | 3,600 20 103,537 90 | |
| StateNet | | \$631,325 00 | \$4,500,898 76 4,500,898 76 | | \$142,845 03 84,225 03 | \$58,620 00 |

Percentages of

| | Building Bonds | | | Highway Bonds | | | |
|--------------------|--|---------------|------------|---|---------------|----------|--|
| | Outstanding | PERCENTAGE OF | | Outstanding | PERCENTAGE OF | | |
| | 1909 | Increase | Decrease | 1909 1 | Increase | Decrease | |
| Class II | \$1,966,000 00 2,963,000 00 | % 6.47 | % 32.11 | \$697,500 00 746,000 00 | 58.91 | % | |
| Class III Class IV | 1,398,500 00 693,000 00 382,500 00 | 66.37 | | 1,157,000 00 1,218,460 88 98,800 00 | 12.39 | | |
| State | \$7,403,000 00 | 14.02 | | \$3,917,760 88 | 114.88 | | |

| | Other, Bonds | | | |
|---------|--------------|----------|----------|--|
| | Outstanding | PERCEN | TAGE OF | |
| | 1909 2 | Increase | Decrease | |
| Class I | 18,000 00 | 322 22 | 43.70 | |
| State | \$450,445 33 | 75.45 | | |

¹ From Table 37.

Increase of County Debt

| Refunding Bonds | | Other Bonds | | TOTAL | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Increase | Decrease | Increase | Decrease | Net increase | Net decrease | |
| | 1,132,403 08 1,000 00 | \$336,795 30 58,000 00 | \$41,600 63 13,290 10 | 598,249 57 2,207,913 11 626,274 41 | | . I II III IV V |
| \$132,000 00 | \$1,519,556 93 1,387,556 93 | \$394,795 30 | \$54,890 73 | \$4,575,365 77 | | |

Increase or Decrease

| REVENUE BONDS AND TEMPORARY LOANS | | | Refunding Bonds | | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----|
| Outstanding | PERCENTAGE OF | | Outstanding | PERCENTAGE OF | | |
| 1909 2 | Increase | Decrease | 1909 2 | Increase | Decrease | |
| \$588,853 05 196,049 17 449,705 77 | % 1.04 1.84 23.03 | % | 627,000 (| % | %97.48 71.38 | |
| 188,297 30 | 15.70 | 86.82 | 559,000 (20,000 (| 655.00 | .18 | |
| \$1,490,525 32 | 5.65 | | \$3,188,556 9 | 3 | 43.52 | Sta |

| | Total Debt | | | |
|----------------------------|--|--------------------------|----------|--|
| | Outstanding | PERCEN | TAGE OF | |
| | 1909 | Increase | Decrease | |
| Class I | \$3,952,208 63 4,630,399 80 | % 12.74 12.92 | % | |
| Class III Class IV Class V | 4,609,611 85 2,689,358 18 586,920 00 | 46.73 23.29 108.89 | | |
| State | \$16,450,498 46 | 27.81 | | |

² Computations from Tables 36 and 37.

Table 38 — Character of Increase

| COUNTY | Building Bonds | | Highway | Bonds | REVENUE BONDS AND TEMPORARY LOANS | |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| | Increase . | Decrease | Increase | Decrease | Increase | Decrease |
| | | | | , | 1 | CLASS |
| 1. Erie | | | | [|] | |
| 2. Monroe 3. Westchester | | 303,003 00 23,825 00 | 620,469 51 | | \$6,138 92 | |
| Net | | \$621,325 00 631,325 00 | \$1,177,969 51 1,177,969 51 | | \$6,138 92 6,138 92 | |
| , | 11 | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga | | \$125,000 00 | | | | |
| 2. Albany 3. Oneida 4. Rensselaer | 1 | 154,000 00 | 1 | \$120,000 00 | | |
| Totals | 191,750 00 | \$279,000 00 | 443.500.00 | | \$137,000 00 3,600 20 | [|
| | | | 1 | | | CLASS |
| 1. Orange | \$35,000 00 | | \$175,000 00 | | \$85,000 00 | 200 555 05 |
| 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk | 130,000 00 | | 105,000 00 | | | 37,425 00 |
| 4. Niagara 5. Ulster | 25,000 00 | | 25,000 00 | \$74,000 00 | | 10,565 20 |
| 6. St. Lawrence. | 440,000,00 | 35,000 00 | 170,000 00 | | | |
| 7. Schenectady 8. Dutchess | 468,000 00 | 34.000 00 | | | 75,000 00 | 55.081 91 |
| 9. Nassau 10. Steuben | 367,609 34 | 35,000 00 | 1.722,749 15 30,000 00 | | 141,865 06 | |
| 11. Jefferson | | | | | | |
| 12. Broome | 2,000 00 | | 202,422 80 | | 8,000 00 | |
| 14. Cayuga | | | | | | 22,000 00 10,000 00 |
| Totals | \$1,027,609 34 769,609 34 | \$258,000 00 | \$2,498,171 95 2,409,171 95 | \$89,000 00 | \$309,865 06 103,537 90 | \$206,327 16 |

of County Debt — continued

| Refundi | ng Bonds | MISCELLANEO | ous Bonds | FOR PE | CRIOD | |
|--------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Increase | Decrease | Increase | Decrease | Net increase | Net decrease | |
| I | 1 | ' | | | 11 | |
| | | | | \$250,000 00 | | 1 |
| | \$386,150 85 | \$336,795 30 | | 553,427 88 | \$300,000 00 | $\frac{2}{3}$ |
| | \$386,150 85 386,150 85 | \$336,795 30 336,795 30 | | \$803,427 88 503,427 88 | | |
| II | | | | , | | |
| | | | | | | 1 |
| \$210,000 00 | \$143,000 00 | \$8,750 00 49,649 37 | | 465,500 00 | \$14,350 63 | 2 3 |
| | 00 000 00 | 13,013 31 | \$100,000 00 | 135,100 20 | | 4 |
| \$210,000 00 | | \$58,399 37 | | \$612,600 20 | \$14,350 63 | |
| 1,000 00 | . , | | 41,600 63 | 598,249 57 | | |
| | | | | |][] | |
| III | | | | | | |
| | | | | \$295,000 00 | \$48,755 05 | 1 |
| | | | | 197,575 00 | \$48,755 05 | $\frac{2}{3}$ |
| | | | | 50,000 00 | <i></i> | 4 |
| | \$112,000 00 | | | | 212,565 20 | 5 |
| | | | | 135,000 00 | | 6 |
| | 50,000 00 | | | 493,000 00 | | 6 7 |
| | | | | | 89,081 91 | 8 |
| | 970,406 08 | | | 1,261,817 47 | | 9 |
| | | | | | 5,000 00 | 10 |
| 4.1 | | | | | 60,000 00 | 11 |
| | | | | | 32,500 00 | 12 |
| | | \$58,000 00 | | 270,422 80 | 22,000 00 | 13 14 |
| | | | | | 25,000 00 | 15 |
| | | | | | | 10 |
| | \$1,132,406 08 | \$58,000 00 | | \$2,702,815 27 2,207,913 11 | \$494,902 16 | |
| *********** | 1,132,406 08 | 58,000 00 | | 2,207,913 11 | | |
| | | | | | | |

Table 38 — Character of Increase

| COUNTY | Building Bonds | | HIGHWAY BONDS | | REVENUE BONDS AND TEMPORARY LOANS | |
|--|-------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| COUNTY | Increase | Decrease | Increase | Decrease | Increase | Decrease |
| | | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga | \$81,000 00 | \$49,000 00 | 89,000 00 | | \$20,540 72 | |
| 4. Chemung | 10,000,00 | | | \$33,295 88 | 86,100 00 | |
| 5. Ontario | | | | | | |
| 6. Wayne 7. Clinton | | 2,000 00 | | 29,000 00 | 1 107 70 | \$17,000 00 |
| 8. Washington 9. Otsego 10. Rockland | 15,000 00 | | 60,000 00 | 77,000 00 | 1,127 52 | 60,000 00 |
| 11. Franklin | | 1 | | | | |
| 12. Delaware 13. Fulton 14. Columbia | 15.000.00 | | 105.000.00 | | | 15,924 12 |
| 14. Columbia 15. Allegany | | 50,000 00 | 105,000 00 56,000 00 | | | |
| 16. Madison | 35,000 00 | | | | | |
| 16. Madison 17. Livingston 18. Genesee | | | 33,292 38 | | | |
| 19. Chenango 20. Tompkins | 3,000 00 | | 44,000 00 | | | |
| 21. Warren 22. Cortland | | | | 52,000 00 26,000 00 | | 2,000 00 |
| Totals | | \$207.000 00 | \$509.292.38 | \$358.295.88 | \$157,768,24 | \$128 200 23 |
| Net | 460,000 00 | | 150,993 50 | | 29,568 01 | |
| | | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan | \$1,000 00 54,000 00 | | \$19,431 75 | | | \$13,120 00 |
| 3. Orleans 4. Wyoming | | \$25,000 00 | 78,000 00 | | | |
| 5. Greene | 10,000 00 | | 100,500 00 | | | |
| 6. Sencea | 24.000.00 | | | | | |
| 8. Lewis | 4,000 00 30,000 00 | | 22,555 70 | | \$1,500 00 | 8,000 03 |
| 11. Putnam | 20,000 00 | | | | | |
| 12. Schuyler 13. Hamilton | 25,360 00 | | | | | 30,000 00 |
| Totals | | \$25,000 00 | \$331,710 80 319,260 80 | \$12,450 00 | \$1,500 00 | \$60,120 00 58,620 00 |

of County Debt — concluded

| REFUNDI | NG BONDS | Miscellaneo | us Bonds | For Pe | RIOD |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Increase | Decrease | Increase | Decrease | Net increase | Net decrease |
| · | | | | | 1/ |
| | | | | \$1,000 00 243,540 72 | |
| \$50,000 00 | | | | 158,100 00 | ************ |
| | | | | | \$18,295 88 247,000 00 |
| 12.000.00 | | | | | 36,000 00 |
| | | | | 1,127 52 15,000 00 | |
| | \$71,000 00 | | | 13,000 00 | 118,000 00 |
| 33,000 00 | | | | | 15,924 12 |
| | | | | 120,000 00 | |
| | | \ | \$13.590 00 | | 7,500 00 |
| | | | | | |
| • • • • • • • • • • | | \$209 90 | | 33,502 28 | |
| | | | | 47,000 00 | 12,267 66 |
| | | | | 47,000 00 | |
| | 25,000 00 | | | | 57,000 00 28,000 00 |
| \$95,000 00 | \$96,000 00 1,000 00 | \$209 90 | \$13,500 00 13,290 10 | \$1,166,262 07 626,274 41 | |
| | | | ! | | |
| • | | | | | |
| | | | | \$10,311 75 54 000 00 | |
| | | | | | \$37,450 00 |
| | | | | 78,000 00 110,500 00 | |
| | | | | 141,723 35 | |
| | | | | 24,000 00 | |
| | | | | 18,555 70 31,500 00 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | 82,000 00 | |
| \$131,000 00 | | | | 25,360 00 101,000 00 | |
| | | | | l | |
| \$131,000 00 | 1 | 11 | | \$676,950 80 | \$37,450 00 |



County Treasurers

Compensation and Office Expenses, Fiscal Year 1914

This special table has been prepared for the purpose of showing in detail the compensation received by county treasurers during the fiscal year 1914.

Sources of information:

Verified reports of county treasurers.—With the exception of Erie, Monroe, Cortland, Essex, Seneca, Yates and Schuyler counties, verified reports have been filed with this Department by county treasurers for receipts and expenditures for the fiscal year specified.

Reports of county treasurers to boards of supervisors.—
For the above named counties the receipts and expenditures have been compiled by this Department from reports made by county treasurers to their respective boards of supervisors, supplemented in some instances by personal investigation and reports of other county officers.

Defects in reports:

In common with other county officers receiving fees, there seems to be a disposition on the part of the county treasurers to omit from their reports to this Department and from their reports to their boards of supervisors, the amounts retained by them for fees. Where ever it has been possible in the time available for this work, the amounts received and retained by such treasurers so omitted have been determined from the records of this Department and inserted in the proper column.

Accuracy of table:

It is probable that in the compilation of this table some amounts retained by treasurers for fees have been overlooked and are not inserted. It is possible in a few instances that the amount inserted as retained by the county treasurer has been mingled with other receipts and credited to the county under some other title. In general the table may be considered as accurate and as showing too small an amount rather than too large an amount for the fees retained by the treasurers.

Addition of columns for clerical and office expenses:

In a few counties, either by special law or resolution of the board of supervisors, the county treasurer is required to pay for his clerk hire out of the salary allowed him. In order to make the table complete it has seemed best to show the full expenses of the office.

SUMMARIZED STATEMENT

The following statement summarizes the expenses of these offices for the State:

| | ψ111,000 2 1 |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Fees: | |
| Liquor taxes \$30,929 21 | |
| Inheritance taxes | |
| Bank taxes 9,195 10 | |
| State taxes | |
| Court and trust fund fees 2,003 03 | |
| Returned tax fees | |
| · | |
| Total | 85,208 42 |
| | |
| Total treasurers' compensation | \$199,841 69 |
| Expenses for clerks and assistants | |
| Incidental office expenses | |
| | |
| Total cost of offices | \$353,584 41 |
| | #333,001 II |

Discrepancy in amounts reported by county treasurers:

On account of the inclusion in this table of the special detail collected by this office, the amounts contained in this table do not, in all cases, agree with the amounts reported by the county treasurers as given in Table 46.

Table 39 —

SALARY AND COMPENSATION AND

| | | | | FEES | RETAINED |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | Population ¹ | Salary received by treasurer | Liquor taxes ² | Inheritance taxes ³ | Bank taxes 4 |
| Class I | 1,095,252 650,397 1,297,143 989,595 314,344 | \$19,500 00 19,875 00 33,624 97 27,658 30 13,975 00 | \$11,617 74 15,557 29 3,754 18 | \$11,189 05 18.617 85 2,631 79 | \$2,005 14 1,096 42 1,644 20 3,474 82 974 52 |
| State | 4,346,731 | \$114,633 27 | \$30,929 21 | \$32,438 69 | \$9,195 10 |
| COUNTY | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Erie | 528,985 $283,212$ $283,055$ | $\begin{array}{c} \$5,000 & 00 \\ 4,500 & 09 \\ 10,000 & 00 \end{array}$ | | | \$2,005 14 |
| Totals | 1,095,252 | \$19.500 00 | | | \$2,005 14 |
| | | ======================================= | | | 07 + 0/4 |
| 1. Onondaga | 200,298 | \$4,090 00 | 1 | 1 | CLASS |
| 2. Albany | 173,666 154,157 | 5,000 00 4,875 00 | | | \$715 78 |
| 4. Rensselaer | 122,276 | 6,000 00 | | | 380 64 |
| Totals | 650,397 | \$19,875 00, ======= | | | \$1,096 42 |
| | | , | | | · CLASS |
| 1. Orange | 116,001 | \$3,958 33 | | | \$363 30 |
| 2. Chautauqua | $105,126 \\ 96,138$ | $\begin{array}{c} 3,500 & 00 \\ 1,500 & 00 \end{array}$ | \$2,824 69 | | 221 79 |
| 4. Niagara | 92,036 91,769 | $\begin{array}{c} 2,500 00 \\ 2,500 00 \end{array}$ | | | 233 49 |
| 6. St. Lawrence | 89,005 | 1,590 00 | 933 90 | | 216 30 |
| 7. Schenectady | 88,235 87,661 | 1,999 98 | | | 61 80 |
| 8. Dutchess | 83,930 | $\begin{array}{c} 3,750 & 00 \\ 2,000 & 00 \end{array}$ | 3,786 00 | | |
| 10. Steuben | 83,362 | 3,500 00 | | | |
| 11. Jefferson | 80,382 78,809 | 1,000 00 2,500 00 | * | 578 82 | 159 00 |
| 13. Oswego | 71,664 | 1,000 00 | 1,726 42 | 1,816 39 | 116 81 |
| 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus | 67,106 65,919 | 2,000 00 416 66 | 1,265 85 1,080 88 | | 271 71 |
| Totals | 1,297,143 | \$33,624 97 | \$11,617 74 | \$11,189 05 | \$1,644 20 |
| | | | | | |

From Table 1.
 Liquor Tax Law, § 11.
 Tax Law, § 237.
 Tax Law, § 24. See opinion of Attorney-General, May 6, 1912.
 Tax Law, § 91. See opinion of Attorney-General, May 6, 1912.

County Treasurers

EXPENSES, FISCAL YEAR 1914

| BY TREASU | RER | | Total | Expenses | Incidental | Total | |
|--|--|---------------------|---|---|---|--|----------------------------|
| State taxes 5 | Court and trust fund fees ⁶ | Returned tax fees 7 | compensa- tion of treasurer | compensa- tion of clerks | | Total cost of office to county | |
| \$1,236 26 3,308 40 2,182 69 574 79 | \$402 56 507 62 902 92 189 93 | 80 70 | \$21,505 14 25,545 29 61,972 68 68,393 87 22,424 71 | \$48,696 67 17,579 99 32,120 06 7,788 55 1,554 45 | \$12,617 06 3,983 29 13,274 89 13,099 37 3,028 39 | \$82,818 87 47,108 57 107,367 63 89,281 79 27,007 55 | II III IV V |
| \$7,302 14 | \$2,003 03 | \$3,340 25 | \$199,841 69 | \$107,739 72 | \$46,003 00 | \$353,584 41 | State |
| I | | , | | | 1 | | 1 |
| | | | \$7,005 14 4,500 00 10,000 00 | \$29,785 26 10,328 50 8,582 91 | | \$42,113 90 18,182 98 22,521 99 | |
| | | | \$21,505 14 | \$48,696 67 | \$12,617 06 | \$82,818 87 | |
| II | | | | | | ,———— | |
| \$758 01 478 25 | \$328 68 73 88 | \$2,592 40 | \$4,000 00 9,394 87 4,875 00 7,275 42 | \$5,580 00 5,799 99 2,000 00 84,200 00 | \$732 15 651 71 304 21 8 2,295 22 | \$10,312 15 15,846 57 7,179 21 13,770 64 | 1 2 3 4 |
| \$1,236 26 | \$402 56 | \$2,935 05 | \$25,545 29 | \$17,579 99 | \$3,983 29 | \$47,108 57 | |
| III | | | ! | | | | Į |
| \$464 79 | \$254 17 | | \$4,321 63 3,500 00 4,800 65 2,964 79 10,135 38 | \$800 00 6,186 25 1,650 00 2,800 10 | \$180 12 1,200 73 94,385 63 1,575 49 296 83 | \$5,301 75 4,700 73 15,372 53 6,190 28 13,232 31 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 386 67 366 68 812 54 284 46 | * 172 99 | \$16 00 | 3,374 84 2,464 45 4,289 67 6,598 54 3,784 46 | 300 00 3,656 21 1,650 00 9,300 00 | 222 52 622 85 469 66 1,366 90 834 83 | 3,897 36 6,743 51 6,409 33 17,265 44 4,619 29 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| 298 80 287 02 205 05 202 39 | * * * 80 46 | 64 70 | 2,036 62 2,787 02 4,864 67 3,806 60 2,243 36 | 500 00 2,377 50 900 00 1,500 00 500 00 | 136 74 | 2,673 36 5,164 52 5,990 87 6,222 24 3,584 11 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| \$3,308 40 | \$507 62 | \$80 70 | \$61,972 68 | \$32,120 06 | \$13,274 89 | \$107,367 63 | |
| 1 | | | | | | | |

<sup>Code of Civil Procedure, § 3321. See opinion of Attorney-General, May 6, 1912.
Special statutes for certain counties.
Approximate. Not reported by items.
Includes counsel, \$1,171.32; shelving, \$1,704.00.
Not reported.</sup>

Table 39 -SALARY AND COMPENSATION AND

| | | SALAI | RY AND CO | OMPENSATI | ION AND |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|
| | | | | FEES | RETAINED |
| | Population 1 | Salary received by treasurer | Liquor taxes ² | Inheritance taxes ³ | Bank taxes 4 |
| | | 1 | 1 | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga | 61,917 57,567 56,356 54,662 52,286 | \$1,000 00 1,200 00 999 97 1,300 00 3,000 00 | \$2,422 43 1,570 66 * 1,588 23 * | \$877 00 4,534 54 713 84 247 58 | \$120 11 250 66 241 09 120 20 118 07 |
| 6. Wayne | 50,179 48,230 47,778 47,216 46,873 | $\begin{array}{c} 1,100 & 00 \\ 1,000 & 00 \\ 458 & 33 \\ 600 & 00 \\ 3,500 & 00 \end{array}$ | 722 12 703 16 790 59 433 80 1,393 02 | $\begin{array}{c} 652 & 00 \\ 175 & 03 \\ 681 & 69 \\ 435 & 98 \\ 4,506 & 63 \end{array}$ | 106 70 118 06 135 68 74 00 |
| 11. Franklin | 45,717 45,575 44,534 43,658 41,412 | 1,200 00 1,200 00 1,200 00 3,000 00 1,000 00 | 768 59 81 74 916 38 976 02 122 62 | $\begin{array}{c} 258 & 38 \\ 62 & 01 \\ 650 & 61 \\ 1,446 & 63 \\ 558 & 65 \end{array}$ | 129 13 147 55 233 49 136 61 155 14 |
| 16. Madison | 39,289 38,037 37,615 35,575 33,647 | 500 00 1,000 00 1,000 00 800 00 1,000 00 | 528 30 536 61 1,009 53 261 50 | 294 00 147 73 342 80 206 21 537 94 | 985 98 59 97 * |
| 21. Warren | 32,223 29,249 | 1,000 00 600 00 | 731 99 | $\substack{1,030 \ 89 \\ 257 \ 71}$ | 147 23 95 27 |
| Totals | 989,595 | \$27,658 30 | \$15,557 29 | \$18,617 85 | \$3,474 82 |
| | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan 2. Essex 3. Orleans 4. Wyoming 5. Greene | 33,808 33,458 32,000 31,880 30,214 | \$900 00 1,200 00 1,775 00 800 00 1,600 00 | \$894 40 * 727 28 233 10 * | \$73 18 66 34 429 59 242 71 435 72 | \$47 49 54 16 66 63 63 44 75 91 |
| 6. Seneca 7. Tioga 8. Lewis 9. Schoharie 10. Yates | 26,972 25,624 24,849 23,855 18,642 | $\begin{array}{ccc} 1,250&00\\ 650&00\\ 850&00\\ 500&00\\ 650&00 \end{array}$ | 575 35 383 48 416 79 221 84 4 50 | 116 07 235 10 156 72 142 78 161 82 | 415 30 79 03 27 14 53 57 46 31 |
| 11. Putnam. 12. Schuyler. 13. Hamilton. | 14,665 14,004 4,373 | $\begin{array}{c} 1,800 & 00 \\ 500 & 00 \\ 1,500 & 00 \end{array}$ | 245 39 52 05 * | 521 91 49 85 | 32 72 12 82 * |
| Totals | 314,344 | \$13,975 00 | \$3,754 18 | \$2,631 79 | \$974 52 |

^{*}Not reported.

1 From Table 1.

2 Liquor Tax Law, § 11.

3 Tax Law, § 237.

County Treasurers

EXPENSES, FISCAL YEAR 1914 — concluded

| | | | | 11 | | | 1 | _ | 1 | 11 |
|---|--|----------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--|-------------|
| State taxes 5 | Court and trust fund fees ⁶ | | Returned tax fees 7 | Total compens. | | Expenses for clerks and assistants | Incident office expense | | Total cost of office to county | |
| IV | | | | } | | | | | | - |
| \$196 36 * 206 95 | \$73 20 37 | 31 | | \$4,493 7,772 1,954 3,500 | $\frac{32}{53}$ $\frac{90}{24}$ | \$2.100 00 | \$1,900 1,259 565 | 34 64 69 | \$6,393 66 9,032 17 2,520 59 5,600 24 | |
| 53 73 | | * | | | | \$2,100 00 735 00 | | | | |
| 98 00 233 93 160 70 181 82 | 172 131 36 40 | 41 | | 2,753 2,225 2,336 1,671 9,655 | $66 \\ 97 \\ 28 \\ 47$ | 493 26 370 55 1,000 00 | 881 397 217 830 1,048 | 10 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,127 & 53 \\ 2,622 & 76 \\ 2,554 & 50 \\ 2,872 & 77 \\ 11,704 & 37 \end{array}$ | |
| 84 35 168 33 137 15 | | 37 33 08 | | 2,436 1,561 3,103 5,802 1,973 | 82 67 16 67 56 | 220 00 1,500 00 | 552 58 1,369 259 | 64 73 26 50 | 3,389 46 1,620 40 3,323 16 8,671 93 2,233 06 | 1 1 |
| 129 71 182 95 * 113 61 129 82 | 94 20 | 76 | | | 42 02 33 32 | 19 74 650 00 300 00 | 384 380 1,112 189 | 27 24 54 | 2,936 43 2,978 26 3,464 87 1,870 74 1,917 01 | 1 1 |
| 105 28 | $\begin{smallmatrix} 9\\21\end{smallmatrix}$ | 36 35 | | 2,919 1,079 | 47 61 | | 678 81 | 91 50 | 3,598 38 1,161 11 | 2 2 |
| \$2,182 69 | \$902 | 92 | | \$68,393 | 87 | \$7,788 55 | | | \$89,281 79 | 11 |
| V | | | | 11 | | | 1 | | | 11 |
| \$99 70 136 92 | \$62 17 | 08 | \$84 00 | \$2,076 1,320 3,236 1,339 2,111 | 40 | \$499 98 186 67 550 00 | | | \$2,956 15 1,748 78 4,167 77 1,339 25 2,833 84 | |
| 102 30 87 73 70 90 77 24 | 18 16 | 93 | * | 2,459 1,435 1,540 934 939 | 02 34 48 93 87 | | 165 379 189 115 | 00 90 13 00 | 2,459 02 1,600 34 1,920 38 1,124 06 1,054 87 | |
| | 75 | 12 | 240 50 | 2,915 614 1,500 | 64 72 00 | 17 80 300 00 | 111 308 34 | 95 68 30 | 3,027 59 941 20 1,834 30 | 1 1 1 |
| \$574 79 | \$189 | 93 | \$324 50 | \$22,424 | 71 | \$1.554.45 | \$3.028 | 39 | \$27,007 55 | |

⁴ Tax Law, § 24. See opinion of Attorney-General, May 6, 1912.
⁵ Tax Law, § 91. See opinion of Attorney-General, May 6, 1912.
⁶ Code of Civil Procedure, § 3321. See opinion of Attorney-General, May 6, 1912.
⁷ Special statutes for certain counties.

Expenditures for Coroners

Purpose of this table:

This special table has been prepared with a view to showing in detail the expenditures of the several counties for coroners.

Special data obtained:

In preparing this table reports of county treasurers have been followed so far as they gave complete and detailed information, but in a large number of counties gross amounts were given for the expenditures for this office, and it has become necessary to obtain detailed information required either by investigation by examiners of this Department or by correspondence with county treasurers or other county officers. Even with such special investigation it will be seen that the table is far from perfect.

As a result of this special investigation, it will be noted that the amounts so expended as shown by this table do not in all cases agree with the amounts reported by county treasurers as shown in Table 57.

Salaries or fees and mileage:

Coroners' fees and mileage are fixed by statute, but in some counties, under authority of the County Law, salaries have been fixed in lieu thereof.

Coroner's physician:

Includes all services of physicians employed by the coroners under authority of section 194 of the County Law.

Traveling expenses:

Postage, stationery and office incidentals:

Telephone and telegraph:

Office furniture and fixtures:

These items should include only expenses of this nature for salaried coroners.

Often, usually illegally, allowances for these expenses have been claimed by and paid to coroners compensated by fees.

Expenses of autopsies:

Rent of room, etc., incident to the performance of autopsies.

Expenses of inquests:

Stenographers, interpreters, etc., incident to the holding of an inquest.

Burials:

Improperly directed by the coroners and reported as a part of the expense of these offices.

Should have been in charge of poor authorities and, if county charges, included as a part of expenses for charities.

Table 40 -

EXPENDITURES OF

| | Total 1 | Salaries or fees and mileage | Coroners' physicians | Traveling expenses | Postage, stationery and office incidentals |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Class I. Class II. Class III. Class IV. Class V | \$54,326 08 34,978 96 52,501 30 33,218 26 13,184 57 | \$18,991 52 16,920 42 24,874 89 19,451 85 6,472 01 | \$11,276 84 6,054 00 12,570 87 5,326 13 2,468 10 | \$6,974 63 305 34 183 68 218 93 392 87 | \$691 67 226 73 598 89 210 65 3 50 |
| State | \$188,209 17 | \$86,710 69 | \$37,695 94 | \$8,075 45 | \$1,731 44 |
| | | | | | |
| COUNTY 1. Erie | \$15,283 45 | \$6,325 001 | | \$1,159 93 | CLASS \$360 17 |
| 2. Monroe 3. Westchester | 13,397 64 25,644 99 | 3,999 88 3 8,666 64 | \$1,274 84 10,002 00 | 960 00 4,854 70 | 95 51 235 99 |
| Totals | \$54,326 08 | \$18,991 52 | \$11,276 84 | \$6,974 63 | \$691 67 |
| 1. Onondaga | \$9,210 83 10,359 36 9,262 96 6,145 81 | \$2,451 31 6,875 00 5,435 87 2,158 24 | \$600 00 3,405 00 2,049 00 | \$107 50 197 84 | CLASS \$78 23 148 50 |
| Totals | \$34,978 96 | \$16,920 42 | \$6,054 00 | \$305 34 | \$226 73 |
| 1. Orange | \$4,959 00] | \$3,275 00 | \$1,378 00 | \$152 68 | CLASS \$27 00 |
| 2. Chautauqua | 1,558 75 3,524 09 | 1,339 65 2,713 81 | 170 10 475 00 | | 6 00 |
| 3. Suffolk | 5,360 31 1,500 00 | 2,265 35 31,500 00 | 1,110 00 | | 23 92 |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess. 9. Nassau. 10. Steuben. | 2,152 30 3,052 41 8,978 20 3,183 80 1,770 26 | 1,513 99 1,625 00 4,961 70 1,537 15 1,600 00 | 592 90 708 22 4,016 50 793 55 | 29 00 | |
| 11. Jefferson | 2,412 80 4,964 03 4,069 87 3,068 18 1,947 30 | 1,042 95 2,147 57 3,195 52 691 55 427 35 | 382 15 560 10 2,241 65 142 70 | 2 00 | 5 30 |
| Totals | \$52,501 30 | \$29,836 59 | \$12,570 87 | \$183 68 | \$598 89 |
| | | | | | |

¹ Does not include burials. See last column.
² Employees, \$3,027.40; morgue maintenance, \$644.98; morgue employees, \$751.94; removal of bodies, \$938.00.

Coroners

1914 FOR CORONERS

| Telephone and telegraph | Office furniture and fixtures | Expenses of autopsies | Expenses of inquests | Not classified and sundries | Burials | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| \$832 78 344 94 191 71 228 28 38 60 | 10 60 | \$2,526 20 2,234 27 2,266 27 2,354 22 806 98 | 3,237 68 5,527 78 4,533 91 | \$5,362 32 5,644 98 6,287 21 894 29 2,532 04 | \$2,388 50 2,277 60 1,989 00 646 65 | I III IV V |
| \$1,636 31 | \$1,148 72 | \$10,187 94 | \$20,301 84 | \$20,720 84 | \$7,301 75 | State |
| I | | | | | | ı |
| \$231 53 376 69 | \$1,138 12 | \$2,261 20 265 00 | \$3,807 50 1,063 40 1,661 10 | ² \$5,362 32 | \$1,171 00 1,217 50 | $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| \$832 78 | \$1,138 12 | \$2,526 20 | \$6,532 00 | \$5,362 32 | \$2,388 50 | |
| II | | | | | , | |
| \$79 36 259 28 6 30 | \$10 60 | | \$2,480 68 757 00 | | | $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3\\4 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| \$344 94 | \$10 60 | \$2,234 27 | | | | |
| \$42 33 24 54 | | \$126 32 35 00 205 00 840 00 | \$8 00 70 80 1,096 50 | \$17 15 | \$344 00 610 00 220 00 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 91 44 | | 89 30 | 45 41 15 75 | 493 70 | 416 00 | 6 7 8 |
| | | 92 50 | 1 853 10 | 70 00 | 125 00 | 9 |
| | | 510 00 | | 5 282 66 | 358 00 | 11 12 |
| 33 40 | | 6 65 40 00 321 50 | 268 90 94 98 | | 131 00 73 60 | 13 14 15 |
| \$191 71 | | \$2,266 27 | | \$1,325 51 | | |
| ====== | | | | ====== | | |

<sup>Salaries.
Morgue expenses, \$1,960.79; "expenses," \$3,198.76.
Amount paid administrator.</sup>

Table 40 —

Expenditures for 1914

| DATEMBITORES FOR 1911 | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| COUNTY | Total 1 | Salaries or fees and mileage | Coroners' physicians | Traveling expenses | Postage, stationery and office incidentals | | | | |
| | | | | | CLASS | | | | |
| 1. Saratoga. 2. Montgomery. 3. Herkimer. 4. Chemung. 5. Ontario. | \$3,064 82 1,805 81 1,985 31 1,805 31 2,138 08 | ² \$2,000 00 ² 950 00 939 00 1,000 00 1,012 00 | \$965 00 112 00 20 00 350 00 310 00 | \$35 50 51 00 21 40 | | | | | |
| 6. Wayne | $\begin{array}{c} 1,423 \ 00 \\ 1,911 \ 75 \\ 1,610 \ 04 \\ 1,215 \ 00 \\ 1,752 \ 21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 1,143 & 00 \\ {}^{2}1,000 & 00 \\ 640 & 14 \\ {}^{2}690 & 00 \\ {}^{2}1,000 & 00 \end{array}$ | 200 00 736 20 20 00 455 00 3 33 | 57 38 | 35 55 79 00 | | | | |
| 11. Franklin. 12. Delaware 13. Fulton 14. Columbia 15. Allegany. | 1,158 85 638 51 946 25 4,490 79 601 83 | 1,002 90 ² 685 00 2,584 74 517 73 | 260 00 35 00 | 1 25 12 00 | | | | | |
| 16. Madison. 17. Livingston. 18. Genesee. 19. Chenango. 20. Tompkins. | $\begin{array}{c} 1,697\ 70\\ 1,143\ 19\\ 1,534\ 61\\ 789\ 15\\ 212\ 20\\ \end{array}$ | 972 65 635 79 1,163 63 489 50 2100 00 | | 40 40 | | | | | |
| 21. Warren | 993 00 300 85 | ² 700 00 225 77 | 288 00 59 00 | | | | | | |
| Totals | \$33,218 26 | \$19,451 85 | \$5,326 13 | \$218 93 | \$210 65 | | | | |
| | | | 1 | 1 | CLASS | | | | |
| 1 Sullivan | \$829 20 2,322 65 2,517 04 | \$763 20 1,224 62 | \$48 00 593 50 | | | | | | |
| 4. Wyoming | 704 60 2,013 10 | 342 30 1,112 25 | 334 90 343 25 | 27 40 142 20 | | | | | |
| 6. Seneca 7. Tioga 8. Lewis 9. Schoharie 10. Yates | 1,579 29 495 12 694 12 343 75 378 20 | 659 80 433 12 442 37 253 75 323 20 | 520 00 251 75 65 00 55 00 | 62 00 | | | | | |
| 11. Putnam | 691 95 359 15 256 40 | 479 95 272 75 164 70 | 145 00 20 00 91 70 | 35 50 | \$3 50 | | | | |
| Totals | \$13,184 57 | \$6,472 01 | \$2,468 10 | \$392 87 | \$3 50 | | | | |

¹ Does not include burials. See last column.

Coroners

FOR CORONERS — concluded

| Telephone and telegraph | Office furniture and fixtures | Expenses of autopsies | of of | | Burials | |
|---|--|--|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | | | | | [| |
| IV | | | 200 000 | 820 001 | | |
| | | \$265 00 | \$39 82 361 18 | \$60 00 82 13 | | 1 2 |
| | | 684 52 | 341 79 | | | 2 3 4 |
| \$98 63 7 60 | | 17 90 338 85 | 268 28 447 98 | 16 00 | \$3 00 625 00 | 5 |
| , 00 | | | | | | |
| | | $\begin{array}{c} 30 & 00 \\ 140 & 00 \end{array}$ | 50 00 | | | 6 7 8 |
| | | 190 00 | 759 90 | | | 8 |
| 27 50 | | 140 00 | $70 00 \\ 445 00$ | | 298 00 | 9 |
| 2. 00 | | | | | 222 22 | |
| | | 50 00 | 105 95 | 638 51 | 203 60 | 11 12 |
| | | | | | | 13 |
| 94 55 | | 225 00 40 45 | 1,489 00 1 80 | | 860 00 | 14 15 |
| | | 10 10 | | | | |
| | | 110.00 | 15 30 | 32 25 60 40 | | 16 17 |
| | | 110 00 122 50 | 92 43 | | | 18 |
| | | | 29 40 | | | 19 20 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | 16 08 | 5 00 | | $\frac{21}{22}$ |
| | | | | | | - 22 |
| \$228 28 | | \$2,354 22 | \$4,533 91 | \$894 29 | \$1,989 00 | |
| | | | | | | 1 |
| V | | | | | | . 1 |
| | | \$127 49 | \$10 00 269 27 | | \$332 65 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| | | | | \$2,517 04 | | 2 3 4 5 |
| \$10.60 | | 255 00 | 149 80 | | 314 00 | 5 |
| 010 00 | | | | | | 0 |
| | | 399 49 | | | | 6 7 8 |
| | | | | | | 8 9 |
| | | | | 15 00 | | 10 |
| • | | | | | | |
| 3 00 25 00 | | 25 00 | 41 40 | | | 11 12 |
| 20 00 | | | | | | 13 |
| \$38 60 | | \$806 98 | \$470 47 | \$2,532 04 | \$646 65 | |
| \$38 O |) | φουυ 98 | \$410 41 | \$2,002 04 | φυπυ 03 | |
| | | | | | | |

² Salaries.

EXPENDITURES FOR COUNTY GOVERNMENTAL PURPOSES

The expenditures here included represent all payments by the county treasurer except payments on special trust funds.

Special trust funds:

Under the classification of accounts, as prescribed by this Department, certain moneys received and paid out by the county treasurer are termed special trust funds. They consist of —

- (1) Moneys applied by law to sinking funds, either for the county or for specific towns of the county;
- (2) Moneys received from the State for the support of schools, aid in the maintenance of town highways and the expenses of military companies;
- (3) Moneys received from fines and penalties which by law are applicable to specific purposes other than county purposes;
- (4) Interest, rents and other revenues which by law are applicable to purposes other than the maintenance of county government;
- (5) Moneys received from special taxes which are paid over in gross to the State, towns, villages or other municipal subdivisions;
- (6) Moneys received from individuals and held by the county treasurer for the benefit of such individuals, for other persons or for sinking funds;
- (7) Moneys received by county treasurer in his capacity as public administrator.

No part of these moneys are applicable to the maintenance of county government. They are not under the control of the county authorities and are generally applied to the purposes for which held by the treasurer without other authority than that given in the statutes.

Purpose of table:

The purpose of this table is to give the reader a general view of the gross amounts expended for the various governmental purposes, of the portion of the total expenditures applied to each purpose and of the expenditures per capita and per one thousand dollars' valuation for each purpose. The character and gross amount of expenditures and the total expenditures per capita and per one thousand dollars' valuation are shown by counties. The percentage of the expenditures applied to each governmental purpose and the expenditures per capita and per one thousand dollars' valuation for each governmental purpose are shown by classes and for the State as a whole.

Table 41 - Expenditures for

CHARACTER AND GROSS AMOUNT OF EXPENDITURES;

| | Not classified | Tax expenses | Contributions | General government 1 | Refunds and losses |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---|---------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Class I | 201 041 90 | 00 700 000 | 2000 002 20 | 60 070 110 10 | 21 110 15 |
| Class I Class II Class III Class IV | | \$88,287 69 70,227 53 276,200 82 98,022 50 | 900,954 56 | 2,980,127 05 4,765,727 70 | 11 31 8,091 85 |
| Class V | | 33,024 02 5 \$565,762 56 | 191,928 58 | 1,062,138 03 | |
| Changes 10 | | 70 79 \$565,691 77 | 1,874 59 | | \$40,339 81 |

PERCENTAGES OF EXPENDITURES

| | Not classified | Tax expenses |
|---------|-------------------|---|
| Class I | .28 | % 1.20 1.27 3.35 1.74 1.89 |
| State | .07 | 1.99 |

PER CAPITA EXPENDITURES

| | Not classified | Tax expenses |
|---------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| Class I | \$0 02 | \$0 08 11 21 10 11 |
| State | \$0 01 | \$0 13 |

¹ Includes interest on tax loans and refunding and revenue bonds.
² Includes interest on construction loans and bonds.
³ Principal only. No interest included.

⁴ Included in refunds and reimbursements in Table 19.

5 870.79 not reported from Wayne county at time of compilation of Table 15 included in this amount.

6 81,874.59 not reported from Wayne county at time of compilation of Table 34 included in this amount.

7 \$127.34 originally reported as refunds of Wayne county, and so entered in Table 19, transferred to

County Governmental Purposes

EXPENDITURES PER CAPITA AND PER \$1,000 VALUATION

| Construction of buildings and highways ² | Liquidation of indebtedness 3 | Total | Popula- tion | Per capita expendi- ture | Equalized valuation | Expenditure per \$1,000 valuation | |
|--|--|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|
| \$985,520 75 973,135 49 1,320,153 22 747,606 51 251,615 45 | 1,039,287 56 985,809 70 685,138 30 | 8,256,937 85 5,632,613 93 | 1,297,143 | 8 48 6 36 5 69 | 1,021,982,395 624,069,574 | 10 96 8 08 9 02 | I II IV V |
| 8\$4,278,031 42 890 59 | | \$28,481,831 92 5,582 52 | 4,346,731 | \$6 55 | \$3,498,639,578 | \$8 14 | |
| \$4,277,140 83 | \$4,300,586 50 | \$28,476,249 40 | | | | | |

FOR GOVERNMENTAL PURPOSES

| | General overnment | Refunds and losses | Construction of buildings and highways | Liquidation of indebtedness | Total | - |
|--|---|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| % 13.62 8.20 10.91 10.10 11.00 | % 52.51 54.04 57.72 62.55 60.91 | % .02 | % 13.44 17.64 15.99 13.27 14.43 | 11.93 12.16 11.77 | 100.00 100.00 | III III IV V |

BY CLASSES

| Contributions | General government | Refunds and losses | Construction of buildings and highways | Liquidation of indebtedness | Total | |
|--|--|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------------|
| \$0 91 70 69 57 61 \$0 72 | \$3 52 4 58 3 67 3 56 3 38 \$3 72 | \$0 01 01 | \$0 90 1 49 1 02 76 80 \$0 98 | 1 60 76 69 65 | | I III IV V |

Special trust funds not included here. Net additional amount included in Table 19, \$21,041.38 (see note 4), less \$127.34, equals \$20,914.04. \$8,890.59 not reported from Wayne county at time of compilation of Table 27 included in this amount. \$2,619.21 State taxes of 1912 paid by Clinton county omitted in Table 33 included in this amount.

¹⁰ See notes above.
11 To balance with tables referred to in above notes, which were completed prior to the changes herein noted.

Table 41 — Expenditures for County

CHARACTER AND GROSS AMOUNT OF EXPENDITURES; EXPENDI EXPENDITURES PER \$1,000

| | Not classified | Tax expenses |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Class I | \$0 02 | \$0 08 14 |
| Class III. Class IV. | | 27 16 16 |
| State | \$0 01 | \$0 16 |

| COUNTY | Not classified | Tax expenses | Contributions | General government | Refunds and losses |
|---|-------------------|---|---|---|-----------------------------|
| | , | 1 | | | |
| 1. Erie | \$21,041 38 | \$62,730 06 20,587 66 4,969 97 | \$395,102 93 239,468 37 364,491 00 | \$1,777,135 83 1,008,792 95 1,064,183 40 | CLASS \$135 50 983 65 |
| Class | \$21,041 38 | \$88,287 69 | \$999,062 30 | \$3,850,112 18 | \$1,119 15 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga 2. Albany | | \$15,432 62 34,225 96 | \$177,483 38 120,879 14 | 854,500 14 | |
| 3. Oneida | | 7,18962 $13,37933$ | 76,818 86 $77,490$ 14 | 505,881 46 | \$11 31 |
| Class | | \$ 70,227 53 | \$452,671 52 | \$2,980,127 05 | \$11 31 |
| | ,,, | , | | | CTAGG |
| 1. Orange 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara 5. Ulster | | \$3,414 71 5,915 74 67,389 73 4,437 97 7,117 03 | 60,371 53 110,180 87 75,156 64 | \$445,855 21 180,186 42 312,748 59 264,145 71 285,127 40 | \$5,121 20 2,000 15 |
| 6. St. Lawrence | | 4,417 44 9,269 78 4,479 08 152,370 30 4,328 85 | $\begin{array}{c} 46,239 \ 37 \\ 59,581 \ 43 \\ 62,126 \ 25 \\ 131,358 \ 51 \\ 45,262 \ 95 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 211,678 \ 55 \\ 332,637 \ 81 \\ 266,461 \ 27 \\ 1,047,225 \ 07 \\ 229,062 \ 14 \end{array}$ | 599 61 |
| 11. Jefferson | | 1,759 60 3,459 64 2,684 16 2,625 58 2,531 21 | 53,329 51 33,788 81 42,834 37 | $\begin{array}{c} 296,005 \ 71 \\ 289,695 \ 16 \\ 254,552 \ 65 \\ 203,185 \ 36 \\ 147,160 \ 65 \end{array}$ | 7 00 65 239 32 |
| Class | | \$276,200 82 | \$900,954 56 | \$4,765,727 70 | \$8,091 85 |
| | 11 | | | | |

¹ Returned taxes reassessed reported as a payment.

Governmental Purposes — continued

TURES PER CAPITA AND PER \$1,000 VALUATION — continued VALUATION, BY CLASSES

| Contributions | General government | Refunds and losses | Construction of buildings and highways | Liquidation of indebtedness | Total | |
|--|--|--------------------|--|--|---|-----------------------|
| \$0 87 90 88 91 93 \$0 89 | \$3 37 5 92 4 67 5 64 5 15 \$4 62 | | \$0 86 1 93 1 29 1 20 1 22 \$1 22 | \$1 21 2 07 96 1 09 1 00 \$1 23 | \$6 41 10 96 8 08 9 02 8 46 \$8 14 | III III IV V |

| Construction of new buildings and highways | Liquidation of indebtedness | Total | Popula- tion | Per capita expendi- ture | Equalized valuation | Expenditure per \$1,000 valuation | |
|--|--|---|--|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|
| I | | | ,1 | | | 11 | _ |
| \$181,339 82 126,052 21 2 678,128 72 | \$176,681 39 52,372 94 1,158,712 94 | | 528,985 283,212 283,055 | 5 11 | \$442,704,449 286,436,133 414,445,667 | \$5 90 5 05 7 89 | 1 2 3 |
| \$985,520 75 | \$1,387,767 27 | \$7,332,910 72 | 1,095,252 | \$6 69 | \$1,143,586,249 | \$6 41 | |
| II | | | | | | | |
| \$366,043 01 226,014 34 99,406 89 281,671 25 | \$368,750 71 186,750 00 181,282 97 302,503 88 | \$1,883,313 91 1,422,369 58 1,028,839 60 1,180,937 37 | 200,298 173,666 154,157 122,276 | 8 19 6 67 | \$193; 117,271 132,947,413 91,538,591 85,403,517 | \$9 50 10 70 11 24 13 82 | 1 2 3 4 |
| \$973,135 49 | \$1,039,287 56 | \$5,515,460 46 | 650,397 | \$8 48 | \$503,006,792 | \$10 96 | |
| III | | | | | | | |
| \$64,226 55 47,152 18 65,285 88 333,844 72 55,721 52 | $$215,424 00 \\ 33,000 00 \\ 92,025 00 \\ 8,602 15 \\ 46,000 00$ | \$800,041 05 326,625 87 652,751 27 688,187 34 423,244 45 | 105,126 96,138 92,036 | 3 11 6 79 7 48 | \$72,698,306 68,074,381 127,800,707 90,169,715 37,123,157 | \$11 00 4 79 5 10 7 63 11 40 | $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{3}{4}$ $\frac{4}{5}$ |
| 132,828 46 105,554 58 39,333 54 159,301 20 45,079 21 | 10,137 85 171,663 77 110,855 09 73,738 01 15,194 77 | $\begin{array}{r} 405,376 \ 26 \\ 678,707 \ 37 \\ 483,255 \ 23 \\ 1,564,592 \ 70 \\ 338,941 \ 25 \end{array}$ | | 7 69 5 51 18 64 | 51,674,184 68,184,121 71,461,673 159,590,332 50,659,737 | | 6 7 8 9 |
| 38,305 47 7,011 06 125,373 78 91,398 45 9,736 62 | 28,000 00 37,500 00 58,562 34 37,106 72 48,000 00 | 391,002 37 474,962 39 377,389 80 | 67,106 | 4 96 6 63 5 62 | 51,067,566 51,351,766 35,095,438 48,024,011 39,007,301 | 7 61 1 13 53 1 7 85 1 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| \$1,320,153 22 | \$985,809 70 | \$8,256,937 85 | 1,297,143 | \$6 36 | \$1,021,982,395 | \$8 08 | |

^{*}Bronx Parkway, \$351,000.

Table 41 — Expenditures for County

CHARACTER AND GROSS AMOUNT OF EXPENDITURES; EXPENDI

| | |] | | | | |
|-----|----------------------|---|---|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| | COUNTY | Not classified | Tax expenses | Contributions | General government | Refunds and losses |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | CLASS |
| | Saratoga | | \$4,463 7 3,205 8 | | \$275,224 88 213,016 21 | |
| 3. | | | 28,372 6 4,542 8 | 7 38,314 70 | 264,745 17 173,690 96 | |
| | Ontario | | 2,392 2 | | 199,993 77 | |
| | Wayne | | $\begin{array}{c} 1,255 & 7 \\ 2.956 & 5 \end{array}$ | | 106,955 36 157,214 04 | |
| 8. | Washington | | 2,342 3 887 5 | 20,879 09 | 146,863 99 | |
| | Otsego | | 15,474 2 | | | |
| | Franklin | | 7,886 6 | | 171,882 96 103,837 14 | |
| 13. | Fulton | | 1,972 8 3,303 8 | 6 18,617 83 | 163,893 38 | |
| | ColumbiaAllegany | | $\begin{array}{c} 3,700 \ 7 \\ 1,334 \ 0 \end{array}$ | | 183,677 80 111,828 68 | |
| | Madison | | 2,897 7 | | 137,013 09 | |
| | Livingston | | $ \begin{array}{r} 2,154 & 4 \\ 441 & 2 \end{array} $ | 5 28,855 65 | 124,511 53 116,792 34 | |
| | Chenango Tompkins | | 1,507 3 1,943 0 | | | |
| | Warren | | 4,726 4 | | 154,832 95 | |
| 22. | Cortland | | 360 2 | | 85,293 69 | |
| | Class | | \$98,022 5 | 0 \$569,065 61 | \$3,522,577 55 | \$10,203 46 |
| | | | | | | CLASS |
| | Sullivan | | \$4,077 6 15,411 3 | | | |
| 3. | Orleans | | 919 2 | 25,778 18 | 138,735 46 | |
| | Wyoming Greene | | 548 7 4,717 7 | | 120,488 50 | |
| | Seneca | | | 16,400 64 | 72,589 14 | |
| 8. | Tioga Lewis | | $7059 \\ 2,7509$ | 3 11,443 84 | 75,209 02 | |
| | Schoharie Yates | | 794 1 | 3 11,308 19 12,329 40 | 51,311 09 39,681 87 | 7 |
| | Putnam | | 1,935 6 | | 79,171 19 | |
| | Schuyler Hamilton | | 958 0 204 5 | | 33,092 76 68,665 39 | |
| | Class | | \$33,024 0 | 2 \$191,928 58 | \$1,062,138 03 | |
| == | | ======================================= | | 1 | | |

Governmental purposes — concluded

TURES PER CAPITA AND PER \$1,000 VALUATION — concluded

| Construction of new buildings and highways | Liquidation of indebtedness | Total | Popula- tion | Per capita expendi- ture | Equalized valuation | Expenditure per \$1,000 valuation | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|----------------------------|
| IV | | | | ' | | | 1 |
| \$50,418 59 91,371 87 35,864 36 37,443 28 11,879 25 | \$51,101 87 41,504 05 48,793 23 34,850 00 77,437 87 | \$417,313 36 379,412 83 416,090 13 295,768 09 329,548 77 | 61,917 $57,567$ $56,356$ $54,662$ $52,286$ | \$6 74 6 59 7 38 5 41 6 30 | \$33,077,136 34,397,926 41,667,393 38,312,663 42,877,818 | \$12 61 11 03 9 98 7 72 7 68 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 15,063 89 13,818 11 28,878 41 17,654 39 12,344 48 | 42,619 21 31,279 71 44,518 73 63,000 00 | 159,087 69 231,491 24 230,381 76 273,029 97 305,182 78 | 50,179 48,230 47,778 47,216 46,873 | 3 17 4 80 4 82 5 78 6 51 | 33,246,744 17,208,870 23,021,442 27,724,886 46,440,839 | 4 78 13 45 10 00 9 84 6 57 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| 103,840 62 16,637 58 62;258 17 46,737 90 22,001 21 | $\begin{array}{c} 29,297 & 21 \\ 28,354 & 70 \\ 10,000 & 00 \\ 40,700 & 00 \\ 15,000 & 00 \end{array}$ | 328,849 32 173,464 82 258,073 24 302,831 84 172,433 47 | 45,717 45,575 44,534 43,658 41,412 | 7 19 3 81 5 79 6 93 4 16 | 18,270,917 23,620,771 20,986,374 29,258,244 25,208,465 | 17 99 7 34 12 29 10 34 6 84 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| 76,156 51 13,169 30 11,807 44 36,575 46 | 20,000 00 10,722 39 20,726 48 17,325 00 | 257,241 06 179,653 70 146,089 24 197,779 67 199,335 32 | 39,289 38,037 37,615 35,575 33,647 | 4 72 3 88 | 23,060,775 32,132,076 32,596,992 19,640,539 22,943,949 | 11 15 5 59 4 48 10 06 8 68 | 16 17 18 19 20 |
| 29,041 32 14,644 37 | 30,000 00 27,907 85 | 234,177 99 145,377 64 | 32,223 $29,249$ | 7 27 4 97 | 20,054,833 $18,319,922$ | 11 67 7 93 | 21 22 |
| \$747,606 51 | \$685,138 30 | \$ 5,632,613 93 | 989,595 | \$5 69 | \$624,069,574 | \$9 02 | |
| v | | | | , | | , | |
| \$14,951 18 48,967 63 11,687 73 16,295 00 37,011 46 | \$27,240 22 2,000 00 80,841 21 4,000 00 10,000 00 | \$178,640 82 204,296 04 257,961 80 111,405 64 186,797 52 | 33,808 33,458 32,000 31,880 30,214 | \$5 28 6 11 8 06 3 49 6 18 | \$13,606,822 20,479,525 27,305,972 22,456,067 15,855,452 | \$13 12 9 97 9 44 4 96 11 78 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 23,806 53 1,283 60 9,115 68 23,091 11 | 8,686 94 21,370 41 5,251 54 9,000 00 | $\begin{array}{c} 121,483 \ 25 \\ 117,540 \ 12 \\ 103,771 \ 01 \\ 95,504 \ 52 \\ 52,011 \ 27 \end{array}$ | 26,972 25,624 24,849 23,855 18,642 | 4 50 4 59 4 18 4 00 2 79 | 18,712,291 15,179,815 13,421,541 12,709,167 14,262,547 | 6 49 7 74 7 73 7 51 3 64 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| 32,607 23 10,988 84 21,809 46 | 4,000 00 26,650 00 6,162 56 | $\begin{array}{r} 132,994 \ 94 \\ 79,583 \ 26 \\ 101,918 \ 77 \end{array}$ | 14,665 14,004 4,373 | 9 07 5 68 23 31 | 16,921,383 9,004,284 6,079,702 | 7 85 8 83 16 76 | 11 12 13 |
| \$251,615 45 | \$205,202 88 | \$1,743,908 96 | 314,344 | \$ 5 55 | \$205,994,568 | \$8 46 | |



EXPENDITURES FOR GENERAL GOVERNMENT

Column 3 of Table 41 shows the total expenditures for general government. For the items included under this title, see description of Table 10 and the analytic tables following this table.

Percentages for governmental functions:

The percentage of the amount expended for each governmental function to the total expenditures for general government have been computed for each class and for the State. They may be determined for any county from the gross amounts given in this table.

Table 42 — Expenditures

GROSS AMOUNT AND ANALYSIS

| | Total | Legislative | Administrative | Judicial | Regulative |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| Class I. Class II. Class III. Class IV. Class V. | \$3,850,112 18 2,980,127 05 4,765,727 70 3,522,577 55 1,062,138 03 | \$187,951 38 126,532 42 237,587 83 182,696 16 76,005 29 | 430,310 97 | \$320,161 29 228,852 88 401,021 30 259,926 00 75,476 94 | \$35,779 53 21,917 30 32,436 09 36,053 37 10,819 29 |
| State | \$16,180,682 51 | \$810,773 08 | \$1,776,333 36 | \$1,285,438 41 | \$137,005 58 |
| | | PERCEN | TAGES OF T | COTAL EXPE | NDITURES |
| Class I | % 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 | % 4.88 4.24 4.99 5.19 7.15 | 13.40 9.02 10.62 | % 8.31 7.69 8.42 7.38 7.07 | $\% \ .93 \ .74 \ .68 \ 1.03 \ 1.02$ |
| State | 100.00 | 5.01 | 10.98 | 7.95 | 0.85 |
| COUNTY 1. Erie ¹ 2. Monroe ¹ 3. Westchester Totals | \$1,777,135 83 1,008,792 95 1,064,183 40 \$3,850,112 18 | \$79,213 82 40,636 07 68,101 49 \$187,951 38 | \$169,471 31 152,088 86 149,375 17 \$470,935 34 | \$97,852 02 48,136 05 174,173 22 \$320,161 29 | CLASS \$17,068 86 4,495 31 14,215 36 \$35,779 53 |
| 1. Onondaga | \$955,604 19 854,500 14 664,141 26 505,881 46 | \$38,311 19 39,477 29 24,057 87 24,686 07 | 102,653 41 184,258 44 98,170 00 | \$73,949 71 62,508 54 43,854 14 48,540 49 | CLASS \$3,790 24 4,540 19 8,686 96 4,899 91 |
| Totals | \$2,980,127 05 | \$126,532 42 | \$399,500 93 | \$228,852 88 | \$21,917 30 |
| 1. Orange | \$445,855 21 180,186 42 312,748 59 264,145 71 285,127 40 | \$20,429 57 11,565 74 25,203 22 19,035 14 17,821 92 | 15,812 46 | \$31,020 96 32,919 94 21,145 52 39,005 20 38,636 89 | CLASS \$3,106 00 3,411 00 2,389 17 2,653 47 957 26 |
| 6. St. Lawrence 1 | 211,678 55 332,637 81 266,461 27 1,047,225 07 229,062 14 | 10,334 82 16,125 24 14,953 44 26,695 09 17,503 20 | 11,457 42 34,635 28 21,495 63 62,937 68 29,835 98 | 12,782 86 28,770 38 30,120 28 55,221 79 21,618 92 | 1,492 55 3,154 50 1,049 75 1,942 85 5,778 83 |
| 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus | 296,005 71 289,695 16 254,552 65 203,185 36 147,160 65 | 10,481 29 13,003 39 11,335 99 12,191 99 10,907 79 | $\begin{array}{c} 14,650 \ 51 \\ 25,416 \ 68 \\ 19,210 \ 44 \\ 24,288 \ 44 \\ 13,683 \ 09 \end{array}$ | 20,080 87 18,040 93 16,628 73 20,139 45 14,888 58 | 2,384 53 900 00 1,375 00 1,050 10 791 08 |
| Totals | \$4,765,727 70 | \$237,587 83 | \$430,310 97 | \$401,021 30 | \$32,436 09 |
| | | | | | |

 $^{^1\,\}rm No$ report furnished. Compiled by examiners from the Comptroller's department. $^2\,\rm Court$ attendants, \$29,498.20; jurors, \$80,764.10, judicial and corrective.

for General Government

BY GOVERNMENTAL FUNCTIONS

| Defensive | Protective | Educational | Corrective | Charitable | Highway maintenance | Not classified | |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| \$187,624 59 189,666 71 129,720 49 92,932 81 10,667 47 | \$118,783 64 101,009 60 137,828 71 95,045 86 11,403 68 | \$43,266 88 20,351 30 38,927 35 32,535 12 3,143 45 | \$817,826 00 492,237 11 697,260 59 597,372 84 129,915 13 | \$914,036 43 632,893 30 652,688 65 691,140 04 147,800 92 | 767,165 50 | \$122,251 95 67,275 12 30,408 01 230,794 03 | III III IV V |
| \$610,612 07 | \$464,071 49 | \$138,224 10 | \$2,644,611 67 | \$3,038,559 34 | \$4,824,324 30 | | |
| FOR GEN | | | _ | | , | , | |
| $\begin{array}{c} \% \\ 4.87 \\ 6.37 \\ 2.73 \\ 2.64 \\ 1.01 \end{array}$ | 3.08 3.39 2.88 2.71 1.07 | | 14.63 14.41 | % 23.74 21.24 13.70 19.61 13.93 | 25.74 40.72 34.62 | 1.41 | I III IV V |
| 3.77 | 2.87 | 0.85 | 16.35 | 18.78 | 29,81 | 2.78 | |
| \$96,034 23 55,413 49 36,176 87 | \$57,345 55 46,473 55 14,964 54 \$118,783 64 | 12,150 66 17,200 88 | \$367,792 37 240,403 93 209,629 70 | \$438,590 45 255,426 30 220,019 68 | 160,326 49 | ² \$122,251 95 | $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| II | ======================================= | ======================================= | \$817,826 00 | \$914,036 43 | \$631,495 15 | \$122,251 95 | |
| \$77,087 84 41,059 75 39,186 84 32,332 28 | \$38,928 48 39,523 20 15,988 14 6,569 78 | \$7,819 72 4,858 33 6,649 94 1,023 31 | \$180,179 86 143,556 34 107,636 58 60,864 33 | \$168,390 68 176,197 99 178,499 25 109,805 38 | \$252,727 39 240,125 10 155,323 10 118,989 91 | | 1 2 3 4 |
| \$189,666 71 | \$101,009 60 | \$20,351 30 | \$492,237 11 | \$632,893 30 | \$767,165 50 | | |
| \$14,244 06 9,558 67 6,250 99 5,377 38 | \$13,078 33 10,191 71 6,863 63 9,440 91 8,045 40 | 2,421 72 | \$62,931 58 32,461 07 72,726 10 68,310 63 28,634 32 | \$79,673 44 48,914 31 52,677 46 35,068 15 34,620 61 | \$185,868 05 12,929 80 73,408 66 55,034 48 108,463 63 | | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 7,295 57 18,474 07 7,404 27 5,916 46 | $\begin{array}{r} 9,124 & 62 \\ 13,992 & 05 \\ 6,999 & 35 \\ 22,771 & 15 \\ 11,822 & 69 \end{array}$ | 3,941 29 3,708 01 3,492 49 2,735 96 1,507 80 | 29,189 09 50,531 49 42,677 88 92,667 29 35,083 75 | 22,048 27 70,431 79 51,628 44 17,908 04 28,721 98 | 104,012 06 92,815 00 86,639 74 764,345 22 71,272 53 | | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| 9,419 81 19,275 83 8,373 68 10,962 61 7,167 09 | 8,892 73 4,659 19 938 40 6,352 65 5,594 30 | 2,484 70 1,904 64 938 40 1,278 76 5,646 16 | 34,756 32 32,111 28 47,042 43 28,657 78 39,479 58 | 31,592 94 88,007 98 34,177 39 37,455 88 19,761 97 | 161,262 01 77,450 74 57,735 18 60,192 49 29,241 01 | 3 \$8,924 50 4 57,735 41 4 615 21 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| \$129,720 49 | \$137,828 71 | \$38,927 35 | \$697,260 59 | \$652,688 65 | \$1,940,670 60 | \$67,275 12 | |

All jurors, judicial and corrective.
 Audits of board of supervisors not analyzed.

Table 42 — Expenditures

GROSS AMOUNT AND ANALYSIS

| COUNTY | Total | Legislative | Administrative | Judicial | Regulative |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | 1 | 07 4 00 |
| aratoga Iontgomery Ierkimer Phemung Intario | 213,016 2 264,745 1 173,690 9 | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 21,947 79 17,818 81 35,906 58 | $\begin{array}{c} 12,747 \ 01 \\ 10,848 \ 24 \\ 23,383 \ 07 \end{array}$ | CLASS \$1,521 85 1,128 04 1,300 00 1,326 49 1,951 58 |
| Vayne llinton Vashington tsego ockland | 157,214 0 146,863 9 184,676 1 | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 18,196 67 13,446 06 | 12,130 38 7,648 43 10,532 36 11,551 57 9,766 78 | 1,429 32 1,117 66 1,144 00 505 74 |
| ranklin elawareultonolumbia olumbia | 103,837 14 163,893 38 183,677 80 | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 12,88091 $16,11869$ $24,42606$ | $\begin{array}{c} 12,069 & 50 \\ 7,099 & 73 \\ 11,162 & 20 \\ 12,588 & 48 \\ 10,099 & 91 \end{array}$ | 402 50 1,000 00 2,209 80 1,312 75 2,938 79 |
| ladison. ivingstonenesee henango ompkins | 124,511 53 116,792 34 144,651 26 | 5,669 18 4,825 83 5,843 67 | 14,766 08 | 13,514 36 11,041 21 14,188 16 11,447 39 12,569 14 | 3,669 01 5,789 06 2,505 33 1,943 82 650 21 |
| arrenortland \$ | | | 14,937 04 11,063 54 | 9,205 90 5,642 87 | 1,385 55 821 87 |
| otals | \$3,522,577 55 | \$182,696 16 | \$374,055 84 | \$259,926 00 | \$36,053 37 |
| | | • | | | CLASS |
| ıllivan. ssex ³ rleans. yoming ³ . | 121,675 78 138,735 46 71,319 21 | 6,986 58 7,004 34 | 4,297 30 14,007 19 | \$13,110 54 3,300 00 11,849 88 | \$900 0C 1,264 67 3,771 69 |
| | | , | 9,725 81 | 12,547 30 | 1,200 00 |
| iogaewisehoharieates 3 | 79,176 04 75,209 02 51,311 09 | 4,172 05 6,588 40 7,933 25 | 10,101 16 8,368 08 6,756 26 | 3,785 80 7,987 73 8,136 03 | 799 92 1,002 47 846 29 |
| utnam | 33,092 76 | 2,738 12 | 9,054 79 6,675 52 16,393 71 | 5,320 91 6,338 25 3,100 50 | 584 25 450 00 |
| otals | \$1,062,138 03 | \$76,005 29 | \$101,530 28 | \$75,476 94 | \$10,819 29 |
| | aratoga Ifontgomery lerkimer hemung nitario Vayne linton Vashington tsego ookland ranklin elaware ulton olumbia llegany Iadison ivingston enesee henango oompkins 'arren ortland' 'otals llegan syev igalivan ssex' leans yoming' reene eneca 2 loga wis shoharie ates 3 litnam thuyler' amilton | aratoga \$275,224 88 Iontgomery 213,016 2 Ierkimer 264,745 1' hemung 173,690 991 77 Jayne 106,955 30 Ilinton 157,214 0- Jashington 146,863 99 tsego 184,676 11 ockland 179,631 99 ranklin 171,882 90 Ielaware 103,837 1- ulton 163,893 39 Illegany 111,828 68 Illegany 111,828 68 Isladison 137,013 06 ivingston 124,511 55 enesse 116,792 34 henango 144,651 26 ompkins 122,350 36 Jarren 154,832 96 Jordals \$3,522,577 55 Illivan \$111,022 56 Sanca 171,319 29 Jordals 138,735 42 Jordals 138,735 42 Jordals 77,1319 29 Jorene 120,488 56 Jordals 77,176 09 Jordals 33,092 76 Jordals 34,092 76 Jor | aratoga. \$275,224 88 \$14,656 60 Iontgomery 213,016 21 12,302 47 lerkimer 264,745 17 9,080 43 hemung 173,690 96 12,916 73 mtario 199,993 77 11,366 69 Vayne 106,955 36 7,973 65 linton 157,214 04 6,268 97 Vashington 146,863 99 4,748 82 tsego 184,676 10 9,004 14 ockland 179,631 90 7,149 81 ranklin 171,882 96 6,352 08 elaware 103,837 14 5,534 98 ulton 163,893 38 12,903 90 ulton 163,893 38 12,903 90 ulton 183,677 80 12,771 28 llegany 111,828 68 5,836 71 Iadison 137,013 09 7,902 61 livingston 124,511 53 5,669 18 enesee 116,792 34 4,825 83 henango 144,651 26 5,843 67 ompkins 122,350 35 5,734 57 Varren 154,832 95 8,790 28 ortland 8 85,293 69 5,063 64 votals \$3,522,577 55 \$182,696 16 lillivan \$111,022 58 \$12,525 04 seese 120,488 50 14,618 62 enesea 179,176 04 4,172 05 enesea 79,176 04 4,172 05 enesea 79,176 04 4,172 05 enesea 120,488 50 14,618 62 enesea 79,176 04 4,172 05 enesea 120,488 50 14,618 62 enesea 120,488 50 14,618 62 enesea 130,988 87 enesea 131,09 7,933 25 enesea 133,092 76 2,738 12 enesea 39,681 87 enesea | aratoga. \$275, 224 88 \$14,656 60 \$20,730 53 fontgomery 213,016 21 12,302 47 21,947 79 ferkimer. 264,745 17 9,686 43 17,818 81 hemung. 173,690 96 12,916 73 35,906 58 mario. 199,993 77 11,366 69 17,001 56 formula 157,214 04 6,268 97 18,196 67 78 ininton. 157,214 04 6,268 97 18,196 67 78 ininton. 146,863 99 4,748 82 13,446 06 18 46 60 19,004 14 17,518 25 ockland. 179,631 90 7,149 81 30,617 19 ranklin. 171,882 96 6,352 08 11,346 55 ockland. 179,631 90 7,149 81 30,617 19 ranklin. 171,882 96 6,352 08 11,346 55 old 16,383 93 81 12,903 02 16,118 69 olumbia. 183,677 80 12,771 28 24,426 06 llegany. 111,828 68 5,836 71 9,278 52 ladison. 137,013 09 7,902 61 18,051 74 ivingston. 124,511 53 5,669 18 14,766 08 enesee. 116,792 34 4,825 83 12,742 23 henango. 144,651 26 5,843 67 9,994 77 ompkins. 122,350 35 5,734 57 10,191 68 for series. 138,737 46 7,004 34 14,007 19 71,319 21 19,68 5,886 58 4,297 30 rene. 120,488 50 14,618 62 9,725 81 for series. 120,488 50 | aratoga. \$275,224 88 \$14,656 60 \$20,730 53 \$20,733 62 fontgomery. 213,016 21 12,302 47 21,947 79 12,747 01 erkimer. 264,745 17 9,804 43 17,818 81 10,848 24 hemung. 173,690 96 12,916 73 35,906 58 23,383 07 ntario. 199,993 77 11,366 69 17,001 56 9,955 69 74 yne. 106,955 36 7,973 65 15,174 59 12,130 38 linton. 157,214 04 6,268 97 18,196 67 7,648 37 ashington. 146,863 99 4,748 82 13,446 06 10,532 36 tsego. 184,676 10 9,004 14 17,518 25 11,551 57 cokland. 179,631 90 7,149 81 30,617 19 9,766 78 ranklin. 171,882 96 6,352 08 11,346 55 12,069 50 elaware. 103,837 14 5,534 98 12,880 91 7,099 73 ulton. 163,893 38 12,903 02 16,118 69 11,162 00 olumbia. 183,677 80 12,771 28 124,426 06 12,588 48 llegany. 111,828 68 5,836 71 9,278 52 10,099 91 kladison. 137,013 09 7,902 61 18,051 41 13,514 36 vingston. 124,511 53 5,669 18 14,766 08 11,041 21 enesee. 116,792 34 4,825 83 12,742 23 14,188 16 henango. 144,651 26 5,843 67 9,894 77 1147 39 ompkins. 122,350 35 5,734 57 10,191 68 12,569 14 faren. 154,832 95 8,790 28 14,937 04 9,205 90 ortland 5 85,293 69 5,063 64 11,063 54 5,642 87 ortland 5 85,293 69 5,063 64 11,007 19 11,849 88 yoming 7,1319 21 recee. 120,488 50 14,618 62 9,725 81 12,547 30 enese. 120,488 50 14,618 62 9,725 81 12,547 30 enese. 120,488 50 14,618 62 9,725 81 12,547 30 enese. 120,488 50 14,618 62 9,725 81 12,547 30 enese. 120,488 50 14,618 62 9,725 81 12,547 30 enese. 120,488 50 14,618 62 9,725 81 12,547 30 enese. 120,488 50 14,618 62 9,725 81 12,547 30 enese. 120,488 50 14,618 62 9,725 81 12,547 30 enese. 120,488 50 14,618 62 9,725 81 12,547 30 enese. 120,488 50 14,618 62 9,725 81 12,547 30 enese. 120,488 50 14,618 62 9,725 81 12,547 30 enese. 120,488 50 14,618 62 9,725 81 12,547 30 enese. 120,488 50 14,618 62 9,725 81 12,547 30 enese. 120,488 50 8,736 64 8,836 80 87,877 30 8,836 80 87,877 30 8,836 80 87,877 30 8,836 80 87,877 30 8,836 80 87,877 30 8,836 80 87,877 30 8,836 80 87,877 30 8,836 80 87,877 30 8,836 80 87,877 30 8,836 80 87,877 30 8,836 80 87,877 30 8,836 80 87,877 30 8,836 80 87,877 30 8,836 80 87,877 30 8 |

¹ Tax expenses and contributions of Table 41 included by treasurer as a part of the expenses for genera ² Discrepancy in report used in prior tables and later report from which this classification is made.

³ No report furnished. Analysis as shown by Comptroller's Department.

for General Government — concluded

BY GOVERNMENTAL FUNCTIONS — concluded

| = | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| Defensive | Protective | Educational | Corrective | Charitable | Highway maintenance | Not classified | |
| IV | | | | ' | | | |
| \$8,993 45 10,085 17 5,471 60 9,321 64 9,093 32 | \$5,446 44 3,216 50 7,398 00 3,342 86 10,731 52 | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | \$38,193 03 33,569 04 40,873 56 18,141 22 25,290 78 | \$31,035 32 47,269 72 44,926 21 27,400 50 65,547 30 | 67,014 47 124,096 21 41,946 92 | | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 7,104 61 5,604 52 | 5,125 26 3,377 32 7,090 78 2,792 52 1,617 53 | 1,325 82 1,412 68 1,606 40 | 18,072 98 24,949 95 32,177 13 15,307 27 26,858 98 | 19,165 04 30,052 95 37,772 48 41,577 78 30,377 85 | 65,393 93 31,461 41 78,569 65 | 2 \$ 895 48 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| 3,324 8 6,382 20 10,202 69 5,829 05 | 1,264 12 8,275 51 3,002 22 5,192 37 4,361 14 | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 22,891 17 13,051 15 18,274 68 37,832 07 13,102 05 | 21,433 37 18,435 59 26,251 28 36,250 83 30,939 50 | 23,810 85 62,326 65 47,018 13 | | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| 175 00 | 6,320 22 6,327 53 1,537 45 223 25 3,084 31 | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 12,751 64 17,858 07 29,181 97 14,114 06 11,839 79 | 32,239 25 35,380 87 22,888 93 28,078 74 22,777 49 | 27,028 18 28,890 46 73,040 31 | | 16 17 18 19 20 |
| 11,344 70 | 4,155 12 1,163 89 | | 26,951 70 16,090 55 | 30,859 96 10,479 08 | | | 21 22 |
| \$92,932 81 | \$95,045 86 | \$32,535 12 | \$507,372 84 | | \$1,220,411 50 | | |
| V | 1 | | | | | . , | |
| \$5,603 83 5,063 64 | 3,353 49 | \$258 67 20 76 | 27,810 36 | 12,436 43 27,402 61 | 30,296 76 46,364 54 | 4 \$35,283 68 5 10 00 5 71,319 21 4 11,030 66 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| | 770 17 359 35 128 50 | 34 00 36 464 90 | 8,485 96 | 17,148 27 | 24,803 86 | | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| | 2,115 20 1,258 96 515 05 | 640 69 | 13,518 21 4,746 19 5,307 28 | | 6,898 65 | | 11 12 13 |
| \$10,667 47 | \$11,403 68 | \$3,143 45 | \$129,915 13 | \$147,800 92 | \$264,581 55 | \$230,794 03 | |

Unclassified audits.
 No report furnished. Total and analysis for preceding tables compiled by examiners from the Comptroller's department.
 Error in footing of report.



Expenditures for Boards of Supervisors and County Publications

The expenses entered in this table are from the amounts reported by county treasurers in their annual reports. Special investigation has been made with regard to the expenditures for boards of supervisors, and the results are given in detail in Tables 7 and 8. In some of the counties discrepancies will be found in the amounts entered as expended for these purposes. These discrepancies are due to imperfections in the annual reports of the county treasurers.

County publications:

The statutes require the publication by the county in pamphlet form of the proceedings of its board of supervisors, and the publication by advertisement of an abstract of the accounts audited by town boards and boards of supervisors, legislative acts of the board of supervisors and local laws of the State legislature.

Special statutes require other publications in specific counties.

This title does not include publications for specific officers in the performance of their duties, e. g., notices of terms of court, advertisements of tax sales, etc., but only the general publications of the county.

Table 43 — Legislative

BOARDS OF SUPERVISORS AND COUNTY PUBLICATIONS

| | Total | Board | County | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|
| | Total | Compen- sation | Expenses | Total | publications | | |
| Class I. Class III Class IIII Class IV Class V | \$187,951 38 126,532 42 237,587 83 182,696 16 76,005 29 | \$123,401 63 73,004 37 148,464 45 107,390 27 39,303 89 | \$36,388 24 33,954 69 59,795 83 48,748 87 20,023 99 | \$159,789 87 106,959 06 298,260 28 156,139 07 59,327 88 | \$28,161 51 19,573 36 29,327 55 26,557 09 16,677 41 | | |
| State | \$810,773 08 | \$491,564 61 | \$198,911 55 | \$690,476 16 | \$120,296 92 | | |
| · | 1 | CLASS I | | | | | |
| 1. Erie | \$79,213 82 40,636 07 68,101 49 | \$58,217 72 23,515 26 41,668 65 | \$9,898 93 12,710 62 13,778 69 | \$68,116 65 36,225 88 55,447 34 | \$11,097 17 4,410 19 12,654 15 | | |
| Totals | \$187,951 38 62,650 46 | \$123,401 63 41,133 88 | \$36,388 24 12,129 41 | \$159,789 87 53,263 29 | \$28,161 51 9,387 17 | | |
| CLASS II | | | | | | | |
| 1. Onondaga | \$38,311 19 39,477 29 24,057 87 24,686 07 | \$22,867 24 21,217 79 16,421 91 12,497 43 | \$14,868 85 7,445 80 5,592 71 6,047 33 | \$37,736 09 28,663 59 22,014 62 18,544 76 | \$575 10 10,813 70 2,043 25 6,141 31 | | |
| Totals | \$126,532 42 31,633 11 | \$73,004 37 18,251 09 | \$33,954 69 8,488 67 | \$106,959 06 26,739 77 | \$19,573 36 4,893 34 | | |
| ı | 1 | CLASS III | | | | | |
| 1. Orange. 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara 5. Ulster. | \$20,429 57 11,565 74 25,203 22 19,035 14 17,821 92 | \$11,229 03 4,646 40 15,718 31 12,563 27 10,081 19 | \$7,014 82 4,566 40 7,285 40 4,536 73 5,557 13 | \$18,243 85 9,212 80 23,003 71 17,100 00 15,638 32 | \$2,185 7 2 2,352 94 2,199 51 1,935 14 2,183 60 | | |
| 6. St. Lawrence | 10,334 82 16,125 24 14,953 44 26,695 09 17,503 20 | 8,006 78 9,316 69 7,354 72 17,434 61 10,929 21 | $\begin{array}{c} 1,524 \ 45 \\ 4,755 \ 61 \\ 3,832 \ 03 \\ 6,725 \ 97 \\ 3,630 \ 29 \end{array}$ | 9,531 23 14,072 30 11,186 75 24,160 58 14,559 50 | 803 59 2,052 94 3,766 69 2,534 51 2,943 70 | | |
| 11. Jefferson | 10,481 29 13,003 39 11,335 99 12,191 99 10,907 79 | 6,115 22 9,198 09 9,715 54 8,242 44 7,912 97 | 2,780 89 2,143 20 1,620 45 2,027 15 1,795 29 | 8,896 11 11,341 29 11,335 99 10,269 59 9,708 26 | 1,585 18 1,662 10 | | |
| Totals Averages | \$237,587 83 15,839 19 | \$148,464 45 9,897 63 | \$59,795 83 3,986 39 | \$208,260 28 13,884 02 | \$29,327 55 1,955 17 | | |

Table 43 — Legislative

BOARDS OF SUPERVISORS AND COUNTY PUBLICATIONS — concluded

| | | Boari | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Total | Compen- sation | Expenses | Total | County publications | | | |
| CLASS IV | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Saratoga | \$14,656 60 12,302 47 9,080 43 12,916 73 11,366 69 | \$7,646 17 9,083 32 5,126 60 8,208 39 5,996 22 | \$4,926 18 1,707 08 2,644 16 2,746 92 3,290 90 | \$12,572 35 10,790 40 7,770 76 10,955 31 9,287 12 | $ \begin{vmatrix} \$2,084 & 25 \\ 1,512 & 07 \\ 1,309 & 67 \\ 1,961 & 42 \\ 2,079 & 57 \end{vmatrix} $ | | | |
| 6. Wayne | 7,973 65 6,268 97 4,748 82 9,004 14 7,149 81 | 3,858 33 2,997 86 2,590 68 5,615 23 4,564 57 | 2,044 23 2,646 33 1,075 67 2,588 91 2,073 60 | 5,902 56 5,644 19 3,666 35 8,204 14 6,638 17 | 2,071 09 624 78 1,082 47 800 00 511 64 | | | |
| 11. Franklin 12. Delaware 13. Fulton 14. Columbia 15. Allegany | $\begin{array}{r} 6,352 \ 08 \\ 5,534 \ 98 \\ 12,903 \ 02 \\ 12,771 \ 28 \\ 5,836 \ 71 \end{array}$ | 3,105 33 3,837 33 8,955 89 7,483 90 3,119 78 | 2,531 11 987 85 2,887 00 3,715 78 1,940 63 | 5,636 44 4,825 18 11,842 89 11,199 68 5,060 41 | 715 64 709 80 1,060 13 1,571 60 776 30 | | | |
| 16. Madison | 7,902 61 5,669 18 4,825 83 5,843 67 5,734 57 | 4,846 75 3,137 37 2,728 16 3,934 08 3,522 38 | 1,878 80 1,455 59 1,535 93 1,138 48 1,379 04 | $\begin{array}{c} 6,725 \ 55 \\ 4,592 \ 96 \\ 4,264 \ 09 \\ 5,072 \ 56 \\ 4,901 \ 42 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 1,177 & 06 \\ 1,076 & 22 \\ 561 & 74 \\ 771 & 11 \\ 833 & 15 \end{array}$ | | | |
| 21. Warren | 8,790 28 5,063 64 | 3,585 50 3,446 43 | $\begin{array}{c} 2,786 & 35 \\ 768 & 26 \end{array}$ | 6,371 85 4,214 69 | 2,418 43 848 95 | | | |
| TotalsAverages | \$182,696 16 8,308 37 | \$107,390 27 4,881 37 | \$48,748 80 2,215 85 | \$156,139 07 7,097 23 | \$26,557 09 1,207 14 | | | |
| ' | 11 | CLASS V | | | | | | |
| 1. Sullivan | \$12,525 04 6,986 58 7,004 34 | \$5,536 04 3,042 88 4,136 33 | \$5,599 01 2,588 07 1,997 34 | \$11,135 05 5,630 95 6,133 67 | \$1,389 99 1,355 63 870 67 | | | |
| 5. Greene | 14,618 62 | 4,616 03 | 1,000 00 | 5,616 03 | 9,002 59 | | | |
| 6. Seneca. 7. Tioga. 8. Lewis. 9. Schoharie. 10. Yates. | 4,172 05 6,588 40 7,933 25 | 2,877 86 3,945 53 4,983 18 | 908 20 1,185 40 1,909 82 | 3,786 06 5,130 93 6,893 00 | 385 99 1,457 47 1,040 25 | | | |
| 11. Putnam 12. Schuyler | 6,303 69 2,738 12 7,135 20 | 4,393 68 1,487 60 4,284 76 | 1,352 88 807 83 2,675 44 | 5,746 56 2,295 43 6,960 20 | 557 13 442 69 175 00 | | | |
| Totals | \$76,005 29 7,600 53 | \$39,303 89 3,023 37 | \$20,023 99 1,540 31 | \$59,327 88 4,563 68 | \$16,677 41 1,667 74 | | | |



Administrative Expenses

This table is intended to show under general headings the expenses of the county for administrative purposes, as given in detail by Tables 45 to 48.

Table 44 — Administrative

| | Total | Elections | Adminis- trative officers | Maintenance of adminis- trative buildings | Admin- istrative interest | | | |
|-------------------------|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Class I | \$470,935 34 399,500 93 430,310 97 374,055 84 101,530 28 | \$145,472 14 134,896 43 158,551 87 172,322 53 45,256 18 | \$119,522 51 68,136 47 125,723 64 85,733 09 22,320 51 | \$160,671 58 132,829 48 107,021 14 91,322 49 22,291 40 | \$45,269 11 63,638 55 39,014 32 24,677 73 11,662 19 | | | |
| State | \$1,776,333 36 | \$656,499 15 | \$421,436 22 | \$514,136 09 | \$184,261 90 | | | |
| COUNTY CLASS I | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Erie | \$169,471 31 152,088 86 149,375 17 | 39,207 95 34,070 56 | 32,061 60 30,185 95 | 80,819 31 39,849 55 | \$45,269 11 | | | |
| Totals | \$470,935 34 156,978 44 | \$145,472 14 48,490 74 | \$119,522 51 39,840 83 | \$160,671 58 53,557 19 | \$45,269 11 45,269 11 | | | |
| , | | CLASS II | | | | | | |
| 1. Onondaga | \$114,419 08 102,653 41 84,258 44 98,170 00 | \$29,740 94 35,067 29 22,601 50 47,486 70 | \$19,368 85 19,528 82 16,916 25 12,322 55 | \$59,984 92 18,607 61 26,905 03 27,331 92 | \$5,324 37 29,449 69 17,835 66 11,028 83 | | | |
| Totals | \$399,500 93 99,875 23 | \$134,896 43 33,724 10 | \$68,136 47 17,034 12 | \$132,829 48 33,207 37 | \$63,638 55 15,909 64 | | | |
| 1 | | CLASS III | | | | | | |
| 1. Orange | \$34,555 85 | \$13,635 03 | | \$7,322 36 | \$6,134 71 | | | |
| 2. Chautauqua | 15,812 46 55,820 27 25,639 73 | 7,712 40 15,709 17 11,117 79 | 4,820 73 14,699 86 6,970 22 | 3,279 33 $23,688 74$ $7,551 72$ | 1,722 50 | | | |
| 4. Niagara 5. Ulster | 40,871 51 | 12,689 85 | 12,144 37 | 4,284 79 | 11,752 50 | | | |
| 6, St. Lawrence | 11,457 42 34,635 28 21,495 63 62,937 68 29,835 98 | 20,067 54 | 4,397 36 12,710 75 6,236 34 24,852 60 7,738 18 | $\begin{array}{c} 1,892 \ 08 \\ 4,386 \ 13 \\ 4,366 \ 03 \\ 13,406 \ 93 \\ 7,272 \ 91 \end{array}$ | 6,975 00 205 37 4,610 61 | | | |
| 11. Jefferson | 14,650 51 25,416 68 19,210 44 24,288 44 13,683 09 | 9,191 73 8,354 63 2,425 00 9,823 18 6,581 39 | 1,636 74 5,733 57 7,190 87 7,370 89 1,757 41 | 3,822 04 10,901 18 3,741 44 6,448 66 4,656 80 | 427 30 5,853 13 645 71 687 49 | | | |
| Totals Averages | \$430,310 97 28,687 40 | \$158,551 87 10,570 12 | \$125,723 64 8,381 57 | \$107,021 14 7,134 74 | \$39,014 32 3,901 43 | | | |

Table 44 — Administrative — concluded

| COUNTY | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|
| 1. Saratoga | COUNTY | Total | Elections | trative | of adminis- trative | istrative |
| 1. Saratoga. \$20,730 53 | ' | | | | ` | |
| 2 Montgomery 21,947 79 8,735 38 7,815 53 2,797 38 2,598 95 3. Herkimer. 17.818 81 6,376 51 6,738 94 4,703 36 4. Chemung. 35,906 58 12,044 37 8,688 53 15,173 68 5. Ontario. 17.001 56 7,993 95 5,290 65 3,482 25 234 71 6. Wayne. 15,174 59 8,397 47 4,127 53 2,630 42 19 17 7. Clinton. 18,196 67 7,455 88 3,840 74 6,835 10 64 95 8. Washington. 13,446 06 8,361 35 1,763 91 3,249 60 71 20 9. Otsego. 17,518 25 8,509 15 3,412 07 5,381 38 215 65 10. Rockland. 30,617 19 5,728 76 7,179 22 2,890 47 14,818 74 11. Franklin. 11,346 55 4,556 32 3,389 46 3,400 77 12. Delaware. 12,880 91 6,576 92 1,472 85 4,831 14 13. Fulton. 16,118 69 8,715 07 3,323 16 4,080 46 11. Columbia. 24,426 06 9,630 57 5,969 26 4,402 73 4,423 50 15. Allegany. 9,278 52 6,556 33 1,259 50 1,462 69 17. Livingston. 18,051 74 11,422 20 1,677 51 4,852 03 100 00 17. Livingston. 18,051 74 11,422 20 1,677 51 4,852 03 100 00 17. Livingston. 14,766 08 9,119 88 2,978 26 2,667 94 18. Genesee. 12,742 23 4,400 94 2,195 88 6,145 41 19. Chenango. 9,894 77 6,914 77 1,870 74 1,109 26 10. Chenango. 9,894 77 6,914 77 1,870 74 1,109 26 10. Tompkins. 10,191 68 5,452 80 1,379 07 3,359 81 1. Totals. \$3374,055 84 \$17,202 54 7,832 84 3,896 96 4,151 02 2,056 47 17. Livingston. 14,937 04 8,621 55 3,071 49 2,319 66 924 34 17,002 54 7,832 84 3,896 96 4,151 02 2,056 47 17. Octals. \$3474,055 84 \$17,232 53 \$85,733 09 \$91,322 49 \$2,4677 73 3. Orleans. 14,007 19 5,787 20 4,854 96 3,073 37 \$291 66 40 4,007 19 5,787 20 4,854 96 3,073 37 \$291 66 40 4,007 19 5,787 20 4,854 96 3,073 37 \$291 66 40 4,007 19 5,787 20 4,854 96 3,073 37 \$291 66 40 4,007 19 5,787 20 4,854 96 3,073 37 \$291 66 40 4,007 19 5,787 20 4,854 96 3,073 37 \$291 66 40 4,007 19 5,787 20 4,854 96 3,073 37 \$291 66 40 4,007 19 5,787 20 4,854 96 3,073 37 \$291 66 40 4,007 19 5,787 20 4,854 96 3,073 37 \$291 66 40 4,007 19 5,787 20 4,854 96 3,073 37 \$291 66 40 4,007 19 5,787 20 4,854 96 3,073 37 \$291 66 40 4,007 19 5,787 20 4,854 96 3,073 37 \$291 66 40 4,007 10 4,007 10 4,007 10 4,007 10 4,007 10 4,007 10 4,007 10 4,007 10 4,007 10 4,007 1 | | | | | | |
| 3. Herkimer | | | | | | |
| 17,001 56 | | | | | | 2,330 33 |
| 6. Wayne. | | | | | | 004 71 |
| 7. Clinton. | 5. Ontario | 17,001 50 | 7,995 95 | 3,290 03 | 3,482 23 | 234 /1 |
| 8. Washington. 13,446 06 8,361 35 1,763 91 3,249 60 71 20 9. Otsego. 17,518 25 8,509 15 3,412 07 5,381 38 215 65 10. Rockland. 30,617 19 5,728 76 7,179 22 2,890 47 14,818 74 11. Franklin. 11,346 55 4,556 32 3,389 46 3,400 77 12. Delaware. 12,880 91 6,576 92 1,472 85 4,831 14 13. Fulton. 16,118 69 8,715 07 3,323 16 4,080 46 14. Columbia. 24,426 06 9,630 57 5,969 26 4,402 73 4,423 50 15. Allegany. 9,278 52 6,556 33 1,259 50 1,462 69 16. Madison. 18,051 74 11,422 20 1,677 51 4,852 03 100 00 17. Livingston. 14,766 08 9,119 88 2,978 26 2,667 94 18. Genesee. 12,742 23 4,400 94 2,195 88 6,145 41 19. Chenango. 9,894 77 6,914 77 1,870 74 1,109 26 20. Tompkins. 10,191 68 5,452 80 1,379 07 3,359 81 21. Warren. 14,937 04 8,621 55 3,071 49 2,319 66 924 34 22. Cortland. 11,063 54 6,289 26 1,391 11 2,612 00 771 17 Totals. \$374,055 84 \$172,322 53 \$85,733 09 \$91,322 49 \$24,677 73 Averages. 4,297 30 994 51 2,628 28 674 51 2. Essex. 4,297 30 994 51 2,628 28 674 51 3. Orleans. 14,007 19 5,787 20 4,854 96 3,073 37 \$291 66 4. Wyoming. 5,787 20 4,854 96 3,073 37 \$291 66 4. Wyoming. 5,787 20 4,854 96 3,073 37 \$291 66 4. Wyoming. 5,787 20 4,854 96 3,073 37 \$291 66 4. Wyoming. 5,787 20 4,854 96 3,073 37 \$291 66 4. Lewis. 8,368 08 4,988 56 1,405 93 1,913 59 5. Greene. 9,725 81 4,515 51 2,757 93 2,452 37 6. Seneca. 7,710ga. 10,101 16 5,606 67 1,521 31 2,973 18 8. Lewis. 8,368 08 4,988 56 1,405 93 1,913 59 9. Schoharie. 6,756 26 4,151 35 1,124 06 1,297 40 183 45 10. Yates. 1,246 60 1,297 40 183 45 11. Putnam. 9,054 79 5,337 65 2,152 45 1,564 69 12. Schuyler. 6,675 52 2,721 17 941 20 3,013 15 13. Hamilton. 16,393 71 2,445 56 1,834 30 826 77 11,187 08 Totals. 810,1530 28 845,256 18 822,320 51 82 | | | 8,397 47 | | | |
| 9. Otsego | | | | | | |
| 11. Franklin. | 9. Otsego | | | 3,412 07 | 5,381 38 | 215 65 |
| 12. Delaware | 10. Rockland | 30,617 19 | 5,728 76 | 7,179 22 | 2,890 47 | 14,818 74 |
| 13. Fulton. | | | | | | |
| 11. Columbia. 24, 426 06 9, 630 57 5, 969 26 4, 402 73 4, 423 50 15. Allegany. 9, 278 52 6, 556 33 1, 259 50 1, 462 69 16. Madison. 18, 051 74 11, 422 20 1, 677 51 17. Livingston. 14, 766 08 9, 119 88 2, 978 26 2, 667 94 18. Genesee 12, 742 23 4, 400 94 2, 195 88 6, 145 41 19. Chenango 9, 894 77 6, 914 77 1, 870 74 1, 109 26 20. Tompkins 10, 191 68 5, 452 80 1, 379 07 3, 359 81 21. Warren. 14, 937 04 8, 621 55 3, 071 49 2, 319 66 924 34 22. Cortland 11, 063 54 6, 289 26 1, 391 11 2, 612 00 771 17 Totals \$374, 055 84 \$172, 322 53 \$85, 733 09 \$91, 322 49 \$24, 677 73 4, 700 254 7, 832 84 3, 896 96 4, 151 02 2, 056 47 **CLASS V*** 1. Sullivan. \$16, 150 46 \$8, 608 00 \$3, 040 09 \$4, 502 37 2. Essex. 4, 297 30 994 51 2, 628 28 674 51 3. Orleans 14, 007 19 5, 787 20 4, 854 96 3, 073 37 \$291 66 4. Wyoming 5. Greene 9, 725 81 4, 515 51 2, 757 93 2, 452 37 6. Seneca 7. Tioga 10, 101 16 5, 606 67 1, 521 31 2, 973 18 8. Lewis 8, 368 08 4, 988 56 1, 465 93 1, 193 59 9. Schoharie 6, 675 52 4, 151 35 1, 124 06 1, 297 40 183 45 10. Yates 11. Putnam 9, 054 79 5, 337 65 2, 152 45 1, 564 69 12. Schuyler 6, 675 52 2, 721 17 941 20 3, 013 15 13. Hamilton 16, 333 71 2, 445 56 1, 834 30 826 77 11, 187 08 Totals \$101, 530 28 \$45, 556 18 \$22, 320 51 \$22, 291 40 \$11, 662 19 | | | | 1,472 85 | | |
| 16. Madison. 18,051 74 11,422 20 1,677 51 4,852 03 100 00 17. Livingston. 14,766 08 9,119 88 2,978 26 2,667 94 18. Genesee 12,742 23 4,400 94 2,195 88 6,145 41 19. Chenango 9,894 77 6,914 77 1,870 74 1,109 26 20. Tompkins 10,191 68 5,452 80 1,379 07 3,359 81 21. Warren. 14,937 04 8,621 55 3,071 49 2,319 66 924 34 22. Cortland. 11,063 54 6,289 26 1,391 11 2,612 00 771 17 Totals \$374,055 84 \$172,322 53 \$85,733 09 \$91,322 49 \$24,677 73 Averages \$16,150 46 \$8,608 00 \$3,040 09 \$4,550 37 22 2,056 47 CLASS V 1. Sullivan \$16,150 46 \$8,608 00 \$3,040 09 \$4,550 37 \$2,256 47 2. Essex 4,297 30 994 51 2,628 28 674 51 3. Orleans 14,007 19 5,787 20 4,854 96 3 | | 24,426 06 | 9,630 57 | 5,969 26 | 4,402 73 | |
| 17. Livingston | 15. Allegany | 9,278 52 | 6,556 33 | 1,259 50 | 1,462 69 | |
| 18. Genesee 12,742 23 4,400 94 2,195 88 6,145 41 1.109 26 1.109 26 1.109 26 1.109 26 1.109 26 1.109 26 1.109 26 1.109 26 1.109 26 1.109 26 1.109 26 1.106 1.109 26 1.109 26 1.109 26 1.109 26 1.109 26 1.109 26 1.109 26 1.106 | | | | | | 100 00 |
| 10, Chenango | | | | | | |
| 21. Warren. | | | | | | |
| 22. Cortland. | 20. Tompkins | 10,191 68 | 5,452 80 | 1,379 07 | 3,359 81 | |
| Totals. \$374,055 84 \$172,322 53 \$85,733 09 \$91,322 49 \$24,677 73 7,832 84 \$3,896 96 \$4,151 02 \$2,056 47\$ CLASS V 1. Sullivan \$16,150 46 \$8,608 00 \$3,040 09 \$4,502 37 \$2.585 \$4.297 30 \$994 51 \$2,628 28 \$674 51 \$2.628 28 \$674 51 | | | | 3,071 49 | 2,319 66 | 924 34 |
| Averages. 17,002 54 7,832 84 3,896 96 4,151 02 2,056 47 CLASS V 1. Sullivan \$16,150 46 \$8,608 00 \$3,040 09 \$4,502 37 2. Essex 4,297 30 994 51 2,628 28 674 51 3. Orleans 14,007 19 5,787 20 4,854 96 3,073 37 \$291 66 4. Wyoming 5. Greene 9,725 81 4,515 51 2,757 93 2,452 37 6. Seneca 10,101 16 5,606 67 1,521 31 2,973 18 8. Lewis 8,368 08 4,988 56 1,465 93 1,913 59 9. Schoharie 6,756 26 4,151 35 1,124 06 1,297 40 183 45 10. Yates 11. Putnam 9,054 79 5,337 65 2,152 45 1,564 69 12. Schuyler 6,675 52 2,721 | 22. Cortland | 11,063 54 | 6,289 26 | 1,391 11 | 2,612 00 | 771 17 |
| CLASS V 1. Sullivan. \$16,150 46 | Totals | | | | | |
| 1. Sullivan \$16,150 46 \$8,608 00 \$3,040 09 \$4,502 37 2. Essex 4,297 30 994 51 2,628 28 674 51 3. Orleans 14,007 19 5,787 20 4,854 96 3,073 37 \$291 66 4. Wyoming 9,725 81 4,515 51 2,757 93 2,452 37 2,452 37 6. Seneca 7. Tioga 10,101 16 5,606 67 1,521 31 2,973 18 8 8. Lewis 8,368 08 4,988 56 1,465 93 1,913 59 9 9. Schoharie 6,756 26 4,151 35 1,124 06 1,297 40 183 45 10. Yates 11. Putnam 9,054 79 5,337 65 2,152 45 1,564 69 1,564 69 12. Schuyler 6,675 52 2,721 17 941 20 3,013 15 1,187 08 Totals \$101,530 28 \$45,256 18 \$22,320 51 \$22,291 40 \$11,662 19 | Averages | 17,002 54 | 7,832 84 | 3,896 96 | 4,151 02 | 2,056 47 |
| 1. Sullivan \$16,150 46 \$8,608 00 \$3,040 09 \$4,502 37 2. Essex 4,297 30 994 51 2,628 28 674 51 3. Orleans 14,007 19 5,787 20 4,854 96 3,073 37 \$291 66 4. Wyoming 9,725 81 4,515 51 2,757 93 2,452 37 2,452 37 6. Seneca 7. Tioga 10,101 16 5,606 67 1,521 31 2,973 18 8 8. Lewis 8,368 08 4,988 56 1,465 93 1,913 59 9 9. Schoharie 6,756 26 4,151 35 1,124 06 1,297 40 183 45 10. Yates 11. Putnam 9,054 79 5,337 65 2,152 45 1,564 69 1,564 69 12. Schuyler 6,675 52 2,721 17 941 20 3,013 15 1,187 08 Totals \$101,530 28 \$45,256 18 \$22,320 51 \$22,291 40 \$11,662 19 | , | 1 | CI ACC V | | | |
| 2. Essex. 4, 297 30 994 51 2, 628 28 674 51 | 1 Sullivon | u 916 150 46 | | 22 040 00 | 1 64 509 97 | |
| 3. Orleans 14,007 19 5,787 20 4,854 96 3,073 37 \$291 66 4. Wyoming | | 4,297 30 | 994 51 | | | |
| 5. Greene. 9,725 81 4,515 51 2,757 93 2,452 37 6. Seneca. 10,101 16 5,606 67 1,521 31 2,973 18 7. Tioga. 10,101 16 5,606 67 1,521 31 2,973 18 8. Lewis. 8,368 08 4,988 56 1,465 93 1,913 59 9. Schoharie. 6,756 26 4,151 35 1,124 06 1,297 40 183 45 10. Yates. 11. Putnam. 9,054 79 5,337 65 2,152 45 1,564 69 3,013 15 12. Schuyler. 6,675 52 2,721 17 941 20 3,013 15 1.184 08 13. Hamilton. 16,393 71 2,545 56 1,834 30 826 77 11,187 08 Totals. \$101,530 28 \$45,256 18 \$22,320 51 \$22,291 40 \$11,662 19 | | | | | | \$291 66 |
| 6. Seneca. 7. Tioga. 10,101 16 5,606 67 1,521 31 2,973 18 8. Lewis. 8. Lewis. 9. Schoharie. 6. 756 26 4,151 35 1,124 06 1,297 40 183 45 10. Yates 11. Putnam. 9,054 79 5,337 65 2,152 45 1,564 69 12. Schuyler. 12. Schuyler. 13. Hamilton. 16,393 71 2,545 56 1,834 30 826 77 11,187 08 10. Totals. 8101,530 28 \$45,256 18 \$22,320 51 \$22,291 40 \$11,662 19 | | 9.725.81 | 4.515.51 | 2.757 93 | 2.452 37 | |
| 7. Tioga 10, 101 16 5,606 67 1,521 31 2,973 18 8. Lewis 8,368 08 4,988 56 1,465 93 1,913 59 9. Schoharie 6,756 26 4,151 35 1,124 06 1,297 40 183 45 10. Yates 11, Putnam 9,054 79 5,337 65 2,152 45 1,564 69 12. Schuyler 6,675 52 2,721 17 941 20 3,013 15 13. Hamilton 16,393 71 2,545 56 1,834 30 826 77 11,187 08 Totals \$101,530 28 \$45,256 18 \$22,320 51 \$22,291 40 \$11,662 19 | | .,,,,, | 1,010 01 | | | |
| 8. Lewis | | 10.101 16 | 5.606 67 | 1.521 31 | 2.973 18 | |
| 10. Yates. 9,054 79 5,337 65 2,152 45 1,564 69 12. Schuyler. 6,675 52 2,721 17 941 20 3,013 15 13. Hamilton. 16,393 71 2,545 56 1,834 30 826 77 11,187 08 Totals. \$101,530 28 \$45,256 18 \$22,320 51 \$22,291 40 \$11,662 19 | 8. Lewis | 8,368 08 | 4,988 56 | 1,465 93 | 1,913 59 | |
| 11. Putnam. 9,054 79 5,337 65 2,152 45 1,564 69 | | 6,756 26 | 4,151 35 | 1,124 06 | 1,297 40 | 183 45 |
| 12. Schuyler 6,675 52 2,721 17 941 20 3,013 15 | | 0.051.70 | 7 997 97 | 0.150.45 | 1 504 00 | |
| 13. Hamilton 16,393 71 2,545 56 1,834 30 826 77 11,187 08 Totals \$101,530 28 \$45,256 18 \$22,320 51 \$22,291 40 \$11,662 19 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 11,187 08 |
| | Totals | \$101.530.28 | \$45.256.18 | \$22,320,51 | \$22,291,40 | \$11,662 19 |
| | | | | | | |



Expenses for Elections

Defects of reports of county treasurers:

The reports of county treasurers in regard to election expenses are very unsatisfactory.

In some counties the bills for the election expenses are audited by the commissioners of election and illegally paid by the county treasurer upon such audit. Even where these bills are properly audited by the board of supervisors, the claims presented often fail to distinguish between the different classes of expenses for this purpose, and as a consequence, the orders drawn by the clerk of the board of supervisors on the county treasurer are indefinite and inaccurate.

The difficulty may be partly due to the recent changes by the legislature in the Election Law. It will be noted that for practically 15 per cent of the amount paid for these expenses the county treasurer has made no attempt at classification. The amount paid for the offices of commissioners of election is practically accurate. The division between the cost of elections and the primary elections is not accurate.

Table 45 — Expenses of Elections

| | Total | Commission- ers of election | Elections | Primary elections | Not classified |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| | | | | | |
| Class I | \$145,472 14 | | \$41,917 18 | \$6,126 15 | \$38,318 80 |
| Class II | 134,896 43 | | | 30,886 29 | 14,416 90 |
| Class III | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 55,184 06 63,944 29 | | 8,371 01 | 10,551 41 |
| Class IV | 45,256 18 | 16,638 40 | | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{c} 30,410 & 37 \\ 2,921 & 40 \end{array}$ |
| Class V | 10,200 10 | 10,000 10 | | | 2,021 10 |
| State | \$656,499 15 | \$232,378 92 | \$262,712 57 | \$64,788 78 | \$96,618 88 |
| | | | | | |
| COUNTY | | CLASS I | | | |
| 1. Erie | \$72,193 63 | | | | \$38,318 80 |
| 2. Monroe | 39,207 95 | 13,596 28 | \$21,251 72 | \$4,359 95 | |
| 3. Westchester | 34,070 56 | 11,638 90 | 20,665 46 | 1,766 20 | |
| Total | \$145,472 14 | \$59,110 01 | \$41,917 18 | \$6,126 15 | \$38,318 80 |
| Averages | 48,490 74 | 19,703 33 | 13,972 39 | 2,042 05 | 38,318 80 |
| | | | | | |
| | | CLASS II | | | |
| 1 0 | \$29,740 94 | \$5,766 80 | | 202 074 141 | |
| 1. Onondaga | 35,067 29 | 12,378 57 | \$15,776 57 | | |
| 3. Oneida | 22,601 50 | 8,184 60 | | | \$14,416 90 |
| 4. Rensselaer | 47,486 70 | 11,172 19 | 36,314 51 | | |
| (D) (-1) | 0124 000 42 | \$37,502 16 | \$52,091 08 | \$30,886 29 | Q14 41C 00 |
| Totals | \$134,896 43 33,724 10 | 9,375 54 | 26,045 54 | 15.443 14 | \$14,416 90 14,416 90 |
| Averages | | | | 10,110 11 | |
| | | CLASS III | | | |
| 1. Orange | \$13,635 03 | \$4,007 33 | \$7,660 70 | \$1,967 00 | |
| 2. Chautauqua | 7,712 40 | 2,710 60 | 5,001 80 | | |
| 3. Suffolk | 15,709 17 11.117 79 | $6,60971 \ 5,22973$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 5,657 & 51 \\ 5,323 & 66 \end{bmatrix}$ | | |
| 4. Niagara 5. Ulster | 12.689 85 | 3,313 53 | 7,955 51 | | |
| | , , | | , | | |
| 6. St. Lawrence | 5,167 98 | 2,587 02 | 2,179 11 | | |
| 7. Schenectady 8. Dutchess | 10,563 40 10,687 89 | 5,392 60 3.087 98 | $\begin{bmatrix} 5,170 & 80 \\ 7,599 & 91 \end{bmatrix}$ | | |
| 9. Nassau | 20.067 54 | 4,901 59 | | | |
| 10. Steuben | 14,824 89 | 4,273 48 | | | |
| | 0.101.70 | 0.500.05 | F 000 10 | | |
| 11. Jefferson | 9,191 73 8,354 63 | $\begin{bmatrix} 3,503 & 27 \\ 2,220 & 00 \end{bmatrix}$ | | | |
| 12. Broome | 2,425 00 | 1,850 00 | 0,104 05 | 575 00 | |
| 14. Cayuga | 9,823 18 | 2,700 00 | 7,123 18 | | |
| 15. Cattaraugus | 6,581 39 | 2,797 22 | 3,784 17 | | |
| Totala | 2159 551 97 | \$55 184 Oc | 691 115 90 | 89 271 01 | \$10.551.41 |
| Totals | \$158,551 87 10,570 12 | \$55,184 06 3,678 93 | \$84,445 39 6,495 80 | \$8,371 01 1,395 16 | \$10,551 41 10,551 41 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Table 45 — Expenses of Elections — concluded

| COUNTY | Total | Commission- ers of election | Elections | Primary elections | Not classified |
|--------------|--------------------------|--|---|---|-------------------|
| | | | | | |
| | | CLASS IV | | | |
| 1. Saratoga | \$10,463 10 8,735 38 | \$4,217 69 2,672 73 | \$6,245 41 | | |
| 3. Herkimer | 6,376 51 | 3,230 96 | 3.145 55 | | \$6,062 65 |
| 4. Chemung | 12,044 37 | 4,109 56 | 6,048 63 | \$1,886 18 | \$6,062 65 |
| 5. Ontario | 7,993 95 | 2,639 47 | | • | 5,354 48 |
| 6. Wayne | 8,397 47 | 2,984 02 | 5,413 45 | | |
| 7. Clinton | 7,455 88 8,361 35 | $\begin{bmatrix} 6,971 & 38 \\ 2,671 & 51 \end{bmatrix}$ | 484 50 | | |
| 9. Otsego | 8,509 15 | 2,370 27 | 4,914 73 | 1.224 15 | 5,689 84 |
| 10. Rockland | 5,728 76 | 1,995 60 | 3,733 16 | | |
| 11. Franklin | 4,556 32 | 2,213 36 | 2,029 46 | 313 50 | |
| 12. Delaware | 6,576 92 | 1,600 00 | | | |
| 13. Fulton | 8,715 07 9,630 57 | $\frac{4,946}{2,577}$ $\frac{85}{76}$ | 7 059 81 | | 3,768.22 |
| 15. Allegany | 6,556 33 | 2,736 98 | 2,986 99 | | |
| 16 Madisan | 11,422 20 | 2,910 80 | 5 051 70 | | |
| 16. Madison | 9,119 88 | 3,803 68 | 5,054 79 | 3,430 01 | 5,316 20 |
| 18. Genesee | 4,400 94 | 1,533 80 | 2,511 59 | 355 55 | |
| 19. Chenango | 6,914 77 5,452 80 | $\begin{array}{c} 2,565 & 41 \\ 1,233 & 82 \end{array}$ | 4,349 36 | | |
| | | | | | |
| 21. Warren | 8,621 55 6,289 26 | $\begin{array}{c} 2,150 & 17 \\ 1,808 & 47 \end{array}$ | $\frac{4,570}{2,953} \frac{38}{74}$ | 1,901 00 | |
| | | | | 1,527 05 | |
| Totals | \$172,322 53 7,832 84 | \$63,944 29 | \$61,494 55 | \$16,473 32 | \$30,410 37 |
| Averages | 1,832 84 | 2,906 56 | 4,099 63 | 1,830_37 | 5,068 39 |
| | | OI AGG V | | | |
| 1 Collins | ** ** ** | CLASS V | 95 015 001 | ** 00* 00 | |
| 1. Sullivan | \$8,608 00 994 51 | \$1,756 87 994 51 | \$5,815 20 | \$1,035.93 | |
| 3. Orleans | 5,787 20 | 1,614 28 | 4,172 92 | | |
| 4. Wyoming | 4,515 51 | 3,310 00 | | | |
| 5. Greene | 4,010 01 | 3,310 00 | 1,200 01 | | |
| 6. Seneca | 5 eng em | 1 007 00 | 9 909 50 | 1 055 00 | |
| 7. Tioga | 5,606 67 4,988 56 | 1,987 29 1,209 14 | $\begin{array}{c} 2,363 & 58 \\ 3,779 & 42 \end{array}$ | 1,255 80 | |
| 9. Schoharie | 4,151 35 | 1,498 80 | 2,652 55 | | |
| 10. Yates | | | | | |
| 11. Putnam | 5,337 65 | 2,416 25 | | | \$2,921 40 |
| 12. Schuyler | 2,721 17 | 966 26 | 1,114 63 | 640 28 | |
| 13. Hamilton | 2,545 56 | 885 00 | 1,660 56 | | |
| Total | \$45,256 18 | \$16,638 40 | \$22,764 37 | \$2,932 01 | |
| Averages | 4,525 61 | 1,663 84 | 2,845 54 | 977 33 | 2,921 40 |
| | | | | | |



EXPENSES FOR GENERAL OFFICES

This table, as with all tables relating to officers, includes —

- (a) The salary of the officer in charge;
- (b) Premium on his official bond, if any;
- (c) Clerks and assistants authorized by the board of supervisors or by law;
- (d) Compensation of persons employed by him in cases of emergency without prior authority by the board of supervisors;
- (e) Traveling expenses for such officer and his employees;
- (f) Postage, stationery, printing of blanks and other incidental expenses of the office;
- (g) Expenses for telephone rentals, telephone and telegraph tolls and messenger service;
- (h) Expenditures for desks, chairs, rugs, curtains and other furniture and fixtures for his office;
- (i) And any other purely office expense incurred by him.

The intent is to show the expenditures for which this particular officer is primarily responsible.

Table 46 — Expenses

| | Total | County attorney |
|--|---|---|
| Class I Class II Class III Class IV Class IV Class V | \$119,522 51 68,136 47 125,723 64 85,733 09 22,320 51 | \$14,311 28 11,369 07 21,599 52 9,701 46 1,448 64 |
| State | \$421,436 22 | \$58,429 97 |
| COUNTY | | CLASS |
| 1. Erie | \$57,274 96 32,061 60 30,185 95 | 3,993 86 |
| Totals | \$119,522 51 39,840 83 | \$14,311 28 4,770 42 |
| | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga | \$19,368 85 19,528 82 16,916 25 12,322 55 | $\begin{array}{c} 3,702 & 25 \\ 1,409 & 13 \end{array}$ |
| Totals | \$68,136 47 14,534 12 | |
| | | CLASS |
| 1. Orange. 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk. 4. Niagara 5. Ulster. | \$7,463 75 4,820 73 14,699 86 6,970 22 12,144 37 | 2,627 98 1,244 73 |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess. 9. Nassau. 10. Steuben. | 4,397 36 12,710 75 6,236 34 24,852 60 7,738 18 | 2,236 67 3,060 02 |
| 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus | 1,636 74 5,733 57 7,190 87 7,370 89 1,757 41 | 658 88 1,200 00 848 65 |
| Totals | \$125,723 64 8,381 57 | |

for General Officers

| County auditor | County comptroller | County treasurer | Purchasing agent | Superin- tendent of building | Not classified | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|--|--------------------|---|
| \$13,994 88 1,618 96 1,945 94 | \$5,413 85 9,079 43 | \$80,813 73 43,092 57 88,112 30 70,807 21 20,871 87 | \$4,951 02 5,346 92 2,984 50 2,478 48 | \$5,451 60 2,914 06 1,500 80 800 00 | \$828 13 | I III IV V |
| \$17,559 78 | \$14,493 28 | \$303,697 68 | \$15,760 92 | \$10,666 46 | \$828 13 | State |
| r | | | | | | 1 |
| \$10,454 90 3,539 98 | | \$40,108 76 18,182 98 22,521 99 | \$4,951 02 | \$4,933 74 517 86 | | $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| \$13,994 88 6,997 44 | | \$80,813 73 26,937 91 | \$4,951 02 4,951 02 | \$5,451 60 2,725 80 | | |
| II | | | | • | ` | |
| | \$ 5,413 85 | \$10,312 15 15,826 57 7,179 21 9,774 64 | | \$2,914 06 | | 1 2 3 4 |
| | \$5,413 85 5,413 85 | \$43,092 57 10,773 14 | \$5,346 92 5,346 92 | \$2,914 06 2,914 06 | | |
| III | • | | | ' | | 1 |
| | | \$5,738 60 4,820 73 12,071 88 5,725 49 5,596 93 | | | | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| \$1,618 96 | \$9,079 13 | 3,897 36 6,295 04 6,236 34 12,713 15 4,334 83 | \$1,359 28 1,625 22 | | \$828 13 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| | | 1,636 74 5,074 69 5,990 87 6,222 24 1,757 41 | | 300 00 | | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| \$1,618 96 1,618 96 | \$9,079 43 9,079 43 | \$88,112 30 5,874 82 | \$2,984 50 1,492 25 | \$1,500 80 750 40 | \$828 13 828 13 | |

Table 46 — Expenses

| | | _ |
|----------------|---|--------------------|
| | Total | County attorney |
| | | CLASS |
| | \$6,897.68 | |
| 1. Saratoga. | 7,815 53 | 550 38 |
| 3. Herkimer | 6,738 94 | 948 86 |
| 4. Chemung | 8,688 53 | 2,151 86 |
| 5. Ontario | 5,290 65 | 774 06 |
| 6. Wayne | 4,127 53 | |
| 7. Clinton | $\begin{array}{c} 3,840 & 74 \\ 1,763 & 91 \end{array}$ | 746 28 |
| 8. Washington | $\frac{1,765}{3,412} \frac{91}{07}$ | |
| 10. Rockland | 7,179 22 | 1,630 32 |
| 11. Franklin | 3,389 46 | |
| 12. Delaware | 1,472 85 | |
| 13. Fulton | 3,323 16 | |
| 14. Columbia | 5,969 26 | |
| 15. Allegany | 1,259 50 | |
| 16. Madison | 1,677 51 | 773 10 |
| 17. Livingston | 2,978 26 | |
| 18. Genesee | $\frac{2,195}{1,870}$ $\frac{88}{74}$ | |
| 19. Chenango | 1,379 07 | |
| | | |
| 21. Warren | 3,071 49 | 1,392 58 |
| 22. Cortland | 1,391 11 | 230 00 |
| Totals | \$85,733 09 | \$9,701 46 |
| Averages | 3,896 98 | 970 14 |
| - 1 | | |
| | | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan | \$3,040 09 | |
| 2. Essex | 2,628 28 4,854 96 | 1,000 00 302 64 |
| 3. Orleans | 4,004 90 | 302 04 |
| 5. Greene | 2,757 93 | |
| 6. Seneca | | |
| 7. Tioga | 1,521 31 | |
| 8. Lewis | 1,465 93 | |
| 9. Schoharie | 1,124 06 | |
| 10. Yates | | |
| 11. Putnam | 2,152 45 | |
| 12. Schuyler | 941 20 | |
| 13. Hamilton | 1,834 30 | |
| Totals | \$22,320 51 | \$1,448 64 |
| Averages | 2,232 05 | 482 88 |
| Averages | 2,202 00 | 102 00 |

for General Officers

| County auditor | County comptroller | County treasurer | Purchasing agent | Superin- tendent of buildings | Not classified |
|------------------------|-----------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| IV | | | | 1 | |
| \$1,945 94 | | $7,265 - 15, \\ 1,565 - 66,$ | \$2,278 48 | | |
| | | $\begin{array}{c} 4,127 & 53 \\ 2,894 & 46 \\ 1,763 & 91 \\ 2,712 & 07 \\ 5,548 & 90 \end{array}$ | 200 00 | \$700 00 | |
| | | 3,389 46 1,472 85 3,323 16 5,869 26 1,259 50 | | 100 00 | |
| | | 904 41 2,978 26 2,195 88 1,870 74 1,379 07 | | | |
| | | 1,678 91 1,161 11 | | | |
| \$1,945 94 1,945 54 | | \$70,807 21 3,218 51 | \$2,478 48 1,239 24 | \$800 00 400 00 | |
| V | | 1,628 28 | | | |
| | | 1,521 31 1,465 93 1,124 06 | | | |
| | | $\begin{array}{c} 2,152 & 45 \\ 941 & 20 \\ 1,834 & 30 \end{array}$ | | | |
| | | \$20,871 87 2,087 18 | | | |



MAINTENANCE OF ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDINGS

Practically all counties have court houses. Many of the counties have a separate building known as the county clerk's building. A few counties have still other office buildings. Rentals of administrative office buildings are included under this title.

Buildings excluded:

This title does not include the cost of maintaining jails, almshouses, tuberculosis hospitals, etc., which are entered as a part of the expenses for correction, charities, etc.

Items included:

The items included in the maintenance of buildings are as follows:

- (a) Expenditures for janitors and other employees in the care of the building;
- (b) Expenditures for elevator-man, fireman, etc., where employed;
- (c) Brooms, disinfectants and other janitor's supplies;
- (d) Furnishings for halls, assembly rooms, court rooms, and other rooms for the general use of all occupants of the building;

(The furnishings for specific offices within the building are included under the title of the Specific Officer — see description of Table 46.)

- (e) The expenditures for repairs to the building, its heating, plumbing or lighting systems, care of lawns, etc., where such expenses do not increase the capacity of the building; where the expenses increase the capacity of the building, the amounts are considered as a cost of construction.
- (f) Insurance on the building and its contents;
- (g) Expenditures for lighting;
- (h) Expenditures for heating;
- (i) Expenditures for water rent;
- (j) Expenditures for power.

Table 47 - Expenses of Maintenance of Administrative Buildings

| | Total | Court house | County clerk's building | Not classified |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Class I. Class III Class III Class IV Class V | \$160,671 58 132,829 48 107,021 14 91,322 49 22,291 40 | \$120,671 58 123,813 69 81,556 32 55,552 74 19,156 76 | \$9,015 79 22,735 00 20,596 07 2,460 13 | \$40,000 00 2,729 82 15,173 68 674 51 |
| State | \$514,136 09 | \$400,751 09 | | \$58,578 01 ======= |
| COUNTY 1. Erie | CLASS I \$40,002 72 80,819 31 39,849 55 | 80,819 31 | | \$40,000 00 |
| Totals | \$160,671 58 53,557 19 | \$120,671 58 40,223 52 | | \$40,000 00 40,000 00 |
| | CLASS II | | | |
| 1. Onondaga 2. Albany 3. Oneida 4. Rensselaer | \$59,984 92 18,607 61 26,905 03 27,331 92 | 3 \$58,923 51 10,653 23 26,905 03 27,331 92 | 7,954 38 | |
| TotalAverages | \$132,829 48 33,207 37 | \$123,813 69 30,953 42 | | |
| · · | CLASS III | | | |
| 1. Orange 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara 5. Ulster | \$7,322 36 3,279 33 23,688 74 7,551 72 4,284 79 | 3,279 33 13,068 78 7,436 52 | 10,619 96 115 20 | \$488 38 |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess. 9. Nassau. 10. Steuben. | 1,892 08 4,386 13 4,366 03 13,406 93 7,272 91 | 1,47295 $4,30363$ $4,36603$ $13,40693$ $12,54832$ | | |
| 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus | 3,822 04 10,901 18 3,741 44 6,448 66 4,656 80 | $\begin{array}{c} 3,822 \ 04 \\ 10,901 \ 18 \\ 600 \ 00 \\ 4,334 \ 13 \\ 4,306 \ 61 \end{array}$ | 900 00 2,114 53 | 52,241 44 |
| TotalAverages | \$107,021_14 7,134 74 | \$81,556 32 5,437 09 | \$22,735 00 2,273 50 | \$2,729 82 1,364 91 |

¹ Hornell court house, \$1,126.62; Corning court house, \$1,421.70.
² County buildings at Bath, \$4,724.59.
³ County building.
⁴ City hall.
⁵ Fuel, gas and telephone.

Table 47 — Expenses of Maintenance of Administrative Buildings

| | Total | Court house | County clerk's building | Not classified |
|--|---|---|---|------------------------|
| | CLASS IV | | | |
| 1. Saratoga. 2. Montgomery. 3. Herkimer. 4. Chemung. 5. Ontario. | \$2,934 40 2,797 93 4,703 36 15,173 68 3,482 25 | \$2,094 98 668 40 3,089 27 3,482 25 | \$839 42 2,129 53 1,614 09 | 1 \$15,173 6 |
| 6. Wayne | 2,630 42 6,835 10 3,249 60 5,381 38 2,890 47 | 1,200 21 4,327 19 2,648 94 1,783 58 2,781 07 | $\substack{1,430 & 21 \\ 2,507 & 91 \\ 600 & 66 \\ 3,597 & 80 \\ 109 & 40}$ | |
| 1. Franklin. 2. Delaware. 3. Fulton. 4. Columbia. 5. Allegany. | 3,400 77 4,831 14 4,080 46 4,402 73 1,462 69 | 2,527 69 4,831 14 1,802 28 4,284 63 714 20 | | |
| 6. Madison 7. Livingston 8. Genesee 9. Chenango | $\begin{array}{c} 4,852 \ 03 \\ 2,667 \ 94 \\ 6,145 \ 41 \\ 1,109 \ 26 \\ 3,359 \ 81 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 4,852 \ 03 \\ 2,667 \ 94 \\ 5,885 \ 41 \\ 671 \ 60 \\ 2,209 \ 05 \end{array}$ | | |
| 21. Warren | 2,319 66 2,612 00 | 1,496 39 1,534 49 | 823 27 1,077 51 | |
| Totals | \$91,322 49 4,151 02 | \$55,552 74 2,645 37 | \$20,596 07 1,210 94 | \$15,173 € 15,173 6 |
| 1 | CLASS V | | | |
| 1. Sullivan. 2. Essex. 3. Orleans. 4. Wyoming. 5. Greene. | \$4,502 37 674 51 3,073 37 2,452 37 | 2,557 50 | | 2 \$674 5 |
| 6. Seneca. 7. Tioga. 8. Lewis. 9. Schoharie. 0. Yates. | 2,973 18 1,913 59 1,297 40 | 2,019 17 1,774 33 1,297 40 | | |
| 1. Putnam. 2. Schuyler. 3. Hamilton. | 1,564 69 3,013 15 826 77 | 1,540 47 3,013 15 | 24 22 826 77 | |
| Totalsverages | \$22,291 40 2,229 14 | \$19,156 76 1,915 67 | \$2,460 13 492 02 | \$674 5 674 5 |

¹ All county buildings. ² Insurance on buildings,



Interest on Refunding and Revenue Bonds and Tax Loans

The interest on indebtedness of this nature is treated in these tables as an administrative expense. This table shows in detail the expenditures so incurred.

Expenses of issue of general bonds:

The expenses for the issue of construction bonds is included as a part of the cost of construction (see Tables 28 and 29). The expenses of the issue of refunding bonds or revenue bonds are treated as a part of the administrative expenses of the county and are included in this table.

Table 48 — Administrative

Interest on Refunding and Revenue Bonds and Tax Loans

| | Total | Interest on refunding and revenue bonds | Interest on tax loans | Expenses of issue of general bonds |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Class I Class II Class III Class IV Class IV Class V State. | \$45,269 11 63,638 55 39,014 32 24,677 73 11,662 19 \$184,261 90 | \$24,512 32 46,150 63 15,204 31 21,577 96 8,333 33 \$115,778 55 | \$19,820 42 16,865 67 23,601 61 3,099 77 3,328 86 \$66,719 36 | \$936 37 622 25 205 37 \$1,763 99 |
| l l | | | | |
| COUNTY | CLASS I | | | |
| 3. Westchester | \$45,269 11 | \$24,512 32 | \$19,820 42 | \$936 37 |
| Total | \$45,269 11 45,269 11 | \$24,512 32 24,512 32 | \$19,820 42 19,820 42 | \$936 37 936 37 |
| • | CLASS II | | | |
| 1. Onondaga. 2. Albany. 3. Oneida. 4. Rensselaer. | \$5,324 37 29,449 69 17,835 66 11,028 83 | \$25,320 63 15,750 00 5,080 00 | \$5,324 37 3,506 81 2,085 66 5,948 83 | \$622-25 |
| Totals | \$63,638 55 15,909 64 | \$46,150 63 15,383 54 | \$16,865 67 4,216 42 | \$622 25 622 25 |
| | CLASS III | | | |
| 1. Orange. 3. Suffolk. 5. Ulster 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutehess. | $\begin{array}{ccc} 1,722 & 50 \\ 11,752 & 50 \end{array}$ | \$11,752 50 | 1,722 50 | \$205 37 |
| 9, Nassau 12, Broome 13, Oswego 14, Cayuva 15, Cattaraugus | 645 71 | 3,451 81 | $\begin{array}{c} 1,158 \ 80 \\ 427 \ 30 \\ 5,853 \ 13 \\ 645 \ 71 \\ 687 \ 49 \end{array}$ | |
| Totals | \$39,014 32 3,901 43 | \$15,204 31 7,602 15 | \$23,604 64 2,950 58 | \$205 37 205 37 |

Table 48 — Administrative

Interest on Refunding and Revenue Bonds and Tax Loans— concluded

| COUNTY | Total | Interest on refunding and revenue bonds | Interest on tax loans | Expenses of issue of general bonds |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------|---|
| | CLASS IV | | | |
| 1. Saratoga 2. Montgomery 3. Herkiner | \$435 35 2,598 95 | | | |
| 4. Chemung | 234 71 | 234 71 | | |
| 6. Wayne 7. Clinton 8. Washington 9. Otsego 10. Rockland | $\begin{array}{r} 19 & 17 \\ 64 & 95 \\ 71 & 20 \\ 215 & 65 \\ 14,818 & 74 \end{array}$ | 27 70 | 64 95 43 50 | |
| 11. Franklin 12. Delaware 13. Fulton 14. Columbia 15. Allegany | 4,423 50 | | | |
| 16. Madison 17. Livingston 18. Genesee 19. Chenango 20. Tompkins | 100 00 | | 100 00 | |
| 21. Warren. 22. Cortland. | 924 34 771 17 | 123 10 | 924 34 | |
| Totals | \$24,677 73 2,056 48 | \$21,577 96 3,596 33 | | |
| | CLASS V | , | ' | |
| 2. Essex. 3. Orleans. 4. Wyoming. | \$291 66 | | \$291 66 | |
| 7. Tioga | 183 45 | | 183 45 | |
| 11. Putnam | 11,187 08 | \$8,333 33 | | |
| Totals | \$11,662 19 3,887 39 | \$8,333 33 8,333 33 | \$3,328 86 1,109 62 | |



TOTAL EXPENDITURES FOR COURTS AND JUDICIAL OFFICERS OF CIVIL JURISDICTION

This table shows the cost of these items given in detail in Tables 50 and 51.

Table 49 — Judicial

Total Expenses for Courts and Judicial Officers of Civil Jurisdiction

| | Totals | | URT OFFICERS LIBRARIES | Civil terms | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Officers | Libraries | of courts | | | | |
| Class I | \$320,161 29 228,852 88 401,021 30 259,926 00 75,476 94 | \$234,860 05 109,556 13 199,814 46 173,375 60 51,735 47 | 7,358 59 5,360 26 5,042 85 | \$71,740 77 111,938 25 195,846 58 81,597 55 23,741 47 | | | | |
| State | \$1,285,438 41 | \$769,341 71 | \$28,322 08 | \$187,774 62 | | | | |
| COUNTY | CI. | ASS I | | | | | | |
| 1. Erie | \$97,852 02 | \$80,167 22 | | \$14,554.80 | | | | |
| 2. Monroe | 48,136 05 174,173 22 | 45,593 24 109,094 59 | | 60,185 97 | | | | |
| Totals | \$320,161 29 106,729 43 | \$234,860 05 77,273 35 | \$10,569 47 3,520 15 | \$74,740 77 37,370 38 | | | | |
| CLASS II | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Onondaga | 62,598 51 | \$30,444 58 31,854 11 20,869 41 26,388 03 | \$2,070 00 3,619 70 783 47 885 33 | \$41,435 13 27,034 73 22,201 26 21,267 13 | | | | |
| Totals | \$228,852 88 57,213 22 | \$109,556 13 27,364 03 | | \$111,938 25 27,984 56 | | | | |
| | CLA | ss III | | | | | | |
| 1. Orange 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara 5. Ulster | \$31,020 96 32,919 94 21,145 52 39,005 20 38,636 89 | \$17,304 62 15,536 52 11,731 86 16,144 55 14,002 01 | \$1,303 66 470 99 254 55 | \$12,412 68 16,912 52 9,413 66 22,860 65 24,380 33 | | | | |
| 6. St. Lawrence | $\begin{array}{c} 12,782 & 86 \\ 28,770 & 38 \\ 30,120 & 28 \\ 55,221 & 79 \\ 21,618 & 92 \end{array}$ | 9,833 25 18,547 41 10,166 78 25,059 01 9,431 92 | $\begin{array}{c} 79 & 50 \\ 547 & 50 \\ 466 & 70 \\ 1,159 & 59 \\ 162 & 75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 2,867 & 11 \\ 9,675 & 47 \\ 19,486 & 89 \\ 29,012 & 19 \\ 12,024 & 25 \end{array}$ | | | | |
| 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus | $\begin{array}{c} 20,080 \ 87 \\ 18,040 \ 93 \\ 16,628 \ 73 \\ 20,139 \ 45 \\ 14,888 \ 58 \end{array}$ | 6,883 06 13,186 67 14,635 13 11,238 22 6,110 45 | 672 75 191 61 59 75 | 13,197 81 4,181 51 1,993 60 8,709 62 8,718 38 | | | | |
| TotalsAverages | \$401,021 30 26,667 74 | \$199,814 46 13,320 96 | \$5,360 26 487 29 | \$195,846 58 13,056 43 | | | | |

Table 49 — Judicial

Total Expenses for Courts and Judicial Officers of Civil Jurisdiction — concluded

| COUNTY | Totals | Judicia | Civil terms | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Totals | Officers | Libraries | of courts | | | | | |
| CLASS IV | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Stratoga 2. Montgomery 3. Herkimer 4. Chemung 5. Ontario | \$20,733 62 12,747 01 10,848 24 23,383 07 9,955 69 | \$11,669 07 8,504 51 9,441 02 7,565 36 6,716 25 | \$966 48 86 50 214 22 806 00 | \$8,098 07 4,156 00 1,193 09 15,011 71 3,239 44 | | | | | |
| 6. Wayne 7. Clinton 8. Washington 9. Otsego 10. Rockland | $\begin{array}{c} 12,130 & 38 \\ 7,648 & 43 \\ 10,532 & 36 \\ 11,551 & 57 \\ 9,766 & 78 \\ \end{array}$ | 7,886 29 7,618 43 7,537 75 7,561 21 6,339 07 | 98 50 512 00 107 00 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,145 & 59 \\ & 39 & 06 \\ 2,482 & 61 \\ 3,990 & 36 \\ 3,320 & 71 \end{array}$ | | | | | |
| 11. Franklin 12. Delaware 13. Fulton 14. Columbia 15. Allegany | $\begin{bmatrix} 12,069&50\\ 7,099&73\\ 11,162&20\\ 12,588&48\\ 10,099&91 \end{bmatrix}$ | 9,118 79 3,995 53 8,693 40 12,363 58 6,032 79 | 500 00 238 50 224 90 | 2,950 71 2,604 20 2,230 30 4,067 12 | | | | | |
| 16. Madison 17. Livingston 18. Genesee 19. Chenango 20. Tompkins | 13,514 36 11,041 21 14,188 16 11,447 39 12,569 14 | 7,956 37 8,454 35 10,425 43 6,851 04 7,622 14 | 213 51 190 71 | 5,344 48 2,396 15 3,762 73 3,781 93 4,903 37 | | | | | |
| 21. Warren | 9,205 90 5,642 87 | 6,602 10 4,421 12 | 26 50 | 2,577 30 1,221 75 | | | | | |
| Totals | \$259,926 00 11,814 81 | \$173,375 60 7,780 70 | \$5,042 85 336 19 | \$81,507 55 3,871 78 | | | | | |
| | CL | ASS V | | | | | | | |
| 1. Sullivan 2. Essex | \$13,110 54 3,300 00 | \$3,467 75 3 300 00 | | \$9,642 79 | | | | | |
| 3. Orleans 4. Wyoming | 11,849 88 | 6,733 81 | | 5,116 07 | | | | | |
| 5. Greene | 12,547 30 | 9,359 10 | | 3,188 20 | | | | | |
| 6. Seneca. 7. Tioga. 8. Lewis. 9. Schoharie. 10. Yates. | 3,785 80 7,987 73 8,136 03 | 3,579 17 6,838 08 6,919 63 | | 206 63 1,149 65 1,216 4) | | | | | |
| 11. Putnam | 5,327 91 6,338 25 3,100 50 | 5,204 91 3,232 52 3,100 50 | | 116 00 3,105 73 | | | | | |
| Totals | \$75,476 94 7,547 69 | \$51,735 47 5,173 54 | | \$23,741 47 2,967 68 | | | | | |



JUDICIAL OFFICERS AND COURT LIBRARY

This table shows the office expenses of the several judicial officers indicated in the headings and the amounts expended for services and office expenses of the court librarian and for law books bought for such libraries.

County Judge and Surrogate:

In several counties these offices are combined. The classification of the County Treasurer has been followed.

County Clerk as Clerk of Courts:

See description of table 54, "County Clerk as Register."

Table 50 — Judicial Officers and Court Library

| | Total | County judge | Surrogate | County clerk as clerk of court | Commissioner of jurors | Not classified | Court library |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|-----------------------------|--|
| Class I | 109,556 13 199,814 46 173,375 60 51,735 47 | \$36,253 15 26,639 93 54,429 25 52,542 13 13,720 12 | 52,732 89 80,580 17 72,283 32 17,972 50 | 20,735 38 57,396 86 45,775 52 20,042 85 | | \$791 05 172 20 38 35 | |
| | \$769,341 71 ======== | | | | | | |
| COUNTY | | | CLASS I | | | | |
| 1. Erie | \$80,167 22 45,598 24 109,094 59 | \$11,780 65 13,524 58 10,946 92 | \$28,735 27 18,002 58 33,763 62 | \$29,660 98 7,418 13 57,383 56 | 6,652 95 | | \$3,130 00 2,537 81 4,892 66 |
| Totals | | | | 31,487 55 | \$23,643 76 7,881 25 | | $\begin{array}{r} \$10,569 & 47 \\ 3,529 & 15 \\ = = = = \end{array}$ |
| | | | CLASS II | | | | |
| 1. Onondaga 2. Albany 3. Oneida 4. Rensselaer | 31,827 11 | \$5,000 00 10,099 65 4,192 82 7,347 46 | \$13,353 47 14,729 86 11,796 72 12,852 84 | \$9,200 00 4,496 05 930 60 6,108 73 | \$2,891 11 1,737 50 3,949 27 79 00 | | \$2,070 00 3,619 70 783 47 885 33 |
| Totals Averages | \$109,556 13 27,389 03 | \$26,639 93 6,659 98 | \$52,732 89 13,183 32 | \$20,735 38 5,183 84 | \$8,656 88 2,164 42 | \$791 05 791 05 | \$7,358 50 1,839 62 |
| | | | CLASS II | I | | | |
| 1. Orange 2. Chautauqua. 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara 5. Ulster | | \$3,608 00 2,607 00 2,632 75 6,808 92 3,233 89 | \$8,371 37 6,624 49 7,716 81 2,654 68 7,268 02 | 6,395 12 1,354 39 4,416 67 | \$28 00 2,092 08 | 1 \$172 20 | 470 90 |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess 9. Nassau 10. Steuben | 9,836 25 18,547 41 10,166 78 25,059 01 9,431 92 | 2,439 76 4,121 08 3,000 00 5,369 06 2,189 78 | $\begin{array}{c} 4,753 & 10 \\ 6,864 & 15 \\ 7,166 & 78 \\ 10,307 & 50 \\ 4,657 & 64 \end{array}$ | 6,311 23 5,567 50 | | | $\begin{array}{c} 79 & 59 \\ 547 & 50 \\ 466 & 70 \\ 1,159 & 59 \\ 162 & 75 \end{array}$ |
| 11. Jefferson | 6,883 06 13,186 67 14,635 13 11,238 22 6,110 45 | $\begin{array}{c} 2,577 & 74 \\ 9,666 & 67 \\ 2,149 & 93 \\ 2,594 & 60 \\ 1,520 & 07 \end{array}$ | 3,905 32 2,880 00 4,926 22 2,484 18 | 3,520 00 9,605 20 3,757 40 | 59 00 | | 672 75 |
| Totals | \$199,814 46 13,320 96 | | \$80,580 17 5,372 01 | \$57,396 86 4,099 77 | | \$172 20 172 20 | \$5,36) 26 487 29 |

¹ Supreme Court Chambers.

Table 50 — Judicial Officers and Court Library

| COUNTY | Total | County judge | Surrogate | County clerk as clerk of court | Commis- sioner of jurors | Not classified | Court library |
|------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| | 11 | • | J | ı | | | |
| | | | CLASS IV | | | | |
| 1. Saratoga | | \$3,452 21 | \$4,792 63 | \$2,059 12 | \$1,365 11 | | |
| 2. Montgomery 3. Herkimer | $8,504 51 \\ 9,441 02$ | 1,436 25 3,973 50 | 3,830 23 | $\begin{array}{c} 3,238 & 03 \\ 1.974 & 68 \end{array}$ | | | $ \begin{array}{c} 85.50 \\ 211.22 \end{array} $ |
| 4. Chemung | 7,565 36 | | 17,315 01 | | 250 35 | | 806 00 |
| 5. Ontario | 6,716 25 | 2,191 25 | 4,394 75 | 130 25 | | | |
| 6. Wayne | 7,886 29 | | 4.584 09 | 3,263 85 | | \$38 35 | 98 50 |
| 7. Clinton | 7,618 43 | 1,614 82 | 3,511 33 | 2.492 28 | | | |
| 8. Washington 9. Otsego | 7,53775 $7,56121$ | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,687 & 01 \\ 4,284 & 72 \end{array}$ | $\begin{bmatrix} 2,523 & 41 \\ 229 & 20 \end{bmatrix}$ | | | 512 00 |
| 10. Rockland | 6,339 07 | 5,700 00 | 512 19 | 126 88 | | | 107 00 |
| 11. Franklin | 9,118 79 | 1 = 110 70 | | 4 000 00 | | | |
| 12. Delaware | 3,995 53 | 1 5,118 79 1 3,195 53 | 800 00 | 4,000 00 | | | 500 00 |
| 13. Fulton | 8.693 40 | 1,876 40 | 3,628 10 | 3,188 90 | | | 238 50 |
| 14. Columbia | $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 2,236 07 | 5.719 53 | 4,407 98 | | | 224 90 |
| 15. Allegany | 0,052 73 | 1,426 00 | 2,786 79 | 1,820 00 | | | |
| 16. Madison | 7,956 37 | | 16,041 37 | 1,915 00 | | | 213 51 |
| 17. Livingston 18. Genesee | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{c} 11 & 59 \\ 14,097 & 78 \end{array}$ | 5,586 11 | 2,856 74 | | | 190 71 |
| 19. Chenango | 6,851 04 | 3,623 38 | 1,536 87 | $\begin{bmatrix} 6,327 & 65 \\ 1,690 & 79 \end{bmatrix}$ | | | 814 40 |
| 20. Tompkins | 7,622 14 | 14,487 57 | | 3,134 57 | | | 43 63 |
| 21. Warren | 6,602 10 | 1.024 75 | 5,342 16 | 235 19 | | | 26 50 |
| 22. Cortland | 4,421 12 | 2,701 71 | 1,558 41 | 161 00 | | | 20 00 |
| Totals | \$173,375 60 | \$52,542 13 | \$72,283 32 | Ø 15 775 50 | \$2,736 28 | \$38 35 | e= 040 e= |
| Averages | 7,889 70 | 2,765 37 | 3,804 38 | 2,288 77 | 912 09 | | \$5,042 85 336 19 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | CLASS V | | | | |
| 1. Sullivan | \$3,467 75 | \$1,499 55 | \$468 20 | \$1.500 00 | | | |
| 2. Essex | 3,300 00 | $^{1}3,300 00$ | | | | | |
| 3. Orleans 4. Wyoming | 6,733 81 | | 14,483 81 | 2,250 00 | | | |
| 5. Greene | 9,359 10 | | 1 3,800 00 | 5,559 10 | | | |
| 0 61- | | | | | | | |
| 6. Seneca 7. Tioga | 3,579 17 | 2.788 17 | 636 50 | 154 50 | | | |
| S. Lewis | 6,838 08 | 70 50 | 3,512 01 | 3,255 57 | | | |
| 9. Schoharie | 6,919 63 | 13,743 40 | | 3,176 23 | | | |
| 10. Yates | | | | | | | |
| 11. Putnain | 5,204 91 | 118 00 | 2,869 46 | 2,217 45 | | | |
| 12. Schuyler | 3,232 52 | 0.000.70 | 12,202 52 | 1,030 00 | | | |
| 13. Hamilton | 3,100 50 | 2,200 50 | | 900 00 | | | |
| Totals | \$51,735 47 | \$13,720 12 | \$17,972 50 | \$20,042 85 | | | |
| Averages | 5,173 54 | 1,960 01 | 2,567 50 | 2,226 76 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

¹ County judge and surrogate.



CIVIL COURTS

Throughout these tables the court expenses shown include —

- (a) Services of stenographers;
- (b) Expenses of stenographers;
 - (c) Expenditures for criers and attendants;
 - (d) Expenditures for interpreters;
 - (e) Expenditures for publication of notice of the terms of court;
 - (f) Expenditures for the publication of the notice of drawing of jurors;
 - (g) Expenditures for printing calendars;
 - (h) Expenditures for trial and grand jurors;
 - (i) Expenditures for board of jurors;
 - (j) Witness fees paid the people's witnesses in criminal cases;
 - (k) Fees paid expert witnesses;
 - (l) Allowances paid witnesses for the people pursuant to section 619-b of the Code of Criminal Procedure;
 - (m) Allowances to defendant's counsel in criminal prosecutions for murder in the first degree;
 - (n) Allowances by the court to witnesses for the defendant in criminal trials.

Defects in county treasurers' reports:

Most of the court expenditures are paid by treasurers upon certificates of the county clerk. Until recently these certificates did not show the court in which the expenses were incurred or the nature of the term (civil or criminal) at which the expenses were incurred. County treasurers were not able therefore to analyze the court expenses. This difficulty has not been entirely removed, although gradually county clerks are giving upon these certificates the information required. The amounts given in this table and in Table 57, "Expenses for Criminal Courts", are only approximately accurate.

Table 51 — Expenses of Civil Courts

| | Total | Supreme court court terms | County court civil terms | Surrogate's eourt | Not classified |
|---|---|--|--|------------------------|-------------------|
| Class I. Class III. Class III. Class IV. Class V. | \$74,740 77 111,938 25 195,846 58 81,507 55 23,741 47 | \$59,260 12 90,824 92 159,854 75 60,720 92 12,146 80 | \$15,480 65 21,113 33 33,138 37 12,431 57 11,594 67 | \$2,853 46 2,913 34 | \$5,441 72 |
| State | \$487,774 62 | \$382,807 51 | \$93,758 59 | \$5,766 80 | \$5,441 72 |
| COUNTY | | CLASS I | ' | | |
| 1. Erie | \$14,554 80 | \$14,554 80 | | | |
| 2. Monroe 1 | 60,185 97 | 44,705 32 | \$15,480 65 | | |
| Totals | \$74,740 77 37,370 38 | \$59,260 12 29,630 06 | \$15,480 65 15,480 65 | | |
| ı | | CLASS II | | | |
| 1. Onondaga 2. Albany 3. Oneida 4. Rensselaer | \$41,435 13 27,034 73 22,201 26 21,267 13 | \$40,694 21 18,961 00 14,573 76 16,595 95 | 8,073 73 | | |
| Totals | \$111,938 25 27,984 56 | \$90,824 92 22,706 23 | | | |
| | | CLASS III | | | |
| 1. Orange 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara 5. Ulster | \$12,412 68 16,912 52 9,413 66 22,860 65 24,380 33 | \$11,579 58 14,297 62 7,715 88 18,769 06 24,317 83 | 2,614 90 1,694 78 2,241 14 | \$ 3 00 | |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess. 9. Nassau. 10. Steuben | 2,867 11 . 9,675 47 19,486 80 29,012 19 12,024 25 | $\begin{array}{c} 2,807 \ 11 \\ 8,166 \ 15 \\ 11,551 \ 48 \\ 21,576 \ 73 \\ 10,652 \ 55 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 60 & 00 \\ 1,509 & 32 \\ 6,935 & 31 \\ 7,435 & 46 \\ 1,371 & 70 \end{array}$ | 1,000 01 | |
| 11. Jefferson. 12. Broome. 13. Oswego. 14. Cayuga. 15. Cattaraugus. | 13,197 81 4,181 51 1,993 60 8,709 62 8,718 38 | 8,776 72 4,181 51 8,292 55 7,169 98 | 1,993 60 417 07 | | |
| TotalsAverages | \$195,846 58 13,056 43 | \$159,854 75 11,418 19 | \$33,138 37 2,367 02 | \$2,853 46 951 15 | |

¹ All court expenses entered under "Corrective."

Table 51 — Expenses of Civil Courts

| Table 51 Expenses of Otth Courts | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| COUNTY | Total | Supreme court court terms | County court civil terms | Surrogate's court | Not classified | |
| , | 1 | CLASS IV | ' | | • | |
| 1. Saratoga | \$8,098 07 4,156 00 1,193 00 15,011 71 3,239 44 | 3,448 45 | \$16 75 1 198 80 1,191 70 916 66 1 3,203 44 | | 3 \$508 75 2 4,896 97 3 36 00 | |
| 6. Wayne | 4,145 59 30 00 2,482 61 3,990 36 3,320 71 | 3,039 58 430 00 1,913 36 3,749 79 3,169 37 | 1,106 01 $569 25$ $240 57$ $151 34$ | | | |
| 11. Franklin. 12. Delaware. 13. Fulton. 14. Columbia 4. 15. Allegany. | 2,950 71 2,604 20 2,230 30 4,067 12 | 2,950 71 2,604 20 2,230 30 3,037 12 | | \$1,030 00 | | |
| 16. Madison | 5,344 48 2,396 15 3,762 73 3,781 95 4,903 37 | 5,344 48 2,350 65 4 2,865 29 3,707 87 | $\begin{array}{c} 45\ 50 \\ {}^{1}2,679\ 39 \\ {}^{1}916\ 66 \\ {}^{1}1,195\ 50 \end{array}$ | | | |
| 21. Warren | 2,577 30 1,221 75 | 2,577 30 421 75 | | 800 00 | | |
| Totals | \$81,507 55 3,881 31 | \$60,720 92 3,195 83 | \$12,431 57 956 27 | \$2,913 34 971 11 | \$5,441 72 1,813 90 | |
| ! | | CLASS V | | | | |
| 1. Sullivan | \$9,642 79 | \$325 30 | \$9,317 49 | 1 | | |
| 2. Essex | 5,116 07 | 4,136 82 | 979 25 | | | |
| 4. Wyoming | | | | | | |
| 5. Greene | 3,188 20 | 3,188 20 | | | | |
| 6. Seneca 7. Tioga 8. Lewis 9. Schoharie 10. Yates | 206 63 1,149 65 1,216 40 | 620 20 | 529 45 | | | |
| 11. Putnam 12. Schuyler. 13. Hamilton | 116 00 3,105 73 | | | | | |
| TotalsAverages | \$23,741 47 2,967 68 | | | | | |

Includes expenses of criminal terms; see Corrective, see Table 57.
 Not analyzed.
 Supreme court chambers.
 Reported under Corrective; see Table 57.



EXPENSES FOR THE REGULATION OF BUSINESS, CARE AND USE OF PROPERTY AND SOCIAL RELATIONS

This feature of county government is comparatively new in this State, but is easy for analysis by the county treasurer.

Special deputy excise commissioner:

In most counties the liquor tax business of the State is conducted within the county by the county treasurer, but in the counties shown in this table special officers are appointed for this business. The county pays one-half of the expenses of such special officers; the other half is paid by the State.

County sealer of weights and measures:

In addition to the items of expenditure included under this heading enumerated in Table 46 there are also here included the expenditures of this officer for his standardized weights and measures and other equipment for his field work.

Regulative associations:

This title covers the expenses for societies for the protection of children, societies for the prevention of cruelty to animals, associations for fish and game protection, etc., which receive a specific amount pursuant to subdivisions 27, 28, etc., of section 12 of the County Law.

Quarantine expenses:

Most of the expenditures included under this title are for expenditures by the sheriff in enforcing quarantine against rabies.

Table 52 — Regulative

REGULATION OF BUSINESS, CARE AND USE OF PROPERTY AND SOCIAL RELATIONS

| | Total | Special deputy excise com- missioner | County sealer | Regulative associations | Quarantine expenses | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Class I | \$35,779 53 21,917 30 32,436 09 36,053 37 10,819 29 | \$9,466 03 5,222 58 2,724 67 | \$6,184 30 7,729 03 21,118 06 23,852 23 8,324 70 | \$9,640 20 6,500 00 2,162 63 2,200 00 5 14 | \$10,489 00 2,465 69 6,430 73 10,001 14 2,489 45 | | |
| State | \$137,005 58 | \$17,413 28 | \$67,208 32 | \$20,507 97 | \$31,876 01 | | |
| COUNTY | • | CLASS I | | | | | |
| 1. Erie | \$17,068 86 4,495 31 14,215 36 | \$5,138 71 1,955 40 2,371 92 | \$1,809 92 2,539 91 1,834 47 | \$6,640 20 3,000 00 | \$3,480 03 7,008 97 | | |
| TotalsAverages | \$35,779 53 11,926 51 | \$9,466 03 3,155 34 | \$6,184 30 2,061 43 | \$9,640 20 4,820 10 | \$10,489 00 5,244 50 | | |
| | | CLASS II | | | | | |
| 1. Onondaga | \$3,790 24 4,540 19 8,686 96 4,899 91 | \$1,257 55 1,899 81 1,133 10 932 12 | \$1,532 69 2,640 38 2,420 41 1,135 55 | \$1,000 00 3,000 00 2,500 00 | \$2,133 45 332 24 | | |
| Totals Averages | \$21,917 30 5,479 33 | \$5,222 58 1,305 65 | \$7,729 03 1,932 26 | \$6,500 00 2,166 66 | \$2,465 69 1,232 85 | | |
| · | • | CLASS III | | | | | |
| 1. Orange 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara 5. Ulster | \$3,106 00 3,411 00 2,389 17 2,653 47 957 26 | \$1,026 96 904 62 | \$1,223 15 1,618 80 2,389 17 1,727 35 957 26 | \$21 50 | \$855 8 9 1,792 20 | | |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess. 9. Nassau. 10. Steuben. | 1,492 55 3,154 50 1,049 75 1,942 85 5,778 83 | 793 09 | $\begin{array}{c} 1,412\ 55\\ 639\ 28\\ 1,049\ 75\\ 1,942\ 85\\ 1,996\ 19\\ \end{array}$ | 80 00 1,722 13 | 3,782 64 | | |
| 11. Jefferson | 2,384 53 900 00 1,375 00 1,050 10 791 08 | | $\begin{array}{c} 2,045 \ 53 \\ 900 \ 00 \\ 1,375 \ 00 \\ 1,050 \ 10 \\ 791 \ 08 \end{array}$ | 339 00 | | | |
| Totals | \$32,436 09 2,162 41 | \$2,724 67 908 22 | \$21,118 06 1,407 87 | \$2,162 63 540 66 | \$6,430 73 2,143 58 | | |

Table 52 — Regulative

REGULATION OF BUSINESS, CARE AND USE OF PROPERTY AND SOCIAL RELATIONS — concluded

| COUNTY | Total | Special deputy excise com- missioner | County sealer | Regulative associations | Quarantine expenses | | |
|--|--|---|---|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| CLASS IV | | | | | | | |
| 1. Saratoga | 1,128 04 1,300 00 1,326 49 | | 1,128 04 1,300 00 1,326 49 | | | | |
| 6. Wayne | 1,429 32 1,117 66 1,144 00 505 74 | | 1,429 32 1,117 66 1,144 00 505 74 | | | | |
| 11. Franklin | 402 50 1,000 00 2,209 80 1,312 75 2,938 79 | | $\begin{array}{c} 402 \ 50 \\ 1,000 \ 00 \\ 709 \ 80 \\ 1,312 \ 75 \\ 1,612 \ 50 \end{array}$ | \$1,500 00 | | | |
| 16. Madison | 3,669 01 5,789 06 2,505 33 1,943 82 650 21 | | 1,053 91 1,254 51 1,375 63 1,243 82 650 21 | 700 00 | 2,615 10 4,534 55 1,129 70 | | |
| 21, Warren 22. Cortland | 1,385 55 821 87 | | 1,216 05 821 87 | | 169 50 | | |
| TotalsAverages | \$36,053 37 1,716 83 | | \$23,852 23 1,135 82 | \$2,200 00 1,100 00 | | | |
| ı | 1 | CLASS V | | | | | |
| 1. Sullivan 2. Essex 3. Orleans 4. Wyoming | 1,264 67 3,771 69 | | 1,264 67 1,282 24 | | \$2,489 45 | | |
| 5. Greene | 799 92 1,002 47 846 29 | | 799 92 1,002 47 841 15 | \$5 14 | | | |
| 11. Putnam | | | 584 25 450 00 | | | | |
| TotalAverages | \$10,819 29 1,202 14 | | \$8,324 70 924 97 | \$5 14 5 14 | \$2,489 45 2,489 45 | | |



MAINTENANCE OF ARMORIES

During the fiscal year reported armories were maintained to December 31, 1913, at the expense of the county. Since January 1, 1914, armories have been maintained at the expense of the military district. The titles of headings of this table are intended to conform to the accounts specified in the military code.

Table 53 —

MAINTENANCE OF

| | Total | Armory maintenance |
|---|--|---|
| Class I | \$187,624 59 189,666 71 129,720 49 92,932 81 10,667 47 | \$11,974 27 6,110 80 18,012 47 21,623 63 1,231 67 |
| State | \$610,612 07 | \$58,952 84 |
| COUNTY | | CLASS |
| 1. Erie | \$96,034 23 55,413 49 36,176 87 | \$6,070 25 |
| Totals | \$187,624 59 62,541 53 | |
| | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga 2. Albany 3. Oneida 4. Rensselaer | \$77,087 84 41,059 75 39,186 84 32,332 28 | \$5,961 14 149 66 |
| Totals | \$189,666 71 47,416 67 | \$6,110 80 3,055 40 |
| | | CLASS |
| 1. Orange. 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk. | \$14,244 06 9,558 67 | \$1,671 92 1,249 00 |
| 4. Niagara 5. Ulster | 6,250 99 5,377 38 | 1,296 18 1,195 41 |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess. 9. Nassau. | 7,295 57 18,474 07 7,404 27 | $\begin{array}{c} 971 & 51 \\ 3,486 & 67 \\ 1,489 & 84 \end{array}$ |
| 10. Steuben | 5,916 46 | 625 23 |
| 11. Jefferson. 12. Broome. 13. Oswego. 14. Cayuga. 15. Cattaraugus. | 9,419 81 19,275 83 8,373 68 10,962 61 7,167 09 | 312 13 2,889 67 2,824 91 |
| Totals. Averages. | \$129,720 49 9,978 49 | \$18,012 47 1,637 49 |

¹ State fund not analyzed. County funds not reported in Frie county. ² Interest \$377.78; rent, \$501.63; securing option on site, \$300.00.

Defensive

ARMORIES

| Armory equipment | Armory furniture | Armory labor | Armory | Armory | 37. | |
|--|---|--|--|----------------------------------|---|--|
| | | 18001 | care | repairs | Not classified | |
| \$3,437 94 1,076 23 2,463 35 1,829 96 133 65 | \$3,589 37 44,224 92 15,766 78 2,893 12 18 00 | \$61,683 25 65,633 55 66,768 05 52,732 46 3,844 50 | \$3,734 02 727 04 5,958 05 4,552 35 353 51 | 2,161 71 5,107 44 6,157 70 | \$97,213 64 69,732 46 15,644 35 3,143 59 | I II IV V |
| \$8,941 13 | \$ 66,492 19 | \$250,661 81 | \$15,324 97 | \$24,505 09 | \$185,734 04 | State |
| I | | | | 0.0 | | |
| \$1,458 04 1,979 90 | \$2,901 00 688 37 | \$39,633 00 22,050 25 | \$1,894 55 | | 1 \$96,034 23 21,179 41 | |
| \$3,437 94 1,718 97 | \$3,589 37 1,794 68 | \$61,683 25 30,841 62 | \$3,734 02 1,867 01 | | \$97,213 64 48,606 82 | |
| II | | | | | | |
| \$646 14 430 09 | \$43,193 29 895 06 136 57 | \$33,894 55 30,641 00 1,098 00 | | \$2,097 84 | \$204 50 4 37,195 68 32,332 28 | $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3\\4 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| \$1,076 23 538 11 | \$44,224 92 14,741 64 | \$65,633 55 21,544 51 | | | | |
| III | | | | | | |
| \$156 00 | \$743 17 2,742 83 | \$10,915 50 5,181 25 | \$699 39 385 59 | \$58 08 | | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 79 00 64 27 | 323 64 197 45 | 4,127 50 3,784 00 | 424 67 136 25 | | | 2 3 4 5 |
| 503 97 440 26 10 84 | $\begin{array}{c} 124 & 30 \\ 160 & 58 \\ 3 & 50 \end{array}$ | 2,973 00 6,570 00 5,231 00 | 269 40 466 03 69 01 | 2,169 63 | \$2,106 32 5,180 90 | 6 7 8 |
| 373 84 | 348 54 | 4,440 00 | 28 75 | 100 10 | | 9 |
| 821 74 | 10,923 37 | $\begin{array}{c} 607 \ 00 \\ 15,401 \ 00 \\ 5,246 \ 00 \end{array}$ | 3,127 68 | 163 42 | 18,357 13 | 11 12 13 |
| 13 43 | 199 40 | 2,291 80 | 39 24 168 49 | 1,669 06 | | r |
| \$2,463 35 273 70 | \$15,766 78 1,576 67 | \$66,768 05 5,564 00 | \$5,958 05 496 50 | 729 63 | | |

Includes maintenance, equipment and furniture.
4 Payments from State funds not analyzed, \$30,495.91; "Military Companies, \$6,699.77."

Table 53 —

MAINTENANCE OF

| COUNTY | Total | Armory maintenance |
|--|---|---|
| 1. Saratoga | \$8,993 45 10,085 17 5,471 60 9,321 64 9,093 32 | CLASS \$1,584 23 2,030 65 913 18 2,266 96 720 32 |
| 7. Clinton 8. Washington 9. Otsego | 7,104 61 5,604 52 | 1,697 82 890 49 |
| 11. Franklin. 12. Delaware. 13. Fulton. 14. Columbia 15. Allegany. | 10,202 69 | 1,181 05 2,750 72 1,259 51 1,025 84 |
| | | |
| 21. Warren | 11,344 70 | 5,302 86 |
| TotalsAverages | \$92,932 81 7,148 67 | \$21,623 63 1,801 97 |
| 1. Sullivan. | 1 | CLASS |
| 2. Essex | \$5,603 83 | \$1,231 67 |
| 6. Seneca. 7. Tioga. 8. Lewis. 9. Schoharie. 10. Yates. | | |
| 11. Putnam. 12. Schuyler. 13. Hamilton. | | |
| Totals | \$10,667 47 5,333 73 | \$1,231 67 1,231 67 |

 $^{^1\,\$3,\!000}$ temporary loan should be indebtedness; \$53.67 interest on temporary loan should be administrative.

Defensive

Armorjes — concluded

| Armory equipment | Armory furniture | Armory labor | Armory care | Armory repairs | Not classified |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| V | \$7 09 41 | \$4,895.80 | \$1,208 01 | \$596,00 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| \$824 13 145 48 60 43 156 62 | 766 13 194 82 238 55 | 3,767 50 4,149 00 5,569 00 5,877 92 | 561 10 21 00 1,079 26 | 2,135 66 48 12 107 44 2,300 94 | |
| 249 15 | 211 70 197 82 | 4,965 37 4,221 27 | 45 79 | 229 72 | |
| 61 20 31 10 | 2 50 27 88 | 1,777 20 3,572 50 | 302 91 | | |
| 237 75 | 408 74 126 57 | 4,859 10 3,493 50 | 602 57 134 75 | 19 10 720 72 | 1 \$3,053 67 2 89 92 |
| | | 175 00 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 64 10 | 9 00 | 5,409 30 | 559 44 | | |
| \$1,829 96 203 38 | \$2,893 12 263 01 | \$52,732 46 4,056 34 | \$4,552 35 455 23 | 769 71 | \$3,143 59 1,571 78 |
| 7 | | | | | |
| \$133 65 | \$18 00 | \$3,844 50 | | \$22 50 | |
| | | | | 5,063 64 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| \$133 65 133 65 | \$18 00 18 00 | \$3,844 50 3,844 50 | \$353 51 353 51 | \$5,086 14 2,548 07 | |

² Interest.



PROTECTION OF PROPERTY AND HEALTH

Most of the expenditures for these purposes are incurred and paid by city, town or village authorities. The expenditures here included are those of the county.

County clerk as register:

The county clerk acts as a clerk of the courts of the county and also as the registration officer for land titles and other public records required to be filed in his office.

The expenditures of the county clerk as clerk of the courts are given under the title of judicial officers. The expenditures for the county clerk as register are here included.

It is evident that the division can only be approximated. The salaries of this official and of any deputies or assistants engaged exclusively in court work are entered under the title of county clerk as clerk of courts. Other employees are here entered. The expenditures for record books and printing of blanks can be accurately divided between these two titles. Other expenditures of the office can be divided only with approximate accuracy.

Bounties:

Expenditures for the destruction of dangerous animals and noxious weeds are included under this title. Practically all of the expenditures are for the destruction of dangerous animals (wild cats, foxes, etc.).

County laboratory:

The salary of the bacteriologist, his office expenses, the laboratory equipment and supplies are included under this title.

County sewers and drains:

Erie and Westchester counties seem to be the only counties of the State reporting expenditures of this nature.

Table 54 — Protective

PROTECTION OF PROPERTY AND HEALTH

| | Total | County clerk as register | Fish and game pro- tection | Bounties | County laboratory | County sewers and drains |
|---|--|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Class I. Class II. Class III. Class IV. Class V | \$118,783 64 101,009 60 137,828 71 95,045 86 11,403 68 | 131,768 23 87,427 70 | 300 00 3,107 58 369 57 | \$1,000 00 493 00 961 75 | | |
| State | \$464,071 49 | \$442,800 18 | \$3,829 00 | \$2,496 75 | \$14,447 05 | |
| COUNTY | | CLA | ss I | | | |
| 1. Erie | \$57,345 55 | | |] | | \$83 72 |
| 2. Monroe | 46,473 55 14,964 54 | 45,300 90 | | | \$1,172 65 | |
| Totals | \$118,783 64 39,594 55 | | \$36 00 36 00 | | \$1,172 65 1,172 65 | 249 26 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | CLASS | | | | |
| 1. Onondaga | \$38,928 48 39,523 20 15,988 14 6,569 78 | 39,523 20 14,988 14 | | \$1,000 00 | | |
| Totals | \$101,009 60 25,252 40 | \$95,181 94 23,795 49 | \$300 00 300 00 | \$1,000 00 1,000 00 | \$4,527 66 4,527 66 | |
| , | | CLASS | | | | |
| 1. Orange | \$13,078 33 | \$12,942 33 | | | 1 | |
| 2. Chautauqua | 10,191 71 | 10,191 71 | | | 1 | |
| 3. Suffolk | 6,863 63 9,440 91 | 6,643 61 | 220 02 | | | |
| 4. Niagara | 8,045 40 | 9,421 81 7,530 43 | 19 10 | \$493 00 | \$21 97 | |
| 6. St. Lawrence | 9,124 62 13,992 05 6,999 35 22,771 15 11,822 69 | 7,540 62 13,813 34 6,127 10 22,771 15 9,384 76 | 178 71 872 25 | | | |
| 11. Jefferson | 8,892 73 4,659 19 | 8,892 73 4,561 69 | 97 50 | | | |
| 13. Oswego | 6,352 65 5,594 30 | 6,352 65 5,594 30 | | | | |
| Totals | \$137,828 71 9,844 91 | \$131,768 23 9,412 02 | \$3,107 58 446 90 | \$493 00 493 00 | \$2,459 90 1,229 95 | |
| i | | | | | | |

Table 54 — Protective

PROTECTION OF PROPERTY AND HEALTH — concluded

| COUNTY | Total | County clerk as register | Fish and game pro- tection | Bounties | County laboratory | County sewers and drains | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| CLASS IV | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Saratoga | \$5,446 44 3,216 50 7,398 00 3,342 86 10,731 52 | \$5,446 44' 3,198 00 7,398 00 3,342 86 8,946 47 | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Wayne | 5,125 26 3,377 32 7,090 78 2,792 52 1,617 53 | 5,072 73 3,377 32 7,080 78 2,792 52 1,617 53 | 52 53 | \$10 00 | | | | | | | |
| 11. Franklin | 1,264 12 8,275 51 3,002 22 5,192 37 4,361 14 | 1,264 12 8,275 51 3,002 22 5,192 37 4,361 14 | | | | | | | | | |
| 16. Madison | 6,320 22 6,327 53 1,537 45 223 25 3,084 31 | 5,826 17 5,373 22 | 150 00 | | $954 31 \\ 1,387 45$ | | | | | | |
| 21. Warren | 4,155 12 1,163 89 | 1,388 85 1,163 89 | 148 54 | 951 75 | 1,665 98 | | | | | | |
| Totals | \$95,045 86 4,320 27 | \$87,427 70 4,115 60 | \$369 57 92 39 | \$961 75 480 88 | \$6,286 84 1,257 37 | | | | | | |
| 11 | | CLAS | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Sullivan | \$2,866 96 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Essex | 3,353 49 | 3,337 64 | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Wyoming 5. Greene | 36 00 | | | 36 00 | | | | | | | |
| 6. Seneca | 770 17 359 35 128 50 | 770 17 359 35 128 50 | | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Putnam | 2,115 20 1,258 96 515 05 | $\substack{2,115 & 20\\1,258 & 96\\515 & 05}$ | | | | | | | | | |
| Totals | \$11,403 68 1,267 08 | \$11,345 83 1,418 23 | \$15 85 15 85 | \$42 00 21 00 | | | | | | | |



EXPENSES FOR EDUCATION

Practically all of the expenses for schools of the State are paid by the officers of school districts.

District superintendents of schools:

The salaries of these officers are paid by the State, but the Education Law permits the boards of supervisors to grant additional compensation to such officials and to audit claims for certain office expenses enumerated in the statute. The amounts so expended are included under this title.

Educational notices:

The expenditures here included are chiefly for advertisements of the State scholarship examinations for Cornell University.

Farm bureau:

Some counties of the State contribute a fixed amount to some association for the services and expenses of the person in charge of this work. In other counties there seems to be a practice of paying for such services and expenses direct to the person performing the work. Both classes of expenditures are included under this title.

Deaf-mutes:

This title includes expenditures by the county for inmates of institutions for the instruction of deaf-mutes.

Blind:

This column includes the expenditures for inmates of institutions for the instruction of the blind.

The qualifications for admission to institutions for the instruction of deaf-mutes and blind do not require that the person to be admitted or the persons responsible for such person's support and maintenance shall be "poor persons". The expenditures for this instruction are not therefore classed as a charity.

Table 55 - Educational

| | | Table 55 |) — Luu | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--------------------------|
| | Total | District superin- tendents of schools | Educa- tional notices | Farm bureau | Deaf- mutes | Blind | Not classified |
| Class I Class II Class III Class IV Class V | \$43,266 88 20,351 30 38,927 35 32,535 12 3,143 45 | \$14,399 96 5,936 07 5,580 87 3,428 75 1,385 11 | \$336 10 61 50 140 45 448 83 476 78 | \$5,000 00 3,000 00 11,093 83 9,677 02 | | $\begin{bmatrix} 117 & 34 \\ 3,527 & 77 \\ 890 & 27 \end{bmatrix}$ | \$5,000 00 |
| State | \$138,224 10 | \$30,730 76 | | | \$65,883 22 ====== | | \$5,000 00 |
| COUNTY | | | CLASS I | | | | |
| 1. Erie | \$13,915 34 12,150 66 17,200 88 | | \$51 75 10 55 273 80 | \$4,000 00 1,000 00 | \$2,066 81 9,096 70 5,527 08 | \$1,796 78 43 45 | 1\$2,000 00 23,000 00 |
| TotalsAverages | \$43,266 88 14,422 44 | \$14,399 96 4,799 98 | \$336 10 113 03 | 2,500 00 | \$16,690 59 5,563 53 | \$1,840 23 920 11 | 2,500 00 |
| | | C | LASS II | | | | |
| 1. Onondaga | 4,858 33 6,649 94 | \$2,050 00 686 07 3,200 00 | $14 00 \\ 15 75$ | \$3,000 00 | \$2,680 82 4,142 60 3,400 41 1,012 56 | 15 66 33 78 | |
| TotalsAverages | \$20,351 30 5,087 82 | \$5,936 07 1,978 69 | \$61 50 15 37 | 1,500 00 | \$11,236 39 2,809 09 | \$117 34 39 11 | |
| | · | С | LASS III | | | · · | |
| 1. Orange | 2,42172 $2,51456$ | \$1,589 91 441 66 | 17 25 | \$1,500 00 1,000 00 1,000 00 | \$823 90 893 26 317 60 2,254 85 538 48 | 28 46 589 80 | |
| 6. St. Lawrence 7. Schenectady 8. Dutchess 9. Nassau 10. Steuben | 3,941 29 3,708 01 3,492 49 2,735 96 1,507 80 | 41 10 400 00 58 20 200 00 | 9 63 | 749 97 1,500 00 | 2,790 44 3,233 49 2,591 38 1,172 51 1,300 00 | | |
| 11. Jefferson | 1,904 64 938 40 | 2,850 00 | 29 40 14 78 20 84 10 00 | 905 46 1,000 00 938 40 1,000 00 1,500 00 | 1,549 84 889 86 228 82 | 29 10 1,286 16 | |
| TotalsAverages | \$38,927 35 2,595 15 | \$5,580 87 797 26 | \$140 45 12 76 | | \$18,584 43 1,438 14 | \$3,527 77 391 97 | |

¹ Hamburg fair. ² Teachers' retirement fund.

Table 55 — Educational — concluded

| COUNTY | Total | District superin- tendents of schools | Educa- tional notices | Farm bureau | Deaf- mutes | Blind | Not classified |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|--|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------|
| | 11 | , | CLASS IV | | 1 | | |
| 1. Saratoga | \$2,027 68 | | | 1-22-22-22 | 1 \$1.497 43 | 1 | (|
| 2. Montgomery | 3,736 00 | 189 68 | 48 88 | \$1,125 00 | 2,372 44 | | |
| 3. Herkimer 4. Chemung | 4 95 | | 4 95 | 916 63 | | | |
| 5. Ontario | 1,349 80 | | 18 63 | | 1,330 00 | 1 17 | |
| 6. Wayne | 29 70 1,325 82 | | 29 70 75 82 | 1 070 00 | | | |
| 8. Washington | 1,412 68 | 35 25 | 71 66 | 1,250 00 | 1,300 55 | 5 22 | |
| 9. Otsego | 1,606 40 33 50 | | 18 00 3 50 | 1,500 00 | | | |
| 11. Franklin | 5,895 37 | 250 00 | 9 63 | 1.120 36 | 4,514 38 | | |
| 12. Delaware | 1,589 15 | | | 1,350 00 | 239 15 | | |
| 13. Fulton | 1,442 15 456 78 | 700 00 | 8 25 13 05 | | 733 90 443 73 | | |
| 15. Allegany | 3,693 26 | 1,047 58 | 21 80 | 1,000 00 | 1,623 88 | | |
| 16. Madison | 1,930 97 | 67 11 | 47 00 | | 1,198 39 | 618 47 | |
| 17. Livingston | 476 35 31 98 | 416 68 | 13 43 | | 19 86 | $\frac{46}{12} \frac{24}{12}$ | |
| 19. Chenango 20. Tompkins | 65 25 694 55 | | 5 25 | 665 03 | 60 00 8 76 | 20 76 | |
| | | 107.45 | | | | | |
| 21. Warren | 645 08 1,155 59 | 197 45 | 31 13 22 90 | | 415 00 355 00 | $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 50 \\ 27 & 69 \end{array}$ | |
| Totals | \$32,535 12 1,433 41 | \$3,428 75 380 97 | \$448 83 24 37 | \$9,677 02 1,074 11 | \$18,090 25 105 01 | \$890 27 1,005 01 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | CLASS V | | | | |
| 1. Sullivan | | | | | | | |
| 3. Orleans 4. Wyoming | | | | | 20 76 | | |
| 5. Greene | | | | | | | |
| 6. Seneca | | | | | | | |
| 7. Tioga | 34 00 | | | | | | |
| 8. Lewis | 464 90 923 7 5 | \$3 00 | | | 458 90 600 00 | | |
| 10. Yates | | | | | | | |
| 11. Putnam | 34 00 | 10 00 | 24 00 | | | | |
| 12. Schuyler | 640 69 766 68 | 632 81 739 30 | | | | | |
| Totals | \$3,143 45 | \$1,385 11 | \$476 78 | | \$1,281 56 | | |
| Averages | 392 96 | 346 27 | | | | | |
| | 1 | 1 | | | | | |



PREVENTION AND PUNISHMENT OF CRIME

This table is intended to show under general headings the items given in detail in Tables 57 to 59.

Table 56 — Corrective

PREVENTION AND PUNISHMENT OF CRIME

| | Total | Conviction | Punishment | Reformation | Not classified |
|---|--|--|---|--|------------------------|
| Class I Class II. Class IIII Class IV Class V | \$817,826 00 492,237 11 697,260 59 507,372 84 129,915 13 | \$433,915 53 243,461 89 514,433 09 361,108 27 95,008 40 | \$308,032 43 214,451 86 171,688 96 133,887 44 32,985 02 | \$74,024 48 34,323 36 11,138 54 10,393 86 1,921 71 | \$1,853 56 1,983 27 |
| State | \$2,644,611 67 | \$1,647,927 18 | \$861,045 71 | \$131,801 95 | \$3,836 83 |
| 1 | | | | | |
| COUNTY | | CLASS I | | | |
| 1. Erie | \$367,792 37 240,403 93 209,629 70 | \$112,176 78 131,050 54 190,688 21 | \$184,068 04 105,293 79 18,670 60 | \$69,693 99 4,059 60 270 89 | 1 \$1,853 56 |
| TotalsAverages | \$817,826 00 272,608 67 | \$433,915 53 144,638 51 | \$308,032 43 102,677 48 | \$74,024 48 24,668 16 | \$1,853 56 1,853 56 |
| | | CLASS II | | | |
| 1. Onondaga | \$180,179 86 143,556 34 107,636 58 60,864 33 | \$60,696 73 84,020 38 63,554 68 35,190 10 | \$111,390 30 53,781 33 23,606 00 25,674 23 | | |
| Totals | \$492,237 11 123,059 28 | \$243,461 89 60,865 47 | \$214,451 86 53,612 96 | \$34,323 36 11,440 84 | |
| · | , | CLASS III | | | |
| 1. Orange | \$62,931 58 32,461 07 72,726 10 68,310 63 28,634 32 | \$45,728 91 22,110 74 59,299 44 45,720 75 20,056 72 | \$16,908 39 10,114 61 13,126 66 22,589 88 8,445 60 | 235 72 | |
| 6. St. Lawrence | 29,189 09 50,531 49 42,677 88 92,667 29 35,083 75 | $\begin{array}{c} 18,687 \ 84 \\ 24,262 \ 05 \\ 32,043 \ 73 \\ 71,451 \ 71 \\ 25,101 \ 13 \end{array}$ | 9,846 96 25,985 47 7,485 04 19,899 94 9,982 62 | 654 29 283 97 3,149 11 1,315 64 | |
| 11. Jefferson | 34,756 32 32,111 28 47,042 43 28,657 78 39,479 58 | 30,616 76 26,890 79 41,592 25 19,069 85 31,800 42 | 3,442 48 4,020 49 5,030 18 7,131 48 7,679 16 | 697 08 1,200 00 420 00 2,456 45 | |
| Totals | \$697,260 59 46,484 04 | \$514,433 09 34,295 54 | \$171,688 96 11,445 92 | \$11,138 54 928 21 | |

¹ Witness fees.

Table 56 — Corrective PREVENTION AND PUNISHMENT OF CRIME — concluded

| COUNTY | Total | Conviction | Punishment | Reformation | Not classified |
|---|---|---|--|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | | CLASS IV | 1 | 1 | |
| 1. Saratoga | \$38,193 00 33,569 0- 40,873 56 18,141 20 25,290 78 | \$29,967 17 24,249 74 34,300 04 11,628 70 | 9,179 30 6,573 52 6,512 52 | 140 00 | |
| 6. Wayne | 18,072 98 24,949 93 32,177 13 15,307 22 26,858 98 | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 7,862 48 7,628 05 4,559 76 7,250 96 5,592 66 | 338 19 | |
| 11. Franklin | 22,891 17 13,051 14 18,274 68 37,832 07 13,102 08 | 11,315 98 11,639 06 31,688 13 | 7,157 69 1,735 17 6,435 62 6,143 94 4,642 40 | 904 68 | |
| 16. Madison | 12,751 64 17,858 07 29,181 97 14,114 06 11,839 79 | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 3,967 05 4,356 33 7,061 01 3,969 21 3,471 34 | 545 43 | 1\$1,983 27 |
| 21. Warren | 26,951 70 16,090 58 | | 11,343 13 2,677 31 | 630 70 385 00 | |
| TotalsAverages | \$507,372 84 23,062 40 | \$361,108 27 16,414 01 | \$133,887 44 6,085 79 | \$10,393 86 692 52 | \$1,983 27 1,983 27 |
| , | | CLASS V | | | |
| 1. Sullivan 2. Essex 3. Orleans 4. Wyoming. 5. Greene | \$13,221 65 27,810 36 19,347 13 13,095 58 | \$10,654 68 18,709 92 13,959 66 | 8,900 44 4,899 97 | | |
| 6. Seneca | 15,832 09 8,485 96 8,550 68 | 6,136 79 | 5,561 55 2,060 85 1,997 57 | 642 64 288 32 | |
| 11. Putnam | 13,518 21 4,746 19 5,307 28 | 3,394 51 | 5,209 41 1,351 68 436 58 | 303 25 | |
| TotalsAverages | \$129,915 13 12,991 51 | | \$32,985 02 3,665 00 | \$1,921 71 384 35 | |

¹ Partly charitable, improperly classified in report. ² All expenses.



Expenses of Conviction

Under this title are included all expenditures for officers engaged in the prosecution of criminals and the expenses for the indictment and the trial of criminals.

For the character of the expenditures included under the title of the Prosecuting Officers see Table 46. For the character of the items included under the expenditures for the courts see Table 51.

Justices and constables:

The fees of justices and constables for services in the prosecution of persons accused of felonies are subject to audit by the board of supervisors. The amounts included under this column are the amounts paid upon such audit.

Indictment and criminal trials:

For defects in analysis of expenses of criminal and civil terms of courts, see description of table 51.

Table 57 -

EXPENSES OF

| | Total | District attorney | County detective | Sheriff and sheriff's office | Coroners | | | |
|---|---|--|------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Class I | \$433,915 53 243,461 89 514,433 09 361,108 27 95,008 40 | \$99,636 69 59,134 88 123,066 43 60,265 64 16,116 06 | \$1,258 92 2,127 75 3,123 90 | \$105,792 35 76,305 84 153,908 47 102,647 73 30,387 94 | \$56,714 58 34,978 66 54,821 45 36,409 50 11,169 13 | | | |
| State | \$1,647,927 18 | \$358,219 70 | \$6,510 57 | \$469,042 33 | \$194,093 32 | | | |
| COTINE | | | | | CLASS | | | |
| COUNTY 1. Erie | \$112,176 78 | \$31,922 98 | | \$23,176 20 | 2\$16,454 45 | | | |
| 2. Monroe | 131,050 54 190,688 21 | 24,284 75 43,428 96 | \$1,258 92 | 23,207 99 59,408 16 | 14,615 14 25,644 99 | | | |
| Totals | \$433,915 53 144,638 51 | \$99,636 69 33,212 23 | \$1,258 92 1,258 92 | \$105,792 35 35,264 12 | \$56,714 58 18,904 86 | | | |
| | | | | | GI A GG | | | |
| 1. Onondaga | \$60,696 73 84,020 38 63,554 68 | $\begin{array}{c} 22,525 & 31 \\ 12,529 & 24 \end{array}$ | | \$30,083 66 19,080 28 19,879 46 | CLASS \$9,210 83 10,359 36 9,262 96 | | | |
| 4. Rensselaer | 35,190 10 | 12,362 16 | \$2,127 75 | 7,262 44 | 6,145 51 | | | |
| Totals | \$243,461 89 60,865 47 | \$59,134 88 14,783 72 | \$2,127 75 2,127 75 | \$76,305 84 19,076 46 | \$34,978 66 8,744 66 | | | |
| ' | | | | | CLASS | | | |
| 1. Orange 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara 5. Ulster | \$45,728 91 22,110 74 59,299 44 45,720 75 20,056 72 | 21,292 49 9,891 81 | \$1,423 90 | \$16,666 24 7,442 39 14,572 52 9,500 15 7,747 59 | \$4,959 00 1,558 75 3,868 09 5,970 31 1,720 00 | | | |
| 6. St. Lawrence | 18,687 84 24,262 05 32,043 73 71,451 71 25,101 13 | | 1,700 00 | $\begin{array}{c} 2,667 & 14 \\ 5,568 & 05 \\ 12,310 & 76 \\ 13,079 & 44 \\ 7,031 & 32 \end{array}$ | 2,568 30 3,052 41 8,978 20 3,183 80 1,895 26 | | | |
| 11. Jefferson | 30,616 76 26,890 79 41,592 25 19,069 85 31,800 42 | 5,152 67 1,900 00 | | 11,527 16 11,582 47 18,032 86 7,054 33 9,126 05 | 2,412 80 5,322 03 4,112 42 3,199 18 2,020 90 | | | |
| TotalsAverages | \$514,433 09 34,295 54 | \$123,066 43 8,204 43 | \$3,123 90 1,561 95 | \$153,908 47 10,260 56 | \$54,821 45 3,654 76 | | | |

County investigator.
 Medical examiner.
 Strike expense.
 Special sessions of court.

Corrective

Conviction

| Indic | TMENT | CRIMINA | L TRIALS | Justices and | Not | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|--------------|--|
| Supreme court | County court | Supreme court | County | constables | classified | |
| \$9,528 25 15,817 94 41,616 97 38,565 67 8,424 91 | 13,493 40 4,802 32 8,118 80 | 10,085 24 40,911 65 | \$59,627 39 24,093 93 73,125 64 42,368 77 11,312 61 | \$14,371 32 4,233 25 19,056 26 16,034 81 3,052 01 | 3,191 00 | I III III IV V |
| \$113,953 74 | \$38,244 86 | \$157,303 06 | \$210,528 34 | \$56,747 65 | \$43,283 61 | State |
| I | | | | | | 1 |
| 6 \$58 50 4,869 32 4,600 43 | \$10,599 50 | 7 25,982 27 | 7 \$34,273 71 25,353 68 | \$1,007 65 3,563 93 9,799 74 | | 2 |
| \$9,528 25 3,176 08 | | | \$59,627 39 29,813 69 | \$14,371 32 4,790 44 | | |
| II | | | | | | |
| \$608 97 4,631 90 10,577 07 | 1,833 56 | 4,981 07 | \$6,168 54 15,433 49 2,491 90 | 1,984 41 1,477 65 | 4 \$3,191 00 | $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3\\4 \end{bmatrix}$ |
| \$15,817 94 5,272 65 | \$13,493 40 4,497 80 | \$10,085 24 3,361 72 | \$24,093 93 8,031 31 | \$4,233 25 1,058 31 | | |
| III | | | | | | |
| 2,087 03 1,604 42 | | \$4,703 84 1,272 00 6,506 62 3 00 | \$5,406 98 4,042 86 9,036 95 10,795 58 | 1,87575 $2,41835$ | | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 1,203 64 | \$735 84 3,703 98 | 2,163 97 5,595 18 830 90 9,756 38 | 2,240 72 4,090 66 9,716 65 | | | 6 7 8 9 |
| 3,962 59 | 362 50 | 6,167 20 | 17,546 97 3,036 02 2,339 98 | 635 93 871 03 684 13 | | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| \$41,616 97 3,783 36 | \$4,802 32 1,600 77 | \$40,911 65 4,091 16 | \$73,125 64 6,647 78 | \$19,056 26 1,465 86 | | |

<sup>Sheriff's fund.
Court expenses not classified. See Table 42.
Expenses of civil trials included. See Toble 51.</sup>

Table 57 —

EXPENSES OF

| COUNTY | Total | District attorney | County detective | Sheriff and sheriff's office | Coroners |
|---|---|---|---------------------|--|---|
| | | | , | | |
| 1. Saratoga | \$29,967 17 24,249 74 34,300 04 11,628 70 15,108 30 | 2,688 69 3,600 03 | | \$8,782 77 9,277 79 3,368 21 5,206 51 4,725 71 | CLASS \$3,064 82 1,805 81 1,985 31 1,808 31 2,763 08 |
| 6. Wayne | 9,792 29 16,279 41 27,305 89 7,718 12 21,007 83 | 5,983 61 1,923 89 | | 3,643 06 5,913 58 7,915 10 341 01 3,579 62 | 1,423 00 1,911 75 1,610 04 1,513 00 1,752 21 |
| 11. Franklin | 14,828 80 11,315 98 11,639 06 31,688 13 8,459 65 | $\begin{array}{c} 2,685 & 66 \\ 3,753 & 28 \end{array}$ | | 4,864 58 4,060 44 3,529 69 4,755 75 3,108 91 | 1,362 45 638 51 946 25 5,350 79 601 83 |
| 16. Madison | 8,241 74 13,501 74 18,101 70 9,599 42 8,368 45 | $\begin{array}{c} 2,386 & 20 \\ 1,820 & 72 \end{array}$ | | 2,473 10 4,959 83 5,378 32 3,753 91 3,628 64 | 1,697 70 1,143 19 2,736 25 789 15 212 20 |
| 21. Warren | 14,977 87 13,028 24 | 2,751 15 $1,579$ 27 | | 6,49474 $2,88646$ | 993 00 300 85 |
| TotalsAverages | \$361,108 27 16,404 01 | \$60,265 64 2,739 35 | | \$102,647 73 4,665 80 | \$36,409 50 1,654 98 |
| | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan | \$10,654 68 18,709 92 13,959 66 | 4,585 75 | | \$7,855 48 3,023 33 4,908 42 | \$829 20 2,655 30 2,517 04 |
| 5. Greene | 13,095 58 | 1,327 46 | | 4,591 96 | 2,327 10 |
| 6. Seneca. 7. Tioga. 8. Lewis. 9. Schoharie. | 9,627 90 6,136 79 6,553 11 | 1,675 15 | | 3,133 15 537 37 1,348 81 | 495 12 694 12 343 7 5 |
| 11. Putnam | 8,005 55 3,394 51 4,870 70 | 1,248 60 942 05 1,172 00 | | 1,810 85 1,988 21 1,190 36 | 691 95 359 15 256 40 |
| TotalsAverages | \$95,008 40 9,500 84 | \$16,116 06 1,611 60 | | \$30,387 94 3,038 79 | \$11,169 13 1,116 91 |

Not classified.
 Includes expenses of civil terms, see Judicial.

Corrective

Conviction — concluded

| Indic | TMENT | CRIMINA | L TRIALS Justices and | | | |
|--|------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|--------------------|----------------------------|
| Supreme court | County | Supreme court | County court | constables | Not classified | |
| IV · | 1 | | | | | |
| \$3,211 94 | \$176 70 | \$3,858 81 3,309 61 18,772 85 4,429 66 | 6,039 01 5,131 29 | 1.128 83 | | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 1,186 20 8,874 97 1,572 52 1,928 92 | 1,306 86 | 791 14 2,856 86 1,418 19 5,201 34 | 1,370 88 | 279 10 | | 6 7 8 9 |
| 2,276 45 2,548 16 1,269 73 2,437 74 1,058 10 | | 6,249 74 | 3,085 35 | 1,062 16 664 16 480 67 836 75 238 28 | 1 \$ 301 98 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| 2,692 70 2,772 34 1,458 23 2,127 52 | 216 96 | 4,099 46 | 1,850 26 1,321 49 | $\substack{1,127 \ 18 \\ 512 \ 17}$ | | 16 17 18 19 20 |
| 1,894 07 1,256 08 | 216 56 | 5,407 71 | 2,349 22 1,161 16 | 495 69 220 15 | | 21 22 |
| \$38,565 67 2,410 35 | \$8,118 80 1,014 60 | \$56,395 37 5,126 85 | \$42,368 77 3,026 34 | \$16,034 81 728 85 | \$301 98 301 98 | |
| v | | | | | | |
| 2,109 28 | | | 2,611 88 | 718 24 | | 1 2 3 4 |
| 2,995 69 | | 309 55 | 800 00 | | | 4 5 |
| 603 81 846 78 983 35 | | 2,211 69 1,123 60 785 76 | 830 96 682 26 1,323 67 | 295 90 150 47 253 07 | * \$7 3 50 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| | | 2,740 60 22,138 80 | 1,435 70 | 77 85 105 10 113 14 | | 11 12 13 |
| \$8,424 91 1,404 15 | | \$13,241 40 1,891 63 | \$11,312 61 1,616 09 | \$3,052 01 339 11 | \$73 50 73 50 | |

Supreme Court chambers, law books, etc.
 Reported under Judiçial.

EXPENDITURES FOR PENAL INSTITUTIONS

Jail inmates:

Wherever in these tables the expenditures for the inmates of any institution are given they include:

- (a) The salary of the keeper or other principal officer in direct charge of the care and maintenance of such inmates;
- (b) The salary of the matron;
- (c) Domestic employees authorized by the board of supervisors;
- (d) Domestic employees employed in emergencies without prior authority by the board of supervisors;
- (e) Expenditures for the transportation of inmates;
- (f) Expenditures for kitchen utensils, bedding, table ware and other articles requiring frequent replacement;
- (g) Expenditures for food supplies;
- (h) Expenditures for clothing;
- (i) Expenditures for tobacco;
- (j) Expenditures for chaplain or clergymen;
- (k) Expenditures for physicians and medicines;
- (l) Expenditures for burial of inmates.

Employment of jail inmates:

Wherever in these tables a title is given covering the expenses of employment of the inmates of an institution (including the title "Almshouse Farm") the items included are —

- (a) The salary of the superintendent or other person in charge of the work to be performed;
- (b) Premium on any bond required from such superintendent;
- (c) Employees authorized by the board of supervisors in the instruction of inmates or supervision of such work;
- (d) Other persons employed for such purposes in emergencies without such prior authorization by the board of supervisors;
- (e) Machines and permanent equipment purchased for such employment;

- (f) Tools and implements used by inmates in the performance of labor;
- (g-h-i-j-k) Materials or other articles or supplies purchased for use in carrying on the work of the inmates, classified according to the nature of the work performed.

(The articles included under sub-heads g to k are used up in the work performed or are transformed into finished products to be sold.)

Penal institutions:

The amounts here included are chiefly the amounts paid by counties to other counties for maintenance of criminals pursuant to contract.

Table 58 —

PENAL

| | Total | Jail | Jail inmates |
|---|---|--|---|
| Class I | \$308,032 43 214,451 86 171,688 96 133,887 44 32,985 02 | \$18,634 38 14,756 27 45,450 39 30,599 18 5,027 49 | \$76,710 46 38,072 37 93,361 47 83,466 86 23,845 11 |
| State | \$861,045 71 | \$114,467 71 | \$315,456 27 |
| COUNTY 1. Erie | \$184,068 04 105,293 79 18,670 60 | \$9,238 77 7,605 09 1,790 52 | CLASS \$38,393 73 21,436 65 16,880 08 |
| Totals | \$308,032 43 102,677 47 | \$18,634 38 6,211 46 | \$76,710 46 25,570 15 |
| 11 | | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga | \$111,390 30 53,781 33 23,606 00 25,674 23 | \$7,521 23 | |
| Totals | \$214,451 86 53,612 96 | \$14,756 27 7,378 13 | \$38,072 37 12,690 79 |
| · · | , | | CLASS |
| 1. Orange | \$16,908 39 10,114 61 13,126 66 22,589 88 8,445 60 | 3,797 63 987 45 4,852 69 | 4,886 74 12,110 28 12,656 61 |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess. 9. Nassau. 10. Steuben. | 9,846 96 25,985 47 7,485 04 19,899 94 9,982 62 | 2,551 16 17,026 08 9 00 2,304 09 2,058 33 | 8,959 39 5,304 72 16,391 73 |
| 11. Jefferson. 12. Broome. 13. Oswego. 14. Cayuga. 15. Cattaraugus. | 3,442 48 4,020 49 5,030 18 7,131 48 7,679 16 | 1,260 00 951 92 | 4,157 99 |
| Totals | \$171,688 96 11,445 93 | | |

Corrective — Punishment

Institutions

| Employ- ment of jail inmates | Superin- tendent of penitentiary, office and quarters | Penitentiary | Penitentiary inmates | Employment of convicts | Penal institutions | |
|---|---|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| \$19,305 55 7,837 38 1,657 44 1,073 28 | \$18,802 64 36,312 02 | | 118,778 28 | 2,171 32 | \$6,532 92 19,098 22 18,163 96 3,039 14 | II III IV V |
| \$29,873 65 | \$55,114 66 | \$60,598 44 | \$226,858 96 | \$11,841 78 | \$46,834 24 | State |
| \$19,305 55 \$19,305 55 19,305 55 | \$18,802 64 | \$24,614 57 32,213 69 | \$73,858 73 34,221 95 \$108,080 68 54,040 34 | \$9,670 46 | | 1 2 3 |
| | \$36,312 02 \$36,312 02 36,312 02 | | \$75,078 28 43,700 00 | | \$4,456 97 2,075 95 \$6,532 92 3,266 46 | 1 2 3 4 |
| \$1,040 75 | | | | | \$2,575 25 1,430 24 28 93 3,188 90 642 18 | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 1,204 12 | | | | \$2,171 32 | 1,738 00 | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| 1,916 50 | | \$3,770 18 | | | 1,031 75 2,103 99 2,021 57 2,174 24 | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| \$7,837 38 1,306 23 | | \$3,770 18 3,770 18 | | \$2,171 32 2,171 32 | \$19,098 22 1,736 20 | |

Table 58 —

PENAL

| 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| COUNTY | Total | Jail | Jail inmates | |
| | | | CLASS | |
| 1. Saratoga. 2. Montgomery. 3. Herkimer 4. Chemung. 5. Ontario. | \$7,555 70 9,179 30 6,573 52 6,512 52 8,212 29 | 3,905 36 1,784 31 | \$5,562 78 3,551 80 3,031 86 6,512 52 3,221 76 | |
| 6. Wayne 7. Clinton. 8. Washington. 9. Otsego. 10. Rockland. | 7,862 48 7,628 05 4,559 76 7,250 96 5,592 66 | 1,807 36 618 08 6 00 1,581 09 1,470 43 | 3,794 63 6,149 95 4,553 76 5,047 08 4,059 73 | |
| 11. Franklin. 12. Delaware 13. Fulton 14. Columbia. 15. Allegany. | 7,157 69 1,735 17 6,435 62 6,143 94 4,642 40 | 1,477 92 1,715 55 571 77 1,234 94 | 4,866 47 1,565 46 3,589 94 4,127 15 2,875 19 | |
| 16. Madison. 17. Livingston. 18. Genesee. 19. Chenango. 20. Tompkins. | 3,967 05 4,356 33 7,061 01 3,969 21 3,471 34 | 1,306 59 1,459 19 1,060 09 | 3,046 96 1,555 21 3,892 52 2,909 12 1,123 66 | |
| 21. Warren | 11,343 13 2,677 31 | 3,492 34 295 33 | 7,078 76 1,350 55 | |
| Totals | \$133,887 44 6,085 79 | \$30,599 18 1,610 48 | \$83,466 86 3,793 94 | |
| | | | CLASS | |
| 1. Sullivan | \$2,566 97 | \$977 30 | \$1,411 59 | |
| 2. Essex. 3. Orleans. 4. Wyoming. | 8,900 44 4,899 97 | | 8,900 44 2,703 51 | |
| 5. Greene. | | | | |
| 6. Seneca 7. Tioga 8. Lewis 9. Schoharie 10. Yates | 5,561 55 2,060 85 1,997 57 | 585 23 | | |
| 11. Putnam. 12. Schuyler. 13. Hamilton | 5,209 41 1,351 68 436 58 | 36 06 | 1,123 04 | |
| TotalsAverages | \$32,985 02 3,665 00 | | | |

Corrective — Punishment

Institutions — concluded

| Employ- ment of jail inmates | Superin- tendent of penitentiary, office and quarters | Penitentiary | Penitentiary inmates | Employment of convicts | Penal institutions |
|------------------------------------|---|--------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| IV | | | | | |
| | | | | | \$1,722 14 1,757 35 |
| \$1,657 44 | | | | | |
| | | | | | 2,260 49 860 02 |
| | | | | | 622 79 62 50 |
| | | | | | 813 30 169 71 1,130 13 1,445 02 532 27 |
| | | | | | 920 09 1,494 53 1,709 30 |
| | | | | | 860 86 |
| | | | | | 772 03 1,031 43 |
| \$1,657 44 1,657 44 | | | | | \$18,163 96 1,068 46 |
| v | ' | | | • | |
| | | | | | \$178 08 760 83 |
| \$1,073 25 | 3 | | | | 403 06 101 76 537 86 |
| | | | | | 864 97 192 58 |
| \$1,073 28 1,073 28 | 3 | | | | \$3,039 14 434 16 |



Officers in Institutions Engaged in Reformatory Work

Defects in county treasurers' reports:

There is no definite classification in this State of the institutions accepting minors for care and maintenance. Some institutions receive such inmates both from commitment by justices of the peace or other judicial officers and by commitment by the superintendent of poor. County treasurers are not therefore uniform in making the division between private reform schools and private orphan asylums. It will be seen that, as classified by the county treasurer, these institutions received during the fiscal year reported the following:

| Private reform schools, as per thi | is table \$99,172 50 |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Private orphan asylums, as per T | Table 63 553,897 62 |
| | |
| Total | \$653 070 19 |

The total is accurate, the division of the amount is only approximate.

19

Table 59 — Corrective — Reformation

Officers and Institutions Engaged in Reformatory Work

| | Totals | Probation officer | State reform schools | Private reform schools |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Class I. Class II. Class III Class IV Class V | \$74,024 48 34,323 36 11,138 54 10,393 86 1,921 71 | \$14,628 02 3,623 83 3,283 86 3,609 26 701 35 | \$2,546 95 1,969 93 2,207 75 58 50 | \$59,396 46 28,152 58 5,884 75 4,576 85 1,161 86 |
| State | \$131,801 95 | \$25,846 32 | \$6,783 13 | \$99,172 50 |
| COUNTY | CLA | SS I | , | |
| 1. Erie | \$69,693 99 4,059 60 270 89 | | | \$57,818 25 1,307 32 270 89 |
| TotalsAverages | \$74,024 48 24,674 82 | | | \$59,396 46 19,798 82 |
| 1 | CLAS | SS II | | |
| 1. Onondaga | \$8,092 83 5,754 63 20,475 90 | | 346 48 | \$4,130 23 5,408 15 18 614 20 |
| Totals | \$34,323 36 11,441 12 | \$3,623 83 1,811 91 | | \$28,152 58 9,384 19 |
| ' | CLA | SS III | 1 | |
| 1. Orange | \$294 28 235 72 300 00 | | | 235 72 |
| 5. Ulster | 132 00 | | | 132 00 |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess. 9. Nassau. 10. Steuben. | 654 29 283 97 3,149 11 1,315 64 | | | 283 97 2,421 62 |
| 11. Jefferson | 697 08 1,200 00 420 00 2,456 45 | 1,200 00 420 00 | | 260 71 2,256 45 |
| Totals | \$11,138 54 928 21 | | | |

Table 59 — Corrective — Reformation

Officers and Institutions Engaged in Reformatory Work — concluded

| COUNTY Totals | | Probation officer | State reform schools | Private reform schools | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | CLASS IV | | | | | | | |
| 1. Saratoga | \$670 16 140 00 | 60 00 | | | | | | |
| 4. Chemung | 1,970 19 | 1,122 69 | \$620 72 | 226 78 | | | | |
| 6. Wayne 7. Clinton 8. Washington 9. Otsego 10. Rockland | 418 21 1,042 49 311 48 338 19 258 49 | | 742 49 | 306 68 262 74 | | | | |
| 11. Franklin | 904 68 | | | 566 02 | | | | |
| 12. Delaware. 13. Fulton. 14. Columbia. 15. Allegany. | 200 00 | 200 00 | | | | | | |
| 16. Madison | 542 85 2,035 99 | | 442 85 | | | | | |
| 19. Chenango | 545 43 | | | 545 43 | | | | |
| 21. Warren. 22. Cortland. | 630 70 385 00 | 300 00 250 00 | 330 70 | 135 00 | | | | |
| Totals | \$10,393 86 692 92 | \$3,609 26 300 77 | \$2,207 75 441 55 | \$4,576 85 508 53 | | | | |
| , | CLA | ss v | | | | | | |
| 1. Sullivan. 2. Essex. 3. Orleans. 4. Wyoming. 5. Greene. | \$200 00 487 50 | | | | | | | |
| 6. Seneca. 7. Tioga. 8. Lewis. 9. Schoharie. 10. Yates. | 642 64 288 32 | | \$58 50 | 642 64 31 72 | | | | |
| 11. Putnam. 12. Schuyler 13. Hamilton | 303 25 | 303 25 | | | | | | |
| Totals | \$1,921 71 384 34 | \$701 35 233 78 | \$58 50 58 50 | \$1,161 86 387 28 | | | | |



Table 60

EXPENSES FOR ALL CHARITIES

This table shows under general headings the amounts given in detail in Tables 60 and 61.

Administration:

Services and expenses of superintendent of poor or other county supervising officers of the department of charities. For items included see Table 46.

Table 60 —

EXPENSES FOR

| | Total | Administration |
|---|--|---|
| Class I | \$914,036 43 632,893 30 652,688 65 691,140 04 147,800 92 | \$40,584 33 12,725 75 57,730 84 33,539 76 18,474 85 |
| State | \$3,038,559 34 | \$163,055 53 |
| COUNTY | • | CLASS |
| 1. Erie | \$438,590 45 255,426 30 220,019 68 | \$26,666 08 5,833 68 8,084 57 |
| Totals | \$914,036 43 253,234 10 | \$40,584 33 13,528 11 |
| | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga 2. Albany. 3. Oneida 4. Rensselaer | \$168,390 68 176,197 93 178,499 25 109,805 38 | 1,261 15 1,676 51 |
| Totals | \$632,893 30 158,223 32 | \$12,725 75 3,231 48 |
| | | CLASS |
| 1. Orange. 2. Chautauqua 3. Suffolk 4. Niagara 5. Ulster. | \$79,673 44 48,914 31 52,677 46 35,068 15 34,620 61 | 30,897 40 2,794 05 |
| 6. St. Lawrence 7. Schenectady 8. Dutchess 9. Nassau 10. Steuben | 22,048 27 70,431 79 51,628 44 17,908 04 28,721 98 | 2,082 82 |
| 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus. | 31,592 94 88,007 98 34,177 39 37,455 88 19,761 97 | 2,496 82 1,000 00 2,621 80 |
| Totals | \$652,688 65 43,365 91 | |

Charitable

ALL CHARITIES

| - | | | | | | |
|----|---|---|---|---|-------------------|----------------------------|
| | Improvident poor | Defective poor | Dependent children | Soldiers and sailors | Not classified | |
| | \$337,185 53 222,697 38 307,207 23 395,671 50 101,672 07 | \$238,786 65 147,224 84 124,966 43 114,320 28 14,874 08 | \$274,145 17 232,381 29 133,291 25 84,554 23 4,944 92 | \$23,334 75 17,864 04 29,492 90 37,240 77 7,835 00 | \$25,813 50 | I III IV V |
| | \$1,364,433 71 | \$640,172 28 | \$729,316 86 | \$115,767 46 | \$25,813 50 | State |
| I | | | 1 | 1 | | 1 |
| | \$172,976 08 82,861 90 81,347 55 | \$104,930 24 119,468 51 14,387 90 | \$131,085 30 40,455 21 102,604 66 | \$2,932 75 6,807 00 13,595 00 | | 1 2 3 |
| | \$337,185 53 112,395 17 | \$238,786 65 79,595 55 | \$274,145 17 91,381 72 | \$23,334 75 7,778 25 | | |
| II | | | | | | 1 |
| | \$96,011 63 40,585 98 43,209 56 42,890 21 | 36,336 14 | \$42,276 55 92,784 72 74,088 31 23,231 71 | \$5,284 25 5,230 00 2,616 50 4,733 29 | | 1 2 3 4 |
| | \$222,697 38 55,674 34 | \$147,224 84 36,801 21 | \$232,381 29 58,095 32 | \$17,864 04 4,466 01 | | |
| | | | | | | 1 |
| | \$42,414 76 2,682 39 32,679 65 11,475 17 15,883 53 | \$21,668 29 1,743 03 3,292 59 10,100 18 8,852 59 | \$10,077 28 11,851 49 13,295 67 10,671 56 6,104 49 | \$3,360 00 1,740 00 615 50 985 00 2,280 00 | | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| | $\begin{array}{c} 17,072 \ 10 \\ 29,534 \ 03 \\ 21,383 \ 05 \\ 3,470 \ 79 \\ 22,291 \ 37 \end{array}$ | 2,526 49 32,095 70 10,615 10 9,157 27 561 88 | 4,904 02 12,836 93 2,877 16 | $\begin{array}{c} 945 \ 00 \\ 900 \ 00 \\ 5,020 \ 00 \\ 320 \ 00 \\ 3,660 \ 00 \end{array}$ | | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| | 25,946 01 28,666 02 20,239 10 19,704 50 13,764 76 | 1,014 16 6,920 24 12,938 29 1,452 53 2,028 09 | 1,037 94 47,119 90 12,192 65 322 16 | 3,468 00 2,805 00 | | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| == | \$307,207 23 20,480 41 | \$124,966 43 8,331 08 | \$133,291 25 11,107 60 | \$29,492 90 2,106 63 | | |

Table 60 —

EXPENSES FOR ALL

| COUNTY | Total | Administration |
|---|---|----------------------------------|
| II | | (TASS |
| 1 Saratoga 2 Montgomery 3 Herkimer 4 Chemmg 5 Onturio | \$31,035 32 47,269 72 44,926 21 27,400 50 65,547 30 | 1,957 46 1,281 03 |
| 6, Wayne. 7. Clinton 8. Washington 9. Olsego 0. Rockland. | 19,165 04 30,052 95 37,772 48 41,577 78 30,377 88 | 1,740 86 2,772 76 1,447 34 |
| 1. Franklin 2. Delaware 3. Fulton 4. Columbia 5. Allegany | 21,483 37 18,435 56 26,251 28 36/250 83 30,939 50 | 1,535 00 1,462 14 2,670 71 |
| 6. Madison 7. Livingston 8. Genesse 9. Chenango | 32,239 26 35,380 87 22,888 93 28,078 74 22,777 40 | 2,085 07 1,877 19 |
| 21. Warren 22. Corthant | 30,859 90 10,479 08 | 1,477 87 940 70 |
| Totals. | \$691,140 04 31,410 91 | |
| " | | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan - 2. Essex 3. Orleans | \$18,367 12 12,436 43 27,402 61 | 1,000 0 |
| 5. Greene | 21,582 17 | 1,200 00 |
| 6. Seneca 7. Tioga. 8. Lewis | $\begin{array}{c} 20.132 & 41 \\ 17.148 & 27 \\ 7.236 & 37 \end{array}$ | 635 36 |
| 11, Putnan 12 Schnyler 13 Hamilton | 18,874 41 3,212 13 1,409 00 | 686 26 |
| Totals | \$147,800 92 14,780 09 | \$18,474 8 |

Charitable

Charities — concluded

| Improvident poor | Defective poor | Dependent children | Soldiers and sailors | Not etassified |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| v | , | ' | | 1 |
| \$11,604 31 | \$7,170 32 | \$8,018 21 | \$2,475 00 | |
| 19,533 50 | 22,468 16 | 2,243 10 6,610 06 | 1,067 50 2,772 14 | 10 - 1 - 11 |
| 32,114 16 | 2,115 82 120 00 | 1,530 00 | 387 00 | 1 \$25,363 50 |
| 32,760 85 | 22,138 93 | 4,103 93 | 4,689 53 | |
| 16,396 95 | 611 50 | 284 92 | 555 00 570 00 | 11111 |
| 17,733 63 13,130 85 | 2,628 66 7,411 15 | 7,370 80 8,937 72 | 5,511 00 | |
| 26,342 78 | 1,837 54 | 10,845 12 | 1,105.00 | |
| 10,248 87 | 7,111 61 | 1,260 90 | 846 75 | 111 |
| 15,553 97 | 1,296 87 | 2,374 88 | 1,237 72 | |
| 15,970 59 8,323 29 | 13,405 44 | 1,525 41 | 930 00 1,535 00 | |
| 18,805 51 | 5,547 65 | 7,290 21 | 1,936 75 | |
| 20,254 48 | 2,879 12 | 2,419 12 | 3,559 13 | |
| 22,271 51 | 837 98 | 5,081 97 | 1,709 00 | 9 450 00 |
| 26,058 63 21,832 28 | 1,124 35 1,056 65 | 3,068 58 | 2,144 24 | |
| 21,318 60 | 1,017 05 | 2,015 96 | 1,850 00 | |
| 11,957 67 | 8,266 56 | 668 86 | 1,100 00 | 0.0001111111111111111111111111111111111 |
| 17,906 54 | 3,320 07 | 7,465 48 | 690 00 | |
| 6,513 53 | 1,024 85 | 500 00 | 570 00 | - 1 |
| \$395,671.50 | \$114,320 28 | \$81,554 23 | \$37,210 77 | \$25,813 50 |
| 18,841 50 | 5,443 85 | 4,227 71 | 1,773 37 | |
| / | | | | |
| \$15,396 93 | \$267 02 | \$771 46] | \$620 00 | |
| 11,166 78 21,740 26 | 269 65 2,985 61 | 408 11 | 1,015 00 | |
| 21,710 20 | | | COMPANIA DE LA CONTRACTION DE | |
| 11,414-74 | 7,397 43 | | 1,570 00 | |
| The state of the state of | | | 1 198 00 | OF BUILDING |
| 5,422 63 13,753 92 | 1,390 43 1,233 49 | 480 50 | 1,925 00 1,015 00 | |
| 5,188 99 | 550 19 | | 675 00 | 111111111111111111111111111111111111111 |
| | | | ODITION | C(1-111111(110) |
| 14,819 95 | 590 26 | 3,072 85 | 250 00 | |
| 1,745 87 1,022 00 | 220 00 | 220 00 212 00 | 560 00 175 00 | |
| | | | | |
| \$101,672 07 10,167 20 | \$14,871 08 1,652 67 | \$1,914 92 988 98 | \$7,835 00 870 55 | |

Poor orders, Error in footing of trea



DEFECTS IN COUNTY TREASURERS' REPORTS

The expenditures included in this table are paid by the county treasurer upon certificates of audit by the superintendent of the poor. Until recently these certificates did not show the nature of the expenses incurred, and in a few counties this defect has not been wholly remedied.

Improvident poor:

This title is intended to include the support of all persons (except dependent children and soldiers and sailors) who require aid from the public by reason of poverty caused in any other way than by mental or physical infirmities.

Almshouse, almshouse inmates:

For the items included under these titles, see description of Table 58.

Outside relief:

The items included under this heading are —

- (a) Services of overseers of the poor;
- (b-c-d) Extraordinary expenses for this purpose, as given by county treasurers;
- (e) Transportation of poor;
- (f) House furnishings;
- (g) Food supplies;
- (h) Clothing;
- (i-j) Extraordinary expenses for this purpose;
- (k) Physicians and medicines;
- (l) Burial.

Table 61 — Charitable

IMPROVIDENT POOR

| | Total | Almshouse | Almshouse inmates | Outside relief | Almshouse farm | Not classified |
|---|--|--|--|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Class I | \$337,185 53 222,697 38 307,207 23 395,671 50 101,672 07 | \$70,730 67 25,948 55 58,435 22 102,064 35 37,383 70 | 151,145 98 166,781 88 | \$51,256 67 20,112 46 31,913 67 73,266 70 11,416 53 | 27,198 59 35,699 19 | 38,513 77 |
| State | \$1,364,433 71 | \$294,562 49 | \$698,613 22 | \$187,966 03 | \$98,901 05 | \$84,391 12 |
| COUNTY | | | ASS I | | | |
| 1. Erie | \$172,976 08 82,861 90 81,347 55 | \$42,349 24 | \$81,244 63 39,535 28 | \$15,929 34 33,834 01 1,493 32 | \$5,434 90 4,377 12 7,765 37 | 4\$28,017 97 |
| Totals Averages | \$337,185 53 112,395 17 | \$70,730 47 23,843 49 | \$169,603 03 56,534 34 | \$51,256 67 17,085 55 | 5,859 13 | \$28,017 97 28,017 97 |
| | | CLA | ASS II | | | |
| 1. Onondaga 2. Albany 3. Oneida 4. Rensselaer | \$96,011 63 40,585 98 43,209 56 42,890 21 | | \$83,511 33 35,460 72 30,642 28 27,022 04 | 5,125 26 | | |
| Totals Averages | \$222,697 38 55,674 34 | | \$176,636 37 44,159 09 | | | |
| | | CLA | SS III | | | |
| 1. Orange | \$42,414 76 2,682 39 32,679 65 11,475 17 15,883 53 | 6,357 79 2,404 03 | 6,654 93 | 2,682 39 445 47 1 44 | 6,584 24 | |
| 6. St. Lawrence. 7. Schenectady 8. Dutchess 9. Nassau 10. Steuben | 17,072 10 29,534 03 21,383 05 3,470 79 22,291 37 | 7,431 90 4,914 46 | 20,588 13 6,899 00 1,150 95 | 1,514 00 439 76 2,319 84 | 335 16 | \$8,794 67 |
| 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus | 25,946 01 28,666 02 20,239 10 19,704 50 13,764 76 | 6,229 14 | 9,273 98 | 2,530 90 2,886 01 | 5,504 60 1,817 39 | 20,239 10 |
| Totals Averages | \$307,207 23 20,480 41 | \$58,435 22 4,869 60 | \$151,145 98 11,626 61 | | | \$38,513 77 12,837 93 |

¹ Almshouse accounts before poor orders were itemized by superintendent of poor.
2" Resolution appropriation."
3 Superintendent of poor and county orders.
4 Lodging-house maintenance, \$3,044.74; inmates, \$19,659.35; superintendent, \$5,313.88.

Table 61 — Charitable

Improvident Poor — concluded

| COUNTY | Total | Almshouse | Almshouse inmates | Outside relief | Almshouse farm | Not classified | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | CLASS IV | | | | | | | |
| 1. Saratoga 2. Montgomery. | 19,533 50 | 6,260 90 | 9,888 83 | 3,383 77 | \$1,094 67 | | | |
| 3. Herkimer 4. Chemung 5. Ontario | 32,114 16 32,760 85 | 6,479 95 6.496 59 | 11,254 16 | 12,310 53 15,882 15 | | | | |
| 6. Wayne | 16,396 95 | 6.159 20 | 7,170 31 | 3,067 44 | | | | |
| 7. Clinton 8. Washington 9. Otsego | 17,733 63 13,139 85 26,342 78 | 5,515 25 4,944 73 11,614 66 | 8,988 55 5,661 59 13,460 57 | 2,640 66 2,533 53 1,267 55 | | | | |
| 10. Rockland | 19,248 87 | 5,018 83 | 12,528 19 | 16 00 | 1,685 85 | | | |
| 11. Franklin 12. Delaware 13. Fulton | 15,553 97 15,970 59 8,323 29 | 1,341 73 | 2,893 91 5,269 82 | 9,149 41 | 2,168 92 | \$15,970 59 | | |
| 14. Columbia 15. Allegany | 18,805 51 20,254 48 | 5,207 94 3,802 91 | 9,733 71 5,343 41 | 944 98 5,876 72 | | | | |
| 16. Madison 17. Livingston | 22,271 51 26,058 63 | 6,893 46 7,600 85 | 7,029 28 13,029 21 | 3,079 60 5,428 57 | 3,380 38 | 21,888 79 | | |
| 18. Genesee 19. Chenango | 21,832 28 21,318 60 | 2,663 60 5,422 73 | 14,162 81 5,576 02 | 2,640 88 662 61 622 88 | 2,364 99 9,657 24 | | | |
| 20. Tompkins | 11,957 67 17,906 54 | 4,479 72 4,775 58 | 6,855 07 7,768 58 | 2,230 88 | 3,131 50 | | | |
| 22. Cortland | 6,543 53 | 1,467 44 | 3,408 68 | 260 78 | 1,406 63 | | | |
| Totals | \$395,671 50 18,841 50 | \$102,064 35 5,103 21 | 8,339 09 | 3,363 33 | \$35,699 19 2,974 93 | 8,929 69 | | |
| , | | CLA | ss v | | | | | |
| 1. Sullivan 2. Essex | 11,166 78 | | | | \$367 78 11,166 78 | | | |
| 3. Orleans 4. Wyoming 5. Greene | 21,740 26 | | | \$843 75 2,346 01 | | | | |
| 6. Seneca | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Tioga | 5,422 63 13,753 92 5,188 99 | 3,511 82 5,071 89 1,080 10 | 5,720 12 2,906 65 | 1,910 81 1,113 57 275 26 | 1,848 34 | | | |
| 10. Yates | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Putnam 12. Schuyler 13. Hamilton | 14,819 95 1,745 87 1,022 00 | 4,396 23 | 8,264 46 | 2,159 26 1,745 87 1,022 00 | | | | |
| Totals | \$101,672 07 10,167 20 | \$37,383 70 5,340 52 | \$34,445 96 5,740 99 | \$11,416 53 1,427 06 | \$18,425 88 3,070 98 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Orders issued by superintendent of poor.
Administration, superintendent of poor.



DEFECTIVE POOR

Defective poor:

This title is intended to include all persons who require aid from the public on account of mental or physical infirmities, either of a temporary or of a permanent nature. It does not include the deafmutes or blind whose support is classed under educational.

Items included under headings:

For the items included under the headings of columns 2 to 4 and 6 to 8, see descriptions of Table 58.

For the items included under column 5 see description of Table 46.

Insane:

The expenditures here included are those for the commitment of insane persons to State institutions, paid by the county treasurer upon audit of the judge or justice committing such persons.

A few counties seem to be paying private institutions for the care of insane persons.

Epileptics, feeble-minded:

The expenditures here included are for the amounts paid State institutions for the care and support of patients.

Table 62 —

DEFECTIVE

| | Total | County hospital | County hospital inmates | Hospitals | Superin- tendent tuberculosis hospital |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| Class I. Class II. Class III. Class III. Class IV. Class V. | \$238,786 65 147,224 84 124,966 43 114,320 28 14,874 08 | \$4,385 49 100 00 1,431 14 7,247 43 | \$85,273 41 6,122 11 5,906 41 2,217 84 | \$60,647 56 26,387 26 12,501 22 33,157 36 4,562 49 | \$6,261 96 6,546 82 5,540 74 5,800 53 |
| State | \$640,172 28 | \$13,164 06 | \$99,519 77 | \$137,255 89 | \$24,150 03 |
| COUNTY | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Erie | \$104,930 24 119,468 51 14,387 90 | \$4,385 49 | \$39,219 44 33,086 90 12,967 07 | \$57,052 83 3,594 73 | \$6,261 96 |
| TotalsAverages | \$238,786 65 79,595 55 | \$4,385 49 4,385 49 | \$85,273 41 28,424 47 | \$60,647 56 30,323 78 | \$6,261 96 6,261 96 |
| I. | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga | \$20,283 32 36,336 14 | | \$6,122 11 | \$13,089 41 | |
| 3. Oneida. 4. Rensselaer. | 56,908 37 33,697 01 | | | 4,418 09 8,879 76 | \$4,255 84 2,290 98 |
| Totals | \$147,224 84 36,801 21 | | \$6,122 11 6,122 11 | \$26,387 26 8,795 75 | \$6,546 82 3,273 41 |
| ' | 1 | | | | CLAS |
| 1. Orange | \$21,668 29 1,743 03 3,292 59 10,100 18 8,852 59 | | \$1,867 28 | \$535 76 | \$1,763 72 1,425 00 |
| 6. St. Lawrence | 2,526 49 32,095 70 10,615 10 9,157 27 561 88 | | | 121 00 1,232 53 1,100 42 3,603 14 | 102 02 |
| 11. Jefferson. 12. Broome. 13. Oswego. 14. Cayuga. 15. Cattaraugus | 1,014 16 6,920 24 12,938 29 1,452 53 2,028 09 | \$100 00 | | 394 20 1,023 59 | 1,300 00 |
| Totals | \$124,966 43 8,331 08 | \$100 00 100 00 | \$5,906 41 2,953 20 | \$12,501 22 1,389 02 | \$5,540 74 1,108 14 |

Charitable

Poor

| | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Tubercu- losis hospital | Tubercu- losis hospital inmates | Tubercu- losis hospital farm | Insane | Epileptics | Feeble- minded | Not classified | |
| \$20,396 13 31,349 20 33,342 06 19,798 85 446 31 | \$45,094 92 57,197 84 43,128 21 31,678 21 60 00 | \$3,906 07 29 71 | \$9,103 39 9,781 80 11,287 98 15,024 59 1,851 85 | \$2,639 49 1,657 90 8,116 89 1,989 90 366 00 | \$1,078 23 8,181 91 3,819 38 3,221 86 340 00 | \$1,193 83 | III III IV V |
| \$105,332 55 | \$177,159 18 | \$3,935 78 | \$47,049 61 | \$14,770 18 | \$16,641 38 | \$1,193 83 | State |
| I | | | | | | | |
| \$20,396 13 | \$45,094 92 | \$3,906 07 | \$7,460 40 1,362 84 280 15 | \$1,197 57 799 47 642 45 | \$580 00 498 23 | | 1 2 3 |
| 20,396 13 | \$45,094 92 45,094 92 | 3,906 07 | \$9,103 39 3,034 46 | | | | |
| II | | | | | | | |
| \$27,061 64 4,287 56 | 17.958 84 | | \$4,835 41 1,570 03 3,376 36 | 285 93 | 6,083 91 1,358 00 | | 1 2 3 4 |
| \$31,349 20 15,674 60 | | | \$9,781 80 3,360 60 | | | | |
| III | | | | , | , | | |
| | | | \$1,110 38 35 95 129 28 521 20 535 00 | $ \begin{array}{c cccc} 162 & 52 \\ 2,572 & 43 \\ 2,595 & 12 \end{array} $ | 55 12 810 00 | | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 5,940 91 | 18,608 00 8,747 48 | 1 | | 227 35 243 20 | 1,410 70 524 00 | | 6 7 8 9 10 |
| | | | 274 83 | 111 07 | 180 00 | | 11 12 13 14 15 |
| \$33,342 06 8,335 51 | \$43,128 21 10,782 05 | \$29 71 29 71 | \$11,287 98 868 30 | \$8,116 89 735 17 | \$3,819 38 381 93 | \$1,193 83 1,193 83 | |

Table 62 -

DEFECTIVE

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| COUNTY | Total | County hospital | County hospital inmates | Hospitals | Superin- tendent tuberculosis hospital |
| | , | | | | |
| | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga | \$7,170 32 | \$1,296 26 | | | |
| 2. Montgomery 3. Herkimer | 22,468 16 2,115 82 | | | 702 55 379 65 | \$1,785 82 |
| 4. Chemung | 120 00 | | | | |
| 5. Ontario | 22,138 93 | | | 5,249 69 | 1,100 40 |
| 6. Wayne | 641 50 | | | | |
| 7. Clinton | 2,628 66 | | | 1,193 21 | |
| 8. Washington | 7,411 15 1,837 54 | 64 18 | 986 00 | 6,259 85 | |
| 10. Rockland | 7,111 61 | 70 70 | 986 00 | 6,319 00 | |
| 11. Franklin | 1,296 87 | | | 861 96 | |
| 12. Delaware | 1,230 0; | | | 301 30 | |
| 13. Fulton | 13,405 44 | | | | 1,468 38 |
| 14. Columbia | 2,879 12 | | | 4,968 33 $1,655 52$ | |
| | | | | | |
| 16. Madison | 837 98 1,124 35 | | | 343 69 343 00 | |
| 18. Genesee | 1,056 65 | | | | |
| 19. Chenango | | | | 114 40 | |
| 20. Tompkins | 8,266 56 | | | | 1,445 93 |
| 21. Warren | 3,320 07 | | | 2,304 86 | |
| 22. Cortland | 1,924 85 | | 400 00 | 1,164 00 | |
| Totals | \$114,320 28 | \$1,431 14 | \$2,217 84 | \$33,157 36 | \$5,800 53 |
| Averages | 5,443 85 | 477 04 | 739 28 | 822 33 | 1,450 13 |
| ' | 1 | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan | \$267 02 269 65 | | | | |
| 3. Orleans. | 2,955 61 | | | 2,740 31 | |
| 4. Wyoming | | | | | |
| 5. Greene | 7,297 43 | \$7,247 43 | | | |
| 6. Seneca | | | | | |
| 7. Tioga | 1,390 43 1,233 49 | | | 1,315 23 | |
| 9. Schoharie | 550 19 | | | | |
| 10. Yates | | | | | |
| 11. Putnam | 590 26 | | | 139 50 | |
| 12. Schuyler | 220 00 | | | 100 00 | |
| 13. Hamilton | | | | | |
| Totals | \$14,874 08 | \$7,247 43 | | \$4,562 49 | |
| Averages | 1,652 67 | 7,247 43 | | 912 45 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Charitable

Poor — concluded

| Tubercu- losis hospital | Tubercu- losis hospital inmates | Tubercu- losis hospital farm | Insane | Epileptics | Feeble- minded | Not classified | |
|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------|
| v | | | | -1 | | | 1 |
| \$681 00 6,579 20 ·17 57 | \$10,982 71 8,358 72 | | \$3,911 4 2,119 9 1,561 7 120 0 470 6 | 5 177 93 2 96 54 0 | \$120 00 60 34 | | |
| | 0,000 12 | | 494 9 635 8 233 4 545 1 400 9 | 2 106 58 5 70 31 0 82 45 0 42 26 | 40 00 729 29 835 45 200 00 | | 1 |
| | | | 295 8 | | | | 1 |
| 3,863 62 | 7,321 49 | | 534 4 184 2 413 7 | 2 88 74 | 306 36 | | 1 1 1 |
| | | | 337 1 645 4 | | | | 1 |
| 1,654 24 | | | 812 5 51 1 | | | | 1 1 2 |
| | | | 915 2 340 8 | | 100 00 20 00 | | 2 |
| \$19,798 85 2,828 40 | | | \$15,024 5 751 2 | 9 \$1,989 90 2 117 05 | \$3,221 86 189 52 | | |
| 7 | | 1 | 1 | -1 | | 1 | 1 |
| | | | \$20 0 269 6 163 0 | 52 30 | | | |
| | | | 150 0 | | | | |
| \$446 31 | | | 75 2 356 9 295 0 | 5 28 23 175 19 | | | 1 |
| | \$60 00 | | | 5 48 71 | | | 1 1 |
| \$446 31 446 31 | \$60 00 60 00 | | \$1,851 8 205 7 | \$366 00 73 20 | | | |



EXPENDITURES FOR DEPENDENT CHILDREN

County agent for placing dependent children:

The expenditures here included are in some instances for the services and expenses of an assistant to the superintendent of poor or for a special county officer appointed (authority questionable) for this purpose.

In most counties, however, the amount represents the payment to a society as a contribution toward the services and expenses of a person employed by such society for supervision of charities relative to children.

County orphanage, county orphanage inmates:

For the items included under these titles see description of Table 58.

Municipal orphan asylums:

The items here included are amounts paid city orphan asylums for the care and support of children. Usually the amount is fixed by contract at a per diem or per week charge.

Private orphan asylums:

For the defects in county treasurers' reports relative to the division of the expenditures to institutions earing for children, either as private reform schools or private orphan asylums, see description of Table 58.

The amounts here included are for payments to such institutions, usually at a fixed rate per day, week, month or year.

Orphans in families:

The amounts here included are amounts paid for the care of dependent children elsewhere than in institutions.

Table 63 — Charitable

DEPENDENT CHILDREN

| | Totals | County agent for placing dependent children | County orphanage | County orphanage inmates | Municipal orphan asylums | Private orphan asylums | Orphans in families |
|--|--|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|
| Class I | 133,291 25 84,554 23 4,944 92 | 4,674 10 8,153 34 5,483 13 | 620 50 201 10 | 2,803 04 6,184 98 54 83 | 34,461 73 13,541 32 9,296 97 2,832 02 | \$179,134 20 193,245 46 100,267 91 59,377 98 1,872 07 | 7,905 14 4,010 07 186 00 |
| State | \$729,316 86 | \$58,923 53 | \$1,401 03 | | \$84,836 85 | | |
| COUNTY | | | CLASS | | 1 | | |
| 1. Erie | \$131,085 30 40,455 21 102,604 66 | 29.381.01 | \$579 43 | \$2,048 40 | \$2.994 09 | \$73,849 70 5,452 28 99,832 22 | \$26,865 04 |
| Totals | \$274,145 17 91,381 72 | \$40,612 96 13,537 65 | \$579 43 579 43 | \$2,048 40 2,048 40 | \$24,704 81 12,350 40 | \$179,134 20 59,711 40 | \$27,065 37 13,532 68 |
| | | | CLASS 1 | ī | | | |
| 1. Onondaga 2. Albany 3. Oneida 4. Rensselaer | \$42,276 55 92,784 72 74,088 31 23,231 71 | 2.864 74 | | | | 92,784 72 71,223 57 | |
| Totals | 58,095 32 | 2,337 05 | | | \$34,461 73 34,461 73 | \$193,245 46 48,311 36 | |
| · | | | CLASS I | TT | | | |
| 1. Orange | \$10,077 28 11,851 49 13,295 67 10,671 56 6,104 49 | 1,150 00 | \$520 50 100 00 | \$2,803 04 | \$4,859 39 | 10,701 49 13,195 67 4,870 17 | \$42 00 |
| 6. St. Lawrence 7. Schenectady 8. Dutchess 9. Nassau 10. Steuben | 4,904 02 12,836 93 2,877 16 | 1,678 80 2,299 54 | | | 634 86 5,169 91 2,877 16 | 1,014 39 | 1,575 97 5,367 48 |
| 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus | 47,119 90 12,192 65 | | | | | 12,192 65 | 919 69 |
| Totals | \$133,291 25 11,107 60 | \$8,153 34 1,358 89 | | \$2,803 04 2,803 04 | \$13,541 32 3,385 33 | \$100,267 91 10,026 79 | \$7,905 14 1,976 28 |

Table 63 — Charitable

DEPENDENT CHILDREN — concluded

| COUNTY | Totals | County agent for placing dependent children | County orphanage | County orphanage inmates | Municipal orphan asylums | Private orphan asylums | Orphans in families |
|--|-----------------------------|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| ı | 1 | | CLASS I | V | 1 | 1 | |
| 1. Saratoga 2. Montgomery | \$8,018 21 2,243 10 | \$474 88 | | | | \$8,012 21 1,719 35 | \$6 00 48 87 |
| 3. Herkimer 4. Chemung | 6,640 06 1,530 00 | | | | | 5,309 54 | 477 23 |
| 5. Ontario | 4,103 93 | | | | | 3,973 58 | |
| 6. Wayne 7. Clinton | 284 92 7,3 7 9 80 | | | | 7.379 80 | 284 92 | |
| 8. Washington 9. Otsego | 8,937 72 10,845 12 | | | | | 8,021 06 10,845 12 | |
| 10. Rockland | 1,260 90 | | | | | 52 60 | |
| 11. Franklin 12. Delaware | 2,374 88 | | | | | 2,374 88 | |
| 13. Fulton 14. Columbia | 1,525 41 7,290 21 | | | | | 1,525 41 5.986 10 | |
| 15. Allegany | 2,419 12 | | | | | 1,869 62 | |
| 16. Madison 17. Livingston | 5,081 97 3,968 58 | | \$201 10 | 4,880 87 | | 3,509 58 | 459 00 |
| 18. Genesee | 2.015 96 | | | | | 2,015 96 | |
| 20. Tompkins | 668 86 | | | | 580 64 | 88 22 | |
| 21. Warren 22. Cortland | 7,465 48 500 00 | | | | 1,206 18 | 3,789 83 | 2,469 47 |
| Totals | \$84,554 23 4,227 71 | | | \$6,184 98 3,092 49 | | \$59,377 98 3,711 12 | \$4,010 07 668 34 |
| 11 totagos | 1,221 11 | 910 00 | 201 10 | | | 0,111 12 | |
| 1 0.11 | 0711 40 | | CLASS V | | 1 | 0771 40 | 1 |
| 1. Sullivan 2. Essex | \$711 46 | | | 1 | | | |
| 2. Essex. 3. Orleans. 4. Wyoming 5. Greene. | 408 11 | | | | | | |
| | 11 | | | | | | |
| 6. Seneca | H | | | | 1 | | |
| 8. Lewis 9. Schoharie | 480 50 | | | | | 480 50 | |
| 10. Yates | | | | 054 00 | 00 000 00 | | 0106.00 |
| 11. Putnam 12. Schuyler | | | | | | 212 00 | \$186 00 |
| 13. Hamilton | 212 00 | | | 074.09 | #0 020 00 | | \$186 00 |
| Totals | \$4,944 92 988 98 | | | \$54 83 54 83 | \$2,832 02 2,832 02 | | 186 00 |
| | 11 | | | | 1 | 1 | |



Table 64

EXPENSES FOR SOLDIERS AND SAILORS

The amounts included under this title are for expenditures incurred pursuant to the Poor Law, for dependent soldiers and sailors.

Table 64 — Charitable

SOLDIERS AND SAILORS

| | Total | Soldiers' relief | Soldiers' burials | Soldiers' headstones |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Class I. Class II. Class III. Class IV. Class IV | \$23,334 75 17,864 04 29,492 90 37,240 77 7,835 00 | \$10,425 00 2,100 00 13,237 77 | \$10,576 00 15,414 79 24,522 40 20,427 50 6,880 00 | \$2,333 75 2,449 25 2,870 50 3,575 50 955 00 |
| State | \$115,767 46 | \$25,762 77 | \$77,820 69 | \$12,184 00 |
| COUNTY | CIA | SS I | | |
| 1. Erie | \$2,932 75 6,807 00 13,595 00 | \$75 00 10,350 00 | \$2,384 00 5,442 00 2,750 00 | \$473 75 1,365 00 495 00 |
| TotalsAverages | \$23,334 75 7,778 25 | \$10,425 00 5,212 50 | \$10,576 00 3,525 33 | \$2,333 75 777 58 |
| ' | CLA | SS II | | ' |
| 1. Onondaga | \$5,284 25 | | \$4,700 00 4,210 00 2,071 50 4,433 29 | \$584 25 1,020 00 545 00 300 00 |
| TotalsAverages | \$17,864 04 4,466 01 | | \$15,414 79 3,853 69 | \$2,449 25 612 31 |
| · ' | CLAS | SS III | | |
| 1. Orange | \$3,360 00 1,740 00 615 50 985 00 2,280 00 | | \$2,700 00 $1,440 00$ $420 00$ $850 00$ $2,280 00$ | \$660 00 300 00 195 50 135 00 |
| 6. St. Lawrence 7. Schenectady. 8. Dutchess. 9. Nassau 10. Steuben. | 945 00 900 00 5,020 00 320 00 3,660 00 | \$1,550 00 | 945 00 850 00 3,470 00 275 00 3,330 00 | 50 00 45 00 330 00 |
| 11. Jefferson 12. Broome 13. Oswego | 3,468 00 2,805 00 | 100 00 | 3,168 00 2,600 00 | 300 00 105 00 |
| 14. Cayuga 15. Cattaraugus | 1,484 40 1,910 00 | 450 00 | 644 40 1,550 00 | 390 00 360 00 |
| Totals | \$29,492 90 2,106 63 | | \$24,522 40 1,751 60 | \$2,870 50 260 95 |
| | | | | |

Table 64 — Charitable

Soldiers and Sailors — concluded

| | | Soldiers' | Soldiers' | Soldiers' |
|---------------|------------------------|-------------|--------------------|--|
| COUNTY | Total | relief | burials | headstones |
| | l | | | - |
| | | SS IV | | |
| 1. Saratoga | \$2,475 00 1,067 50 | 200 00 | 837 50 | 30 00 |
| 3. Herkimer | 2,772 14 387 00 | 1,502 14 | 1,150 00 | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |
| 5. Ontario | 4,689 54 | 3,229 54 | 1,250 00 | 210 00 |
| 6. Wayne | 555 00 570 00 | | 420 00 495 00 | |
| 8. Washington | 5,511 00 1,105 00 | 3,559 00 | 1,712 00 880 00 | 240 00 |
| 10. Rockland | 846 75 | | 680 00 | |
| 11. Franklin | 1,237 72 930 00 | 197 72 | 875 00 885 00 | |
| 13. Fulton | 1,535 00 | 25 00 | 1,150 00 | 360 00 |
| 14. Columbia | 1,936 75 3,559 13 | 2,695 13 | 1,750 00 804 00 | |
| 16. Madison | 1,709 00 2,144 24 | 1,704 24 | 1,379 00 350 00 | |
| 18. Genesee | | | | |
| 19. Chenango | 1,850 00 1,100 00 | | 1,550 00 950 00 | |
| 21. Warren | 690 00 570 00 | 25 00 | 630 00 530 00 | |
| Totals | \$37,240 77 | \$13,237 77 | \$20,427 50 | |
| Averages | 1,773 37 | 1,323 77 | 1,021 37 | |
| , | CLA | ss v | | |
| 1. Sullivan | \$620 00 | | \$455 00 | \$165 00 |
| 3. Orleans | 1,015 00 | | 775 00 | 240 00 |
| 4. Wyoming | 1,570 00 | | 1,570 00 | |
| 6. Seneca | | | | |
| 7. Tioga | 1,925 00 1,045 00 | | 1,700 00 850 00 | 195 00 |
| 9. Schoharie | 675 00 | | 630 00 | 45 00 |
| 11. Putnam | 250 00 | | 250 00 | |
| 12. Schuyler | 560 00 175 00 | | 500 00 150 00 | |
| Totals | \$7,835 00 | | \$6,880 00 | |
| Averages | 870 55 | | 764 44 | 136 42 |



EXPENSES FOR HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE

Superintendent of highways:

For the items included under this title see description of Table 46.

County line bridges:

The expenditures included under this heading are for the shares of the counties in the maintenance of bridges over streams forming boundary lines between counties.

County road maintenance:

The expenditures here included are for the repair and improvement of county roads (see Highway Law, section 108). Payments are made upon audit of the board of supervisors.

State and county highways:

The amounts here included are paid upon drafts from the State Highway Department for the repair and improvement of State and county highways from the funds indicated.

In a number of counties the report of the county treasurer does not show the funds from which such payments were made. Where the reports are so defective, the amount has been entered under not classified.

The following shows the total cost of the maintenance of such highways:

| From State funds | \$2,632,935 | 80 |
|--------------------|-------------|----|
| From town funds | 177,692 | 03 |
| From village funds | 45,643 | 22 |
| Not classified | 731,465 | 61 |
| | | |
| | \$3.587.736 | 66 |

Indian reservation highways:

The amount paid upon drafts of the Highway Department from State funds deposited with the county treasurer.

Table 65 —

| | Total | Administrative. Superin- tendent of highways | County line bridges | County road maintenance |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| Class I Class II Class III Class III Class IV Class IV | \$631,495 15 767,165 50 1,940,670 60 1,220,411 50 264,581 55 | \$30,665 76 45,871 27 40,585 75 46,310 97 18,038 88 | \$3,371 41 86,339 31 16,717 13 48,340 21 1,099 32 | \$55,970 14 33,247 13 707,733 71 48,970 33 2,850 22 |
| State | \$4,824,324 30 | \$181,472 63 | \$155,867 38 | \$848,771 53 |
| COUNTY | | | , | CLAS |
| 1. Erie | \$317,599 93 153,568 73 160,326 49 | \$13,671 55 9,785 14 7,209 07 | \$56 41 3,315 00 | \$49,153 6° 6,816 45 |
| Totals | \$631,495 15 210,498 38 | \$30,665 76 10,221 92 | \$3,371 41 1,135 70 | \$55,970 14 27,985 07 |
| į | | 1 | | CLASS |
| 1. Onondaga 2. Albany 3. Oneida 4. Rensselaer | \$252,727 39 240,125 10 155,323 10 118,989 91 | \$32,446 94 3,334 77 6,609 06 3,480 50 | \$79,170 63 7,168 68 | \$6,886 89 26,360 24 |
| Totals | \$767,165 50 191,791 37 | \$45,871 27 11,467 81 | \$86,339 31 43,169 65 | \$33,247 13 16,623 56 |
| ' | | 1 | 1 | CLASS |
| 1. Orange. 2. Chautauqua. 3. Suffolk. 4. Niagara. 5. Ulster. | \$185,868 05 12,929 80 73,408 66 55,034 48 108,463 63 | \$3,033 33 1,715 78 3,247 38 2,253 31 4,645 01 | \$7,021 62 1,076 51 762 53 | \$25 00 |
| 6. St. Lawrence 7. Schenectady 8. Dutchess 9. Nassau 10. Steuben | 104,012 06 92,815 00 86,639 74 764,345 22 71,272 53 | 3,170 65 2,017 72 3,185 98 2,172 64 2,828 22 | 929 24 6,490 66 | 707,708 71 |
| 11. Jefferson. 12. Broome. 13. Oswego. 14. Cayuga. 15. Cattaraugus. | 161,262 01 77,450 74 57,735 18 60,192 49 29,241 01 | 3,459 72 2,053 07 2,910 92 2,122 58 1,769 44 | 43 12 393 45 | |
| Totals | 3 \$1,940,670 60 129,378 04 | \$40,585 75 2,705 71 | \$16,717 13 2,388 17 | \$707,733 71 353,866 85 |

¹ From State, town and village funds. ² Town and villages.

Highway Maintenance

| St | ATE AND COU | NTY HIGHWAY | 3 | Indian | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| From State funds | From town funds | From village funds | $egin{array}{c} 	ext{Not} \\ 	ext{classified} \end{array}$ | reservation highways | Total maintenance | |
| \$472,012 08 229,796 99 917,177 35 811,805 96 202,143 42 | 7,628 71 | 578 37 2,012 12 4,344 26 | \$293 58 358,581 53 191,014 42 160,455 30 21,120 78 | 5,122 19 17,007 30 22,064 12 | \$600,829 39 721,294 23 1,900,085 85 1,174,100 53 246,542 67 | III III IV V |
| \$2,632,935 80 | \$177,692 03 | \$45,643 22 | \$731,465 61 | \$50,477 10 | \$4,642,852 67 | State |
| I | | | | | | |
| \$210,428 87 124,386 35 137,196 86 | \$12,468 79 | \$37,712 34 112 00 377 06 | | \$6,283 49 | \$303,928 38 143,783 59 153,117 42 | 1 2 3 |
| \$472,012 08 157,334 02 | \$24,697 29 12,348 64 | \$38,201 40 12,733 80 | 1 \$293 58 1 293 58 | \$6,283 49 6,283 49 | \$600,829 39 200,276 46 | |
| II | | | | | , | |
| \$120,893 66 | | \$578 37 | 1 \$210,430 09 | | \$220,280 45 236,790 33 148,714 04 115,509 41 | |
| \$229,796 99 114,898 49 | | \$578 37 578 37 | \$358,581 53 119,527 17 | \$5,122 19 5,122 19 | \$721,294 23 180,323 55 | |
| III | | | | | | 1 |
| \$165,829 89 10,265 22 65,878 34 44,110 22 96,227 25 | \$9,983 21 777 90 3,046 18 3,189 62 6,354 84 | \$119 50 160 25 449 00 | | \$51 40 5,481 33 | \$182,834 72 11,214 02 70,161 28 52,781 17 103,818 62 | 3 |
| 94,305 68 73,645 84 45,527 05 | | 437 42 | ² \$6,535 73 89,868 04 | | $\begin{array}{c} 100,841 \ 41 \\ 90,797 \ 28 \\ 83,453 \ 76 \\ 762,172 \ 58 \\ 68,444 \ 31 \end{array}$ | 10 |
| 147,239 50 70,717 23 49,517 41 53,913 72 | 4,680 44 4,627 90 3,947 07 | 678 95 167 00 | ² 10,562 79 | | 157,802 29 75,397 67 54,824 26 58,070 91 27,471 57 | 1 1 1 1 1 |
| \$917,177 35 76,431 44 | \$48,423 82 4,842 38 | | \$191,014 42 38,202 88 | 5,668 93 | 126,672 39 | |
| | | | | | | 1 |

^{*} Error of \$1.00 in footing of treasurer's report, Cayuga county.

Table 65 — Highway

| | | | Table 05 | — Iligiiway |
|--|---|--|---|----------------------------|
| | Total | Administrative. Superin- tendent of highways | County line bridges | County road maintenance |
| | | 1 | | CLASS |
| 1. Saratoga | \$131,886 36 67,014 47 124,096 21 41,946 92 47,705 53 | \$4,516 33 2,104 40 1,672 36 1,475 81 1,983 22 | \$33,616 41 344 20 3,345 78 152 31 | |
| 6. Wayne | 26,958 96 65,393 93 31,461 41 78,569 65 72,704 52 | 2,019 55 2,000 00 1,950 97 2,131 64 2,112 47 | 6,751 40 478 58 | \$30,587 13 |
| 11. Franklin 12. Delaware 13. Fulton 14. Columbia 15. Allegany | 86,903 44 23,810 85 62,326 65 47,018 13 31,578 80 | 2,269 73 2,375 00 1,671 76 3,697 45 2,015 22 | 425 44 5 49 16 89 | 17,141 80 |
| 16. Madison | 16,897 83 27,028 18 28,890 46 73,040 31 54,808 61 | 2,780 44 1,790 59 1,210 72 1,293 88 1,625 70 | 223 11 | 205 40 |
| 21. Warren | 46,557 62 33,812 66 | 1,984 48 1,629 25 | 2,850 00 | 1,000 00 |
| Totals | \$1,220,411 50 | \$46,310 97 | \$48,340 21 | \$48,970 33 |
| | | | | CLASS |
| 1. Sullivan | \$33,622 14 30,296 76 46,364 54 | \$2,362 99 1,700 00 2,040 26 | \$850 15 | |
| 4. Wyoming | 31,588 72 | 1,600 00 | | |
| 6. Seneca. 7. Tioga. 8. Lewis. 9. Schoharie. 10. Yates. | 23,548 44 24,803 86 10,799 96 | 1,369 44 2,963 33 1,748 40 | 88 33 160 84 | |
| 11. Putnam | 23,949 98 6,898 65 32,708 50 | 1,219 20 939 26 2,096 00 | | 1,610 00 |
| Totals | \$264,581 55 | \$18,038 88 | \$1,099 32 | \$2,850 22 |

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ From State, town and village funds. $^{\rm 2}$ Chasm road repairs.

Maintenance — concluded

| St | FATE AND COU | Indian | m 1 | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| From State funds | From town funds | From village funds | Not classified | reservation highways | Total maintenance | |
| V | | | | | | (|
| \$89,435 97 | \$4,308 65 | \$9 00 | \$64,565 87 | | \$127,370 03 64,910 07 | |
| 113,294 32 37,417 67 37,921 87 | 4,438 54 2,597 13 7,800 44 | 1,345 21 304 00 | 1 \$64,565 87 | | 122,423 85 40,471 11 45,722 31 | 191 |
| 23,076 85 56,162 38 | 1,862 56 6,997 55 | 234 00 | | | 24,939 41 63,393 93 | |
| 71,621 03 23,188 50 | 3,695 29 15,889 47 | 643 11 926 95 | 1 22,759 04 | | 29,510 44 76,438 01 70,592 05 | 1 |
| 45,343 97 17,929 49 | 3,609 11 2,846 92 | 74 20 234 00 | 2 5,599 89 | \$12,864 74 | 21 435 85 | 1 |
| 38,955 92 26,789 08 | 4,304 70 | 201 00 | 1 60,649 40 | | 60,654 89 43,320 68 | 1 1 1 |
| 12,128 80 21,332 45 15,229 94 66,187 33 49,415 69 | 1,765 48 3,699 74 1,463 25 4,934 13 | 494 37 | 3 3,149 88 4 3,731 22 | 7,836 67 | $\begin{array}{c} 14,117 & 39 \\ 25,237 & 59 \\ 27,679 & 74 \\ 71,746 & 43 \\ 53,182 & 91 \end{array}$ | 1 1 1 1 2 |
| 37,112 26 29,262 44 | 3,531 46 | 79 42 | | | 44,573 14 32,183 41 | 2 2 |
| \$811,805 96 | \$78,120 35 | \$4,344 26 | \$160,455 30 | \$22,064 12 | \$1,174,100 53 | |
| | | | | | | 1 |
| \$26,268 78 \$22,847 97 41,891 38 | \$2,900 00 5,554 48 2,432 90 | \$194 31 | | | \$31,259 15 28,596 76 44,324 28 | |
| 25,964 89 | | | | | 29,988 72 | |
| 20,640 67 20,192 81 8,890 72 | 1,450 00 1,416 72 | 231 00 | | | 22,179 00 21,840 53 9,051 56 | 1 |
| 4,925 00 30,521 20 | 952 63 91 30 | 81 76 | 1 \$21,120 78 | | 22,730 78 5,959 39 30,612 50 | 1 1 |
| | | | | | \$246.542 67 | |

[&]quot; County highway expenditures." From town and village funds.

COST OF COUNTY DEBT SERVICE

In preceding tables payments by the county upon outstanding debts in the nature of interest have been included with other items as follows:

Interest on temporary tax loans or refunding bonds under the title Administrative (Table 48);

Interest on building bonds as a part of the cost of construction of buildings (see Table 28);

Interest on highway bonds as a part of the cost of construction of highways (see Table 29).

Such payments are here given in connection with the payments of principal to show the total cost of the debt service for the year.

Payments on temporary loans are not here included for the reason that such loans must be paid from —

- (a) Taxes already levied (temporary tax loans);
- (b) The proceeds of bonds issued (temporary highway loans).

In some counties unauthorized temporary loans have been issued, but such loans will be paid either by future tax levies or by future bond issues.

The total amount paid on indebtedness is shown in Table 33.

Percentages:

The percentage of the payments on principal of bonded debt, interest on all loans and the percentage of the expenditures for debt service to the total expenditures for governmental purposes is shown.

Payment of the county debt:

In order to show the portion of the county debt at the beginning of the year, which was paid during the year, computations are made, as shown in the second summary table, to show the bonded debt at the beginning of the year.

The amount paid on such debt is divided by the amount so shown to show the percentage of such outstanding debt at the beginning of the year paid during the year.

Comments:

It will be seen that the payments of the year average about onetwentieth of the debt outstanding, indicating that the full amount of the outstanding debt matures and becomes payable within about twenty years.

For obligations incurred during the current year see Table 32.

Table 66 — The Cost of

PAYMENTS ON BONDED DEBT AND ALL

| | Total | | Princip | PAL OF BOND | s Paid |
|---|---|---|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| | expenditures for governmental purposes ¹ | Refunding and revenue bonds ² | Building bonds | Highway bonds ² | Total 2 |
| Class I. Class II. Class III. Class IV. Class V. State. | \$7,332,910 72 5,515,460 46 8,256,937 85 5,632,613 93 1,743,908 96 \$28,481,831 92 | 94,250 00 47,229 52 51,508 65 6,000 00 | 97,500 00 46,000 00 31,000 00 | 72,500 00 69,000 00 122,271 19 38,938 48 | \$238,713 77 248,750 00 213,729 52 219,779 84 103,757 02 \$996,911 61 |

THE PAYMENT OF THE

| | Total outstanding bonds at end of year ¹ | Payments on bonded debt during year (add) ² |
|---------|---|---|
| Class I | 84,378,886 51 5,029,000 00 6,272,281 29 3,097,557 38 1,199,420 80 | \$238,713 77 248,750 00 213,729 52 219,779 84 75,938 48 |
| State | \$19,977,145 98 | \$996,911 61 |

From Table 41.
 From Table 33.
 From Table 48. Includes \$1,763.99 expenses of bond issues in first three classes.

¹ From Table 36. ² From Table 33. ³ Addition of columns 1 and 2. ⁴ From Table 32.

County Debt Service

Payments on Interest During 1914

| | | | Interest | | | Percent- age of total debt | |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|
| Percentage of total expendi- ture | Adminis- trative interest 3 | Building interest 4 | Highway interest ⁵ | Total | Percent- age of total ex- penditure | service to total expendi- ture | |
| 3.25 4.51 2.59 3.92 5.95 3.50 | 63,628 55 39,014 32 24,677 73 11,662 19 | 80,455 21 32,708 15 20,263 69 | 48,638 18 153,098 93 107,741 12 23,755 75 | 213,296 73 272,568 46 | 3.30 2.93 3.19 | 7.93 8.38 5.89 6.85 9.14 7.18 | I II III IV V |

COUNTY BONDED DEBT

| Total bonded debt at beginning of year and bonds issued during year ³ | New bonds issued during year (deduct) ⁴ | Outstanding debt at beginning of year ⁵ | Payments on bonds outstanding at beginning of year ⁶ | Per- centage of debt paid ⁷ | Period required to extinguish debt at rate of payment shown (years) | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|
| \$4,617,600 28 5,277,750 00 6,486,010 81 3,317,337 22 1,275,359 28 \$20,974,057 59 | 473,750 00 622,000 00 182,495 00 159,165 34 | 4,804,000 00 5,864,010 81 3,134,842 22 | 248,750 00 213,729 52 219,779 84 75,938 48 | $\frac{3.64}{7.01}$ | 16.69 19.29 27.47 14.12 14.64 | I II III IV V |

⁴ From Table 28. ⁵ From Table 29.

<sup>Column 3 less column 4.
Repeated from column 2.
Column 6 divided by column 5.</sup>









